

FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITY FOR GENERALIZED SUBCLASSES OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

LIANGPENG XIONG, XIANGDONG FENG AND JIANLIANG ZHANG

(Communicated by N. Elezović)

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$ denote the class of normalized univalent functions $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$, which are defined in the unit disk Δ and satisfying $1 + [(\lambda D^{n+2} f(z) + (1 - \lambda) D^{n+1} f(z)) / (\lambda D^{n+1} f(z) + (1 - \lambda) D^n f(z)) - 1] / b \prec \varphi(z)$, where $\varphi(z)$ is the function with positive real part, $D^n f$ denotes the sǎlǎgean operator, $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$. In this paper, for the class $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$, the Fekete-Szegő inequalities are completely solved. A more general class $\mathcal{K}(\beta, n, \lambda, g(z))$ related $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$ is also considered with same subject, which extends the earlier corresponding results for the class of strongly close-to-convex functions of order β .

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic univalent in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$. It is well-known that $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq 1$. If f and g are analytic in Δ , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, provided there exists an analytic function $\omega(z)$ defined on Δ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ satisfying $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$.

For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, Sǎlǎgean [20] defined the following operator:

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z), \quad D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = zf'(z), \quad \dots, \quad D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)),$$

where $n \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. We note that

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k, \quad n \in N_0 = \{0\} \cup N. \quad (1.2)$$

Let S^* , C and \mathcal{K} denote the usual starlike function, convex function and close-to-convex function, respectively. Ma and Minda [12] unified various subclasses of

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords and phrases: Univalent functions, sǎlǎgean operator, starlike and convex function, close-to-convex function, Fekete-Szegő inequality.

starlike and convex functions for which either one of the quantities $zf''(z)/f(z)$ or $1+zf''(z)/f'(z)$ is subordinate to a more general superordinate function. The classes $S^*(\varphi)$ and $C(\varphi)$ of Ma-Minda starlike and Ma-Minda convex functions, are respectively characterized by $zf''(z)/f(z) \prec \varphi(z)$ and $1+zf''(z)/f'(z) \prec \varphi(z)$, where function φ with positive real part in Δ , $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi'(0) > 1$. The coefficient functional $\rho_\mu(f) = a_3 - \mu a_2^2$ on the normalized analytic functions f in Δ plays an important role in function theorem. The problem of maximizing the absolute value of the functional $\rho_\mu(f)$ is called the Fekete-Szegö problem. A classical theorem of Fekete-Szegö (see [5]) states that for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1),

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu, & \text{if } \mu \leq 0, \\ 1 + 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu}\right), & \text{if } 0 \leq \mu < 1, \\ 4\mu - 3, & \text{if } \mu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Later, Pfluger [17] considered the problem when μ is complex. In the case of C, S^* and \mathcal{K} , the above inequalities can be improved [9, 10]. Actually, many authors have considered the Fekete-Szegö problem for various subclasses of \mathcal{A} , the upper bound for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ was investigated by many different authors (see [3, 6, 19, 22]). Recently, some results on this subject were improved (see [2, 4, 8, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21, 23]).

We denote by \mathcal{P} a class of analytic function in Δ with $p(0) = 0$ and $\Re p(z) > 0$. Here we assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$, satisfying $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi'(0) > 0$, and $\varphi(\Delta)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Also, $\varphi(z)$ has a series expansion of the form

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + A_3 z^3 + \dots, (A_1 > 0). \quad (1.3)$$

With the aid of salagean operator, we introduce the class $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$ as follows:

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+2} f(z) + (1-\lambda) D^{n+1} f(z)}{\lambda D^{n+1} f(z) + (1-\lambda) D^n f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z), \quad z \in \Delta, \quad (1.4)$$

where b is nonzero complex number, φ is defined as (1.3), $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

By giving specific values to the parameters b , λ and φ , we obtain the following important subclasses studied by various authors in earlier works, for instance,

$$\mathcal{P}_\varphi(0, 1, 0) \equiv S^*(\varphi), \quad \mathcal{P}_\varphi(0, 1, 1) \equiv C(\varphi),$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_{\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}}(0, 1, 0) \equiv S^*[A, B], \quad \mathcal{P}_{\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}}(0, 1, 1) \equiv C[A, B],$$

where $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$. The $S^*(\varphi)$, $C(\varphi)$ were introduced by Ma-Minda [12]. The $S^*[A, B]$, $C[A, B]$ were defined by Janowski [7].

By taking $b = 1$, $\varphi(z) = (\frac{1+z}{1-z})^\beta$ ($0 \leq \beta \leq 1$), we want to extend the $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$ to a more general class $\mathcal{K}(\beta, n, \lambda, g(z))$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}(\beta, n, \lambda, g(z))$ if and only if

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+2}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D^{n+1}f(z)}{\lambda D^{n+1}g(z) + (1-\lambda)D^ng(z)} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\beta, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad (1.5)$$

where $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $g(z) = z + b_2z^2 + b_3z^3 + \dots \in S^*$.

We note that $\mathcal{K}(\beta, 0, 0, g(z)) \equiv \mathcal{K}(\beta)$, where $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ is the class of strongly close-to-convex functions of order β defined by Koepf [11] and Abdel-Gawad [1]. Koepf [11] considered the Fekete-Szegö problem for $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ with some particular values of μ . Later, Abdel-Gawad [1] improved the results for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ without $\mu = 1$.

In this paper, we concentrate on the Fekete-Szegö problem for the subclass $\mathcal{P}_\phi(n, b, \lambda)$, which is discussed with four different cases as: (i) μ is special number, $b \in \mathbb{C}$. (ii) $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$. (iii) $b > 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. (IV) $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Also, a more general class $\mathcal{K}(\beta, n, \lambda, g(z))$ is considered on the same subject, which extends the corresponding earlier results for the class $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ of strongly close-to-convex functions of order β .

2. Main results

In order to derive our main results, we have to recall here the following Lemmas.

LEMMA 1. ([10]) Let $g \in S^*$ with $g(z) = z + b_2z^2 + b_3z^3 + \dots$, then for real μ ,

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \max\{1, |3 - 4\mu|\}.$$

The result is sharp.

LEMMA 2. ([18]) Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$, then $|c_n| \leq 2$ for $n \geq 1$. If $|c_1| = 2$ then $p(z) \equiv p_1(z) = (1 + \gamma_1 z)/(1 - \gamma_1 z)$ with $\gamma_1 = c_1/2$. Conversely, if $p(z) \equiv p_1(z)$ for some $|\gamma_1| = 1$, then $c_1 = 2\gamma_1$ and $|c_1| = 2$. Furthermore we have

$$\left| c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right| \leq 2 - \frac{|c_1|^2}{2}.$$

If $|c_1| < 2$ and $|c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}| = 2 - \frac{|c_1|^2}{2}$, then $p(z) \equiv p_2(z)$, where

$$p_2(z) = \frac{1 + z \frac{\gamma_2 z + \gamma_1}{1 + \bar{\gamma}_1 \gamma_2 z}}{1 - z \frac{\gamma_2 z + \gamma_1}{1 + \bar{\gamma}_1 \gamma_2 z}}$$

and $\gamma_1 = c_1/2$, $\gamma_2 = \frac{2c_2 - c_1^2}{4 - |c_1|^2}$. Conversely if $p(z) = p_2(z)$ for some $|\gamma_1| < 1$ and $|\gamma_2| = 1$, then $\gamma_1 = c_1/2$, $\gamma_2 = \frac{2c_2 - c_1^2}{4 - |c_1|^2}$ and $|c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}| = 2 - \frac{|c_1|^2}{2}$.

THEOREM 1. Let $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, and Let b be nonzero complex number. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$, where $\varphi(z) = 1 + A_1z + A_2z^2 + \dots + A_nz^n + \dots$, ($A_1 > 0$), then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{1}{1+\lambda} |b| A_1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} \frac{1}{3^n} |b| A_1 \max \left\{ 1, \left| bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\left| a_3 - \frac{2.4^{n-1} \cdot (1+\lambda)^2 \cdot (bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 1)}{3^n(1+2\lambda)bA_1} a_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{A_1|b|}{(2+4\lambda)3^n}. \quad (2.3)$$

These results are sharp.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$. Then there is a function $w(z)$, such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+2}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D^{n+1}f(z)}{\lambda D^{n+1}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D^nf(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi(w(z)), \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + r_1z + r_2z^2 + \dots \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \Delta. \quad (2.4)$$

We can note that $p(0) = 1$ and $p(z)$ is a function with positive real part. In fact, using the (2.4), it is easy to know that

$$w(z) = \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(r_1z + \left(r_2 - \frac{r_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right),$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+2}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D^{n+1}f(z)}{\lambda D^{n+1}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D^nf(z)} - 1 \right) &= \varphi(w(z)) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} A_1 r_1 z + \left(\frac{1}{2} A_1 \left(r_2 - \frac{r_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} A_2 r_1^2 \right) z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Actually, a computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+2}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D^{n+1}f(z)}{\lambda D^{n+1}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D^nf(z)} - 1 \right) \\ = 1 + \frac{1}{b} 2^n (1+\lambda) a_2 z + \frac{1}{b} [(2+4\lambda) \cdot 3^n a_3 - (1+\lambda)^2 4^n a_2^2] z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The equations (2.5) and (2.6) yield

$$\frac{1}{b} 2^n (1+\lambda) a_2 = \frac{1}{2} A_1 r_1, \quad \frac{1}{b} [(2+4\lambda) \cdot 3^n a_3 - (1+\lambda)^2 4^n a_2^2] = \frac{1}{2} A_1 \left(r_2 - \frac{r_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} A_2 r_1^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Taking into account (2.7) and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$|a_2| = \left| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \frac{1}{1+\lambda} b A_1 r_1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{1}{1+\lambda} |b| A_1, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3| &= \left| \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[r_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} b A_1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_2}{A_1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) r_1^2 \right] \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[r_2 - \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 + \frac{r_1^2}{2} \left(b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[|r_2 - \frac{1}{2} r_1^2| + \frac{|r_1^2|}{2} \left| b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 - \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 + \frac{|r_1|^2}{2} \left| b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 \left| b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - 1 \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} \frac{1}{3^n} |b| A_1 \max \left\{ 1, \left| b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\left| a_3 - \frac{2.4^{n-1} \cdot (1+\lambda)^2 \cdot (b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 1)}{3^n (1+2\lambda) b A_1} a_2^2 \right| = \left| \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 r_2 \right| \leq \frac{A_1 |b|}{(2+4\lambda) 3^n}. \quad (2.9)$$

An examination of the proof shows the first equality holds if $c_1 = 2$. Equivalently, we have $p(z) = p_1(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$. Therefore, the extremal function in $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$ is defined by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+2} f(z) + (1-\lambda) D^{n+1} f(z)}{\lambda D^{n+1} f(z) + (1-\lambda) D^n f(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi \left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right). \quad (2.10)$$

Next, in (2.2), for first case, the equality holds if $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 2$. Equivalently, we have $p(z) = p_2(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$. Therefore, the extremal functions in $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$ is given by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+2} f(z) + (1-\lambda) D^{n+1} f(z)}{\lambda D^{n+1} f(z) + (1-\lambda) D^n f(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi \left(\frac{p_2(z) - 1}{p_2(z) + 1} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

In (2.2), for the second case, the equality holds if $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = 2$. Therefore, the extremal function in $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$ is given by (2.10).

Finally, in (2.3), the equality holds. Obtained extremal function for (2.1) is also valid for (2.3).

In fact, Theorem 1 gives a special case of Fekete-Szegö problem with

$$\mu = \frac{2.4^{n-1} \cdot (1+\lambda)^2 \cdot (b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 1)}{3^n (1+2\lambda) b A_1},$$

which obtain the naturally and simple estimate. Thus the proof is completed. \square

Now, we consider the Fekete-Szegö problem with complex μ .

THEOREM 2. Let $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and Let b be a nonzero complex number. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$, then for any complex μ ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1, & \mathcal{U} \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \mathcal{U}, & \mathcal{U} > 1. \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi(z) = 1 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + \dots$, ($A_1 > 0$), $\mathcal{U} = \left| bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right|$. The results are sharp.

Proof. Following (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[r_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} b A_1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_2}{A_1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) r_1^2 \right] - \mu \frac{1}{(1+\lambda)^2} \frac{b^2 A_1^2 r_1^2}{4^{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[r_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} b A_1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_2}{A_1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) r_1^2 - \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 r_1^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[r_2 - \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 \left(b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Again, using the Lemma 2, it has

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left| r_2 - \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 \left(b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 \left[\left| b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right| - 1 \right] \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \max \left\{ 1, \left| b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds for each μ with the first case if fonctions in (2.11) and the second case if functions in (2.10). Thus the proof is completed. \square

Next, we want to consider the Fekete-Szegö problem with real μ and real b .

THEOREM 3. Let $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and Let $b > 0$. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$, then for any real μ ,

(1) If $bA_1 \geq 1$, we have $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[\mathcal{N}_1 - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right], & \mu < \frac{A_2}{A_1} \mathcal{N}_1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{b^2}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1^2, & \frac{A_2}{A_1} \mathcal{N}_1 \leq \mu < (\mathcal{N}_1 - 1) \mathcal{N}_2, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1, & (\mathcal{N}_1 - 1) \mathcal{N}_2 \leq \mu < (\mathcal{N}_1 + 1) \mathcal{N}_2, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 - \mathcal{N}_1 \right], & \mu \geq (\mathcal{N}_1 + 1) \mathcal{N}_2. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $bA_1 < 1$, we have $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[\mathcal{N}_1 - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right], & \mu < (\mathcal{N}_1 - 1) \mathcal{N}_2, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1, & (\mathcal{N}_1 - 1) \mathcal{N}_2 \leq \mu < (\mathcal{N}_1 + 1) \mathcal{N}_2, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 - \mathcal{N}_1 \right], & \mu \geq (\mathcal{N}_1 + 1) \mathcal{N}_2. \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi(z) = 1 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + \dots$, ($A_1 > 0, A_2 > 0$),

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1}, \quad \mathcal{N}_2 = \frac{4^n (1+\lambda)^2}{2A_1 b 3^n (1+2\lambda)}.$$

For each μ there is a function $f \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$ such that equality holds.

Proof. It follows from (2.7) that

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[r_2 - \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 \left(bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right) \right], \quad (2.12)$$

As the Lemma 2, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 \left[\left| bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right| - 1 \right] \right]. \quad (2.13)$$

Firstly, we want to consider the case with $bA_1 \geq 1$. Several possible cases need to further analyze.

Case 1. If $\mu \leq \frac{4^n A_2 (1+\lambda)^2}{2A_1^2 b 3^n (1+2\lambda)}$, using (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 \left[bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 - 1 \right] \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. If $\frac{4^n A_2(1+\lambda)^2}{2A_1^2 b 3^n (1+2\lambda)} \leq \mu \leq \left(bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 1\right) \frac{4^n(1+\lambda)^2}{2A_1 b 3^n (1+2\lambda)}$, using (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 \left[bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 - 1 \right] \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{b^2}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. If $\left(bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 1\right) \frac{4^n(1+\lambda)^2}{2A_1 b 3^n (1+2\lambda)} \leq \mu \leq \left(bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1}\right) \frac{4^n(1+\lambda)^2}{2A_1 b 3^n (1+2\lambda)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 \left[bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 - 1 \right] \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4. If $\left(bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1}\right) \frac{4^n(1+\lambda)^2}{2A_1 b 3^n (1+2\lambda)} \leq \mu \leq \left(bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} + 1\right) \frac{4^n(1+\lambda)^2}{2A_1 b 3^n (1+2\lambda)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 \left[2 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 - b A_1 - \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 1 \right] \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1. \end{aligned}$$

Case 5. If $\mu \geq \left(bA_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} + 1\right) \frac{4^n(1+\lambda)^2}{2A_1 b 3^n (1+2\lambda)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 \left[2 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 - b A_1 - \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 1 \right] \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{3^n} \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 - b A_1 - \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $bA_1 < 1$, the similar discussions can readily yield the desired results.

If $bA_1 \geq 1$, equality is attained for the second and third case on choosing $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 2$ in (2.11). Also, equality is attained for the first and fourth case on choosing $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = 2$ and $c_1 = 2i$, $c_2 = -2$ in (2.10), respectively.

If $bA_1 \leq 1$, equality is attained for the second case on choosing $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 2$ in (2.11). Also, equality is attained for the first and third case on choosing $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = 2$ and $c_1 = 2i$, $c_2 = -2$ in (2.10), respectively. Thus the proof is completed. \square

Here, we discuss the Fekete-Szegö problem with complex b and real μ .

THEOREM 4. Let $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and Let b be a nonzero complex number. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_\phi(n, b, \lambda)$, then for any real μ ,

(1) If $|\frac{A_2}{A_1} \sin \theta| < 1$, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|, & \mu \leq \mathcal{M}_1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1, & \mathcal{M}_1 < \mu \leq \mathcal{M}_2, \\ \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|, & \mu > \mathcal{M}_2. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $|\frac{A_2}{A_1} \sin \theta| > 1$, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|, & \mu \leq \Re(\mathcal{X}_1), \\ \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|, & \mu > \Re(\mathcal{X}_1). \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi(z) = 1 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + \dots$, $b = |b|e^{-i\theta}$, $\mathcal{X}_1 = \frac{4^n(1+\lambda)^2}{2 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda)} + \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2 A_2 e^{i\theta}}{8 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda) A_1^2 |b|}$, $\mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2}{8 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda) |b| A_1}$, $\mathcal{M}_1 = \Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mathcal{J}_1(1 - |\frac{A_2}{A_1}| |\sin \theta|)$, $\mathcal{M}_2 = \Re(\mathcal{X}_1) + \mathcal{J}_1(1 - |\frac{A_2}{A_1}| |\sin \theta|)$. For each μ there is a function in $\mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$, such that the equality holds.

Proof. Suppose $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi(n, b, \lambda)$, using (2.7), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left| r_2 - \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_1^2 (b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{2} |r_1|^2 \left[\left| b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right| - 1 \right] \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{1}{8} \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[\left| b A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 \right| - 1 \right] |r_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{1}{8} \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[\left| 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu b A_1 - b A_1 - \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - 1 \right] |r_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{1}{8} \frac{|b|^2}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 \left[\left| 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{1+2\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2} \mu A_1 - A_1 - \frac{A_2}{b A_1} \right| - \frac{1}{|b|} \right] |r_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\left| \mu - \frac{4^n(1+\lambda)^2}{2 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda)} - \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2 A_2}{8 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda) A_1^2} \frac{1}{b} \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2}{8 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda) |b| A_1} \right] |r_1|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Taking $b = |b|e^{-i\theta}$, $\frac{4^n(1+\lambda)^2}{2 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda)} + \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2 A_2 e^{i\theta}}{8 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda) A_1^2 |b|} = \mathcal{X}_1$, $\frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2}{8 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda) |b| A_1} = \mathcal{J}_1$, a direct calculation with (2.14) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[|\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)| - \mathcal{J}_1 \right] |r_1|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[|\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - i(Im(\mathcal{X}_1))| - \mathcal{J}_1 \right] |r_1|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[|\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)| + \mathcal{J}_1 \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| - \mathcal{J}_1 \right] |r_1|^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[|\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)| - \mathcal{J}_1 \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) \right] |r_1|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Here, for later convenience as well, we set $\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mathcal{J}_1(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta|) = \mathcal{M}_1$, $\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) + \mathcal{J}_1(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta|) = \mathcal{M}_2$. Now we make some discussions for several different cases.

Firstly, if $\left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \leq 1$, we can note that $\mathcal{M}_1 \leq \Re(\mathcal{X}_1) \leq \mathcal{M}_2$. Thus, it gives

(i) Let $\mu \leq \mathcal{M}_1$. Then from (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mathcal{J}_1 \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) - \mu \right] |r_1|^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} [\mathcal{M}_1 - \mu] |r_1|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} [\mathcal{M}_1 - \mu] \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} [\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2}{8 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda) |b| A_1} \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) - \mu] \\
& = \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\mathcal{M}_1 < \mu \leq \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| & \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mathcal{J}_1 \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) - \mu \right] |r_1|^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} [\mathcal{M}_1 - \mu] |r_1|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let $\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) < \mu \leq \mathcal{M}_2$. Then from (2.15) we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mathcal{J}_1 \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) \right] |r_1|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} [\mu - \mathcal{M}_2] |r_1|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1.$$

(iii) Let $\mu > \mathcal{M}_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} [\mu - \mathcal{M}_2] |r_1|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} [\mu - \mathcal{M}_2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2}{8 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda) |b| A_1} \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| > 1$, we can note that $\mathcal{M}_2 \leq \Re(\mathcal{X}_1) \leq \mathcal{M}_1$. Thus, it gives

(i) Let $\mu \leq \mathcal{M}_2$. Then from (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mathcal{J}_1 \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) - \mu \right] |r_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} [\mathcal{M}_1 - \mu] |r_1|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2}{8 \cdot 3^n \cdot (1+2\lambda) |b| A_1} \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) - \mu \right] \\ &= \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\mathcal{M}_2 < \mu \leq \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mathcal{J}_1 \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) - \mu \right] |r_1|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let $\Re(\mathcal{X}_1) < \mu \leq \mathcal{M}_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mathcal{J}_1 \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| |\sin \theta| \right) \right] |r_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} [\mu - \mathcal{M}_2] |r_1|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let $\mu > \mathcal{M}_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} \left[\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1) - \mathcal{J}_1 \left(1 - \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \sin \theta \right) \right] |r_1|^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} A_1 + \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2} [\mu - \mathcal{M}_2] |r_1|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{|b|^2 A_1^2}{4^n(1+\lambda)^2} (\mu - \Re(\mathcal{X}_1)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{|b|}{3^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\lambda} |A_2| |\sin \theta|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is completed. \square

Motivated essentially by the earlier works of Abdel-Gawad [1], Koepf [11], we extend the corresponding results by investigating the class $\mathcal{K}(\beta, n, \lambda, g(z))$ in the next Theorem.

THEOREM 5. *If $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{K}(\beta, n, \lambda, g(z))$, then for $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \Lambda(x_0)|_{\mu=\mathcal{W}_1} + (\mathcal{W}_1 - \mu) \left[\frac{\beta}{2^n(1+\lambda)} + 1 \right]^2, & \text{if } \mu \leq \mathcal{W}_1, \\ \Lambda(x_0), & \text{if } \mathcal{W}_1 \leq \mu \leq \mathcal{W}_2 \\ \frac{(\beta+1)(\mu-\mathcal{W}_2)}{\mathcal{W}_2} \Theta(1,1) + \frac{\beta+1}{\mathcal{W}_2} (\mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} - \mu) \cdot \Lambda(x_0)|_{\mu=\mathcal{W}_2}, & \text{if } \mathcal{W}_2 \leq \mu \leq \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1}, \\ \Theta(1,1) + \left(\mu - \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} \right) \left[\frac{\beta}{2^n(1+\lambda)} + 1 \right]^2, & \text{if } \mu \geq \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1}. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \frac{8^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^3 - 2.4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\beta)}{4\beta \cdot 3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda) + 2.6^{n+1}(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}, \quad \mathcal{W}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{n+1} \cdot \frac{(1+\lambda)^2}{1+2\lambda},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(x_0) &= 1 - \mu + \frac{\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} \left(2 - \frac{1}{2} x_0^2 \right) + \frac{\beta^2 [(1+\lambda)^2 4^{n+1} - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu]}{2.12^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} x_0^2 \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2 - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu}{6^{n+1}(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)} x_0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(1,1) &= \frac{2^{n+3}\beta(1+\lambda) - 4\beta - 8.3^n(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1) + 4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2(\beta+2)}{2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$x_0 = \frac{2^{n+1}(1+\lambda)[4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2 - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu]}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\beta) + 2\beta.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu}.$$

For each μ there are functions in $\mathcal{K}(\beta, n, \lambda, g(z))$ such that equality holds in all cases.

Proof. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{K}(\beta, n, \lambda, g(z))$, then there are analytic functions $g(z)$ and $h(z)$, such that

$$\frac{\lambda D^{n+2}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D^{n+1}f(z)}{\lambda D^{n+1}g(z) + (1-\lambda)D^n g(z)} = (h(z))^{\beta}, \quad (2.16)$$

where $g(z) = z + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + \dots \in S^*$, $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{P}$. Equating coefficients of the power series in the relation with (2.16) we find that

$$2^{n+1}(1+\lambda)a_2 = c_1\beta + 2^n(1+\lambda)b_2, \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$3^{n+1}(2\lambda+1)a_3 = \frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2}c_1^2 + 2^n(1+\lambda)b_2c_1\beta + \beta c_2 + 3^n(2\lambda+1)b_3. \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.17) and (2.18), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{1}{3}(b_3 - \frac{3}{4}\mu b_2^2) + \beta \left(\frac{2^n(1+\lambda)}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} - \frac{\mu}{2^{n+1}(1+\lambda)} \right) b_2 c_1 \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} \left\{ c_2 + \left[\frac{\beta[(1+\lambda)^2 4^{n+1} - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu]}{2(1+\lambda)^2 \cdot 4^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2} \right] c_1^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Suppose

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \frac{8^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^3 - 2.4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\beta)}{4\beta \cdot 3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda) + 2.6^{n+1}(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}, \quad \mathcal{W}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{n+1} \cdot \frac{(1+\lambda)^2}{1+2\lambda},$$

where $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. We next consider the different cases for μ .

Firstly, let $\mathcal{W}_1 \leq \mu \leq \mathcal{W}_2$, with the aid of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left| b_3 - \frac{3}{4} \mu b_2^2 \right| + \frac{\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} \left| c_2 - \frac{1}{2} c_1^2 \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta^2 [(1+\lambda)^2 4^{n+1} - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu]}{2.12^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} |c_1^2| + \beta \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2 - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu}{6^{n+1}(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)} |c_1| \\ &\leq 1 - \mu + \frac{\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} \left(2 - \frac{1}{2} |c_1|^2 \right) + \frac{\beta^2 [(1+\lambda)^2 4^{n+1} - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu]}{2.12^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} |c_1|^2 \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2 - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu}{6^{n+1}(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)} |c_1| = \Lambda(x) \text{ say, with } x = |c_1|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Now, we take $\Lambda'(x) = 0$, it gives the stable point

$$x_0 = \frac{2^{n+1}(1+\lambda)[4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2 - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu]}{4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2(1-\beta) + 2\beta.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu},$$

moreover,

$$\Lambda''(x) = \frac{\beta^2[(1+\lambda)^2 \cdot 4^{n+1} - 2.3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)\mu] - 4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2\beta}{12^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)(1+\lambda)^2} < 0,$$

it implies that $\max\{\Lambda(x) : x = |c_1|\} = \Lambda(x_0)$. So (2.20) gives the desired estimate on $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$.

In fact, since $x = |c_1| \leq 2$, it follows that $\mu \geq \mathcal{W}_1$. Furthermore, equality is attained for this case by choosing $c_1 = x_0, c_2 = 2, b_2 = 2, b_3 = 3$ in (2.19).

Let, now $\mu \leq \mathcal{W}_1$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |a_3 - \mathcal{W}_1 a_2^2| + (\mathcal{W}_1 - \mu)|a_2|^2. \quad (2.21)$$

Using the result already proved in first case with $\mu = \mathcal{W}_1$, we have $|a_3 - \mathcal{W}_1 a_2^2| \leq \Lambda(x_0)|_{\mu=\mathcal{W}_1}$. Also, applying (2.17) we get $|a_2| \leq \frac{\beta}{2^n(1+\lambda)} + 1$. Thus (2.21) shows that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \Lambda(x_0)|_{\mu=\mathcal{W}_1} + (\mathcal{W}_1 - \mu) \left[\frac{\beta}{2^n(1+\lambda)} + 1 \right]^2. \quad (2.22)$$

The equality for (2.22) is attained when $c_1 = x_0|_{\mu=\mathcal{W}_1}, c_2 = 2, b_2 = 2, b_3 = 3$ in (2.19).

Let, now $\mathcal{W}_2 \leq \mu \leq \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1}$. Then a computation shows that

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \left(\frac{\beta+1}{\mathcal{W}_2} \mu - \beta - 1 \right) \left(a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} a_2^2 \right) + \frac{\beta+1}{\mathcal{W}_2} \left(\mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} - \mu \right) \left(a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 a_2^2 \right).$$

It yields

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \left(\frac{\beta+1}{\mathcal{W}_2} \mu - \beta - 1 \right) \left| a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} a_2^2 \right| + \frac{\beta+1}{\mathcal{W}_2} \left(\mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} - \mu \right) |a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 a_2^2|. \quad (2.23)$$

We deal first with the case $\mu = \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1}$. Since $g \in S^*$, so there is a function $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{P}$, satisfying $zg'(z) = g(z)p(z)$, where $b_2 = p_1, 2b_3 = p_1^2 + p_2$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} a_2^2 &= \frac{1}{6} \left(p_2 - \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 \right) + \left[\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^n \frac{(1+\lambda)^2}{1+2\lambda} \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} \right] p_1^2 + \frac{\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} (c_2 - \frac{1}{2} c_1^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{-\beta^2}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}(\beta+1)(1+2\lambda)} c_1^2 + \beta \left[\frac{2^n(1+\lambda)}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1+\lambda}{1+2\lambda} \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} \right] p_1 c_1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} a_2^2 \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{6} \left| p_2 - \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 \right| + \left[\frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^n \frac{(1+\lambda)^2}{1+2\lambda} \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} - \frac{1}{4} \right] |p_1^2| + \frac{\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} \left| c_2 - \frac{1}{2} c_1^2 \right| \\
& \quad + \frac{\beta^2}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}(\beta+1)(1+2\lambda)} |c_1^2| + \beta \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1+\lambda}{1+2\lambda} \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} - \frac{2^n(1+\lambda)}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} \right] |p_1 c_1| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{6} \left(2 - \frac{1}{2} |p_1|^2 \right) + \left[\frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^n \frac{(1+\lambda)^2}{1+2\lambda} \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} - \frac{1}{4} \right] |p_1|^2 + \frac{\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} \left(2 - \frac{1}{2} |c_1|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{\beta^2}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}(\beta+1)(1+2\lambda)} |c_1|^2 + \beta \frac{2^{n+1}(1+\lambda)}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1)} |p_1 c_1|, \\
& = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} - \frac{2 \cdot 3^n(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1) - 4^n(1+\lambda)^2(\beta+2)}{6 \cdot 3^n(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1)} |p_1|^2 \\
& \quad - \frac{\beta}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}(\beta+1)(1+2\lambda)} |c_1|^2 + \beta \frac{2^{n+1}(1+\lambda)}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1)} |p_1 c_1|.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $p_1 = 2r e^{i\theta}$, $c_1 = 2R e^{i\varphi}$, where $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$, and $0 \leq R \leq 1$. Following the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} a_2^2 \right| & \leq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} - 4r^2 \frac{2 \cdot 3^n(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1) - 4^n(1+\lambda)^2(\beta+2)}{6 \cdot 3^n(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1)} \\
& \quad - 4R^2 \frac{\beta}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}(\beta+1)(1+2\lambda)} + 4Rr\beta \frac{2^{n+1}(1+\lambda)}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1)} = \Theta(R, r).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting n , β and λ fixed and differentiating $\Theta(R, r)$ partially with $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, we have

$$\Theta_{RR} \Theta_{rr} - \Theta_{Rr}^2 = \frac{128\beta \cdot 3^n(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1) - 128\beta \cdot 4^n(1+\lambda)^2(\beta+1)}{12 \cdot 3^{2n+1}(1+2\lambda)^2(1+\beta)^2} < 0.$$

Therefore, the maximum of $\Theta(R, r)$ occurs on the boundaries, which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} a_2^2 \right| \leq \Theta(R, r) \leq \Theta(1, 1) \\
& = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2\beta}{3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)} + \frac{2^{n+3}\beta(1+\lambda) - 4\beta - 8 \cdot 3^n(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1) + 4^{n+1}(1+\lambda)^2(\beta+2)}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}(1+2\lambda)(\beta+1)}. \tag{2.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, applying the first case with $\mu = \mathcal{W}_2$, we get $|a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 a_2^2| \leq \Lambda(x_0)|_{\mu=\mathcal{W}_2}$. It follows from the (2.23) and (2.25) that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \left(\frac{\beta+1}{\mathcal{W}_2} \mu - \beta - 1 \right) \Theta(1, 1) + \frac{\beta+1}{\mathcal{W}_2} \left(\mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} - \mu \right) \cdot \Lambda(x_0)|_{\mu=\mathcal{W}_2}.$$

Equality is attained on choosing $c_1 = b_2 = 2i$, $c_2 = -2$, $b_3 = -3$ in (2.19).

Finally, if $\mu \geqslant \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1}$, then with the aid of the result already proved for $\mu = \mathcal{W}_2$ and $a_2 \leqslant \frac{\beta}{2^n(1+\lambda)} + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leqslant \left| a_3 - \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} a_2^2 \right| + \left| \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} - \mu \right| |a_2|^2 \\ &\leqslant \Theta(1, 1) + \left(\mu - \mathcal{W}_2 \frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1} \right) \left[\frac{\beta}{2^n(1+\lambda)} + 1 \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Equality is attained on choosing $c_1 = b_2 = 2i$, $c_2 = -2$, $b_3 = -3$ in (2.19). Thus the proof is completed. \square

REMARK.

(1) Setting $n = 0$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 1, Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, respectively, we obtain the corresponding results on the classes $S^*(\varphi)$ defined by Ma-Minda [12].

(2) Setting $n = 0$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 1, Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, respectively, we obtain the corresponding results on the classes $C(\varphi)$ defined by Ma-Minda [12].

(3) Setting $n = 0$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 0$, $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 \leqslant A < B \leqslant 1$) in Theorem 1, Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, respectively, we obtain the corresponding results on the classes $S^*[A, B]$ defined by Janowski [7].

(4) Setting $n = 0$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 \leqslant A < B \leqslant 1$) in Theorem 1, Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, respectively, we obtain the corresponding results on the classes $C[A, B]$ defined by Janowski [7].

(5) Setting $n = 0$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 5, we obtain the results proved by Abdel-Gawad [1].

(6) Setting $n = 0$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 5, we obtain the results proved by Keogh [9].

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the referees for many helpful suggestions. This research is supported by Scientific Research Fund of SiChuan Provincial Education Department of China (14ZB0364).

REFERENCES

- [1] H. R. ABDEL-GAWAD, D. K. THOMAS, *The Fekete-Szegö problem for strongly close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 345–349.
- [2] R. M. ALI, S. K. LEE, V. RAVICHANDRAN, S. SUPRAMANIAM, *The Fekete-Szegö coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions*, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, **35** (2009), 119–142.
- [3] M. DARUS, D. K. THOMAS, *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions*, Math. Japon. **47** (1998), 125–132.
- [4] E. DENİZ, H. ORHAN, *The Fekete-Szegö problem for a generalized subclass of analytic functions*, Kyungpook Math. J. **50** (2010), 37–47.
- [5] M. FEKETE, G. SZEGÖ, *Eine bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **8** (1933), 85–89.

- [6] B. A. FRASIN, M. DARUS, *On the Fekete-Szegö problem*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **24** (2000), 577–581.
- [7] W. JANOWSKI, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. **28** (1973), 297–326.
- [8] S. KANAS, H. E. DARWISH, *Fekete-Szegö problem for starlike and convex functions of complex order*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010), 777–782.
- [9] F. R. KEOGH, E. P. MERKES, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 8–12.
- [10] W. KOEPF, *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), 89–95.
- [11] W. KOEPF, *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions II*, Arch. Math. **49** (1987), 420–433.
- [12] W. MA, D. MINDA, *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*, in: Proceeding of Conference on Complex Analytic, (Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Yang, S. Zhang, Eds.), Int. Press, 1994, 157–169.
- [13] A. K. MISHRA, P. GOCHAYAT, *The Fekete-Szegö problem for a class defined by an integral operator*, Kodai Math. J. **33** (2010), 310–328.
- [14] A. K. MISHRA, T. PANIGRAHI, *The Fekete-Szegö Problem for a class defined by the hohlov operator*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis. **29** (2012), 241–254.
- [15] HALIT ORHAN, ERHAN DENIZ, D. RĂDUCANU, *The Fekete-Szegö problem for subclasses of analytic functions defined by a differential operator related to conic domains*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59** (2010), 283–295.
- [16] HALIT ORHAN, ERHAN DENIZ, MURAT ÇAĞLAR, *Fekete-Szegö problem for certain subclasses of analytic functions*, Demonstratio Mathematica. **XLV** (2012), 835–846.
- [17] A. PFLUGER, *The Fekete-Szegö inequality for complex parameters*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **7** (1986), 149–160.
- [18] C. POMMERENKE, *Univalent Functions*, in: Studia Mathematica Mathematische Lehrbucher, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, 1975.
- [19] V. RAVICHANDRAN, A. GANGADHARAN, M. DARUS, *Fekete-Szegö inequality for certain class of bazilevic functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **15** (2004), 171–180.
- [20] G. S. SALAGEAN, *Subclass of Univalent Functions*, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1013, Berlin, Springer Verlag, 1983, 362–372.
- [21] T. N. SHANMUGAM, S. KAVITHA, S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN, *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for certain subclasses of analytic functions*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics. **36** (2008), 39–46.
- [22] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, A. K. MISHRA, M. K. DAS, *The Fekete-Szegö problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **44** (2001), 145–163.
- [23] L. P. XIONG, X. L. LIU, *A general subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics. **36** (2012), 251–260.

(Received May 24, 2013)

Liangpeng Xiong

Engineering and technical college
of Chengdu university of technology Leshan
Sichuan, 614007, P.R. China e-mail: xlpxwf@163.com

Xiangdong Feng

Engineering and technical college
of Chengdu university of technology Leshan
Sichuan, 614007, P.R. China e-mail: fengxiangdong@sina.com

Jianliang Zhang

Engineering and technical college
of Chengdu university of technology Leshan
Sichuan, 614007, P.R. China e-mail: zhangjianliang48@163.com