

GENERALIZED ‘USEFUL’ NON-SYMMETRIC DIVERGENCE MEASURES AND INEQUALITIES

REETU KUMARI AND D. K. SHARMA*

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. In the present paper we consider one parameter generalizations of some ‘useful’ non-symmetric divergence measures. All the generalizations considered can be written as particular cases of Csiszar f-divergence. Under some conditions of probability distributions, inequalities among generalized ‘useful’ relative divergence measures are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let $\Delta_n^+ = \{P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n), p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1\}$, be a set of all possible discrete probability distributions of a random variable X having utility distribution $U = \{(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n); u_i > 0 \text{ for all } i\}$ attached to each $P \in \Delta_n^+$ such that $u_i > 0$ is utility of an event having probability of occurrence $p_i > 0$.

The following measure of ‘useful’ directed divergence or ‘useful’ relative information is given by

$$I(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i \log \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} \quad (1)$$

It may be noted (1) that is a generalization of the measure

$$H(P; U) = - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i \log p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} \quad (2)$$

It can be observed that (2) is not symmetric in P and Q and its symmetric version is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J(P; Q; U) &= I(P; Q; U) + I(Q; P; U) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i \log \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i \log \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i (p_i - q_i) \log \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 94A17, 26D15.

Keywords and phrases: ‘Useful’ relative information, Csiszar’s f-divergence, ‘useful’ divergence, ‘useful’ relative information of type s, information inequalities.

* Corresponding author.

where $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i$.

When utilities are ignored or $u_i = 1$ for each i , (3) reduces to

$$J(P; Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) \log \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right). \quad (4)$$

Which is [11] divergence measure and it is written as J-divergence. In the next section we give some generalized ‘useful’ non-Symmetric Divergence Measures.

2. Generalized ‘useful’ non-symmetric divergence measures

Some generalized ‘useful’ non-symmetric measures of information are given below:

‘Useful’ χ^2 -divergence

$$\chi^2(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i (p_i - q_i)^2 / q_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{u_i p_i^2}{q_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} - 1. \quad (5)$$

‘Useful’ relative information

$$L(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i \log \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}. \quad (6)$$

‘Useful’ relative Jensen-Shannon divergence

$$S(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i \log \left(\frac{2p_i}{p_i + q_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}. \quad (7)$$

‘Useful’ relative arithmetic-geometric divergence

$$T(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2} \right) \log \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2p_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}. \quad (8)$$

‘Useful’ relative j- divergence (Dragomir et al. [8])

$$G(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i (p_i - q_i) \log \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}. \quad (9)$$

Symmetric versions of above ‘useful’ measures are given by

$$\psi(P; Q; U) = \chi^2(P; Q; U) + \chi^2(Q; P; U) \quad (10)$$

$$F(P; Q; U) = L(P; Q; U) + L(Q; P; U) = G(P; Q; U) + G(Q; P; U) \quad (11)$$

$$K(P;Q;U) = \frac{1}{2}[S(P;Q;U) + S(Q;P;U)] \quad (12)$$

and

$$J(P;Q;U) = \frac{1}{2}[T(P;Q;U) + T(Q;P;U)]. \quad (13)$$

After simplification, we can write

$$F(P;Q;U) = 4[K(P;Q;U) + J(Q;P;U)] \quad (14)$$

and

$$G(Q;P;U) = \frac{1}{2}[S(P;Q;U) + T(P;Q;U)].$$

When utilities are ignored all the above measures reduces to [2],[14],[12],[15, 16],[22],[8].

[9] studied the measures (9), referred to subsequently as [21] ‘useful’ symmetric chi-square divergence. Measure (11) is known as ‘useful’ Jeffreys-Kullback-Leibler [12] J-divergence, the measure (12) is ‘useful’ Jensen-Shannon divergence studied by Sibson[16] and Burbea and Rao[3]. Measure(13) is ‘useful’ arithmetic and geometric mean divergence studied by Taneja [18]. More details on some of these measures can be found in Taneja[17, 18] and in the on line book by Taneja [20].

In the next section we will study the unified measures of ‘useful’ non symmetric relative information.

3. Generalized ‘useful’ non – symmetric divergence measures of type s

Let us consider the following generalization of (6) & call it ‘useful’ relative information of type s:

$$L_s(P;Q;U) = [s(s-1)]^{-1} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^s q_i^{1-s}}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} - 1 \right], \quad s \neq 0, 1; \quad (15)$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i$.

We have the following limiting cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} L_s(P;Q;U) &= L(P;Q;U) \\ \text{and} \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} L_s(P;Q;U) &= L(Q;P;U), \end{aligned}$$

provided $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i$.

The measure (15) is a non-additive generalized ‘useful’ relative information measure & has some interesting particular cases which are discussed as given below:

(i) When $s = 1/2$ we have

$$L_{1/2}(P; Q; U) = 4[1 - B(P; Q; U)]$$

where $B(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^{1/2} q_i^{1/2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}$ is ‘useful’ distance corresponding to [1] distance $B(P; Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{p_i q_i}$. Further

$$L_{1/2}(P; Q; U) = 4h(P; Q; U)$$

where $h(P; Q; U) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i (p_i^{1/2} - q_i^{1/2})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}$ is ‘useful’ discrimination measure corresponding to [10] discrimination $h(P; Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i^{1/2} - q_i^{1/2})^2$.

(ii) When $s = 2$, we have

$$L_2(P; Q; U) = \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P; Q; U).$$

(iii) When $s = -1$, we have

$$L_{-1}(P; Q; U) = \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(Q; P; U).$$

We can write measures (12) in the following unified way

$$\varphi_s(P; Q; U) = \begin{cases} L_s(P; Q; U) & s \neq 0, 1 \\ L(Q; P; U) & s = 0 \\ L(P; Q; U) & s = 1, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

provided $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i$.

4. Generalized ‘useful’ unified relative JS and AG–divergence of type s

The following unified one parameter generalization of measures (7) and (8) simultaneously are considered:

$$\Omega_s(P; Q; U) = \begin{cases} ST_s(P; Q; U) = [s(s-1)]^{-1} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i \left(\frac{p_i+q_i}{2p_i} \right)^s}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} - 1 \right] & s \neq 0, 1 \\ S(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i \log \left(\frac{2p_i}{p_i+q_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} & s = 0 \\ T(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \left(\frac{p_i+q_i}{2} \right) \log \left(\frac{p_i+q_i}{2p_i} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} & s = 1. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

The adjoint of $\Omega_s(P; Q; U)$ written as $\Omega_s(Q; P; U)$ is obtained by interchanging P and Q , and p_i and q_i in the above expression. The measures $\Omega_s(Q; P; U)$ can also be obtained from (15) by replacing p_i by $\frac{p_i+q_i}{2}$.

We have the following particular cases of $\Omega_s(P; Q; U)$ and $\Omega_s(Q; P; U)$:

$$(i) \Omega_{-1}(P; Q; U) = \Omega_{-1}(Q; P; U) = \frac{1}{4} \Delta(P; Q; U).$$

- (ii) (a) $\Omega_0(P; Q; U) = S(P; Q; U)$.
- (b) $\Omega_0(Q; P; U) = S(Q; P; U)$.
- (iii) (a) $\Omega_1(P; Q; U) = T(P; Q; U)$.
- (b) $\Omega_1(Q; P; U) = T(Q; P; U)$.
- (iv) (a) $\Omega_2(P; Q; U) = \frac{1}{8}\chi^2(Q; P; U)$.
- (b) $\Omega_2(Q; P; U) = \frac{1}{8}\chi^2(P; Q; U)$.

The expression $\Delta(P; Q; U)$ appearing in part (i) is the well known ‘useful’ triangular discrimination, and is given by

$$\Delta(P, Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{p_i + q_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}.$$

5. Generalized ‘useful’ relative J–divergence of type s

We now propose the following one parameter generalization of the ‘useful’ relative J–divergence measures given by (9).

$$\zeta_s(P; Q; U) = \begin{cases} G_s(P; Q; U) = \frac{(s-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i (p_i - q_i) \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i}\right)^{s-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} & s \neq 1 \\ G(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i (p_i - q_i) \ln\left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} & s = 1, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i$.

The adjoint of $\zeta_s(P; Q; U)$ written as $\zeta_s(Q; P; U)$ is obtained by interchanging P and Q , and p_i and q_i in the expression (18).

Some particular cases are:

- (i) $\zeta_0(P; Q; U) = \zeta_0(Q; P; U) = \Delta(P; Q; U)$.
- (ii) (a) $\zeta_1(P; Q; U) = D(P; Q; U)$.
- (b) $\zeta_1(Q; P; U) = D(Q; P; U)$.
- (iii) (a) $\zeta_2(P; Q; U) = \frac{1}{2}\chi^2(P; Q; U)$.
- (b) $\zeta_2(Q; P; U) = \frac{1}{2}\chi^2(Q; P; U)$.

We observe that the generalized ‘useful’ relative information of type s, $\Phi_s(P; Q; U)$, contains the classical measures Bhattacharyya coefficient, ‘useful’ χ^2 –divergence and ‘useful’ Hellinger discrimination. The generalized ‘useful’ unified relative JS and AG–divergence of type s, $\Omega_s(P; Q; U)$ and $\Omega_s(Q; P; U)$, contains ‘useful’ triangular discrimination and ‘useful’ χ^2 –divergence, while the generalized ‘useful’ relative J–divergences of type s, $\zeta_s(P; Q; U)$ and $\zeta_s(Q; P; U)$, yield, in particular, ‘useful’ triangular discrimination and ‘useful’ χ^2 –divergence.

6. Csiszar’s ‘useful’ f- divergence and its particular cases

For a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, the ‘useful’ f -divergence measure is given by

$$C_f(P; Q; U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i f\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}, \quad (19)$$

for all $P, Q \in \Delta_n^+$.

The following result is well known in the literature.

THEOREM 1. [4, 5]. *If the function f is convex and normalized, i.e., $f(1) = 0$, then, $C_f(P; Q; U)$ and its adjoint $C_f(Q; P; U)$ are both nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Delta_n^+ \times \Delta_n^+$ and U is the utility distribution.*

EXAMPLE 1. (Relative information of type s). Let us consider

$$\varphi_s(x) = \begin{cases} [s(s-1)]^{-1} [x^s - 1 - s(x-1)] & s \neq 0, 1 \\ x - 1 - \log x & s = 0 \\ 1 - x + x \log x & s = 1. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

For all $x > 0$ in (19). Then $C_f(P; Q; U) = \Phi_s(P; Q; U)$.

EXAMPLE 2. (Relative JS and AG – divergence of type s). Let us consider

$$\Psi_s(x) = \begin{cases} [s(s-1)]^{-1} \left[x \left(\frac{x+1}{2x} \right)^s - x - s \left(\frac{1-x}{2} \right) \right] & s \neq 0, 1 \\ \frac{1-x}{2} - x \log \left(\frac{x+1}{2x} \right) & s = 0 \\ \frac{x-1}{2} + \left(\frac{x+1}{2} \right) \log \left(\frac{x+1}{2x} \right) & s = 1. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

For all $x > 0$ in (19). Then $C_f(P; Q; U) = \Omega_s(P; Q; U)$.

EXAMPLE 3. (Adjoint of Relative JS and AG- divergence of type s). Let us consider

$$\nu_s(x) = \begin{cases} [s(s-1)]^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{x+1}{2} \right)^s - 1 - s \left(\frac{x-1}{2} \right) \right] & s \neq 0, 1 \\ \frac{x-1}{2} + \log \left(\frac{2}{x+1} \right) & s = 0 \\ \frac{1-x}{2} + \frac{x+1}{2} \log \left(\frac{x+1}{2} \right) & s = 1. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

For all $x > 0$ in (19). Then $C_f(P; Q; U) = \Omega_s(Q; P; U)$.

EXAMPLE 4. (Relative J- divergence of type s). Let us consider

$$\xi_s(x) = \begin{cases} (s-1)^{-1}(x-1) \left[\left(\frac{x+1}{2} \right)^{s-1} - 1 \right] & s \neq 1 \\ (x-1) \log \left(\frac{x+1}{2} \right) & s = 1. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

For all $x > 0$ in (19). Then $C_f(P; Q; U) = \zeta_s(P; Q; U)$.

EXAMPLE 5. (Adjoint of relative J-divergence of type s). Let us consider

$$\zeta_s(x) = \begin{cases} (s-1)^{-1} (1-x) \left[\left(\frac{x+1}{2x} \right)^{s-1} - 1 \right] & s \neq 1 \\ (1-x) \log \left(\frac{x+1}{2x} \right) & s = 1. \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

For all $x > 0$ in (19). Then $C_f(P; Q; U) = \zeta_s(Q; P; U)$.

By considering the second order derivative of the functions given by (20)-(24) with respect to x , and applying the Theorem 1, it can easily be checked that the measures

$\Phi_s(P; Q; U)$, $\Omega_s(P; Q; U)$, $\Omega_s(Q; P; U)$, $\zeta_s(P; Q; U)$ and $\zeta_s(Q; P; U)$ are nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Delta_n^+ \times \Delta_n^+$ respectively and U is the utility distribution, for all $s \in \mathfrak{R}$ for the measures $\Phi_s(P; Q; U)$, $\Omega_s(P; Q; U)$ and $\Omega_s(Q; P; U)$. And $0 \leq s \leq 4$ for the measure $\zeta_s(P; Q; U)$ and $\zeta_s(Q; P; U)$.

THEOREM 2. [23]. *Let $f_1, f_2 : I \subset \mathfrak{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be two normalized functions, i.e., $f_1(1) = f_2(1) = 0$ and satisfy assumptions:*

- (i) *f_1 and f_2 are twice differentiable on (r, R) ;*
- (ii) *There exists the real constants m, M such that $0 \leq m < M$ and*

$$m \leq \frac{f_1''(x)}{f_2''(x)} \leq M, \quad f_2''(x) > 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in (r, R) \quad (25)$$

If $P, Q \in \Delta_n^+$ are discrete probability distributions and U is the utility distribution attached to each $P \in \Delta_n^+$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i$, then we have the inequalities:

$$m C_{f_2}(P; Q; U) \leq C_{f_1}(P; Q; U) \leq M C_{f_2}(P; Q; U). \quad (26)$$

Proof. Let us consider the functions $\eta_{m,s}(\cdot)$ and $\eta_{M,s}(\cdot)$ given by

$$\eta_m(x) = f_1(x) - m f_2(x) \quad (27)$$

and

$$\eta_M(x) = M f_2(x) - f_1(x), \quad (28)$$

respectively, where m and M are given by (25).

Since $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are normalized, i.e., $f_1(1) = f_2(1) = 0$, then $\eta_{m,s}(\cdot)$ and $\eta_{M,s}(\cdot)$ are also normalized, i.e., $\eta_m(1) = 0$ and $\eta_M(1) = 0$. Also, the functions $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are twice differentiable. Then in view of (25), we have

$$\eta_m''(x) = f_1''(x) - m f_2''(x) = f_2''(x) \left(\frac{f_1''(x)}{f_2''(x)} - m \right) \geq 0 \quad (29)$$

and

$$\eta_M''(x) = M f_2''(x) - f_1''(x) = f_2''(x) \left(M - \frac{f_1''(x)}{f_2''(x)} \right) \geq 0 \quad (30)$$

for all $x \in (r, R)$.

In view of (29) and (30), we can say that the functions $\eta_m(\cdot)$ and $\eta_M(\cdot)$ are convex on (r, R) .

According to Theorem 1, we have

$$C_{\eta_m}(P; Q; U) = C_{f_1 - m f_2}(P; Q; U) = C_{f_1}(P; Q; U) - m C_{f_2}(P; Q; U) \geq 0, \quad (31)$$

and

$$C_{\eta M}(P; Q; U) = C_{Mf_2-f_1}(P; Q; U) = MC_{f_2}(P; Q; U) - C_{f_1}(P; Q; U) \geq 0. \quad (32)$$

Combining (31) and (32), we get (26). \square

7. Inequalities among generalized ‘useful’ relative divergences

THEOREM 3. *Let the generating functions given by (20)-(24) are twice differentiable in interval (r, R) with $0 < r \leq R$ and U is the utility distribution attached to each $P \in \Delta_n^+$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i q_i$, then we have the following inequalities among the generalized measures:*

(i) $\Omega_s(P; Q; U)$ and $\Phi_t(P; Q; U)$:

$$\frac{1}{4r^{t+1}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} \Phi_t(P; Q; U) \leq \Omega_s(P; Q; U) \leq \frac{1}{4R^{t+1}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} \Phi_t(P; Q; U),$$

$$s+t \leq 1, t \leq -1,$$
(33)

and

$$\frac{1}{4R^{t+1}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} \Phi_t(P; Q; U) \leq \Omega_s(P; Q; U) \leq \frac{1}{4r^{t+1}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} \Phi_t(P; Q; U),$$

$$s+t \geq 1, t \geq -1.$$
(34)

(ii) $\Omega_s(Q; P; U)$ and $\Phi_t(P; Q; U)$:

$$\frac{1}{4r^{t-2}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-2} \Phi_t(P; Q; U) \leq \Omega_s(Q; P; U) \leq \frac{1}{4R^{t-2}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-2} \Phi_t(P; Q; U),$$

$$s \geq t, t \leq 2,$$
(35)

and

$$\frac{1}{4R^{t-2}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-2} \Phi_t(P; Q; U) \leq \Omega_s(Q; P; U) \leq \frac{1}{4r^{t-2}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-2} \Phi_t(P; Q; U),$$

$$s \leq t, t \geq 2.$$
(36)

(iii) $\zeta_s(P; Q; U)$ and $\Phi_t(P; Q; U)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-3} \left(\frac{sr+4-s}{4r^{t-2}} \right) \Phi_t(P;Q;U) \leq \zeta_s(P;Q;U) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-3} \left(\frac{sR+4-s}{4R^{t-2}} \right) \Phi_t(P;Q;U), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 4, t \leq 2, s \geq t+1, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-3} \left(\frac{sR+4-s}{4R^{t-2}} \right) \Phi_t(P;Q;U) \leq \zeta_s(P;Q;U) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-3} \left(\frac{sr+4-s}{4r^{t-2}} \right) \Phi_t(P;Q;U), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 4, t \geq 2, s \leq t+1. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

(iv) $\zeta_s(Q;P;U)$ and $\Phi_t(P;Q;U)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-3} \left(\frac{(4-s)r+s}{4r^{t+2}} \right) \Phi_t(P;Q;U) \leq \zeta_s(Q;P;U) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-3} \left(\frac{(4-s)R+s}{4R^{t+2}} \right) \Phi_t(P;Q;U), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 4, t \leq -1, s+t \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-3} \left(\frac{(4-s)R+s}{4R^{t+2}} \right) \Phi_t(P;Q;U) \leq \zeta_s(Q;P;U) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-3} \left(\frac{(4-s)r+s}{4r^{t+2}} \right) \Phi_t(P;Q;U), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 4, t \geq -1, s+t \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

(v) $\Omega_s(Q;P;U)$ and $\Omega_t(P;Q;U)$:

$$r^{t+1} \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-t} \Omega_t(P;Q;U) \leq \Omega_s(Q;P;U) \leq R^{t+1} \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-t} \Omega_t(P;Q;U), \quad (41)$$

$s \geq -1, t \geq -1,$

and

$$R^{t+1} \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-t} \Omega_t(P;Q;U) \leq \Omega_s(Q;P;U) \leq r^{t+1} \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-t} \Omega_t(P;Q;U), \quad (42)$$

$s \leq -1, t \leq -1.$

(vi) $\zeta_s(P;Q;U)$ and $\Omega_t(P;Q;U)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{t+1} \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-t-1} (sr+4-s) \Omega_t(P;Q;U) \leq \zeta_s(P;Q;U) \\ & \leq R^{t+1} \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-t-1} (sR+4-s) \Omega_t(P;Q;U), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 4, t \geq -1. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

(vii) $\zeta_s(Q;P;U)$ and $\Omega_t(P;Q;U)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-t-1} \left(\frac{(4-s)r+s}{r} \right) \Omega_t(P;Q;U) \leq \zeta_s(Q;P;U) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-t-1} \left(\frac{(4-s)R+s}{R} \right) \Omega_t(P;Q;U), \\ & 0 \leq s \leq 4, t \geq s, t(4-s) \geq 6s - s^2 - 4, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-t-1} \left(\frac{(4-s)R+s}{R} \right) \Omega_t(P;Q;U) \leq \zeta_s(Q;P;U) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-t-1} \left(\frac{(4-s)r+s}{r} \right) \Omega_t(P;Q;U), \\ & 0 \leq s \leq 4, t \leq s, t(4-s) \leq 6s - s^2 - 4. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

(viii) $\zeta_s(P;Q;U)$ and $\Omega_t(Q;P;U)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-t-1} (sr + 4 - s) \Omega_t(Q;P;U) \leq \zeta_s(P;Q;U) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-t-1} (sR + 4 - s) \Omega_t(Q;P;U), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 4, s \geq t, s(t - s + 6) \geq 4(1 + t), \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-t-1} (sR + 4 - s) \Omega_t(Q;P;U) \leq \zeta_s(P;Q;U) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-t-1} (sr + 4 - s) \Omega_t(Q;P;U), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 4, s \leq t, s(t - s + 6) \leq 4(1 + t). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

(ix) $\zeta_s(Q;P;U)$ and $\Omega_t(Q;P;U)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{R^{s+1}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-t-1} [(4-s)R + s] \Omega_t(Q;P;U) \leq \zeta_s(Q;P;U) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{r^{s+1}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-t-1} [(4-s)R + s] \Omega_t(Q;P;U), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 4, t \geq -1. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

(x) $\zeta_s(Q;P;U)$ and $\zeta_t(P;Q;U)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{R^{s+1}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2} \right)^{s-t} \left(\frac{(4-s)R+s}{tR+4-t} \right) \zeta_t(P;Q;U) \leq \zeta_s(Q;P;U) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{r^{s+1}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{s-t} \left(\frac{(4-s)r+s}{tr+4-t} \right) \zeta_t(P;Q;U), \quad 2 \leq s \leq 4, 2 \leq t \leq 4. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Proof. (i) Let us consider

$$g_{(\psi_s, \phi_t)}(x) = \frac{\psi_s''(x)}{\phi_t''(x)} = \frac{\frac{1}{4x^3} \left(\frac{x+1}{2x} \right)^{s-2}}{x^{t-2}} = \frac{1}{4x^{t+1}} \left(\frac{x+1}{2x} \right)^{s-2}, \quad (50)$$

For all $x \in (0, \infty)$.

From (50) one has

$$g'_{(\psi_s, \phi_t)}(x) = - \left(\frac{x+1}{2x} \right)^{s-2} \frac{x(t+1)+t+s-1}{4x^{t+2}(x+1)} \begin{cases} \geq 0 & t \leq -1, s+t \leq 1 \\ \leq 0 & t \geq -1, s+t \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

In view of (51) we conclude the followings

$$m = \inf_{x \in [r, R]} g_{(\psi_s, \phi_t)}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4r^{t+1}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} & s+t \leq 1, t \leq -1 \\ \frac{1}{4R^{t+1}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} & s+t \geq 1, t \geq -1 \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

and

$$M = \sup_{x \in [r, R]} g_{(\psi_s, \phi_t)}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4R^{t+1}} \left(\frac{R+1}{2R} \right)^{s-2} & s+t \leq 1, t \leq -1 \\ \frac{1}{4r^{t+1}} \left(\frac{r+1}{2r} \right)^{s-2} & s+t \geq 1, t \geq -1. \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

Now (51) and (53) together with (26) give the inequalities (33) and (34).

The proof of other parts (ii)-(x) follows on similar lines. \square

PARTICULAR CASES. Here we have considered some particular cases of the inequalities (33)-(49) given below:

Take $t = \frac{1}{2}$, $s = 2$ in (35) or in (37), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt[3]{\chi^2(P;Q;U)^2}}{4 \sqrt[3]{h(P;Q;U)^2}} \leq R.$$

Take $t = \frac{1}{2}$, $s = 2$ in (34) or $t = \frac{1}{2}$, $s = 2$ in (40), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{4 \sqrt[3]{h(P;Q;U)^2}}{\sqrt[3]{\chi^2(Q;P;U)^2}} \leq R.$$

Take $t = 2$, $s = 2$ in (34) or in (40) or in (41) or in (43) or in (48) or in (49) or $t = -1$, $s = 2$ in (35) or in (37), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt[3]{\chi^2(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt[3]{\chi^2(Q;P;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t = 1$, $s = 2$ in (35) or in (37), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\chi^2(P;Q;U)}{2K(P;Q;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t = 0, s = 2$ in (34) or in (40), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{2K(Q;P;U)}{\chi^2(Q;P;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t = 1, s = 2$ in (34) or in (40), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{2K(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt{\chi^2(Q;P;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t = 0, s = 2$ in (35) or in (37), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{\chi^2(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt{2K(Q;P;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t = 0, s = 0$ in (41), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{F(Q;P;U)}{F(P;Q;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t = 1, s = 1$ in (41), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{G(Q;P;U)}}{\sqrt{G(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t=2, s=-1$ in (34) or $t=2, s=0$ in (40) or (47) or in (48) or $t=-1, s=2$ in (41) or in (43), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt[3]{4\chi^2(P;Q;U)} - \sqrt[3]{\Delta(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt[3]{\Delta(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t=-1, s=-1$ in (33) or in (35) $t=-1, s=0$ in (37) or $t=2, s=-1$ in (41) or $t=2, s=0$ in (43) or in (45) or $t=-1, s=2$ in (45) or in (48), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt[3]{\Delta(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt[3]{4\chi^2(Q;P;U)} - \sqrt[3]{\Delta(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t=0, s=1$ in (34), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{K(Q;P;U) - 2G(P;Q;U)}{2G(P;Q;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t=1, s=1$ in (34), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{2G(Q;P;U)}{K(P;Q;U) - 2G(P;Q;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t=1, s=0$ in (34), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{K(P;Q;U)} - \sqrt{F(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt{F(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t=0, s=0$ in (34), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{F(Q;P;U)}}{\sqrt{K(Q;P;U)} - \sqrt{F(Q;P;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t=1, s=-1$ in (41) or in $t=1, s=0$ in (43) or (44), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(P;Q;U)}}{4\sqrt{G(P;Q;U)} - \sqrt{\Delta(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t=-1, s=1$ in (41) or $t=1, s=0$ in (47) or in (48), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{4\sqrt{G(Q;P;U)} - \sqrt{\Delta(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt{\Delta(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take $t=0, s=-1$ in (41) or $t=0, s=0$ in (43) or in (45), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\Delta(P;Q;U)}{8F(P;Q;U) - \Delta(P;Q;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t=-1, s=0$ in (41) or $t=0, s=0$ in (47) or in (48), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{8F(P;Q;U) - \Delta(P;Q;U)}{\Delta(P;Q;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t=1, s=1$ in (47), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{6G(Q;P;U) - D(P;Q;U)}{D(P;Q;U) - 2G(Q;P;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t=1, s=1$ in (45), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{D(Q;P;U) - 2G(P;Q;U)}{6G(P;Q;U) - D(Q;P;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t=-1, s=1$ in (33) or $t=1, s=2$ in (45), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{4G(P;Q;U)}{\chi^2(Q;P;U) - 4G(P;Q;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t=0, s=1$ in (33) or $t=1, s=2$ in (45), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{F(P;Q;U)}{D(Q;P;U) - 3F(P;Q;U)} \leq R.$$

Take $t=-1, s=0$ in (33) or $t=0, s=2$ in (45), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{2F(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt{\chi^2(Q;P;U)} - \sqrt{2F(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take t=0, s=1 in (43), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{4D(P;Q;U) + 9F(P;Q;U)} - 3\sqrt{F(P;Q;U)}}{2\sqrt{F(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take t=0, s=1 in (48), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{2\sqrt{F(Q;P;U)}}{\sqrt{4D(Q;P;U) + 9F(Q;P;U)} - 3\sqrt{F(Q;P;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take t=1, s=0 in (41), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{2\sqrt{F(Q;P;U)}}{\sqrt{8G(Q;P;U) + F(Q;P;U)} - \sqrt{F(Q;P;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take t=0, s=1 in (41), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{8G(Q;P;U) + F(P;Q;U)} - \sqrt{F(P;Q;U)}}{2\sqrt{F(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take t=0, s=1 in (35), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{2\sqrt{G(Q;P;U)}}{\sqrt{2K(Q;P;U) + G(Q;P;U)} - \sqrt{G(Q;P;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take t=1, s=1 in (34), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{2K(P;Q;U) + G(P;Q;U)} - \sqrt{G(P;Q;U)}}{2\sqrt{G(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take t= -1, s=1 in (43), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{8D(P;Q;U) + \Delta(P;Q;U)} - 2\sqrt{\Delta(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt{\Delta(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take t= -1, s=1 in (45) or in (48), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt{8D(Q;P;U) + \Delta(P;Q;U)} - 2\sqrt{\Delta(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

Take t=2, s=1 in (47), one gets

$$r \leq \frac{5\sqrt{\chi^2(P;Q;U)} - \sqrt{16D(P;Q;U) + \chi^2(P;Q;U)}}{\sqrt{16D(P;Q;U) + \chi^2(P;Q;U)} - \sqrt{\chi^2(P;Q;U)}} \leq R.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BHATTACHARYYA, *Some Analogues to the Amount of Information and Their uses in Statistical Estimation*, Sankhya, 8 (1946), 1–14.
- [2] U. S. BHAKAR AND D. S. HOODA, *Mean value characterization of ‘useful’ information measures*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 24 (1993), 383–39.
- [3] J. BURBEA, C. R. RAO, *Entropy Differential Metric, Distance and Divergence Measures* in 3. Probability Spaces: A Unified Approach, J. Multi. Analysis, 12 (1982), 575–596.
- [4] I. CSISZ'AR, *Information Type Measures of Differences of Probability Distribution and Indirect Observations*, Studia Math. Hungarica, 2 (1967), 299–318.
- [5] I. CSISZ'AR, *On Topological Properties of f-Divergences*, Studia Math. Hungarica, 2 (1967), 329–339.
- [6] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Some Inequalities for the Csisz'ar f-Divergence - Inequalities for Csisz'ar f-Divergence in Information Theory - Monograph - Chapter I - Article 1* - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [7] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Other Inequalities for Csisz'ar Divergence and Applications – Inequalities for Csisz'ar f-Divergence in Information Theory - Monograph - Chapter I - Article 4* - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [8] S. S. DRAGOMIR, V. GLUSCEVIC AND C. E. M. PEARCE, *Approximations for the Csisz'ar f-Divergence via Midpoint Inequalities*, in Inequality Theory and Applications – Volume 1, Y. J. Cho, J. K. Kim and S. S. Dragomir (Eds.), Nova Science Publishers, Inc. Huntington, New York, (2001), 139–154.
- [9] S. S. DRAGOMIR, J. SUNDE AND C. BUSE, *New Inequalities for Jeffreys Divergence Measure*, Tam-sui Oxford Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 16(2)(2000), 295–309.
- [10] E. HELLINGER, *Neue Begründung der Theorie der quadratischen Formen von unendlichen vielen Veränderlichen*, J. Reine Aug. Math., 136 (1909), 210–271.
- [11] H. JEFFREYS, *An Invariant Form for the Prior Probability in Estimation Problems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lon., Ser. A, 186 (1946), 453–461.
- [12] S. KULLBACK AND R. A. LEIBLER, *On Information and Sufficiency*, Ann. Math. Statist., 22 (1951), 79–86.
- [13] P. KUMAR AND I. J. TANEJA, *Relative information of type s, Csisz'ar's f-divergence and information inequalities*, Information Sciences, 166 (2004), 105–125.
- [14] K. PEARSON, *On the Criterion that a given system of deviations from the probable in the case of correlated system of variables is such that it can be reasonably supposed to have arisen from random sampling*, Phil. Mag., 50 (1900), 157–172.
- [15] A. SGARRO, *Informational Divergence and the Dissimilarity of Probability Distributions*, Estratto da Calcolo, Vol. XVII, (3) (1981), 293–302.
- [16] R. SIBSON, *Information Radius*, Z. Wahrs. Und verw Geb., (14) (1969), 149–160.
- [17] I. J. TANEJA, *On Generalized Information Measures and Their Applications*, Chapter in: Advances in Electronics and Electron Physics, Ed. P. W. Hawkes, Academic Press, 76 (1989), 327–413.
- [18] I. J. TANEJA, *New Developments in Generalized Information Measures*, Chapter in: Advances in Imaging and Electron Physics, Ed. P. W. Hawkes, 91 (1995), 37–135.
- [19] I. J. TANEJA, *Generalized Information Measures and their Applications*, on line book: <http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/~taneja/book/book.html>, (2001).
- [20] I. J. TANEJA, *Generalized Relative Information and Information Inequalities*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 5(1) (2004), Art.21, 1–19.
- [21] I. J. TANEJA, *Bounds on Triangular Discrimination, Harmonic Mean and Symmetric Chi-square Divergences*, Journal of Concrete and applicable Mathematics, 4(1) (2006), 91–111.
- [22] I. J. TANEJA, *Relative Divergence Measures and Information Inequalities – To appear in: Inequality Theory and Applications*, Y. J. Cho, J. K. Kim and S. S. Dragomir (Eds.), Nova Science Publishers, Inc. Huntington, New York, 4 (2004).

- [23] I. J. TANEJA, *On a Difference of Jensen Inequality and its Applications to Mean Divergence Measures*, – RGMIA Research Report Collection, <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, 7(4) (2004), Art. 16.
- [24] I. J. TANEJA AND P. KUMAR, *Relative Information of Type s, Csisz'ar f-Divergence, and Information Inequalities*, *Information Sciences*, 166(1-4)(2004), 105–125. Also in: – RGMIA Research Report Collection, <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, 6(3) (2003), Art. 12.

(Received November 22, 2016)

Reetu Kumari

*Jaypee University of Engineering and Technology
A.B. Road, Raghogarh, Dist. Guna – M.P. (India) – 473226
e-mail: tomarritu1986@gmail.com*

D.K. Sharma

*Jaypee University of Engineering and Technology
A.B. Road, Raghogarh, Dist. Guna – M.P. (India) – 473226
e-mail: dilipsharmajet@gmail.com*