Mathematical
Inequalities
& Applications
Volume 1, Number 1 (1998), 131–136

# A GENERALIZATION OF YOUNG'S $\ell^p$ INEQUALITY

JONATHAN M. BORWEIN

(*communicated by J. Pečarić*)

*Abstract.* We show that, for positive real numbers with $a \geqslant 1 + \sum_i^N \alpha_i$, the function

$$\frac{\frac{r^a}{a}}{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\alpha_i}}$$

has a convex conjugate of the same form and so, in particular, obtain a clean proof that $f$ is convex.

## 1. Introduction

The simplest version of *Young's inequality* ([6], [3], [5]) asserts that for complementary real numbers $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $(p-1)(q-1) = 1$

$$\frac{1}{p}|x|^p + \frac{1}{q}|y|^q \geqslant xy$$

for all real numbers $x$ and $y$ with equality exactly if

$$y = x^{p-1}\operatorname{sign}(x) \iff x = y^{q-1}\operatorname{sign}(y).$$

This result plays a fundamental role in the theory of $\mathscr{L}^p$ spaces ([6]) leading, for example, to a transparent proof of Hölder's inequality and to a simple proof of Minkowski's inequality. One attractive way of proving this is by introducing the *convex conjugate* (also called the Fenchel conjugate)

$$f^*(s) := \sup_r rs - f(r)$$

of an extended real–valued function $f : \mathbf{R} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ and its multidimensional analogue

$$f^*(y) := \sup_x \langle x, y\rangle - f(x)$$

of an extended real-valued function $f : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \to [-\infty, \infty]$. The conjugate is necessarily convex as the supremum of affine functions ([5]). Moreover, a proper (i.e., somewhere

*Mathematics subject classification* (1991): Primary 52A41, Secondary 90C25, 26A51.
*Key words and phrases*: Fenchel conjugate, Young's $\ell^p$ -inequality, convexity.
Research supported by NSERC and the Shrum endowment of Simon Fraser University.

© ELEMENT, Zagreb
Paper MIA-01-11

finite) function $f$ is lower semicontinuous and convex if and only if $f = f^{**}$. Our usage throughout is consistent with that of Rockafellar ([5]).

This also suggests that a powerful way of establishing convexity of a function is by realizing it as the conjugate of some other function. Indeed, with the advent of symbolic computation this also leads to a class of functions that can be proved convex in Maple. In this language, Young's inequalilty is recaptured from the observation that for conjugate real numbers $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $(p-1)(q-1) = 1$

$$f : x \to \frac{1}{p}|x|^p$$

has conjugate

$$g : y \to \frac{1}{q}|y|^q$$

with the equality being a statement about the *subgradients* (see below) of a function and its conjugate ([5]). Correspondingly, in $N$ dimensions we are asserting that the conjugate of $\frac{1}{p}\|\cdot\|_p^p$ is the dual $\frac{1}{q}\|\cdot\|_q^q$.

Observe that for any function $f$ we have from the definition of the conjugate that

$$f(x) + f^*(y) \geqslant \langle x, y\rangle \tag{YI}$$

for all vectors $x$ and $y$. This is the general form of Young's inequality. It produces the best inequality of the given form, in the sense that if $f(x) + g(y) \geqslant \langle x, y\rangle$ holds universally, then necessarily $g(y) \geqslant f^*(y)$. Moreover, for a proper lower semicontinuous convex function, the *subgradient*, $\partial f$, defined by

$$\partial f(x) := \{y : \langle y, h\rangle \leqslant f(x+h) - f(x), \forall h \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}\}$$

is easily seen to coincide with

$$\{y : \langle y, x\rangle = f(x) + f^*(y)\}$$

and so, in addition,

$$y \in \partial f(x) \iff x \in \partial f^*(y)$$

if and only if equality is obtained in (YI). Finally we note that when $f$ is differentiable at $x$ then $\{\nabla f(x)\} = \partial f(x)$ so that the conjugate allows one to invert derivatives.

The main business of this note is to compute the conjugate of the function

$$\frac{\frac{r^a}{a}}{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\alpha_i}}$$

whose conjugate is of the same form and so, in particular, is convex as soon as $a \geqslant 1 + \sum_i^N \alpha_i$. This both illustrates conjugate analysis in action and provides a somewhat surprising explicit formula. It also supplies a large class of test examples for symbolic convex computation.

When $\alpha_i \equiv 0$ we see that we reduce to $\frac{r^a}{a}$.

## 2. Some Conjugate Formulae.

Let positive numbers $a, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_N$ be given satisfying $a > 1+\alpha, \alpha := \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$. Let $x := (x_1, \cdots, x_N)$, $y := (y_1, \cdots, y_N)$ when appropriate and define

$$f(x,r) := f(x_1, \cdots, x_N, r) := \frac{\frac{r^a}{a}}{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\alpha_i}}$$

for $r \geqslant 0, x_1, \cdots, x_N > 0$.

It is convenient to start by computing the conjugate for the function obtained when $r \equiv 1$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $x_1 > 0, \cdots, x_N > 0$ and $\gamma_1 > 0, \cdots, \gamma_N > 0$ be given. Let*

$$g(x) := \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\gamma_i}}.$$

*(a) Then*

$$g^*(y) := -(\gamma+1) \prod_{i=1}^N \left\{ \frac{-y_i}{\gamma_i} \right\}^{\frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma+1}},$$

*where $\gamma := \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i$.*

*(b) Moreover,*

$$g(x) = g^{**}(x)$$

*for all $x$ and so $g$ is convex.*

*Proof. Part (a).* Let $\Delta(x) := \ln(g(x))$. Then $g(x) := e^{\Delta(x)}$ where

$$\Delta(x) := -\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \ln(x_i).$$

Now we may apply the formula for the conjugate of a composition with an increasing convex function. In general terms, this is

$$(mF)^*(y) := \inf_{t \geqslant 0} \{ m^*(t) + t\, F^*(\frac{y}{t}) \},$$

which is certainly valid when $m$ is everywhere finite ([1], p. 69). Here we use the convention that $0\, F^*(\frac{y}{0})$ denotes the *recession function* of $F^*$ at $y$ which gives $\sup_{F(x)<\infty} \langle y, x \rangle$. Applied to $e$ and $\Delta$ this yields

$$g^*(y) := \inf_{t \geqslant 0} \{ t \ln(t) - t + t\, \Delta^*\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) \} = \inf_t \{ t \ln(t) - t + t \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \{ -1 - \ln\left( \frac{-y_i}{t\gamma_i} \right) \} \}.$$

This may be directly minimized and obtains its minimum at $t_0 := e^{\left(\frac{-L}{\gamma+1}\right)}$, where

$$L := -\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \ln\left( \frac{-y_i}{\gamma_i} \right).$$

Now substitution and simplification completes the proof of (a).

*Part* $(b)$ follows from a similar computation applied to $\ln(g^*(y))$. $\square$

Now we can prove our main formula.

THEOREM 1. $(a)$ *Under the hypotheses above, for* $s \geqslant 0, y_1, \cdots, y_N < 0$

$$f^*(y_1, ...., y_N, s) := \Gamma \frac{\frac{s^b}{b}}{\prod_{i=1}^N (-y_i)^{\beta_i}}$$

*where*

$$b := \frac{a}{a-(\alpha+1)}, \qquad \beta_i := \frac{\alpha_i}{a-(\alpha+1)}$$

*and*

$$\Gamma := \prod_{i=1}^N \left\{ \frac{\beta_i}{b} \right\}^{\beta_i} = \prod_{i=1}^N \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i}{a} \right\}^{\beta_i}.$$

$(b)$ *In particular* $b \geqslant 1+\beta, \beta := \sum_i^N \beta_i$, *and so in essence* $f$ *and* $f^*$ *are symmetric whence* $f^{**} = f$ *and* $f$ *is convex.*

*Proof.* To establish $(a)$ we argue as follows.

$$f^*(y_1, \cdots, y_N, s) := \sup_r \left\{ rs + \sup_x \langle x, y \rangle - \frac{\frac{r^a}{a}}{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\alpha_i}} \right\}.$$

The inner conjugate is $\frac{r^a}{a}\, g^*\left(\frac{ay}{r^a}\right)$ where

$$g(x) := f(x_1, \cdots, x_n, 1) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\alpha_i}}.$$

In combination with Lemma 1, this yields

$$f^*(y_1, \cdots, y_N, s) = \sup_r \left\{ rs - (-g^*(y)) \left( \frac{r^a}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} \right\}$$

and now some care with computing the remaining one–dimensional conjugate produces the desired result.

*Part* $(b)$ now follows easily since the function defined by $h(y) := g(-y)$ has conjugate satisfying $h^*(x) = g^*(-x)$. $\square$

Iterated conjugation, as used above is a very useful tool in symbolic or numeric computation of conjugates. Convexity is established in ([4]) by other methods but the conjugate is not considered. In ([4]) and in ([2]) a central motivation is the use of the function as a *barrier or interior penalty* function.

## 3. Additional Comments

($a$) For $N := 0$, this recovers Young's result that $\frac{r^a}{a}$ has conjugate $\frac{s^b}{b}$, whenever $a$ and $b$ are complementary. It is a simple matter to replace $r$ and $s$ by $|r|$ and $|s|$ respectively.

($b$) Obviously it is now possible to explicitly characterize equality in the Young's inequality $f(x,r) + f^*(y,s) \geqslant rs + \langle x, y\rangle$. Moreover, the smoothness of the conjugate establishes the strict convexity of the function.

($c$) The result remains true when $a = \alpha + 1$. One can argue directly (via homogenization), and one can also derive the result by taking limits in Theorem 1. In this case $f^*(y,s)$ is "roughly" the indicator function of $\{(y,s) : g^*(y) + s \leqslant 0\}$. Here as elsewhere we keep the implicit sign constraints on $x$ and $y$.

($d$) One may make the result totally symmetric (i.e., "distribute" $\Gamma$ better). Let

$$\Lambda := \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i}{a} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha_i}{2}} \quad \text{whence} \quad \Lambda^* := \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{\beta_i}{b} \right\}^{\frac{\beta_i}{2}}$$

Let $\varepsilon := a - (\alpha + 1)$ and $\eta := b - (\beta + 1)$. Then $\eta\varepsilon = 1$ and

$$\Lambda \frac{r^a}{a \prod_{i=1}^{N} x_i^{\alpha_i}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^* \frac{s^b}{b \prod_{i=1}^{N} (-y_i)^{\beta_i}},$$

are conjugate. Theorem 1 can clearly be recast in this way. It is also then possible to write the conditions on $\alpha_i$ and $\beta_i$ entirely symmetrically. Hence, we have

$$\Lambda \frac{r^a}{a \prod_{i=1}^{N} x_i^{\alpha_i}} + \Lambda^* \frac{s^b}{b \prod_{i=1}^{N} (-y_i)^{\beta_i}} \geqslant r\,s + \langle x, y\rangle .$$

($e$) The formula is most symmetric when $\varepsilon = a - (\alpha + 1) = 1$. For example, if $N := 1$ and $a := 3$ then $\alpha := 1$, and we see that $\frac{r^3}{3\sqrt{3}x}$ has conjugate $\frac{s^3}{3\sqrt{3}(-y)}$. More generally we see that for $a := 2 + \sum_{I=1}^{N} \alpha_i$, the function

$$f(x,r) := \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i}{a} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha_i}{2}} \frac{r^a}{a \prod_{i=1}^{N} x_i^{\alpha_i}}$$

satisfies $f^*(y,s) = f(-y,s)$ and so $f(x,r) + f(y,s) \geqslant r\,s - \langle x, y\rangle$, and $f$ is self–conjugate up to a minus sign. For $\alpha_i \equiv \frac{\alpha}{N}$ we have

$$f(x,r) = \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{Na} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{r^a}{a\{\prod_{i=1}^{N} x_i\}^{\alpha/N}}.$$

($f$) Finally, we observe that the argument in Imai ([2]) shows that for $a \geqslant N$ the function

$$\frac{\frac{r^a}{a}}{\prod_{i=1}^{N} x_i}$$

is convex for $x$ in the simplex $\{x : \sum x_i = 1, x_i > 0\}$. Our result only shows this for $a \geqslant N + 1$, but in Imai's setting the denominator parameters can not be generalized asymmetrically as above.

## REFERENCES

[1] JEAN-BAPTISTE HIRIART-URRUTY AND CLAUDE LEMARÉCHAL, *Convex Analysis and Minimization Algorithms II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
[2] *H. Imai*, *On the convexity of the multiplicative version of Karmarkar's potential function*, Mathematical Programming **40** (1988), 29–32.
[3] J. LINDENSTRAUSS AND L. TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach spaces I: Sequence spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
[4] M. AVRIEL, W. DIEWERT, S. SCHAIBLE AND I. ZANG, *Generalized Concavity*, Plenum Press, London and New York, 1988.
[5] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
[6] H. L. ROYDEN, *Real Analysis*, second edition, MacMillan Publishing, New York, 1968.

(Received June 24, 1997)

*Jonathan M. Borwein*
*Centre for Experimental and Constructive Mathematics*
*Department of Mathematics and Statistics*
*Simon Fraser University*
*Burnaby, BC. V5A 1S6*
*Canada*
*e-mail: jborwein@cecm.sfu.ca*
*URL: www.cecm.sfu.ca*