

# DISTORTION INEQUALITIES FOR RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVES

SHIGEYOSHI OWA AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA

(communicated by J. Pečarić)

*Abstract.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions  $f(z)$  which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . For  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ , the Ruscheweyh derivative of order  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)$ . The object of the present paper is to derive several distortion inequalities involving  $\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)$  for certain classes of univalent functions  $f(z)$  by applying known properties of generalized hypergeometric functions.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of functions  $f(z)$  of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are *analytic* in the open unit disk

$$\mathcal{U} := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all *univalent* functions in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Further, let  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  be the subclasses of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting, respectively, of functions which are *starlike of order  $\alpha$*  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) and *convex of order  $\alpha$*  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

It is well-known (cf. Robertson [1]) that

$$f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \Rightarrow |a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k (j - 2\alpha)}{(k-1)!} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}; \quad \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$f \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha) \Rightarrow |a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k (j - 2\alpha)}{k!} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}). \quad (1.3)$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (1991): Primary 30C45; Secondary 33C05, 33C20.

*Key words and phrases:* Analytic functions, univalent functions, Ruscheweyh derivatives, starlike functions, convex functions, generalized hypergeometric functions, Pochhammer symbol, distortion inequalities, Hadamard product (or convolution).

For  $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  given by

$$f_j(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (1.4)$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution)  $(f_1 * f_2)(z)$  of  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  is defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k. \quad (1.5)$$

Using the convolution (1.5), Ruscheweyh [2] introduced what is now referred to as the Ruscheweyh derivative  $\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)$  of order  $\lambda$  of  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  by

$$\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z) \quad (\lambda > -1). \quad (1.6)$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}^0 f(z) = f(z), \quad \mathcal{D}^1 f(z) = z f'(z),$$

and, in general,

$$\mathcal{D}^n f(z) = \frac{z (z^{n-1} f(z))^{(n)}}{n!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C(\lambda, k) a_k z^k, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$C(\lambda, k) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (j + \lambda)}{(k-1)!} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}). \quad (1.8)$$

The generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_pF_q(z)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_pF_q(z) &\equiv {}_pF_q \left( \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_k}{\prod_{j=1}^q (b_j)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!} \quad (p \leq q+1), \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are non-negative integers,  $a_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, p$ ) and  $b_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, q$ ) are complex numbers with  $b_j \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ . Here  $(\lambda)_k$  denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\lambda)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 0) \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1) \cdots (\lambda + k - 1) & (k \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

If we set

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j, \quad (1.11)$$

we see that the series  ${}_pF_q(z)$ , with  $p = q + 1$ , is

- (i) absolutely convergent for  $|z| = 1$ , if  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) > 0$ ,
- (ii) conditionally convergent for  $|z| = 1$  ( $z \neq 1$ ) if  $-1 < \operatorname{Re}(\omega) \leq 0$ , and
- (iii) divergent for  $|z| = 1$  if  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) \leq -1$ .

If  $p < q + 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) > 0$ , then the  ${}_pF_q(z)$  series (1.9) is absolutely convergent for  $|z| < \infty$ .

## 2. Distortion Inequalities for Starlike Functions

Our first distortion inequality involving Ruscheweyh derivatives is contained in

**THEOREM 1.** *If a function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)| &\leq M(n, \lambda, \alpha; |z|) \\ &+ \frac{(1+\lambda)_{n-1}(2-2\alpha)_{n-1}}{\{(n-1)!\}^2} |z|^n {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} n+\lambda, n+1-2\alpha, 1; \\ n, n; \end{matrix} |z| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$  and

$$M(n, \lambda, \alpha; |z|) = |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k-1}(2-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{\{(k-1)!\}^2} |z|^k. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* We begin by noting that

$$C(\lambda, k) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (j+\lambda)}{(k-1)!} = \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!}, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k (j-2\alpha)}{(k-1)!} = \frac{(2-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \quad (f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)). \quad (2.4)$$

It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)| &\leq |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C(\lambda, k) |a_k| |z|^k \\
 &\leq |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k-1}(2-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{\{(k-1)!\}^2} |z|^k \\
 &= \left\{ |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k-1}(2-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{\{(k-1)!\}^2} |z|^k \right\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k-1}(2-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{\{(k-1)!\}^2} |z|^k \\
 &= M(n, \lambda, \alpha; |z|) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k+n-1}(2-2\alpha)_{k+n-1}}{\{(k+n-1)!\}^2} |z|^{k+n}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Since

$$(1+\lambda)_{k+n-1} = (1+\lambda)_{n-1}(n+\lambda)_k, \tag{2.6}$$

$$(2-2\alpha)_{k+n-1} = (2-2\alpha)_{n-1}(n+1-2\alpha)_k, \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$(k+n-1)! = (n-1)!(n)_k, \tag{2.8}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)| &\leq M(n, \lambda, \alpha; |z|) \\
 &\quad + \frac{(1+\lambda)_{n-1}(2-2\alpha)_{n-1}}{\{(n-1)!\}^2} |z|^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\lambda)_k(n+1-2\alpha)_k}{\{(n)_k\}^2} |z|^k \\
 &= M(n, \lambda, \alpha; |z|) \\
 &\quad + \frac{(1+\lambda)_{n-1}(2-2\alpha)_{n-1}}{\{(n-1)!\}^2} |z|^n {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} n+\lambda, n+1-2\alpha, 1 \\ n, n \end{matrix}; |z| \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

COROLLARY 1. If a function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{D}^m f(z)| &\leq M(n, m, \alpha; |z|) + \frac{(1+m)_{n-1}(2-2\alpha)_{n-1}}{\{(n-1)!\}^2} |z|^n \\
 &\quad \cdot \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{(n+1-2\alpha)_k(1)_k}{\{(n)_k\}^2} \frac{|z|^k}{(1-|z|)^{k+2-2\alpha}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} 2\alpha-1, n-1 \\ n+k \end{matrix}; |z| \right) \right\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Note that (cf. Srivastava [3])

$$\begin{aligned} {}_pF_q \left( \begin{matrix} b_1 + m, & a_2, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, & b_2, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{\prod_{j=2}^p (a_j)_k}{\prod_{j=1}^q (b_j)_k} z^k {}_{p-1}F_{q-1} \left( \begin{matrix} a_2 + k, \dots, a_p + k; \\ b_2 + k, \dots, b_q + k; \end{matrix} z \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$${}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2; \\ b_1; \end{matrix} z \right) = (1-z)^{b_1-a_1-a_2} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} b_1 - a_1, b_1 - a_2; \\ b_1; \end{matrix} z \right). \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} n+m, & n+1-2\alpha, & 1; \\ n, & n; \end{matrix} |z| \right) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{(n+1-2\alpha)_k (1)_k}{\{(n)_k\}^2} |z|^k {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} n+1+k-2\alpha, & 1+k; \\ n+k; \end{matrix} |z| \right) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{(n+1-2\alpha)_k (1)_k}{\{(n)_k\}^2} \frac{|z|^k}{(1-|z|)^{k+2-2\alpha}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} 2\alpha-1, & n-1; \\ n+k; \end{matrix} |z| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

The assertion of Corollary 1 follows from (2.13).

**COROLLARY 2.** *If a function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)| &\leq M \left( n, \lambda, \frac{1}{2}; |z| \right) \\ &+ \frac{(1+\lambda)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{|z|^n}{(1-|z|)^{1+\lambda}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -\lambda, & n-1; \\ n; \end{matrix} |z| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$M \left( n, \lambda, \frac{1}{2}; |z| \right) = |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} |z|^k. \quad (2.15)$$

Further, taking  $\alpha = 0$  in Theorem 1, we have

COROLLARY 3. If a function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}^*$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z) \right| &\leq M(n, \lambda, 0; |z|) \\ &+ \frac{n(1+\lambda)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} |z|^n \left\{ \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{1+\lambda}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -\lambda, & n-1; \\ & n; \end{matrix} |z| \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{n+\lambda}{n^2} \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^{2+\lambda}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -\lambda, & n-1; \\ & n+1; \end{matrix} |z| \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$M(n, \lambda, 0; |z|) = |z| + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k(1+\lambda)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} |z|^k. \quad (2.17)$$

### 3. Distortion Inequalities for Convex Functions

For the Ruscheweyh derivatives of convex functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ , we have

THEOREM 2. If a function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z) \right| &\leq N(n, \lambda, \alpha; |z|) \\ &+ \frac{(1+\lambda)_{n-1}(2-2\alpha)_{n-1}}{n!(n-1)!} |z|^n {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} \lambda+n, & n+1-2\alpha, & 1; \\ & n, & n+1; \end{matrix} |z| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$  and

$$N(n, \lambda, \alpha; |z|) = |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k-1}(2-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{k!(k-1)!} |z|^k. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_k| &\leq \frac{\prod_{j=2}^k (j-2\alpha)}{k!} \\ &= \frac{(2-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{k!} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}; \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

we readily arrive at the inequality (3.1) by applying the proof of Theorem 1 *mutatis mutandis*.

COROLLARY 4. If a function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ , then

$$|\mathcal{D}^m f(z)| \leq N(n, m, \alpha; |z|) + \frac{(1+m)_{n-1}(2-2\alpha)_{n-1}}{n!(n-1)!} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{(n+1-2\alpha)_k (1)_k}{(n)_k (n+1)_k} \frac{|z|^k}{(1-|z|)^{k+1-2\alpha}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} 2\alpha, n; \\ n+k+1; \end{matrix} |z| \right) \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Setting  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  in Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY 5. If a function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{K}(\frac{1}{2})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)| &\leq N\left(n, \lambda, \frac{1}{2}; |z|\right) \\ &+ \frac{(1+\lambda)_{n-1}}{n!} \frac{|z|^n}{(1-|z|)^\lambda} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} 1-\lambda, n; \\ n+1; \end{matrix} |z| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$N\left(n, \lambda, \frac{1}{2}; |z|\right) = |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k-1}}{k!} |z|^k. \quad (3.6)$$

Finally, letting  $\alpha = 0$  in Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY 6. If a function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{K}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z)| &\leq N(n, \lambda, 0; |z|) \\ &+ \frac{(1+\lambda)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{|z|^n}{(1-|z|)^{1+\lambda}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -\lambda, n-1; \\ n; \end{matrix} |z| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$N(n, \lambda, 0; |z|) = |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{(1+\lambda)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} |z|^k. \quad (3.8)$$

*Acknowledgments.* The present investigation was supported, in part, by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture under a Grant-in-Aid for General Scientific Research and, in part, by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under Grant OGP0007353. Some of the results of this paper were included in a lecture delivered by the second-named author at the Annual Meeting of the Mathematical Society of Japan held at the University of Tokyo from September 30 to October 3, 1997.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.S. ROBERTSON, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. of Math **37** (1936), 374–408.
- [2] ST. RUSCHEWEYH, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc **49** (1975), 109–115.
- [3] H.M. SRIVASTAVA, *Generalized hypergeometric functions with integral parameter differences*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math **35** (1973), 38–40.

(Received October 28, 1997)

*Shigeyoshi Owa*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Kinki University*  
*Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577*  
*Japan*  
*e-mail: owa@math.kindai.ac.jp*

*Hari M. Srivastava*  
*Department of Mathematics and Statistics*  
*University of Victoria*  
*Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4*  
*Canada*  
*e-mail: hmsri@uvvm.uvic.ca*