

# ON $(H_{pq}, L_{pq})$ -TYPE INEQUALITY OF MAXIMAL OPERATOR OF MARCINKIEWICZ-FEJÉR MEANS OF DOUBLE FOURIER SERIES WITH RESPECT TO THE KACZMARZ SYSTEM

G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA AND K. NAGY

(communicated by Z. Páles)

*Abstract.* The main aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Kaczmarz system is bounded from the dyadic Hardy-Lorentz space  $H_{pq}$  into the Lorentz space  $L_{pq}$  for every  $p > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$  provided that the supremum in the maximal operator is taken over special indices. As a consequence, we obtain the a.e. convergence of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series for special indices with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. That is,  $\sigma_{2^n}(f, x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2)$  a.e. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 1. Introduction

In 1939 Marcinkiewicz [6] proved for two-dimensional trigonometric system that the Marcinkiewicz means of a function converge to the function itself almost everywhere for all  $f \in L \log L([0, 2\pi]^2)$ . Zhihiashvili [15] improved this result for  $f \in L([0, 2\pi]^2)$ . Dyachenko [1] proved this result for dimensions greater than 2.

For the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series Weisz [13] proved that the maximal operator

$$\sigma^*f = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n S_{j,j}(f) \right|$$

is bounded from the two-dimensional dyadic martingale Hardy-Lorentz space  $H_{pq}$  to the Lorentz space  $L_{pq}$  for  $p > 2/3$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and is of weak type (1,1). Goginava [4] generalized the theorem of Weisz for d-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. The a.e. convergence of the arithmetic means of quadratical partial sums of double Vilenkin-Fourier series was studied by Gát [3].

In 1948 Šneider [11] introduced the Walsh-Kaczmarz system and showed that the inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_n^\kappa(x)}{\log n} \geq C > 0$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification (2000):* 42C10.

*Key words and phrases:* Walsh-Kaczmarz system, Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means, maximal operator.

The author is supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), grant no. M 36511/2001., T 048780 and by the Széchenyi fellowship of the Hungarian Ministry of Education Szö 184/2003.

holds a.e. In 1974 Schipp [8] and Young [12] proved that the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is a convergence system. Skvorcov in 1981 [10] showed that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge uniformly to  $f$  for any continuous functions  $f$ . Gát [2] proved, for any integrable functions, that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function. Gát's Theorem was extended by Simon [9] to  $H_{pq}$  spaces, namely that the maximal operator of Fejér means of the one-dimensional Fourier series is bounded from Hardy-Lorentz spaces into Lorentz spaces for  $p > 1/2$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . He also showed  $(H_{pq}, L_{pq})$ -boundedness for every  $0 < p \leq 1$  if the maximal operator of the Fejér means is considered only of order  $2^n$ .

The main aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Kaczmarz system is bounded from the dyadic Hardy-Lorentz space  $H_{pq}$  into the Lorentz space  $L_{pq}$  for every  $p > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$  provided that the supremum in the maximal operator is taken over special indices. As a consequence, we obtain the a.e. convergence of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series for special indices with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. That is,  $\sigma_{2^n}(f, x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2)$  a.e. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 2. Definitions and notation

Let  $K := [0, 1)$  denote the unique interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . By a dyadic interval in  $K$  we mean one of the form  $[l/2^k, (l+1)/2^k)$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, \dots\}$ ). For a  $K \ni x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i/2^{i+1}$  the sets  $I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{y \in K : y_0 = x_0, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\}$  are the dyadic intervals of length  $2^{-n}$ . Let  $I_n := I_n(0, \dots, 0)$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the dyadic 2-dimensional cubes  $I_k^2$  of length  $2^{-k} \times 2^{-k}$  will be denoted by  $F_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let  $+$  denote the dyadic or so called logical addition [7]. Let  $L_p(K)$  denote the usual Lebesgue spaces on  $K$  with the corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  (and the elements of  $L_p$  are bounded 1-periodic functions).

The Lorentz space  $L_{pq}(K^2)$ ,  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  with norms or quasi-norms  $\|\cdot\|_{pq}$  is defined in the usual way (For details see e.g. Weisz [14]).

Denote by  $f = (f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  a one-parameter martingale with respect to  $(F_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ . The maximal function of the martingale  $f$  is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_n|.$$

For  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  the Hardy-Lorentz martingale space  $H_{p,q}(K^2)$  consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_{p,q}} = \|f^*\|_{p,q} < \infty.$$

A bounded measurable function  $a$  is a p-atom, if there exists a dyadic 2-dimensional cube  $I^2$ , such that

- a)  $\int_I adu = 0$ ;
- b)  $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I^2)^{-1/p}$ ;
- c)  $\text{supp } a \subset I^2$ .

An operator  $T$  which maps the set of martingale into the collection of measurable functions will be called  $p$ -quasi-local if there exists a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that for every  $p$ -atom  $a$

$$\int_{K^2 \setminus I^2} |Ta|^p \leq C_p < \infty,$$

where  $I^2$  is the support of the atom.

The Rademacher functions are defined by

$$r_n(x) := r_0(2^n x), \quad n \geq 1 \text{ and } x \in K, \text{ where } r_0(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2), \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in [1/2, 1], \end{cases}$$

and  $r_0(x+1) := r_0(x)$ . Each natural number  $n$  can be uniquely expressed as  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$ ,  $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ), where only a finite number of  $n_i$ 's are different from zero. Let the order of  $1 \leq n$  be denoted by  $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$ .

The Walsh-Paley functions are defined by

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k}.$$

The Walsh-Kaczmarz functions are defined by  $\kappa_0 := 1$  and for  $n \geq 1$

$$\kappa_n(x) := r_{|n|}(x) \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1} (r_{|n|-1-k}(x))^{n_k}.$$

Each  $x \in K = [0, 1)$  can be expressed as  $x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j 2^{-n-1}$ , where  $x_j \in \{0, 1\}$  ( $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ). This expression is unique if  $x$  is not a dyadic rational. In other words, if  $x$  is not of the form  $j/2^n$ , where  $j, n$  are nonnegative integers. If  $x$  is a dyadic rational, then we choose the expansion which terminates in zeros. In this way we have the unicity of this expression for all  $x$ . Later we need the notation  $e_s := \frac{1}{2^s}$ ,  $x_s e_s = \frac{x_s}{2^s}$ .

For  $A \in \mathbb{N}$  define the transformation  $\tau_A : K \rightarrow K$  by

$$\tau_A(x) := \frac{x_{A-1}}{2^1} + \frac{x_{A-2}}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{x_0}{2^{A-1}} + \sum_{j=A}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{2^{j+1}}.$$

In other words, if the coordinates of  $x$  are  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{A-1}, x_A, \dots$ , then the coordinates of  $\tau_A(x)$  are  $x_{A-1}, x_{A-2}, \dots, x_1, x_0, x_A, \dots$ . By the definition of  $\tau_A$  (see [10]), we have

$$\kappa_n(x) = r_{|n|}(x) w_n(\tau_{|n|}(x)) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [0, 1)).$$

The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n^\alpha(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k(x),$$

where  $\alpha_k = w_k$  or  $\kappa_k$ . Recall that

$$D_{2^n}(x) := D_{2^n}^w(x) = D_{2^n}^\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2^n), \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in [1/2^n, 1). \end{cases}$$

The Fourier coefficients (if  $f$  is an integrable function), the partial sums of Fourier series, the Fejér means and the Fejér kernels are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}^\alpha(n) &:= \int_K f \alpha_n, \quad S_n^\alpha(f, x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}^\alpha(k) \alpha_k(x) \\ t_n^\alpha(f, x) &:= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n S_k^\alpha(f, x), \quad K_n^\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n D_k^\alpha(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_n = w_n$  or  $\kappa_n$ . The 2-dimensional Dirichlet kernels and Marcinkiewicz-Fejér kernels are defined by

$$D_{k,l}^\alpha(x^1, x^2) := D_k^\alpha(x^1) D_l^\alpha(x^2), \quad K_n^\alpha(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n D_{k,k}^\alpha(x^1, x^2).$$

The Marcinkiewicz means of the two dimensional function  $f$  is

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(f, x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n S_{k,k}^\alpha(f, x^1, x^2).$$

If  $f$  is a martingale, that is,  $f = (f_0, f_1, \dots)$ , then the Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\hat{f}^\alpha(n, m) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_K f_k \alpha_n \alpha_m,$$

For  $f$  we consider the maximal operator

$$\sigma^\# f(x^1, x^2) = \sup_A |\sigma_{2A}^k(f, x^1, x^2)|.$$

### 3. Formulation of main results

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $f \in H_{p,q}(K^2)$ ,  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . Then

$$\|\sigma^\# f\|_{p,q} \leq C(p, q) \|f\|_{H_{p,q}}.$$

**COROLLARY 1.** Let  $f \in L_1(K^2)$ . Then

$$\|\sigma^\# f\|_{weak-L_1} \leq C \|f\|_{L_1}.$$

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $f \in L_1(K^2)$ . Then

$$\sigma_{2^n}(f, x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2) \text{ a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

#### 4. Auxiliary propositions

We shall need the following lemmas (see [13, 2, 5]).

LEMMA 1. (*Weisz*) Suppose that the operator  $T$  is sublinear and  $p$ -quasi-local for each  $0 < p_0 < p \leq 1$ . If  $T$  is bounded from  $L_\infty(K^2)$  to  $L_\infty(K^2)$ , then

$$\|Tf\|_{pq} \leq C(p, q) \|f\|_{pq} \quad (f \in H_{pq}(K^2))$$

for every  $0 < p_0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . In particular, for  $f \in L_1(K^2)$ , it holds

$$\|Tf\|_{1,\infty} = \|Tf\|_{\text{weak-}L_1(K^d)} \leq C \|f\|_1.$$

LEMMA 2. (*Gát*) Let  $A, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A > s$ . Suppose that  $x \in I_s \setminus I_{s+1}$ . Then for the one dimensional Fejér kernel

$$K_{2^A}^w(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x - e_s x_s \notin I_A \\ 2^{s-1} & \text{if } x - e_s x_s \in I_A. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3. (*Nagy*) Let  $A, s, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \leq l < A$ ,  $(x^1, x^2) \in (I_s \setminus I_{s+1}) \times (I_l \setminus I_{l+1})$ . Then

$$K_{2^A}^w(x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \exists i \in B_1, x_i^1 \neq x_i^2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2, \exists m \in B_2, x^1 - e_s - e_m \notin I_{l+1}, x_m^1 = 1, \\ 2^{s+m-2} & \text{if } \forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2, \exists m \in B_2, x^1 - e_s - e_m \in I_{l+1}, x_m^1 = 1, \\ 2^{2s-1} & \text{if } x^1 - e_s \in I_{l+1} (\forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2), \end{cases}$$

where  $B_1 = \{l+1, \dots, A-1\}$ ,  $B_2 = \{s+1, \dots, l\}$ .

LEMMA 4. (*Nagy*) Let  $A, s, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \leq l < A$ ,  $(x^1, x^2) \in I_A \times (I_l \setminus I_{l+1})$  and  $t < t+l < A$ . Then

$$K_{2^A}^w(x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \exists l, t < t+l < A, x^2 - x_t^2 e_t - e_{t+l} \notin I_A, x_{t+l}^2 \neq 0, \\ 2^{2t+l-2} & \text{if } \exists l, t < t+l < A, x^2 - x_t^2 e_t - e_{t+l} \in I_A, x_{t+l}^2 \neq 0, \\ 2^{t-2} n(A, t) & \text{if } x^2 - x_t^2 e_t \in I_A, \end{cases}$$

where  $n(A, t) = [-2^{t-A} (2^A - 2^{t-1} + 1/2) - (2^A - 2)]$ .

LEMMA 5. (*Nagy*) Let  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(x^1, x^2) \in G \times G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^A K_{2^A}^w(x^1, x^2) &= 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j, 2^j}(x^1, x^2) + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j}(x^1) r_j(x^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j}(x^2) r_j(x^1) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1)) + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j r_j(x^1 + x^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1), \tau_j(x^2)). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.

$$\sup_A \int_{K^2} K_{2^A}^w(x^1, x^2) dx^1 dx^2 < \infty.$$

*Proof of Corollary 3.* Since  $\sup_A \int_K K_{2^A}^w(x) dx < \infty$  and  $\sup_A \int_{K^2} K_{2^A}^w(x^1, x^2) dx^1 dx^2 < \infty$  we obtain the proof of Corollary 3 from Lemma 5.

## 5. Proofs of the main results

*Proof of Theorem 1.* By Lemma 1, the proof of Theorem 1 will be complete if we show that the operator  $\sigma^\#$  is  $p$ -quasi-local for each  $1/2 < p \leq 1$  and bounded from  $L_\infty(K^2)$  to  $L_\infty(K^2)$ .

The boundedness follows from Corollary 3.

Let  $a$  be an arbitrary atom with support  $R = I \times J$  and  $\mu(I) = \mu(J) = 2^{-N}$ . We may assume that  $I = J = I_N$ . It is easy to see that  $\sigma_{2^A}(a) = 0$  if  $A \leq N$ . Therefore, we can suppose that  $A > N$ .

Using Lemma 5 and the fact that

$$D_{2^n}^w(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, x \in I_n, \\ 0, x \notin I_n, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

for  $(x^1, x^2) \in K^2 \setminus (I_N \times I_N)$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{2^A} a(x^1, x^2) &= \frac{1}{2^A} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) \left( 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j, 2^j}(x^1 + t^1, x^2 + t^2) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j}(x^1 + t^1) r_j(x^2 + t^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j}(x^2 + t^2) r_j(x^1 + t^1) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1)) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j r_j(x^1 + t^1 + x^2 + t^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) \right) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2^A} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j}(x^1 + t^1) r_j(x^2 + t^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2^A} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j D_{2^j}(x^2 + t^2) r_j(x^1 + t^1) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1)) dt^1 dt^2 \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2^A} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j r_j(x^1 + t^1 + x^2 + t^2) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &= \sigma_{2^A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) + \sigma_{2^A}^{(2)} a(x^1, x^2) + \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

*Step 1.* Integrating over  $(K \setminus I_N) \times (K \setminus I_N)$ . Using (1) and the fact that  $|a| \leq c2^{2N/p}$  we have

$$\sigma_{2^A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\sigma_{2^A}^{(2)} a(x^1, x^2) = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| \leq c \frac{2^{2N/p}}{2^A} \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j \int_{I_N \times I_N} |K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2. \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 3 we see that  $K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) \neq 0$  implies that one of the four cases below must hold.

1)

$$x^1 \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^1 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{j-1}^1, t_j^1, \dots),$$

$$x^2 \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m^2 = 1, 0, \dots, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^2 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{j-1}^2, t_j^2, \dots);$$

2)

$$x^1 \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^1 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{j-1}^1, t_j^1, \dots),$$

$$x^2 \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^2 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{l-1}^2, 1 - x_l^2, x_{l+1}^2, \dots, x_{j-1}^2, t_j^2, \dots);$$

3)

$$x^1 \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^1 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{j-1}^1, t_j^1, \dots),$$

$$x^2 \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^2 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{m-1}^2, 1 - x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2, \dots, x_{l-1}^2, 1 - x_l^2, x_{l+1}^2, \dots, x_{j-1}^2, t_j^2, \dots);$$

4)

$$x^1 \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1),$$

$$t^1 = (0, \dots, 0, t_N^1, \dots, t_{s-1}^1, 1 - x_s^1, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}^1, t_j^1, \dots),$$

$$x^2 \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1),$$

$$t^2 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^1 + x_N^2 + t_N^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1 + x_{s-1}^2 + t_{s-1}^1, x_s^2, \dots, x_{m-1}^2,$$

$$1 - x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2, \dots, x_{l-1}^2, 1 - x_l^2, x_{l+1}^2, \dots, x_{j-1}^2, t_j^2, \dots).$$

First, we consider the case 1). From Lemma 3 it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} |K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\ & \leq c \frac{2^{j-l+j-m}}{2^{2j}} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m^2 = 1, 0, \dots, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2) \\ & \leq c 2^{-l-m} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m^2 = 1, 0, \dots, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Next, we consider the case 2). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_N \times I_N} |K_{2j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\
& \leq \frac{c}{2^{2j}} \sum_{l=N}^j 2^{j-l+j-m} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1=1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2) \\
& \leq c 2^{-N-m} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1=1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Now, we consider the case 3). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_N \times I_N} |K_{2j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\
& \leq \frac{c}{2^{2j}} \sum_{m=N}^j \sum_{l=m}^j 2^{j-l+j-m} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1=1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2) \\
& \leq c 2^{-2N} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, x_s^1=1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Finally, we consider the case 4). We write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_N \times I_N} |K_{2j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\
& \leq \frac{c}{2^{2j}} \sum_{s=N}^j \sum_{l=s}^j \sum_{m=s}^l 2^{j-l+j-m} 2^{s-N} \mathcal{K}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1) \times I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1)}(x^1, x^2) \\
& \leq c 2^{-2N} \mathcal{K}_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1) \times I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{N-1}^1)}(x^1, x^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

From (5)-(9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{(K \setminus I_N) \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{A \geq N} |\sigma_{2A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \\
& \leq c 2^{2N} \sum_{s=1}^N \sum_{l=s}^N \sum_{m=s}^l \frac{1}{2^{(m+l)p}} \frac{1}{2^{2N}} 2^s \leq c \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{1}{2^{(2p-1)s}} < \infty \text{ for } 1/2 < p \leq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Combining (3), (4) and (10) we obtain that  $(1/2 < p \leq 1)$

$$\int_{(K \setminus I_N) \times (K \setminus I_N)} (\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2))^p dx^1 dx^2 \leq c_p < \infty. \tag{11}$$

*Step 2.* Integrating over  $I_N \times (K \setminus I_N)$ . Then, we can write

$$\sigma_{2A} a(x^1, x^2) = \sigma_{2A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) + \sigma_{2A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) \tag{12}$$

From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) \right| &\leq c \frac{2^{2N/p}}{2^A} \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j \int_{I_N \times I_N} D_{2^j}(x^1 + t^1) K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &\leq c \frac{2^{2N/p}}{2^A} \sum_{j=N+1}^{A-1} 2^j \int_{I_N} K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) dt^2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using Lemma 2 we conclude that  $K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) \neq 0$  implies that

$$x^2 \in I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_s^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ for some } s=1, \dots, N \text{ and } t = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{j-1}^2, t_j^2, \dots).$$

Hence,

$$\int_{I_N} K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) dt^2 \leq c \frac{2^{j-s}}{2^j} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_s^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2) \leq \frac{c}{2^s} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_s^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2),$$

and consequently,

$$\int_{I_N \times (I \setminus I_N)} \sup_{A>N} \left| \sigma_{2^A}^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) \right|^p dx^1 dx^2 \leq c_p 2^{2N} \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{1}{2^{sp}} \frac{1}{2^{2N}} \leq c_p < \infty. \quad (14)$$

Using Lemmas 3–4 it is clear that  $K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2)) \neq 0$  implies that:

$$5) \quad x^1 \in I_N(0),$$

$$t^1 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{m-1}^1, 1 - x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1, 1 - x_s^1, x_{s+1}^1, \dots, x_{j-1}^1, t_j^1, \dots),$$

$$x^2 \in I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^2 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{j-1}^2, t_j^2, \dots);$$

$$6) \quad x^1 \in I_N(0),$$

$$t^1 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{j-1}^1, t_j^1, \dots)$$

$$x^2 \in I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^2 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{j-1}^2, t_j^2, \dots);$$

$$7) \quad x^1 \in I_N(0),$$

$$t^1 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{j-1}^1, t_j^1, \dots)$$

$$x^2 \in I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^2 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{j-1}^2, t_j^2, \dots);$$

$$8) \quad x^1 \in I_N(0),$$

$$t^1 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{j-1}^1, t_j^1, \dots)$$

$$x^2 \in I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_s = 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$t^2 = (0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{j-1}^2, t_j^2, \dots);$$

Consider the case 5). As above we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} |K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\ & \leq c 2^{-2N} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0) \times I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using Lemma 4 for case 6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} |K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\ & \leq \frac{c}{2^{2j}} \sum_{m=N}^j 2^{j-m+j-l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0) \times I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2) \\ & \leq c 2^{-N-l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0) \times I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The estimation of cases 7) and 8) is analogous to the estimation of cases 5) and 6) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} |K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\ & \leq c 2^{-l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0) \times I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} |K_{2^j}^w(\tau_j(x^1 + t^1), \tau_j(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\ & \leq c 2^{-l-s} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0) \times I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_s = 1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1, x^2). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By (11) – (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{A>N} |\sigma_{2^A}^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \\ & \leq c_p 2^{2N} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{2Np}} \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{1}{2^{2N}} + \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{1}{2^{pl}} \frac{1}{2^{Np}} \frac{1}{2^{2N}} + \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{1}{2^{pl}} \frac{1}{2^{2N}} + \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{1}{2^{p(l+s)}} \frac{1}{2^{2N}} \right\} \\ & \leq c_p < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Combining (12), (14) and (19) we obtain that

$$\int_{I_N \times (K \setminus I_N)} (\sigma^\# a(x^1, x^2))^p dx^1 dx^2 \leq c_p < \infty.$$

*Step 3.* Integrating over  $(K \setminus I_N) \times I_N$ .

The case is analogous to step 2.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.I. DYACHENKO, On the  $(C, \alpha)$ -summability of multiple trigonometric Fourier series, Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzii **131**, (1988), 261–263.
- [2] G. GÁT, On  $(C, 1)$  summability of integrable functions with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, Studia Math. **130**, (1998), 135–148.
- [3] G. GÁT, Convergence of Marcinkiewicz means of integrable functions with respect to two-dimensional Vilenkin systems, Georgian Mathematical Journal **11**, (2004), No. 3, 467–478.
- [4] U. GOGINAVA, Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of d- dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, Math. Anal. and Appl. **307**, (2005), no. 1, 206–218.
- [5] K. NAGY, Some convergence properties of the Walsh-Kaczmarz system with respect to the Marcinkiewicz means, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Suppl. 76, (2005), 503–516.
- [6] J. MARCINKIEWICZ, Sur une méthode remarquable de sommation des séries doubleuses de Fourier, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **8**, (1939), 149–160.
- [7] F. SCHIPP, W. R. WADE, P. SIMON AND J. PÁL, Walsh Series, An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis, Adam Hilger (Bristol-New York 1990).
- [8] F. SCHIPP, Pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems, Analysis Math. **2**, (1976), 63–75.
- [9] P. SIMON, On the Cesaro summability with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, J. Approx. Theory **106**, (2000), 249–261.
- [10] V. A. SKVORCOV, On Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, Analysis Math. **7**, (1981), 141–150.
- [11] A. A. ŠNEIDER, On series with respect to the Walsh functions with monotone coefficients, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. **12**, (1948), 179–192.
- [12] W. S. YOUNG, On the a.e. convergence of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44**, (1974), 353–358.
- [13] F. WEISZ, Convergence of double Walsh-Fourier series and Hardy spaces, Approx. Theory and its Appl. **17**, (2001), 32–44.
- [14] F. WEISZ, Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis, Springer-Verlang, Berlin, 1994.
- [15] L. V. ZHIZHIASHVILI, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz, Izv. Akad. nauk USSR Ser Math. **32**, (1968), 1112–1122.

(Received August 9, 2005)

G. Gát  
*Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science  
 College of Nyíregyháza  
 P.O. Box 166, Nyíregyháza  
 H-4400 Hungary  
 e-mail: gatgy@nyf.hu*

U. Goginava  
*Department of Mechanics and Mathematics  
 Tbilisi State University  
 Chavchavadze str. 1  
 Tbilisi 0128  
 Georgia  
 e-mail: z\_goginava@hotmail.com*

K. Nagy  
*Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science  
 College of Nyíregyháza  
 P.O. Box 166, Nyíregyháza  
 H-4400 Hungary  
 e-mail: nkaroly@nyf.hu*