

ON THE HYERS–ULAM STABILITY OF APPROXIMATELY PEXIDER MAPPINGS

BOUKHALENE BELAID, ELQORACHI ELHOUCIEN AND THEMISTOCLES M. RASSIAS

(communicated by H. Srivastava)

Abstract. In this paper we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Pexider functional equation

$$f_1(x+y) + f_2(x + \sigma(y)) = f_3(x) + f_4(y), \quad x, y \in E,$$

where E is a normed space and $\sigma : E \rightarrow E$ is an involution.

1. Introduction

The stability problem for functional equations was first raised by S. M. Ulam (ref. [24]): Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the functional inequality $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) \leq \delta$ for all x and y in G , then there exists a group homomorphism $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(f(x), h(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ for any $x \in G_1$?

The problem for the case of approximately additive mappings was solved by D. H. Hyers [8] when G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces and the result of Hyers was generalized by Th. M. Rassias (see [17]). Since then, the stability problems of functional equations have been extensively investigated by several mathematicians (cf. [11], [16], [18], [19], [20], [6], and [13]). For the definition of terminologies such as ‘‘Hyers-Ulam stability’’, ‘‘Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability’’ one is referred to [5], [9], [10], [12] or [21].

According to Corollary 8 in [23], a mapping $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ between vector spaces is a solution of the quadratic equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x + \sigma(y)) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \tag{1.1}$$

where σ is an involution of E_1 (i.e. $\sigma(x+y) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(\sigma(x)) = x$, for all $x, y \in E_1$) if and only if there exists an additive function $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and a biadditive symmetric mapping $B : E_1 \times E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $A(\sigma(x)) = A(x)$, $B(\sigma(x), y) = -B(x, y)$ and $f(x) = B(x, x) + A(x)$ for any $x \in E_1$.

The quadratic equation with $\sigma = -I$ has been much studied (cf. Aczél [1]).

A Hyers-Ulam stability theorem for the quadratic functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \quad x, y \in E_1 \tag{1.2}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 39B52, 39B82.

Key words and phrases: quadratic equation, Pexider equation, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.

was proved by F. Skof [22] for functions $f : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$, where E_1 is a normed space and E_2 is a Banach space. P. W. Cholewa [3] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain E_1 is replaced by an abelian group.

In the paper [4], S. Czerwik proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.2). Recently S. M. Jung [13], S. M. Jung and P. K. Sahoo [14] investigated the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of equation (1.2). Furthermore they proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation of Pexider type

$$f_1(x+y) + f_2(x-y) = f_3(x) + f_4(y) \quad (1.3)$$

In a previous paper [2], the authors dealt with the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.1) in the case where the control function $\varphi(x, y) = \delta$, for some $\delta > 0$ and $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for $p < 1$ or $p > 2$, (cf. [2]).

In Section 2, the stability problem in the spirit of Găvruta shall be proved i.e. that the mapping φ satisfies the condition (2.1) or (2.2) (see below).

In Section 3, we will investigate the stability of the functional equation

$$f_1(x+y) + f_2(x+\sigma(y)) = f_3(x) + f_4(y). \quad (1.4)$$

The result of this section can be compared with the ones of the papers [13], [14] because we formulate them in the same way by using some ideas from [13] and [14]. It is intriguing to see that several of the methods of [13] and [14] carry over to the more general situations (1.4). However our discussion comprise not only $\sigma = -I$ but also examples like the reflection in hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^n and the symplectic involution of the additive group of 2×2 matrices. In our solutions we take in the account certain types of functions that are not considered in [13] and [14] because they are constants for $\sigma = -I$. See for example the formulas (3.4) and (3.5). Furthermore, our estimates improves the ones obtained in [13] and [14].

Throughout this paper, let $(E_1, \| \cdot \|)$ be a real normed space and $(E_2, \| \cdot \|)$ a Banach space.

2. Stability of the quadratic equation

Let us denote by $\varphi : E_1 \times E_1 \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ a mapping such that

$$\phi(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2(n+1)} [\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) + (2^n - 1) \varphi(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x), 2^{n-1} y + 2^{n-1} \sigma(y))] < \infty \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$, or

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{2(n)} [\varphi(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{y}{2^{n+1}}) + (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}) \varphi(\frac{x}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+2}}, \frac{y}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(y)}{2^{n+2}})] < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$.

In the following theorem, we will investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.1) when φ satisfies the condition (2.1).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\varphi : E_1 \times E_1 \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping satisfying the condition (2.1) and let $f : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ satisfies*

$$\| f(x + y) + f(x + \sigma(y)) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \| \leq \varphi(x, y) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$. Then, there exists a unique solution $q : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ of equation (1.1) satisfying

$$\| f(x) - q(x) \| \leq \phi(x, x) \tag{2.4}$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

Proof. If we set $y = x$, in the inequality (2.3), we get

$$\| f(2x) + f(x + \sigma(x)) - 2^2f(x) \| \leq \varphi(x, x). \tag{2.5}$$

If we set $x = y = x + \sigma(x)$ in (2.3) then we obtain

$$\| 2f(2x + 2\sigma(x)) - 4f(x + \sigma(x)) \| \leq \varphi(x + \sigma(x), x + \sigma(x)). \tag{2.6}$$

By induction on n , we will prove the following inequality

$$\| f(x) - 2^{-2n} \{ f(2^n x) + (2^n - 1)f(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1}\sigma(x)) \} \| \tag{2.7}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{-2(i+1)} [\varphi(2^i x, 2^i x) + (2^i - 1)\varphi(2^{i-1}x + 2^{i-1}\sigma(x), 2^{i-1}x + 2^{i-1}\sigma(x))].$$

If we replace x, y in (2.3) by $2x$, then we get

$$\| f(4x) + f(2x + 2\sigma(x)) - 4f(2x) \| \leq \varphi(2x, 2x). \tag{2.8}$$

Now, in view of (2.5), (2.6) and (2.8), we can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{16} \{ f(4x) + 3f(2x + 2\sigma(x)) \} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{16} \| f(4x) + f(2x + 2\sigma(x)) - 4f(2x) \| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{16} \| 2f(2x + 2\sigma(x)) - 4f(x + \sigma(x)) \| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{16} \| 4f(2x) + 4f(x + \sigma(x)) - 16f(x) \| \\ & \leq \frac{\varphi(x, x)}{2^{2(1)}} + \frac{\varphi(2x, 2x)}{2^{2(2)}} + \frac{2-1}{2^{2(2)}} \varphi(x + \sigma(x), x + \sigma(x)), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that the assertion (2.7) is true for $n = 2$. Now, we assume that the

assertion (2.7) is true for some n . By using (2.7), (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \| f(x) - 2^{-2(n+1)} \{ f(2^{n+1}x) + (2^{n+1} - 1)f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x)) \} \| \\ & \leq \| f(x) - 2^{-2n} \{ f(2^n x) + (2^n - 1)f(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) \} \| \\ & \quad + \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{2(n+1)}} \| 2f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x)) - 4f(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) \| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2^{2(n+1)}} \| f(2 \cdot 2^n x) + f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x)) - 4f(2^n x) \| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^n 2^{-2(i+1)} [\varphi(2^i x, 2^i x) + (2^i - 1)\varphi(2^{i-1}x + 2^{i-1} \sigma(x), 2^{i-1}x + 2^{i-1} \sigma(x))], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the assertion (2.7) holds for $n + 1$.

First, we show that $q_n(x) = 2^{-2n} \{ f(2^n x) + (2^n - 1)f(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) \}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let n be an integer, then by (2.5) and (2.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| q_{n+1}(x) - q_n(x) \| \\ & = \frac{1}{2^{2(n+1)}} \| f(2^{n+1}x) + (2^{n+1} - 1)f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x)) \\ & \quad - 4[f(2^n x) + (2^n - 1)f(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x))] \| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{2(n+1)}} \| f(2 \cdot 2^n x) + f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x)) - 4f(2^n x) \| \\ & \quad + \frac{(2^n - 1)}{2^{2(n+1)}} \| 2f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x)) - 4f(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) \| \\ & \leq \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n x)}{2^{2(n+1)}} + \frac{(2^n - 1)}{2^{2(n+1)}} \varphi(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x), 2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $n > m$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \| q_n(x) - q_m(x) \| \leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \| q_{i+1}(x) - q_i(x) \| \tag{2.9} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} 2^{-2(i+1)} [\varphi(2^i x, 2^i x) + (2^i - 1)\varphi(2^{i-1}x + 2^{i-1} \sigma(x), 2^{i-1}x + 2^{i-1} \sigma(x))] \end{aligned}$$

for $n > m$. From (2.1) and (2.9), we obtain that the sequence $q_n(x)$ is a Cauchy sequence. Because E_2 is a Banach space, the sequence $q_n(x)$ converges. Denote

$$q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} 2^{-2n} \{ f(2^n x) + (2^n - 1)f(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) \} \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x \in E_1$. By the definition of q and the assertion (2.7), we can easily verify the validity of the inequality (2.4).

Now, we will show that q is a solution of equation (1.1). By using the definition of $q_n(x)$ and the inequality (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \| q_n(x+y) + q_n(x + \sigma(y)) - 2q_n(x) - 2q_n(y) \| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \| f(2^n x + 2^n y) + f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(y)) - 2f(2^n x) - 2f(2^n y) \| \\ & \quad + \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{2n}} \| f(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} y + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x) + 2^{n-1} \sigma(y)) \\ & \quad + f(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} y + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x) + 2^{n-1} \sigma(y)) \\ & \quad - 2f(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) - 2f(2^{n-1} y + 2^{n-1} \sigma(y)) \| \\ & \leq \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y)}{2^{2n}} + \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{2n}} \varphi(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x), 2^{n-1} y + 2^{n-1} \sigma(y)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that q is a solution of (1.1).

Finally, let $q' : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be another solution of equation (1.1) which satisfies the inequality (2.4). Since q and q' are solutions of equation (1.1), we can easily show that

$$q(2^n x) + (2^n - 1)q(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) = 2^{2n} q(x) \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$q'(2^n x) + (2^n - 1)q'(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) = 2^{2n} q'(x) \tag{2.12}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [2]). Thus, it follows from (2.11), (2.12) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \| q(x) - q'(x) \| & = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \| q(2^n x) - q'(2^n x) + (2^n - 1) \\ & \quad \times [q(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) - q'(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x))] \| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \| p(2^n x) - f(2^n x) \| + \| q'(2^n x) - f(2^n x) \| \\ & \quad + \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{2n}} \| q(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) - f(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) \| \\ & \quad + \frac{2^n - 1}{2^{2n}} \| q'(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) - f(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x)) \| \\ & \leq \frac{2\phi(2^n x, 2^{2n} x)}{2^{2n}} + \frac{2\phi(2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x), 2^{n-1} x + 2^{n-1} \sigma(x))}{2^n} \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain $q(x) = q'(x)$, for all $x \in E_1$. This completes the proof of theorem. \square

In the following theorem, we will investigate the stability problem of the functional equation (1.1) when φ satisfies condition (2.2).

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $\varphi : E_1 \times E_1 \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping satisfying the condition (2.2). If a function $f : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x + \sigma(y)) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (2.13)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$, then, there exists a unique solution $q : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ of equation (1.1) satisfying

$$\|f(x) - q(x)\| \leq \psi(x, x) \quad (2.14)$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

Proof. First we will use the induction on n to prove the following statement

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - 2^{2n} \left\{ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2^n} - 1\right) f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\} \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2(i)} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

By replacing x and y by $\frac{x}{2}$, respectively $\frac{x}{4} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{4}$, we immediately get

$$\left\| f(x) + f\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2}\right) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{4} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{4}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{4} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{4}, \frac{x}{4} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{4}\right). \quad (2.17)$$

Hence in view of (2.16) and (2.17), we can easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - 4 \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 1\right) f\left(\frac{x}{4} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{4}\right) \right] \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| f(x) + f\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2}\right) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| + \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{4} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{4}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{4} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{4}, \frac{x}{4} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{4}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that the assertion (2.15) is true for $n = 1$. Assuming that inequality (2.15) is true for all integers i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, it follows from (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - 2^{2(n+1)} \left\{ f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} - 1\right) f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+2}}\right) \right\} \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| f(x) - 2^{2n} \left\{ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2^n} - 1\right) f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\} \right\| \\ & \quad + 2^{2n} \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2^n} - 1\right) f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 4\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} - 1\right) f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+2}}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2(i)} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 2^{2n} \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\| \\
 &+ 2^{2n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+2}}\right) \right\| \\
 \leq &\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2(i)} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}\right) \right] \\
 &+ 2^{2n} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+2}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+2}}\right) \right] \\
 \leq &\sum_{i=0}^n 2^{2(i)} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}\right) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves inequality (2.15). Now, we will show that

$$q_n(x) = 2^{2n} \left\{ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2^n} - 1\right) f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\} \tag{2.18}$$

is a Cauchy sequence. Let m, n be integers with $n > m$. Then by using the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|q_{n+1}(x) - q_n(x)\| &\leq 2^{2n} \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\| \\
 &\quad + 2^{2n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+2}}\right) \right\| \\
 &\leq 2^{2n} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+2}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+2}}\right) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|q_n(x) - q_m(x)\| &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \|q_{i+1}(x) - q_i(x)\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} 2^{2i} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+2}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{i+2}}\right) \right] \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since E_2 is Banach space, we may define a function $q: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ by

$$q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2n} \left\{ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2^n} - 1\right) f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\} \tag{2.19}$$

for any $x \in E_1$. By the definition of q and (2.15), we can easily verify the validity of inequality (2.14).

Similarly as we did in the proof of the precedent theorem, we can prove that q is the unique mapping solution of equation (1.1) satisfying inequality (2.14). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3. Stability of equation (1.4)

Throughout this section, δ is a positive number. For any function $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, we introduce the new functions $F(x) = f(x) - f(0)$, $F^e(x) = \frac{F(x)+F(\sigma(x))}{2}$, $F^o(x) = \frac{F(x)-F(\sigma(x))}{2}$.

We note that $F^e(\sigma(x)) = F^e(x)$, $F^o(\sigma(x)) = -F^o(x)$, for all $x \in E_1$ and $F(0) = F^e(0) = F^o(0) = F^o(x + \sigma(x)) = 0$, for all $x \in E_1$.

In the following theorem, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of equation

$$f_1(x + y) + f_2(x + \sigma(y)) = f_3(x) + f_4(y). \tag{3.1}$$

The proof is closely related to and inspired by the work by Jung S.-M. [13] and Jung S.-M. and Sahoo P. K. [14] mentioned in the introduction.

THEOREM 3.1. *If the functions $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ satisfy the inequality*

$$\|f_1(x + y) + f_2(x + \sigma(y)) - f_3(x) - f_4(y)\| \leq \delta, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$, then there exists a unique function $q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ solution of equation (1.1), there exists a function $v : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ solution of equation

$$v(x + y) = v(x + \sigma(y)), \quad x, y \in E_1, \tag{3.3}$$

there exist exactly two additive functions $\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{A}_2 : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $\mathbb{A}_i \circ \sigma = -\mathbb{A}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$),

$$\left\| f_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2}v(x) - \frac{1}{2}q(x) - f_1(0) \right\| \leq 19\delta, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\left\| f_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}v(x) - \frac{1}{2}q(x) - f_2(0) \right\| \leq 19\delta, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\|f_3(x) - \mathbb{A}_2(x) - q(x) - f_3(0)\| \leq 16\delta \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\|f_4(x) - \mathbb{A}_1(x) - q(x) - f_4(0)\| \leq 16\delta, \tag{3.7}$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

Proof. From (3.2), we easily obtain

$$\|F_1(x + y) + F_2(x + \sigma(y)) - F_3(x) - F_4(y)\| \leq 2\delta. \tag{3.8}$$

Consequently,

$$\|F_1^e(x + y) + F_2^e(x + \sigma(y)) - F_3^e(x) - F_4^e(y)\| \leq 2\delta, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\|F_1^o(x + y) + F_2^o(x + \sigma(y)) - F_3^o(x) - F_4^o(y)\| \leq 2\delta, \tag{3.10}$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$. Hence, from (3.9) we deduce that

$$\| F_1^e(x) + F_2^e(x) - F_3^e(x) \| \leq 2\delta \tag{3.11}$$

$$\| F_1^e(x) + F_2^e(x) - F_4^e(x) \| \leq 2\delta, \tag{3.12}$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

From (3.9), (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \| F_1^e(x+y) + F_2^e(x+\sigma(y)) - (F_1^e + F_2^e)(x) - (F_1^e + F_2^e)(y) \| \\ & \leq \| F_1^e(x+y) + F_2^e(x+\sigma(y)) - F_3^e(x) - F_4^e(y) \| \\ & \quad + \| F_1^e(x) + F_2^e(x) - F_3^e(x) \| + \| F_1^e(y) + F_2^e(y) - F_4^e(y) \| \\ & \leq 6\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

If we replace y by $\sigma(y)$ in (3.13), we obtain

$$\| F_1^e(x+\sigma(y)) + F_2^e(x+y) - (F_1^e + F_2^e)(x) - (F_1^e + F_2^e)(y) \| \leq 6\delta. \tag{3.14}$$

If we add inequality (3.14) to (3.13), we get

$$\| (F_1^e + F_2^e)(x+y) + (F_1^e + F_2^e)(x+\sigma(y)) - 2(F_1^e + F_2^e)(x) - 2(F_1^e + F_2^e)(y) \| \leq 12\delta, \tag{3.15}$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$. Hence by ([2], Theorem 2.1) there exists a unique function q solution of equation (1.1) such that

$$\| (F_1^e + F_2^e)(x) - q(x) \| \leq 6\delta, \quad x \in E_1. \tag{3.16}$$

On the other hand, from (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$\| (F_1^e - F_2^e)(x+y) - (F_1^e - F_2^e)(x+\sigma(y)) \| \leq 12\delta. \tag{3.17}$$

Hence, there exists $v: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ defined by $v(x) = (F_1^e - F_2^e)(\frac{x+\sigma(x)}{2})$ solution of equation

$$v(x+y) = v(x+\sigma(y)), \quad x, y \in E_1 \tag{3.18}$$

that satisfies the inequality

$$\| (F_1^e - F_2^e)(x) - v(x) \| \leq 12\delta. \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.16) and (3.19), we get

$$\| 2F_2^e(x) - q(x) + v(x) \| \leq 18\delta \tag{3.20}$$

$$\| 2F_1^e(x) - q(x) - v(x) \| \leq 18\delta. \tag{3.21}$$

From (3.11), (3.12) and (3.16),

$$\| F_3^e(x) - q(x) \| \leq 8\delta \quad (3.22)$$

$$\| F_4^e(x) - q(x) \| \leq 8\delta. \quad (3.23)$$

Now, from (3.10) we deduce that

$$\| F_3^o(x) - F_1^o(x) - F_2^o(x) \| \leq 2\delta \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\| F_4^o(x) - F_1^o(x) + F_2^o(x) \| \leq 2\delta, \quad (3.25)$$

for all $x \in E_1$ and consequently, we get

$$\| 2F_1^o(x) - F_3^o(x) - F_4^o(x) \| \leq 4\delta \quad (3.26)$$

$$\| 2F_2^o(x) - F_3^o(x) + F_4^o(x) \| \leq 4\delta, \quad (3.27)$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

By using (3.24) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \| F_3^o(x+y) + F_3^o(x+\sigma(y)) - 2F_3^o(x) \| \quad (3.28) \\ & \leq \| F_3^o(x+y) - F_1^o(x+y) - F_2^o(x+y) \| \\ & \quad + \| F_3^o(x+\sigma(y)) - F_1^o(x+\sigma(y)) - F_2^o(x+\sigma(y)) \| \\ & \quad + \| F_1^o(x+y) + F_2^o(x+\sigma(y)) - F_3^o(x) - F_4^o(y) \| \\ & \quad + \| F_1^o(x+\sigma(y)) + F_2^o(x+y) - F_3^o(x) - F_4^o(\sigma(y)) \| \\ & \leq 8\delta \text{ for all } x, y \in E_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (3.25) and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \| F_4^o(x+y) + F_4^o(x+\sigma(y)) - 2F_4^o(x) \| \quad (3.29) \\ & \leq \| F_4^o(x+y) - F_1^o(x+y) + F_2^o(x+y) \| \\ & \quad + \| F_4^o(x+\sigma(y)) - F_1^o(x+\sigma(y)) + F_2^o(x+\sigma(y)) \| \\ & \quad + \| F_1^o(y+x) + F_2^o(y+\sigma(x)) - F_3^o(y) - F_4^o(x) \| \\ & \quad + \| F_1^o(\sigma(y)+x) + F_2^o(\sigma(y)+\sigma(x)) - F_3^o(\sigma(y)) - F_4^o(x) \| \\ & \leq 8\delta \text{ for all } x, y \in E_1. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will prove the stability of (3.29). From (3.29), we get

$$\| F_4^o(y+x) + F_4^o(y+\sigma(x)) - 2F_4^o(y) \| \leq 8\delta. \quad (3.30)$$

So we obtain

$$\| 2F_4^o(x+y) - 2F_4^o(x) - 2F_4^o(y) \| \leq 16\delta. \quad (3.31)$$

Consequently, by using [8] there exists an additive function $\mathbb{A}_1 : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\| F_4^o(x) - \mathbb{A}_1(x) \| \leq 8\delta. \tag{3.32}$$

Furthermore, $\mathbb{A}_1(\sigma(x)) = -\mathbb{A}_1(x)$, because the inequality (3.32) implies that $\| \mathbb{A}_1(x) + \mathbb{A}_1(\sigma(x)) \| \leq 16\delta$ and then $x \mapsto \mathbb{A}_1(x) + \mathbb{A}_1(\sigma(x))$ is a bounded additive function on E_1 , so $\mathbb{A}_1(x) + \mathbb{A}_1(\sigma(x)) = 0$, for all $x \in E_1$.

By using the precedent discussion, we deduce that there exists another additive function $\mathbb{A}_2 : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\| F_3^o(x) - \mathbb{A}_2(x) \| \leq 8\delta \tag{3.33}$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

Finally, in view of (3.20), (3.21), (3.22), (3.23), (3.32), (3.33), (3.26) and (3.27), we obtain

$$\left\| f_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2}v(x) - \frac{1}{2}q(x) - f_1(0) \right\| \leq 19\delta, \tag{3.34}$$

$$\left\| f_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}v(x) - \frac{1}{2}q(x) - f_2(0) \right\| \leq 19\delta, \tag{3.35}$$

$$\| f_3(x) - \mathbb{A}_2(x) - q(x) - f_3(0) \| \leq 16\delta \tag{3.36}$$

and

$$\| f_4(x) - \mathbb{A}_1(x) - q(x) - f_4(0) \| \leq 16\delta. \tag{3.37}$$

The uniqueness of $q, \mathbb{A}_i, (i = 1, 2)$ follows by applying some argument used in [13] and [14]. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. *If the functions $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ satisfy the functional equation*

$$f_1(x + y) + f_2(x + \sigma(y)) = f_3(x) + f_4(y) \tag{3.38}$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$, then there exists a biadditive function $B : E_1 \times E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$, an additive function \mathbb{A} such that $\mathbb{B}(x, \sigma(y)) = \mathbb{B}(x, x)$, $\mathbb{A}(\sigma(x)) = \mathbb{A}(x)$, there exists a function $v : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ solution of equation

$$v(x + y) = v(x + \sigma(y)), \quad x, y \in E_1, \tag{3.39}$$

there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in E_2$ and two additive functions $\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{A}_2$ such that $\mathbb{A}_i \circ \sigma = -\mathbb{A}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$),

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}v(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{B}(x) + \mathbb{A}(x)) + \alpha, \tag{3.40}$$

$$f_2(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2}v(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{B}(x) + \mathbb{A}(x)) + \beta, \tag{3.41}$$

$$f_3(x) = \mathbb{A}_2(x) + \mathbb{B}(x) + \mathbb{A}(x) + \gamma \quad (3.42)$$

and

$$f_4(x) = \mathbb{A}_1(x) + \mathbb{B}(x) + \mathbb{A}(x) + \delta \quad (3.43)$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

Proof. We use [23] and Theorem 3.1 for the particular case $\delta = 0$. \square

From Theorem 3.1, we can deduce the results of [14] in the following corollary. Furthermore, we improve the estimates of [14].

COROLLARY 3.3. *If the functions $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4: E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ satisfy the inequality*

$$\|f_1(x+y) + f_2(x-y) - f_3(x) - f_4(y)\| \leq \delta, \quad (3.44)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$, then there exists a unique function $q: E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ solution of equation (1.1), there exists $\alpha \in E_2$, there exist exactly two additive functions $\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{A}_2: E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\left\| f_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2}q(x) - f_1(0) - \alpha \right\| \leq 19\delta, \quad (3.45)$$

$$\left\| f_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_1(x) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2}q(x) - f_2(0) + \alpha \right\| \leq 19\delta, \quad (3.46)$$

$$\|f_3(x) - \mathbb{A}_2(x) - q(x) - f_3(0)\| \leq 16\delta \quad (3.47)$$

and

$$\|f_4(x) - \mathbb{A}_1(x) - q(x) - f_4(0)\| \leq 16\delta, \quad (3.48)$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

The following corollary follows from Theorem 3.1. This result is well known (see for example [25]).

COROLLARY 3.4. *If the functions $f_1, f_2, f_3: E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ satisfy the inequality*

$$\|f_1(x+y) - f_2(x) - f_3(y)\| \leq \delta, \quad (3.49)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$, then there exists a unique additive function $\mathbb{A}: E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\|f_1(x) - \mathbb{A}(x) - f_1(0)\| \leq 38\delta, \quad (3.50)$$

$$\|f_2(x) - \mathbb{A}(x) - f_2(0)\| \leq 16\delta \quad (3.51)$$

and

$$\|f_3(x) - \mathbb{A}(x) - f_3(0)\| \leq 16\delta. \quad (3.52)$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

In the following corollary, we generalize the stability result obtained in [15] for Drygas functional equation.

COROLLARY 3.5. *If the function $f : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x + \sigma(y)) - 2f(x) - f(y) - f(\sigma(y))\| \leq \delta, \quad (3.53)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$, then there exists a unique additive function $\mathbb{A} : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ and a unique quadratic function $q : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$ such that $\mathbb{A} \circ \sigma = -\mathbb{A}$ and

$$\|f(x) - q(x) - \mathbb{A}(x) - f(0)\| \leq 16\delta \quad (3.54)$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ACZÉL J., *The general solution of two functional equations by reduction to functions additive in two variables and with the aid of Hamel bases*, Glasnik Mat.-Fiz. Astronom. Ser. II Društvo Mat. Fiz. Hrvatske, **20** (1965), 65–73.
- [2] BOUIKHALENE B. ELQORACHI E. AND RASSIAS TH. M., *On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation with a general involution*, (Submitted).
- [3] CHOLEWA P. W., *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **27** (1984), 76–86.
- [4] CZERWIK S., *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [5] FORTI G. L., *Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables*, Aequationes Math. **50** (1995), 143–190.
- [6] GAJDA Z., *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434.
- [7] GÁVRUTA, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [8] HYERS D. H., *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [9] HYERS D. H. AND RASSIAS TH. M., *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math., **44** (1992), 125–153.
- [10] HYERS D. H., ISAC, G. I. AND RASSIAS TH. M., *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [11] JUN K.-W. AND LEE Y.-H., *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **238** (1999), 305–315.
- [12] JUNG S.-M., *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida, 2003.
- [13] JUNG S.-M., *Stability of the quadratic equation of Pexider type*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **70** (2000), 175–190.
- [14] JUNG S.-M., SAHOO P. K., *Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic equation of Pexider type*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **38** (2001), No. 3, 645–656.
- [15] JUNG S.-M., SAHOO P. K., *Stability of a functional equation of Drygas*, Aequationes Math. **64** (2002), No. 3, 263–273.
- [16] PARK C.-G., *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **275** (2002), 711–720.
- [17] RASSIAS TH. M., *On the stability of linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [18] RASSIAS TH. M., *The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **246** (2000), 352–378.
- [19] RASSIAS TH. M., *On the stability of the functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae. **62** (2000), 23–130.
- [20] RASSIAS TH. M. AND SEMRL, P., *On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [21] RASSIAS TH. M. AND TABOR J., *Stability of Mappings of Hyers-Ulam Type*, Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida 1994.

- [22] SKOF F., *Local properties and approximations of operators*, Rend. Sem. Math. Fis. Milano **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [23] STETKÆR, H., *Functional equations on abelian groups with involution*, Aequationes Math. **54** (1997), 144–172.
- [24] ULAM S. M., *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1961. Problems in Modern Mathematics, Wiley, New York 1964.
- [25] LEE Y.-H. AND JUN K.-W., *A note on the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Pexider equation*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **37** (2000), No. 1, 111–124.

(Received October 10, 2006)

Bouikhalene Belaid
University of Ibn Tofail
Faculty of Sciences
Department of Mathematics
Kenitra
Morocco
e-mail: :bbouikhalene@yahoo.fr

Elqorachi Elhoucien
University of Ibn Zohr
Faculty of Sciences
Department of Mathematics
Agadir
Morocco
e-mail: elqorachi@hotmail.com

Themistocles M. Rassias
National Technical University of Athens
Department of Mathematics
Zografou Campus
15 780 Athens
Greece
e-mail: trassias@math.ntua.gr