

WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS FROM WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES TO STEVIĆ-TYPE SPACES

XIANGLING ZHU AND JUNTAO DU

(Communicated by S. Stević)

Abstract. The boundedness, compactness and essential norm of weighted composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces with a double weight to Stević-type spaces on the unit disk are investigated in this paper.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane, and $H(\mathbb{D})$ the class of all functions analytic on \mathbb{D} . Assume that μ is a weight, that is, μ is a radial, positive and continuous function on \mathbb{D} . If $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the Stević-type space on \mathbb{D} , which he called the n -th weighted space, denoted by $W_\mu^{(n)}$, consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{W_\mu^{(n)}} := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f^{(k)}(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |f^{(n)}(z)| < \infty.$$

The space $W_\mu^{(n)}$ was introduced by S. Stević in [28]. It is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W_\mu^{(n)}}$. When $n = 0$, $W_\mu^{(n)}$ becomes the weighted-type space H_μ^∞ . When $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, $W_\mu^{(n)}$ becomes the Bloch-type space \mathcal{B}_μ and the Zygmund-type space \mathcal{Z}_μ , respectively. For some results on the spaces H_μ^∞ , \mathcal{B}_μ , \mathcal{Z}_μ with various weights μ , and operators acting from or to them, see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 29, 30, 32, 34, 42, 44, 45], and the related references therein.

The little Stević-type space, denoted by $W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$, consists of all $f \in W_\mu^{(n)}$ such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |f^{(n)}(z)| = 0.$$

It is shown in a standard way that $W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ is a closed subspace of $W_\mu^{(n)}$.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 30H10, 47B33.

Keywords and phrases: Weighted composition operator, weighted Bergman space, Stević-type space, double weight.

This project was partially supported by the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund (No. 186/2017/A3) and NNSF of China (No. 11471143).

Suppose ω is an integrable weight on $(0, 1)$. We say that ω is regular, and write it as $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, if there is a constant $C > 0$ determined by ω , such that

$$\frac{1}{C} < \frac{1}{(1-r)\omega(r)} \int_r^1 \omega(s)ds < C, \text{ when } 0 < r < 1.$$

We say that ω is rapidly increasing, and write it as $\omega \in \mathcal{S}$, if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(1-r)\omega(r)} \int_r^1 \omega(s)ds = \infty.$$

Let $v_{\alpha,\beta}(r) = (1-r)^\alpha (\log \frac{e}{1-r})^\beta$ (such weights can be found in [29, 30]). By a calculation, we have the following typical examples of regular and rapidly increasing weights, see [24], for example.

- (i) When $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $v_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{R}$;
- (ii) When $\alpha = -1$ and $\beta < -1$, $v_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $|\sin(\log \frac{1}{1-r})|v_{\alpha,\beta}(r) + 1 \in \mathcal{S}$.

Suppose ω is an integrable weight on $(0, 1)$. If there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_r^1 \omega(s)ds < C \int_{\frac{1+r}{2}}^1 \omega(s)ds, \text{ when } 0 < r < 1,$$

we say that ω is a double weight, and write it as $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. From [24, 25], we see that $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{R} \subset \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. See [24, 25] for more details about \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{R} and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$.

Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. The weighted Bergman space A_ω^p is the space of $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) < \infty,$$

where $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy$ is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} . When $p \geq 1$, A_ω^p is a Banach space. When $\omega(t) = (1-t)^\alpha$ ($\alpha > -1$), the space A_ω^p becomes the classical weighted Bergman space A_α^p . In [24, 25] there are plenty of results which show that the Bergman space A_ω^p induced by a rapidly increasing weight lie ‘‘closer’’ to the Hardy space H^p than any A_α^p .

Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$. The weighted composition operator, denoted by uC_φ , is defined on $H(\mathbb{D})$ by

$$(uC_\varphi f)(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

It is important to give function theoretic descriptions of when u and φ induce a bounded or compact weighted composition operator on various function spaces. Recently, there has been a great interest in studying weighted composition operators on analytic function spaces on the unit disk, see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21, 22, 27, 31, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41, 43, 44, 45].

In [28], Stević studied the boundedness and compactness of the composition operator from A_α^p to $W_\mu^{(n)}$ on \mathbb{D} . In [35], Stević studied the boundedness and compactness of the weighted differentiation composition operators from H^∞ and the Bloch space to $W_\mu^{(n)}$ on \mathbb{D} . In [41], Zhang and Zeng generalized the results in [28] to the case of weighted differentiation composition operators. For some very general results on the essential norm of generalized composition operators between Stević-type spaces see [37].

Motivated by [28], we study the boundedness and compactness of uC_φ from weighted Bergman spaces A_ω^p to $W_\mu^{(n)}$ and $W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ in this paper. Moreover, we give some estimates of the essential norm of uC_φ from A_ω^p to $W_\mu^{(n)}$ and $W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Recall that the essential norm of linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y} = \inf\{\|T - K\|_{X \rightarrow Y} : K \text{ is compact from } X \text{ to } Y\}.$$

Obviously $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is compact if and only if $\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y} = 0$.

Throughout this paper, the letter C will denote constants and may differ from one occurrence to the other. The notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there is a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. The notation $A \approx B$ means $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2. Auxiliary results

LEMMA 1. Assume that $\omega \in \mathcal{G}$, $r \in [0, 1]$ and $\omega_*(r) = \int_r^1 s\omega(s) \log \frac{s}{r} ds$. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) $\omega_* \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\omega_*(r) \approx (1-r) \int_r^1 \omega(t) dt$;
- (ii) There are $0 < a < b < +\infty$ and $\delta \in [0, 1)$, such that

$$\frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^a} \text{ is decreasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^a} = 0;$$

$$\frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^b} \text{ is increasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^b} = \infty;$$

- (iii) $\omega_*(r)$ is decreasing on $[\delta, 1)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \omega_*(r) = 0$.

Proof. By [26, Lemmas A and 9], (i) holds. By (1.19) in [24], (ii) holds. From (ii) and the fact that $\omega_*(r) = \frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^a} (1-r)^a$, we see that (iii) holds. \square

REMARK 1. Without loss of generality, we can assume δ related to ω_* in Lemma 1 is 0. We assume that ω_* is radial, that is, $\omega_*(z) = \omega_*(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Let $\gamma_0 > 0$ be one of the admissible constants in [24, Lemma 2.3]. It follows by Lemma 1, [23, Lemma 3.1] and [24, Lemma 2.4] we have the following result.

LEMMA 2. Let $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, $p > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Set

$$g_{a,k}(z) = \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right)^{k+\gamma_0} \frac{1}{\omega_*^{1/p}(a)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then

$$\|g_{a,k}\|_{A_\omega^p} \approx 1, \text{ when } a \in \mathbb{D},$$

and

$$\limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \sup_{|z| \leq r} |g_{a,k}(z)| = 0, \text{ when } r \in (0, 1).$$

For $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $0 < r < 1$, set

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

and

$$M_\infty(r, f) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|.$$

Then we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. Suppose $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then there exists $C = C(p, \omega, N)$ such that

$$(1 - |z|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(z) |f^{(k)}(z)| \leq C \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}, \tag{1}$$

for all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, N + 1$.

Proof. Let $s_k(r) = 1 - \frac{1-r}{2^k}$. Then $\frac{1+s_k(r)}{2} = s_{k+1}(r)$. By well-known estimates, there is a $C_1 = C(p) < \infty$, such that

$$M_\infty(r, f) \leq C_1 \frac{M_p(\frac{1+r}{2}, f)}{(1-r)^{1/p}}, \text{ and } M_p(r, f') \leq C_1 \frac{M_p(\frac{1+r}{2}, f)}{1-r}.$$

Hence,

$$M_\infty(r, f^{(k)}) \leq C_1 \frac{M_p(s_1(r), f^{(k)})}{(1-r)^{1/p}} \leq 2^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} C_1^{k+1} \frac{M_p(s_{k+1}(r), f)}{(1-r)^{k+1/p}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1-r)^{pk+1} M_\infty^p(r, f^{(k)}) \int_{s_{k+1}(r)}^1 \omega(t) dt &\leq 2^{\frac{pk(k+1)}{2}} C_1^{p(k+1)} M_p^p(s_{k+1}(r), f) \int_{s_{k+1}(r)}^1 2\omega(t) t dt \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{pk(k+1)}{2} + 1} C_1^{p(k+1)} \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, there exist $C_2 = C(\omega) > 0$ and $b > 0$, such that $\frac{\omega_*(t)}{(1-t)^b}$ is increasing on $[0, 1)$ and

$$\frac{1}{C_2}(1-t) \int_t^1 \omega(s)ds \leq \omega_*(t) \leq C_2(1-t) \int_t^1 \omega(s)ds, t \in [0, 1].$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^b} \leq \frac{\omega_*(s_{k+1}(r))}{(1-s_{k+1}(r))^b} = \frac{2^{(k+1)b}\omega_*(s_{k+1}(r))}{(1-r)^b}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} M_\infty^p(r, f^{(k)}) &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{pk(k+1)}{2}+1}C_1^{p(k+1)}C_2(1-s_{k+1}(r))\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p}{(1-r)^{kp+1}\omega_*(s_{k+1}(r))} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{pk(k+1)}{2}+(b-1)(k+1)+1}C_1^{p(k+1)}C_2\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p}{(1-r)^{kp}\omega_*(r)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, there exists $C = C(p, \omega, N)$ such that (1) always holds. The proof is complete. \square

The next two lemmas can be found in [35].

LEMMA 4. [35] Fix $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, a > 0$. Let matrix $D_{n+1}(a) = (\beta_{ij}(a))_{i,j=1,2,\dots,n+1}$, where

$$\beta_{ij}(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1, \\ \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} (a+k+j-2), & i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\det(D_{n+1}(a)) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ \prod_{k=1}^n k!, & n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Here $\det(D_{n+1}(a))$ is the determinant of $D_{n+1}(a)$.

LEMMA 5. [35] Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, and φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . For all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$(uC_\varphi f)^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi'(z), \varphi''(z), \dots, \varphi^{(l-k+1)}(z)), \quad (2)$$

where

$$B_{l,k}(\varphi'(z), \varphi''(z), \dots, \varphi^{(l-k+1)}(z)) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_l} \frac{l!}{k_1!k_2! \dots k_l!} \prod_{j=1}^l \left(\frac{\varphi^{(j)}(z)}{j!} \right)^{k_j}, \quad (3)$$

and the sum in (3) is over all non-negative integers k_1, k_2, \dots, k_l satisfying

$$k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_l = k \text{ and } k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + lk_l = l.$$

For brief, we will write $B_{l,k}(\varphi'(z), \varphi''(z), \dots, \varphi^{(l-k+1)}(z))$ as $B_{l,k}(\varphi(z))$, that is

$$B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) = B_{l,k}(\varphi'(z), \varphi''(z), \dots, \varphi^{(l-k+1)}(z)).$$

To study the compactness, we need the following well known lemma.

LEMMA 6. [39, Lemma 2.10] Suppose $p \geq 1$, $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}$ and μ is a weight. If $T : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}(W_{\mu,0}^{(n)})$ is linear and bounded, then T is compact if and only if whenever $\{f_k\}$ is bounded in A_ω^p and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Tf_k\|_{W_\mu^{(n)}} = 0$.

3. Main results and proofs

THEOREM 1. Assume that $p \geq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}$, and μ is a weight. Then $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} < \infty, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Suppose that (4) holds. For any $f \in A_\omega^p$, from Lemmas 3 and 5, there exists $C = C(\omega, p, n)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z) \left| (uC_\varphi f)^{(n)}(z) \right| &= \mu(z) \left| \sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}. \end{aligned}$$

For $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, the following inequality holds obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (uC_\varphi f)^{(j)}(0) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^j f^{(k)}(\varphi(0)) \sum_{l=k}^j C_j^l u^{(j-l)}(0) B_{l,k}(\varphi(0)) \right| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{\left| \sum_{l=k}^j C_j^l u^{(j-l)}(0) B_{l,k}(\varphi(0)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(0))}. \end{aligned}$$

So $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded.

Conversely, suppose that $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded. For $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\vec{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n+1})^T$, set

$$g_a(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c_j g_{a,j}(z), \tag{5}$$

where $g_{a,j}$ are defined in Lemma 2. We get

$$g_{a,j}^{(t)}(z) = \frac{(\bar{a}^t)(j + \gamma_0)(j + \gamma_0 + 1) \cdots (j + \gamma_0 + t - 1)}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{j+\gamma_0+t}} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{j+\gamma_0}}{\omega_*^{1/p}(a)}.$$

So,

$$g_{a,j}^{(t)}(a) = \frac{(\overline{a}^t)(j + \gamma_0)(j + \gamma_0 + 1) \cdots (j + \gamma_0 + t - 1)}{(1 - |a|^2)^t \omega_*^{1/p}(a)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g_a^{(t)}(a) &= \left(g_{a,1}^{(t)}(a), g_{a,2}^{(t)}(a), \dots, g_{a,n}^{(t)}(a), g_{a,n+1}^{(t)}(a) \right) \circ \vec{c}, \\ &= \frac{\overline{a}^t}{(1 - |a|^2)^t \omega_*^{1/p}(a)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^t (i + \gamma_0), \prod_{i=2}^{t+1} (i + \gamma_0), \dots, \prod_{i=n}^{t+n-1} (i + \gamma_0), \prod_{i=n+1}^{t+n} (i + \gamma_0) \right) \circ \vec{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we will choose \vec{c} , such that

$$g_a^{(t)}(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\overline{a}^k)}{(1 - |a|^2)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(a)}, & t = k, \\ 0, & t \neq k \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq n. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

That is to say,

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \gamma_0 + 1 & \gamma_0 + 2 & \dots & \gamma_0 + n & \gamma_0 + n + 1 \\ (\gamma_0 + 1)(\gamma_0 + 2) & (\gamma_0 + 2)(\gamma_0 + 3) & \dots & (\gamma_0 + n)(\gamma_0 + n + 1) & (\gamma_0 + n + 1)(\gamma_0 + 2) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \prod_{i=1}^n (\gamma_0 + i) & \prod_{i=1}^n (\gamma_0 + i + 1) & \dots & \prod_{i=1}^n (\gamma_0 + n + i - 1) & \prod_{i=1}^n (\gamma_0 + n + i) \end{array} \right) \vec{c} = A,$$

or

$$D_{n+1}(\gamma_0 + 1)\vec{c} = A,$$

where A is a column vector, in which the $k + 1$ -st element is 1 and the others are 0. By Lemma 4, \vec{c} exists and depends on γ_0, k and n . By Lemma 2, there exists $C = C(\omega, p, k, n)$, such that $\|g_a\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq C$, for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$.

When $|\varphi(z)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, by Lemma 5 and (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} &= \frac{1}{|\varphi(z)|^k} \mu(z) |(u C_\varphi g_{\varphi(z)})^{(n)}(z)| \\ &\leq 2^k \|u C_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \|g_{\varphi(z)}\|_{A_\omega^p}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

So, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, there exists $C = C(\omega, p, n)$ such that

$$\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \geq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} \leq 2^k C \|u C_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} < \infty.$$

When $|\varphi(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, let $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Define the test function $h_k(z) = z^k$, then $\|h_k\|_{A_\omega^p}^p \lesssim \omega(\mathbb{D})$. Here $\omega(\mathbb{D}) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega(z) dA(z)$. Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=0}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,0}(\varphi(z)) \right| &= \mu(z) \left| (uC_\varphi h_0)^{(n)}(z) \right| \leq \|uC_\varphi h_0\|_{W_\mu^{(n)}} \\ &\leq \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \|h_0\|_{A_\omega^p} = \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \omega^{1/p}(\mathbb{D}). \end{aligned}$$

So, when $k = 0$, we have

$$\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=0}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right| \leq \omega^{1/p}(\mathbb{D}) \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}}.$$

Suppose $k \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &k! \mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right| \\ &= \mu(z) \left| (uC_\varphi h_k)^{(n)}(z) - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} h_k^{(i)}(\varphi(z)) \sum_{l=i}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(\varphi(z)) \right| \\ &\leq \mu(z) \left| (uC_\varphi h_k)^{(n)}(z) \right| + \mu(z) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{k!}{(k-i)!} \left| \sum_{l=i}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(\varphi(z)) \right| \\ &\leq \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \|h_k\|_{A_\omega^p} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{k!}{(k-i)!} \mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=i}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(\varphi(z)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k!} \omega^{1/p}(\mathbb{D}) \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(k-i)!} \mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=i}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(\varphi(z)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the last inequality $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ repeatedly, we can find a $C > 0$, such that

$$\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right| \leq C \omega^{1/p}(\mathbb{D}) \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}}.$$

Since $\sup_{0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(1-r)^{pk} \omega_*(r)} < \infty$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we get

$$\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} < \infty.$$

Therefore (4) holds. The proof is complete. \square

THEOREM 2. *Assume that $p \geq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , $\omega \in \mathcal{G}$, and μ is a weight. If $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded, then*

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e.A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \approx \sum_{k=0}^n \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}.$$

Proof. The lower estimate of $\|uC_\varphi\|_{e.A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}}$.

Suppose $\{w_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(w_m)| = 1$ and $K : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is compact. For a given $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, let $g_{\varphi(w_m)}$ be defined as in (5) and satisfy (6). By Lemma 2, there exists $C = C(\omega, p, n)$, such that $\|g_{\varphi(w_m)}\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq C$ and $\{g_{\varphi(w_m)}\}$ converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . By Lemma 6, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Kg_{\varphi(w_m)}\|_{W_\mu^{(n)}} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi - K\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} &\geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(uC_\varphi - K)g_{\varphi(w_m)}\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \\ &\geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|uC_\varphi g_{\varphi(w_m)}(w_m)\|_{W_\mu^{(n)}} - \|Kg_{\varphi(w_m)}\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \right) \\ &\geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(w_m) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(w_m) B_{l,k}(\varphi(w_m)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(w_m)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(w_m))}. \end{aligned}$$

Since k, K and $\{w_m\}$ are arbitrary, we have

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e.A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \geq \sum_{k=0}^n \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k (\omega_*(\varphi(z)))^{1/p}}.$$

The upper estimate of $\|uC_\varphi\|_{e.A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}}$.

Suppose $\frac{1}{2} < \rho < 1$ and $0 < r < 1$. For all $f \in A_\omega^p$, let $f_\rho(z) = f(\rho z)$. Then

$$\|uC_\rho \varphi f\|_{W_\mu^{(n)}} = \|uC_\varphi f_\rho\|_{W_\mu^{(n)}} \leq \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \|f_\rho\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}.$$

So, $uC_\rho \varphi$ is bounded. By Lemma 6, (4), as well as the Cauchy estimate for the derivatives of analytic functions on compacts, we see that $uC_\rho \varphi$ is compact.

When $|\varphi(z)| \leq r < 1$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, by Lemma 3 we have

$$|f^{(k)}(\rho(\varphi(z)))| \leq \frac{C\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}}{(1 - (\rho|\varphi(z)|)^2)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\rho|\varphi(z))} \leq \frac{C\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\rho\varphi(z))}.$$

By Remark 1, we assume that $\omega_*(t)$ is decreasing on $[0, 1)$. So

$$\omega_*(\rho\varphi(z)) \geq \omega_*(\varphi(z)).$$

Hence,

$$|f^{(k)}(\rho(\varphi(z)))| \leq \frac{C\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}.$$

Therefore, there exists $C = C(\omega, p, n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) - \rho^k f^{(k)}(\rho\varphi(z))| \\ & \leq |f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) - f^{(k)}(\rho\varphi(z))| + (1 - \rho^k)|f^{(k)}(\rho\varphi(z))| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\rho\varphi(z)}^{\varphi(z)} f^{(k+1)}(\eta) d\eta \right| + \frac{kC(1-\rho)\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} \\ & \leq \frac{C(1-\rho)|\varphi(z)|\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^{(k+1)} \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} + \frac{kC(1-\rho)\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r} \mu(z) |(uC_\varphi f - uC_\rho \varphi f)^{(n)}(z)| \\ & \leq \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r} \mu(z) \sum_{k=0}^n |f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) - \rho^k f^{(k)}(\rho\varphi(z))| \cdot \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right| \\ & \leq C(1-\rho)\|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{1}{1-r} + k \right) \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded, (4) holds. So,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r} \sup_{\|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq 1} \mu(z) |(uC_\varphi f - uC_\rho \varphi f)^{(n)}(z)| = 0. \tag{8}$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \sup_{\|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq 1} |(uC_\varphi f - uC_\rho \varphi f)^{(k)}(0)| = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{9}$$

When $r < |\varphi(z)| < 1$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, by Lemma 3 we have

$$\sup_{|\eta|=|\varphi(z)|} |f^{(k)}(\eta) - \rho^k f^{(k)}(\rho\eta)| \leq 2 \sup_{|\eta|=|\varphi(z)|} |f^{(k)}(\eta)| \leq \frac{C\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}.$$

By Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \sup_{\|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \leq 1} \mu(z) |(uC_\varphi f - uC_\rho \varphi f)^{(n)}(z)| \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^n \sup_{r < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From (8), (9) and (10), we have

$$\limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \|uC_\varphi - uC_{\rho\varphi}\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^n \sup_{r < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}. \tag{11}$$

Since $uC_{\rho\varphi}$ is compact, by letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^n \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

THEOREM 3. *Assume that $p \geq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, and μ is a weight. Then $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ is bounded if and only if $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded and*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{12}$$

Proof. Suppose that $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded and (12) holds. Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial. Then there is constant $C = C(P)$ such that $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |P^{(k)}(z)| \leq C$ when $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |(uC_\varphi P)^{(n)}(z)| \leq \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) \sum_{k=0}^n \left| P^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right| = 0.$$

Thus $uC_\varphi P \in W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$. By [24, p. 16], the set of polynomials is dense in A_ω^p . Then for any $f \in A_\omega^p$, there is a sequence of polynomials p_n such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - p_n\|_{A_\omega^p} = 0$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} uC_\varphi p_n = uC_\varphi f.$$

Since $W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ is closed in $W_\mu^{(n)}$, $uC_\varphi f \in W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$. So, $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ is bounded.

Conversely, suppose that $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ is bounded. Obviously, $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded. Suppose $h_s(z) = z^s$ ($s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$). Then $uC_\varphi h_s \in W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$, that is

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |(uC_\varphi h_s)^{(n)}(z)| = 0.$$

Let $s = 0, 1, \dots, n$ in order. By Lemma 5 and triangle inequality, (12) holds. The proof is complete. \square

THEOREM 4. Assume $p \geq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, and μ is a weight. If $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ is bounded, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}} &\approx \sum_{k=0}^n \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} \\ &\approx \sum_{k=0}^n \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The lower estimate of $\|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}}$.

Let $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. There is $\{z_j\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |z_j| = 1$, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(z_j)| = a$ and

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(z_j) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z_j) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z_j)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z_j))}. \tag{13}$$

If $a < 1$, suppose $|\varphi(z_j)| < \frac{1+a}{2}$ holds for all j . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mu(z_j) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z_j) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z_j)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z_j))} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq r \leq \frac{1+a}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^k (\omega_*(r))^{1/p}} \right) \mu(z_j) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z_j) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z_j)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3 and (13), we have

$$0 = \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} \lesssim \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}.$$

If $a = 1$, by (13) and $|\varphi(z_j)| \rightarrow a$, we have

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} \lesssim \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}.$$

Since $\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}} \geq \|uC_\varphi\|_{e,A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}}$, by Theorem 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi\|_{e,A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}} &\gtrsim \sum_{k=0}^n \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^n \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}. \end{aligned}$$

The upper estimate of $\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}}$.

For $0 < \rho < 1$, let $f_\rho(z) = f(\rho z)$. Then $uC_{\rho\varphi}f = uC_\varphi f_\rho$. Therefore $uC_{\rho\varphi}$ is an operator from A_ω^p to $W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$. By the proof of Theorem 2, $uC_{\rho\varphi} : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ is compact. Therefore, (11) holds. By Theorem 2 and

$$\|uC_\varphi - uC_{\rho\varphi}\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} = \|uC_\varphi - uC_{\rho\varphi}\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi\|_{e,A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}} &\leq \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \|uC_\varphi - uC_{\rho\varphi}\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^n \sup_{r < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi\|_{e,A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}} &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^n \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^n \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

From Theorems 2 and 4, we can easily get the following two corollaries.

COROLLARY 5. Assume that $p \geq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$, and μ is a weight. If $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded, then uC_φ is compact if and only if

$$\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} = 0, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

COROLLARY 6. Assume that $p \geq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}$, and μ is a weight. If $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ is bounded, then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) $uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow W_{\mu,0}^{(n)}$ is compact.

(ii) For $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$,

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} = 0.$$

(iii) For $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$,

$$\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| \sum_{l=k}^n C_n^l u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,k}(\varphi(z)) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^k \omega_*^{1/p}(\varphi(z))} = 0.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] F. COLONNA, *New criteria for boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators mapping into the Bloch space*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **11** (2013), 55–73.
- [2] F. COLONNA AND M. TJANI, *Weighted composition operators from the Besov spaces into the weighted-type space H_μ^∞* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **402** (2013), 594–611.
- [3] F. COLONNA AND M. TJANI, *Operator norms and essential norms of weighted composition operators between Banach spaces of analytic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **434** (2016), 93–124.
- [4] M. CONTRERAS AND A. HERNANDEZ-DIAZ, *Weighted composition operators in weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. A **6** (2000), 41–60.
- [5] C. COWEN AND B. MACCLUER, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [6] J. DU, S. LI AND Y. ZHANG, *Essential norm of generalized composition operators on Zygmund type spaces and Bloch type spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. **119** (2017), 107–119.
- [7] J. DU, S. LI AND Y. ZHANG, *Essential norm of weighted composition operators on Zygmund-type spaces with normal weight*, Math. Ineq. Appl. **21** (2018), 701–714.
- [8] P. GALINDO, J. LAITILA AND M. LINDSTRÖM, *Essential norm estimates for composition operators on BMOA*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), 629–643.
- [9] P. GALINDO, M. LINDSTRÖM AND S. STEVIĆ, *Essential norm of operators into weighted-type spaces on the unit ball*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., vol. **2011**, Article ID 939873, (2011), 13 pages.
- [10] Q. HU AND X. ZHU, *Essential norm of weighted composition operators from the Lipschitz space to the Zygmund space*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **41** (2018), 1293–1307.
- [11] T. HOSOKAWA, *Differences of weighted composition operators on the Bloch spaces*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **3** (2009), 847–866.
- [12] T. HOSOKAWA AND S. OHNO, *Differences of weighted composition operators acting from Bloch space to H^∞* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 5321–5340.
- [13] S. LI AND S. STEVIĆ, *Weighted composition operators from Bergman-type spaces into Bloch spaces*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **117** (2007), 371–385.
- [14] S. LI AND S. STEVIĆ, *Weighted composition operators between H^∞ and α -Bloch spaces in the unit ball*, Taiwanese J. Math. **12** (2008), 1625–1639.
- [15] S. LI AND S. STEVIĆ, *Weighted composition operators from Zygmund spaces into Bloch spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **206** (2008), 825–831.

- [16] S. LI AND S. STEVIĆ, *Composition followed by differentiation between H^∞ and α -Bloch spaces*, Houston J. Math. **35** (2009), 327–340.
- [17] S. LI AND S. STEVIĆ, *Products of integral-type operators and composition operators between Bloch-type spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **349** (2009), 596–610.
- [18] S. LI AND S. STEVIĆ, *Generalized weighted composition operators from α -Bloch spaces into weighted-type spaces*, J. Ineq. Appl. **2015** (2015), 1–12.
- [19] S. LI AND S. STEVIĆ, *Weighted differentiation composition operators from the logarithmic Bloch space to the weighted-type space*, An. Stiint. Univ. “Ovidius” Constanta Ser. Mat. **24** (2017), 223–240.
- [20] B. MACCLUER AND R. ZHAO, *Essential norm of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces*, Rocky. Mountain J. Math. **33** (2003), 1437–1458.
- [21] A. MONTES-RODRIGUEZ, *Weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **61** (2000), 872–884.
- [22] S. OHNO, *Weighted composition operators between H^∞ and the Bloch space*, Taiwanese J. Math. **5** (2001), 555–563.
- [23] J. PELÁEZ, *Small weighted Bergman spaces*, arXiv:1507.07182 [math. CV], 2015.
- [24] J. PELÁEZ AND J. RÄTTYÄ, *Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **227** (1066) (2014).
- [25] J. PELÁEZ AND J. RÄTTYÄ, *Embedding theorems for Bergman spaces via harmonic analysis*, Math. Ann. **362** (2015), 205–239.
- [26] J. PELÁEZ AND J. RÄTTYÄ, *Trace class criteria for Toeplitz and composition operators on small Bergman space*, Adv. Math. **293** (2016), 606–643.
- [27] B. SEHBA AND S. STEVIĆ, *On some product-type operators from Hardy-Orlicz and Bergman-Orlicz spaces to weighted-type spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **233** (2014), 565–581.
- [28] S. STEVIĆ, *Composition operators from weighted Bergman space to n -th weighted space on the unit disk*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. Vol. **2009** (2009), Article ID 742019, 11 pages.
- [29] S. STEVIĆ, *On an integral-type operator from logarithmic Bloch-type and mixed-norm spaces to Bloch-type spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **71** (2009), 6323–6342.
- [30] S. STEVIĆ, *On new Bloch-type spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **215** (2009), 841–849.
- [31] S. STEVIĆ, *Products of composition and differentiation operators on the weighted Bergman space*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **16** (2009), 623–635.
- [32] S. STEVIĆ, *Weighted differentiation composition operators from mixed-norm spaces to weighted-type spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **211** (2009), 222–233.
- [33] S. STEVIĆ, *Composition followed by differentiation from H^∞ and the Bloch space to n -th weighted-type spaces on the unit disk*, Appl. Math. Comput. **216** (2010), 3450–3458.
- [34] S. STEVIĆ, *On operator P_ϕ^s from the logarithmic Bloch-type space to the mixed-norm space on unit ball*, Appl. Math. Comput. **215** (2010), 4248–4255.
- [35] S. STEVIĆ, *Weighted differentiation composition operators from H^∞ and Bloch spaces to n -th weighted-type spaces on the unit disk*, Appl. Math. Comput. **216** (2010), 3634–3641.
- [36] S. STEVIĆ, *Weighted differentiation composition operators from the mixed-norm space to the n -th weighted-type space on the unit disk*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., vol. **2010** (2010), Article ID 246287, 15 pages.
- [37] S. STEVIĆ, *Essential norm of some extensions of the generalized composition operators between k -th weighted-type spaces*, J. Ineq. Appl. **2017** (2017), 220.
- [38] S. STEVIĆ, A. K. SHARMA AND A. BHAT, *Products of multiplication composition and differentiation operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **217** (2011), 8115–8125.
- [39] M. TJANI, *Compact composition operators on some Möbius invariant Banach spaces*, PhD dissertation, Michigan State University, 1996.
- [40] M. ZHANG AND H. CHEN, *Weighted composition operators of H^∞ into α -Bloch spaces on the unit ball*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **25** (2009), 265–278.
- [41] L. ZHANG AND H. ZENG, *Weighted differentiation composition operators from weighted Bergman space to n -th weighted space on the unit disk*, J. Ineq. Appl. **2011** (2011), 10 pages.
- [42] K. ZHU, *Bloch type spaces of analytic functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **23** (1993), 1143–1177.
- [43] K. ZHU, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, 2ed edition, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 138, American Mathematical Society: Providence, Rhode Island, 2007.

- [44] X. ZHU, *Generalized weighted composition operators on Bloch-type spaces*, J. Ineq. Appl. **2015** (2015), 59–68.
- [45] X. ZHU, *Essential norm of generalized weighted composition operators on Bloch-type spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **274** (2016), 133–142.

(Received June 17, 2018)

Xiangling Zhu
Zhongshan Institute
University of Electronic Science and Technology of China
528402, Zhongshan, Guangdong, P. R. China
e-mail: jyuzx1@163.com

Juntao Du
Faculty of Information Technology
Macau University of Science and Technology
Avenida Wai Long, Taipa, Macau
e-mail: jtdu007@163.com