

## ABSTRACT HARDY SPACES

YIN LIU

(Communicated by I. Perić)

*Abstract.* In this paper, we study the abstract Hardy spaces on spaces of homogeneous  $X$ . Firstly, we give the definitions of the atomic Hardy spaces  $H_{ato}^p$  and the molecular Hardy spaces  $H_{e.mol}^p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ). Secondly, we give out the comparison between our Hardy spaces with some other Hardy spaces. Finally, we prove the continuity theorem of the sublinear operator on the Hardy spaces and give an example.

### 1. Introduction

The real variable theory of Hardy spaces  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  on the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has received more and more attention in recent decades, which initiated by E.M. Stein and G. Weiss [36], and then systematically developed by C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein [21]. C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein [21] brought real variable methods into this subject, eventually, the evolution of their ideas led to the atomic or molecular characterizations and the applications of Hardy spaces, see the articles [3, 6, 13, 15, 22, 25, 26, 31, 33, 38, 39, 41, 43, 45] and the references therein. Furthermore, the atomic and the molecular characterizations enabled the extension of the real theory of Hardy spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to spaces of homogeneous type, which is a more general setting for function spaces than Euclidean space [11, 12].

There are lots of applications in various fields of analysis, for instance, harmonic analysis, functional analysis and partial differential equations, see the articles [1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 18, 19, 20, 23, 29, 30, 32, 34, 40, 42] and the references therein. Moreover, it is well known that when  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are essentially the same, however, when  $p \in (0, 1]$ , the space  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is more suitable for problems emerging in the theory of the boundedness of operators, because some singular integrals (such as Riesz transform) are bounded on  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but not on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In the Euclidean case (with the Lebesgue measure), the space  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  has many different characterizations [14, 37]. One of the important characterizations is in terms of atoms:

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): 42B30, 42B35.

*Keywords and phrases:* Hardy spaces, atomic decomposition, molecular decomposition.

This project is supported by the Tianyuan foundation for mathematics of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12126311 and 12126342), the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (No. 202300410300), the Key scientific research projects of colleges and universities in He nan Province (No. 22A110017), the basic and frontier research project of Nanyang City (No. JCQY005) and the Fundamental Research Funds for doctors of Nanyang Normal University (No. 2019ZX034).

Recall that a function  $a$  supported in a ball  $Q$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a  $(p, q)$ -atom if  $\|a\|_{L^q(Q)} \leq |Q|^{1/q-1/p}$ , and  $\int_Q x^k a(x) dx = 0, 0 \leq k \leq [1/p] - 1$ . It can be proved that any  $(p, q)$ -atom  $a$  is in  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the following atomic decomposition theorem [10, 28] holds: a tempered distribution  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  belongs to  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if it has a decomposition

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k,$$

where the  $a_k$ 's are  $(p, q)$ -atoms and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^p < \infty$ .

As for the spaces of homogeneous type, let us recall the definition of the atomic Hardy space in [12].

Let  $(Y, d, \nu)$  be a space of homogeneous type and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a fixed parameter. A function  $m \in L^2(Y)$  is called a  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecule associated to a ball  $Q$  if  $\int_Y m d\nu = 0$ , for all  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q \setminus 2^i Q} |m|^2 d\nu \right)^{1/2} \leq \nu(2^{i+1}Q)^{1/2-1/p} 2^{-\varepsilon i} \text{ and } \left( \int_Q |m|^2 d\nu \right)^{1/2} \leq \nu(Q)^{1/2-1/p}.$$

If in addition we assume  $\text{supp}(m) \subset Q$ , we call  $m$  a  $(p, 2)$ -atom. Thus, a  $(p, 2)$ -atom is exactly a  $(p, 2, \infty)$ -molecule. Then a function  $h$  belongs to  $H_{CW}^p(Y)$  (called the ‘‘Hardy space of Coifman-Weiss’’ on  $Y$  [12]) if there exists a decomposition

$$h = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i m_i, \quad \nu - a.e.,$$

where  $m_i$  are  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecules and  $\lambda_i$  are coefficients which satisfy

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_i|^p < \infty.$$

In 2008 and 2009, F. Bernicot and J. Zhao [6, 7] studied a kind of new abstract Hardy spaces  $H_{\varepsilon, mol}^1$  which keep the main properties of the classical Hardy spaces  $H^1$ . In the present paper, we give a further research about the abstract Hardy spaces.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, first, we give some definitions and notations, such as the definitions of the atomic Hardy space  $H_{ato}^p$  and the molecular Hardy space  $H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p (0 < p < 1)$ , and then we introduce two useful properties about the spaces  $H_{ato}^p$  and  $H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$ . In Section 3, we give out the comparison between our Hardy spaces with some other Hardy spaces. In Section 4, we prove the continuity theorem on the Hardy space, and then we give an example which satisfies the assumption of the continuity theorem. At the end of this section we give the embedding property.

Finally, we make some conventions on notations. If  $f \leq Cg$ , we write  $f \lesssim g$ ; if  $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$ , we then write  $f \sim g$ . For any subset  $E$  of  $X$ , we use  $\chi_E$  to denote its characteristic function.

### 2. Preliminaries

About the definition of spaces of homogeneous type, we consider a set  $X$  equipped with a quasi-metric  $d$  and a Borel measure  $\mu$ .

Quasi-metric  $d$  is a function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying

(i)  $d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_2, x_1) \geq 0$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ;

(ii)  $d(x_1, x_2) = 0$  if and only if  $x_1 = x_2$ ;

(iii) the quasi-triangle inequality: there is a constant  $A_0 \in [1, \infty)$  such that for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ ,

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq A_0(d(x_1, x_3) + d(x_3, x_2)).$$

The nonzero measure  $\mu$  satisfies the doubling property:

$$\exists A > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in X, \forall r > 0, \forall t \geq 1, \frac{\mu(B(x, tr))}{\mu(B(x, r))} \leq At^\delta, \tag{1}$$

where  $B(x, r)$  is the open ball with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $r > 0$ .  $\delta$  is the homogeneous dimension of  $X$ .

We say that  $(X, d, \mu)$  is a space of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss if  $d$  is a quasi-metric on  $X$  and  $\mu$  is a nonzero Borel measure on  $X$  satisfying the doubling condition.

Here we are working with real valued functions and we will use “real” duality, and we have the same results with complex duality and complex valued functions.

For  $Q$  a ball, and  $i \geq 0$ , we write  $S_i(Q)$  the scaled corona around the ball  $Q$ :

$$S_i(Q) := \left\{ x, 2^i \leq 1 + \frac{d(x, c(Q))}{r_Q} < 2^{i+1} \right\},$$

where  $r_Q$  is the radius of the ball  $Q$  and  $c(Q)$  its center. It is easy to see that  $S_0(Q)$  corresponds to the ball  $Q$  and  $S_i(Q) \subset 2^{i+1}Q$  for  $i \geq 1$ , where  $\lambda Q$  is as usual the ball with center  $c(Q)$  and radius  $\lambda r_Q$ .

Denote  $\mathcal{Q}$  by the collection of all balls:  $\mathcal{Q} := \{B(x, r), x \in X, r > 0\}$ , and  $\mathbb{B} := (B_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}$  a collection of  $L^2$ -bounded linear operator, indexed by the collection  $\mathcal{Q}$ . We assume that these operators  $B_Q$  are uniformly bounded on  $L^2$ : there exists a constant  $0 < A' < \infty$  so that:

$$\forall f \in L^2, \forall Q \text{ ball}, \|B_Q(f)\|_{L^2} \leq A' \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2}$$

In the rest of the paper, we allow the constants to depend on  $A, A'$  and  $\delta$ .

Now, we define atoms and molecules by using the collection  $\mathbb{B}$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let  $0 < p < 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a fixed parameter. A function  $m \in L^2$  is called a  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecule associated to a ball  $Q$  if there exists a real function  $f_Q$  such that

$$m = B_Q(f_Q),$$

with

$$\forall i \geq 0, \|f_Q\|_{2, S_i(Q)} \leq \mu(2^i Q)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} 2^{-\varepsilon i}.$$

We call  $m = B_Q(f_Q)$  a  $(p, 2)$ -atom if in addition we have  $\text{supp}(f_Q) \subset Q$ . So an atom is exactly a  $(p, 2, \infty)$ -molecule.

The functions  $f_Q$  in this definition are normalized in  $L^p$ . It is easy to see that

$$\|f_Q\|_{L^p} \lesssim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_Q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mu(Q)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}.$$

So by the  $L^2$ -boundedness of the operators  $B_Q$ , we know that each molecule belongs to the space  $L^2$ .

Next, we define the atomic Hardy space  $H_{ato}^p$  and the molecular Hardy space  $H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$ . First, we recall some notions related to quasi-Banach spaces [24].

**DEFINITION 2.** A quasi-Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  is a vector space endowed with a quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$  which is non-negative, non-degenerate (i.e.  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$  if and only if  $f = 0$ ), homogeneous, and obeys the quasi-triangle inequality, that is, there exists a constant  $K \in [1, \infty)$  such that, for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\|f + g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K(\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

**DEFINITION 3.** Let  $r \in (0, 1]$ . A quasi-Banach space  $\mathcal{B}_r$  with the quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_r}$  is called an  $r$ -quasi-Banach space if  $\|f + g\|_{\mathcal{B}_r}^r \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_r}^r + \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}_r}^r$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_r$ . Hereafter,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_r}^r$  is called the  $r$ -quasi-norm of the  $r$ -quasi-Banach space  $\mathcal{B}_r$ .

**DEFINITION 4.** Let  $0 < p < 1$ . A measurable function  $f$  is said to belong to the space  $\mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$  if there exists a sequence of  $(p, 2)$ -atoms  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , such that  $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i m_i$  in  $L^2$ , where for all  $i$ ,  $\lambda_i$  are real numbers satisfying

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_i|^p < \infty.$$

Furthermore, define

$$\|f\|_{H_{ato}^p} := \inf_{f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i m_i} \left( \sum_i |\lambda_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The atomic Hardy space  $H_{ato}^p$  is then defined as the completion of  $\mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$  with respect to the  $p$ -quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H_{ato}^p}$ .

Similarly, we have

**DEFINITION 5.** Let  $0 < p < 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . A measurable function  $f$  is said to belong to the space  $\mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$  if there exists a sequence of  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecules  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , such that  $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i m_i$  in  $L^2$ , where for all  $i$ ,  $\lambda_i$  are real numbers satisfying

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_i|^p < \infty.$$

Furthermore, define

$$\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p} := \inf_{f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i m_i} \left( \sum_i |\lambda_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The molecular Hardy space  $H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$  is then defined as the completion of  $\mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$  with respect to the  $p$ -quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p}^p$ .

REMARK 1. (i) Using the theorem of completion of K. Yosida [44], we know that  $\mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$  has a completion space  $H_{ato}^p$ , that is, for any  $h \in H_{ato}^p$ , there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|h_k - h\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p = 0. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, if  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$ , then there exists a unique  $h \in H_{ato}^p$  such that (3) holds true. Similarly, we have the conclusion for  $\mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$  and  $H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$ .

(ii) Let  $0 < p < 1$ . We have the following inclusions:

$$\forall 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon', \quad \mathbb{H}_{ato}^p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon', mol}^p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon, mol}^p.$$

In fact, the space  $\mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$  corresponds to the space  $\mathbb{H}_{\infty, mol}^p$ .

DEFINITION 6. According to the atomic Hardy space  $H_{ato}^p$ , we define that a function  $f \in H_{F, ato}^p$  if  $f$  admits a finite atomic decomposition. We equip this space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_{F, ato}^p} := \inf_{f = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i m_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N |\lambda_i|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the finite atomic decompositions. Similarly we define the space  $H_{F, \varepsilon, mol}^p$  with the finite molecular decompositions.

REMARK 2. Since each molecule and each atom belongs to  $L^2$ , it is easy to see that these previous spaces are included into  $L^2$  (not continuously). The space  $H_{F, ato}^p$  is dense into  $H_{ato}^p$  and similarly for the molecular spaces.

At the end of this section, like [22], we give two useful properties about the atomic Hardy space  $H_{ato}^p$  and the molecular Hardy space  $H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a space of homogeneous type and  $0 < p < 1$ . Then  $f \in H_{ato}^p$  if and only if there exist  $(p, 2)$ -atoms  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i m_i \text{ in } H_{ato}^p, \tag{4}$$

and  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^p < \infty$ . Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^p \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible decompositions of  $f$  as in (4).

*Proof.* First, we assume that  $f \in H_{ato}^p$ . Note that if (4) holds true, it is obvious that

$$\|f\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p \leq \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p \right\}, \tag{5}$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible decompositions of  $f$  as in (4). It remains to verify (4) and the reverse inequality of (5). For any  $f \in H_{ato}^p$ , we consider the following two cases.

*Case (i)* When  $f \in \mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$ . By Definition 4, there exists a sequence of  $(p, 2)$ -atoms,  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i m_i$  in  $L^2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p < \infty$ .

Now we claim that (4) holds true.

Indeed, for every  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f - \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i m_i = \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i m_i$  in  $L^2$ . Then we have that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i m_i \right\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p \leq \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, the claim holds true. Again, by Definition 4 and (5), we get the desired result for *Case (i)*.

*Case (ii)* When  $f \in H_{ato}^p \setminus \mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$ . Using (i) of Remark 1, there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$  such that

$$\|f - f_k\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p \leq 2^{-k-2} \|f\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p.$$

It is easy to see that  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_k - f_{k-1})$  in  $H_{ato}^p$ , where we let  $f_0 := 0$ . Since  $f_k - f_{k-1} \in \mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Definition 4 and *Case (i)*, we know that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a sequence of  $(p, 2)$ -atoms  $\{m_{k,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , such that

$$f_k - f_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k,i} m_{k,i} \text{ in } L^2 \text{ and } H_{ato}^p$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{k,i}|^p < \|f_k - f_{k-1}\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

Using this and  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_k - f_{k-1})$  in  $H_{ato}^p$ , we further prove that

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_k - f_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k,i} m_{k,i} \text{ in } H_{ato}^p,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{k,i}|^p &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k - f_{k-1}\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\|f_k - f\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p + \|f_{k-1} - f\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p] + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \|f\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p + \varepsilon = \|f\|_{H_{ato}^p}^p + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which together with the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ , completes the proof of *Case* (ii) and hence the necessity of Theorem 1.

Conversely, given  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i m_i$  in  $H_{ato}^p$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p < \infty$ . Then for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i m_i \in \mathbb{H}_{ato}^p$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k = f$  in  $H_{ato}^p$ . Therefore,  $f \in H_{ato}^p$ , which completes the proof of the sufficiency and hence Theorem 1.  $\square$

Similarly, we have

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a space of homogeneous type,  $0 < p < 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $f \in H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$  if and only if there exist  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecules  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that*

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i m_i \text{ in } H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p, \tag{6}$$

and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p < \infty$ . Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p}^p = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible decompositions of  $f$  as in (6).

### 3. Comparison with other Hardy spaces

In [6], F. Bernicot and J. Zhao gave the comparison between their Hardy spaces  $H^1$  and other Hardy spaces. In this section, we will also study the comparison between our Hardy spaces  $H^p (0 < p < 1)$  and some other Hardy spaces.

#### 3.1. The space of Coifman-Weiss

If we choose  $B_Q$  as follows:

$$B_Q(f)(x) = f(x)\chi_Q(x) - |Q|^{-1} \left( \int_Q f \right) \chi_Q(x),$$

then the Hardy space  $H_{CW}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) of Coifman-Weiss is obtained and our atoms are the same as the ones defined in [35]. However, since the  $B_Q$  satisfies that  $\text{supp } B_Q(f) \subset Q$  for any  $f$ , so our molecule is different from the one in [35] and our atomic and molecular spaces are the same if  $B_Q$  satisfies this special property.

#### 3.2. Hardy spaces for Schrödinger operators with non-negative polynomial potentials

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $V$  be a non-negative function on  $X$ . We consider the following Schrödinger operator

$$L(f)(x) := -\Delta f(x) + V(x)f(x),$$

where  $V$  is a non-negative polynomial [16, 17].

We all know that  $-L$  generates a semigroup  $(T_t)_{t>0}$ , which is  $L^2$ -bounded and satisfies some gaussian estimates. J. Dziubański define a Hardy space  $H_L^p$  by a maximal operator as follows: a function  $f$  belongs to  $H_L^p$  if

$$\|f\|_{H_L^p} := \left\| \sup_{t>0} |T_t f(x)| \right\|_{L^p} < \infty, \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

Denote

$$m(x, V) := \sum_{\beta} |D^{\beta} V(x)|^{1/(|\beta|+2)},$$

and  $m(x, V) \geq C$ , where  $C$  is a constant. In [16], J. Dziubański gives an atomic decomposition of this space with the following definition: a function  $a$  is an  $H_L^p$ -atom if there exists a ball  $Q = B(y_0, r)$  with

$$\text{supp}(a) \subset Q, \quad \|a\|_{L^2} \leq |Q|^{1/2-1/p}, \quad r \leq m(y_0, V)^{-1},$$

and if  $r \leq \frac{1}{4}m(y_0, V)^{-1}$ , then

$$\int_Q a(x) dx = 0.$$

This definition of atoms is a special case of ours if we define  $B_Q$  for  $Q = B(y_0, r)$  a ball by

$$B_Q(f)(x) := \begin{cases} f(x)\chi_Q(x), & \frac{1}{4}m(y_0, V)^{-1} < r \leq m(y_0, V)^{-1}, \\ f(x)\chi_Q(x) - |Q|^{-1}(\int_Q f)\chi_Q(x), & r \leq \frac{1}{4}m(y_0, V)^{-1}, \\ 0, & r > m(y_0, V)^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

With this choice we have

$$H_L^p = H_{ato}^p.$$

### 3.3. Hardy spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries

$$a_{jk} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

satisfying the ellipticity condition

$$\lambda |\xi|^2 \leq \Re A \xi \cdot \bar{\xi} \quad \text{and} \quad |A \xi \cdot \bar{\zeta}| \leq \Lambda |\xi| |\zeta|, \quad \forall \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

for some constants  $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$ .

Denote the second order divergence form operator by

$$Lf := -\text{div}(A \nabla f).$$

In [27], S. Hofmann, S. Mayboroda and A. McIntosh define the space  $H_L^p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) associated to this operator. For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{H_L^p} := \left\| \left( \int \int_{\Gamma(x)} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L} f(y)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p},$$

where  $\Gamma(x) = \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) : |x - y| < t\}$ .

They also give a molecular decomposition with the following definition: let  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M > \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})$ , a function  $m \in L^2$  is an  $H_L^p$ -molecule if there exists a ball  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\|(r_Q^{-2}L^{-1})^k m\|_{2,S_i(Q)} \leq 2^{-i\varepsilon} |2^{i+1}Q|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k = 0, 1, \dots, M.$$

If we choose  $B_Q$  as follows:

$$B_Q(f) := (r_Q^2 L)^M e^{-r_Q^2 L}(f) \text{ or } B_Q(f) := (Id - (Id + r_Q^2 L)^{-1})^M(f),$$

then our  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecules are  $H_L^p$ -molecules. Therefore, we have

$$H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p \hookrightarrow H_L^p.$$

Here we have no idea about whether the inverse inclusion relation is right between the spaces.

### 3.4. Hardy spaces associated to a general semigroup

In [13], X. T. Duong and J. Li defined a space  $H_L^p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) with a linear operator  $L$  of type  $\omega$  on  $L^2(X)$  with  $\omega \in (0, \pi/2)$ . They assume that  $L$  generates a holomorphic semigroup  $e^{-zL}$  with  $0 \leq |\text{Arg}(z)| < \pi/2 - \omega$ , which has a bounded  $H_\infty$ -calculus on  $L^2(X)$  and Davies-Gaffney estimate for its kernel. They define a Hardy space  $H_L^p$ : for  $f \in L^2(X)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{H_L^p(X)} := \left\| \left( \int \int_{\Gamma(x)} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L} f(y)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(x, t)} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(X)},$$

where  $\Gamma(x) = \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) : |x - y| < t\}$ .

They also give a molecular decomposition by the definition: let  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M > \lceil \frac{n(2-p)}{4p} \rceil$ , a function  $m \in L^2$  is an  $H_L^p$ -molecule if there exist a function  $b \in \mathcal{D}(L^M)$  and a ball  $Q \subset X$  such that

$$m = L^M(b),$$

and for every  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, M$ ,

$$\|(r_Q^2 L)^k b\|_{2,S_j(Q)} \leq r_Q^{2M} 2^{-j\varepsilon} V(2^j Q)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  represents the domain of an unbounded operator  $T$ .

If we choose  $B_Q$  as follows:

$$B_Q(f) := (r_Q^2 L)^M e^{-r_Q^2 L}(f) \text{ or } B_Q(f) := (Id - (Id + r_Q^2 L)^{-1})^M(f),$$

then our  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecules are  $H_L^p$ -molecules. Therefore, we have

$$H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p \hookrightarrow H_L^p.$$

Here we have no idea about whether the inverse inclusion relation is right between the spaces.

### 4. Continuity theorem on the Hardy space

When  $0 < p \leq 1$ , it is well known that a Calderón-Zygmund operator is continuous from the Coifman-Weiss space  $H_{CW}^p$  to  $L^p$ . In [6], F. Bernicot and J. Zhao proposed some general conditions which guarantee the continuity from their Hardy spaces into  $L^1$ . In this section, we make some changes about the conditions, which also guarantee the continuity from our Hardy spaces into  $L^p (0 < p < 1)$ .

We have the two following results:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  and  $T$  be an  $L^2$ -bounded sublinear operator satisfying the following “off-diagonal” estimates: for all ball  $Q$  and all  $j \geq 2$ , there exist some coefficients  $\alpha_j(Q)$  such that for all  $L^2$ -functions  $f$  supported in  $Q$*

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)} \int_{S_j(Q)} |T(B_Q(f))|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \leq \alpha_j(Q) \left( \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2}.$$

If the coefficients  $\alpha_j(Q)$  satisfy

$$\Lambda := \sup_Q \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)}{\mu(Q)} (\alpha_j(Q))^p < \infty,$$

then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\forall f \in H_{ato}^p, \quad \|T(f)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{H_{ato}^p}.$$

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  and  $T$  be an  $L^2$ -bounded sublinear operator satisfying the following “off-diagonal” estimates: for all ball  $Q$  and all  $k \geq 0, j \geq 2$ , there exist some coefficients  $\alpha_{j,k}(Q)$  such that for every  $L^2$ -function  $f$  supported in  $S_k(Q)$*

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+k+1}Q)} \int_{S_j(2^kQ)} |T(B_Q(f))|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \leq \alpha_{j,k}(Q) \left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{k+1}Q)} \int_{S_k(Q)} |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2}. \tag{7}$$

If the coefficients  $\alpha_{j,k}(Q)$  satisfy

$$\Lambda := \sup_{k \geq 0} \sup_{Q \text{ ball}} \left\{ \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{\mu(2^{j+k+1}Q)}{\mu(2^{k+1}Q)} (\alpha_{j,k}(Q))^p \right\} < \infty,$$

then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$\forall f \in H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p, \quad \|T(f)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p}.$$

**REMARK 3.** Note that when  $\varepsilon = \infty$ , Theorem 4 becomes Theorem 3. So it suffices to prove Theorem 4.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* First, we prove that there exists a constant  $C = C(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecules  $m$ :

$$\|T(m)\|_{L^p}^p \leq C(\Lambda + \|T\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^p). \tag{8}$$

By Definition 1 we know that there exists a ball  $Q$  and a function  $f_Q$  such that

$$m = B_Q(f_Q).$$

By decomposing the space  $X$  with the scaled coronas around  $Q$  and using the linearity of  $B_Q$ , we have

$$m = B_Q(f_Q) = \sum_{k \geq 0} B_Q(\chi_{S_k(Q)} f_Q).$$

Using the sublinearity of  $T$ , we get that

$$|T(m)| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} |TB_Q(\chi_{S_k(Q)} f_Q)|.$$

By decomposing the integral with the coronas  $(S_j(2^k Q))_{j \geq 0}$  which is a partition of  $X$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(m)\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \|TB_Q(\chi_{S_k(Q)} f_Q)\|_{L^p}^p \leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \geq 0}} \int_{S_j(2^k Q)} |T(B_Q(\chi_{S_k(Q)} f_Q))|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \geq 0}} \mu(2^{k+j+1}Q) \frac{1}{\mu(2^{k+j+1}Q)} \int_{S_j(2^k Q)} |T(B_Q(\chi_{S_k(Q)} f_Q))|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \geq 0}} \mu(2^{k+j+1}Q) \frac{1}{\mu(2^{k+j+1}Q)} \left( \int_{S_j(2^k Q)} |T(B_Q(\chi_{S_k(Q)} f_Q))|^{p \cdot (2/p)} d\mu \right)^{p/2} \\ &\quad \times \mu(2^{k+j+1}Q)^{1-p/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \geq 0}} \mu(2^{k+j+1}Q) \left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{k+j+1}Q)} \int_{S_j(2^k Q)} |T(B_Q(\chi_{S_k(Q)} f_Q))|^2 d\mu \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the ‘‘off-diagonal’’ estimates (7) on  $T$  and the doubling condition (1) about the measure  $\mu$  (for the parts  $j \leq 1$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(m)\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \geq 2}} \mu(2^{k+1}Q) \frac{\mu(2^{k+j+1}Q)}{\mu(2^{k+1}Q)} (\alpha_{j,k}(Q))^p \left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{k+1}Q)} \int_{2^{k+1}Q} |\chi_{S_k(Q)} f_Q|^2 d\mu \right)^{p/2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \leq 1}} A2^{j\delta} \mu(2^{k+1}Q) \left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{k+1}Q)} \int_X |T(B_Q(\chi_{S_k(Q)} f_Q))|^2 d\mu \right)^{p/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \geq 2}} \mu(2^{k+1}Q) \frac{\mu(2^{k+j+1}Q)}{\mu(2^{k+1}Q)} (\alpha_{j,k}(Q))^p \mu(2^{k+1}Q)^{-p/2} \|f_Q\|_{2,S_k(Q)}^p \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \leq 1}} A 2^{j\delta} \mu(2^{k+1}Q)^{1-(p/2)} \|TB_Q\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^p \|f_Q\|_{2,S_k(Q)}^p \end{aligned}$$

Using (2) and the  $L^2$ -decay on  $f_Q$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(m)\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \geq 2}} \mu(2^{k+1}Q) \frac{\mu(2^{k+j+1}Q)}{\mu(2^{k+1}Q)} (\alpha_{j,k}(Q))^p \mu(2^{k+1}Q)^{-p/2} \mu(2^{k+1}Q)^{p/2-1} 2^{-p\epsilon k} \\ &\quad + A' \|T\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^p \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \leq 1}} 2^{-p\epsilon k + j\delta} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-p\epsilon k} \left( \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{\mu(2^{k+j+1}Q)}{\mu(2^{k+1}Q)} (\alpha_{j,k}(Q))^p + 2^{\delta+1} \|T\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^p \right) \\ &\lesssim \Lambda + \|T\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^p. \end{aligned}$$

We have that (8) holds true.

For any  $f \in \mathbb{H}_{\epsilon, mol}^p$ , there exists a sequence of  $(p, 2, \epsilon)$ -molecules  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i m_i$  in  $L^2$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^p \sim \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\epsilon, mol}^p}^p.$$

Since  $T$  is  $L^2$ -bounded, we have that, for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N T(\lambda_i m_i) - Tf \right\|_{L^2} = \left\| T\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i m_i - f\right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i m_i - f \right\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, for all  $\eta \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\mu \left( \left\{ x \in X : \left| \sum_{i=1}^N T(\lambda_i m_i)(x) - Tf(x) \right| > \eta \right\} \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

According to the Riesz theorem, we get that there exists a subsequence  $\{\sum_{i=1}^{N_k} T(\lambda_i m_i)\}_k$ , such that

$$Tf = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} T(\lambda_i m_i) \quad \mu - a.e. \text{ on } X,$$

which together with the Fatou lemma and (8), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} |T(\lambda_i m_i)|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p \|T(m_i)\|_{L^p}^p \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p (\Lambda + \|T\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^p) \\ &\lesssim \Lambda + \|T\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the density argument and the method similar to the Case (ii) of Theorem 1, we extend  $T$  to be a bounded linear operator from  $H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p$  to  $L^p$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 1. If we choose  $B_Q$  as follows:

$$B_Q(f)(x) = f(x)\chi_Q(x) - |Q|^{-1} \left( \int_Q f \right) \chi_Q(x),$$

then our atoms are the same as the ones defined in [35].

Thus, we set

$$B_Q(f)(x) = f(x)\chi_Q(x) - \left( \mu(Q)^{-1} \int_Q f d\mu \right) \chi_Q(x),$$

and let  $T$  be an  $L^2$ -bounded operator. If we suppose that the kernel  $K(x, z)$  of  $T$  satisfies the Calderón-Zygmund condition: there exists  $\theta > 0$  such that for all  $x \neq z$  and  $z' \in B(z, d(x, z)/2)$

$$\begin{aligned} |K(x, z)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, d(x, z)))}, \\ |K(x, z) - K(x, z')| &\lesssim \frac{d(z, z')^\theta}{\mu(B(x, d(x, z)))d(x, z)^\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have that  $T$  satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 3 for  $2/3 < p < 1$ . Therefore,  $T$  is continuous from  $H_{CW}^p = H_{at}^p$  into  $L^p$  ( $2/3 < p < 1$ ). The following is the process of proof.

*Proof.* First, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)} \int_{S_j(Q)} |T(B_Q(f)(x))|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)} \int_{S_j(Q)} \left| \int_Q [k(x, z) - k(x, z')] (B_Q(f)(z)) dz \right|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)} \int_{S_j(Q)} \left[ \int_Q |k(x, z) - k(x, z')| |B_Q(f)(z)| dz \right]^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)} \int_{S_j(Q)} \left[ \int_Q \frac{d(z, z')^\theta}{\mu(B(x, d(x, z)))d(x, z)^\theta} |B_Q(f)(z)| dz \right]^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)} \int_{S_j(Q)} \left[ \int_Q \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, d(x, z)))} |B_Q(f)(z)| dz \right]^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/2}} \int_Q \left( \int_{S_j(Q)} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, d(x, z)))^2} |B_Q(f)(z)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} dz \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)} \int_Q \left( \int_{S_j(Q)} |B_Q(f)(z)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} dz \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)^{3/2}} \|f_Q\|_{2, Q} \mu(Q) = \frac{\mu(Q)}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)^{3/2}} \left( \int_Q |f|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \\
 &= \frac{\mu(Q)^{3/2}}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)^{3/2}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q |f|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha_j(Q) = \frac{\mu(Q)^{3/2}}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)^{3/2}}$ , then we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)}{\mu(Q)} (\alpha_j(Q))^p &= \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)}{\mu(Q)} \left( \frac{\mu(Q)^{3/2}}{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)^{3/2}} \right)^p \\
 &= \sum_{j \geq 2} \left( \frac{\mu(2^{j+1}Q)}{\mu(Q)} \right)^{1-\frac{3}{2}p} \lesssim \sum_{j \geq 2} (2^{j\delta})^{1-\frac{3}{2}p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, when  $2/3 < p < 1$ , we obtain that  $T$  is continuous from  $H_{ato}^p$  into  $L^p$ .  $\square$

Now we establish the  $(H_{ato, \mathbb{B}}^p, H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p)$ -boundedness of  $L^2$ -bounded operator  $T$ , where  $\varepsilon' > 0, \mathbb{B} := (B_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}$ , and  $T\mathbb{B} := (TB_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  and  $T$  be an  $L^2$ -bounded sublinear operator. Assume that  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , then  $T$  is bounded from  $H_{ato, \mathbb{B}}^p$  into  $H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p$ .*

*Proof.* We claim that if  $m = B_Q f_Q$  is a  $(p, 2)$  atom of  $H_{ato, \mathbb{B}}^p$ , then  $Tm$  is a  $(p, 2, \varepsilon')$ -molecule of  $H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p$  and

$$\|T(m)\|_{H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p} = 1. \tag{9}$$

Indeed, suppose that (9) holds true. For each  $f \in \mathbb{H}_{ato, \mathbb{B}}^p$ , there exists a sequence of  $(p, 2)$ -atoms  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and real numbers  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i m_i$  in  $L^2$  with

$$\|f\|_{H_{ato, \mathbb{B}}^p}^p \sim \sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda_i|^p.$$

Since  $T$  is bounded on  $L^2$ , we have that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N T(\lambda_i m_i) - Tf \right\|_{L^2} = \left\| T \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i m_i - f \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i m_i - f \right\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,  $Tf = \sum_{i=1}^N T(\lambda_i m_i)$  in  $L^2$ . And also we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p}^p &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|T(\lambda_i m_i)\|_{H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p}^p \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p \|Tm_i\|_{H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p}^p \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p \sim \|f\|_{H_{ato, \mathbb{B}}^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using a density argument and the method similar to the *Case* (ii) of Theorem 1, we extend  $T$  to be a bounded linear operator from  $H_{ato, \mathbb{B}}^p$  to  $H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p$ .

Now we prove the claim. First, Since  $T$ ,  $B_Q$  and  $f_Q$  are all  $L^2$  bounded, so we have that  $Tm$  is also  $L^2$  bounded.

Furthermore, we know that  $\text{supp } f_Q \subset Q$ , thus

$$\|f_Q\|_{2, S_i(Q)} \leq \mu(2^i Q)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} 2^{-\varepsilon' i}.$$

As a consequence, we get that  $Tm$  is a  $(p, 2, \varepsilon')$ -molecule of  $H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p$ . By the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p}$ , we have that

$$\|T(m)\|_{H_{\varepsilon', mol, T\mathbb{B}}^p} = 1. \quad \square$$

Next, in order to discuss the embedding of our Hardy spaces into  $L^p$ , additionally, we assume that  $\mathbb{B} = (B_Q)_Q$  satisfies some decay estimates: for  $M''$  a large enough exponent, there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\forall i \geq 0, \forall k \geq 0, \forall f \in L^2, \text{supp}(f) \subset 2^i Q, \|B_Q(f)\|_{2, S_k(2^i Q)} \leq C 2^{-M'' k} \|f\|_{2, 2^i Q}. \quad (10)$$

We have the following embedding property.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$ , then we have the following inclusions:*

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, H_{ato}^p \hookrightarrow H_{\varepsilon, mol}^p \hookrightarrow L^p.$$

*Proof.* First, we show that all  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecules (and atoms) are bounded in  $L^p$ . Using (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_Q(f_Q)\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \|B_Q(f_Q \chi_{S_j(Q)})\|_{L^p}^p \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} \|B_Q(f_Q \chi_{S_j(Q)})\|_{L^p, S_k(2^j Q)}^p \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} \left( \int_{S_k(2^j Q)} |B_Q(f_Q \chi_{S_j(Q)})|^{p \cdot (2/p)} d\mu \right)^{p/2} \mu(2^{j+k} Q)^{1-p/2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} \mu(2^{j+k} Q)^{1-p/2} 2^{-M'' k p} \|f_Q\|_{2, S_j(Q)}^p \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{k\delta(1-p/2)} 2^{-M'' k p} 2^{-\varepsilon j p} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

In the above we use the doubling property of  $\mu$  and the fact that  $M''$  is large enough ( $M'' > \delta/p$  works). Thus, we get that all  $(p, 2, \varepsilon)$ -molecules are bounded in  $L^p$ , and we can conclude the embedding property by using the definition of the Hardy spaces.  $\square$

*Acknowledgements.* The author would like to express her deep thanks to Professor Jiman Zhao for her helpful comments during her doctorate in Beijing Normal University and the referee for valuable suggestions which do improve the presentation of this article.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. ALMEIDA, J. J. BETANCOR AND A. J. CASTRO, et al., *Variable exponent Hardy spaces associated with discrete Laplacians on graphs*, *Sci. China Math.*, **62**, (2019), 73–124.
- [2] P. AUSCHER, F. BERNICOT AND J. ZHAO, *Maximal regularity and Hardy spaces*, *Collect. Math.*, **59**, (2008), 103–127.
- [3] P. AUSCHER, A. MCINTOSH AND A. J. MORRIS, *Calderón reproducing formulas and applications to Hardy spaces*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, **31**, (2015), 865–900.
- [4] P. AUSCHER AND E. RUSS, *Hardy spaces and divergence operators on strongly Lipschitz domains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , *J. Funct. Anal.*, **201**, (2003), 148–184.
- [5] N. BADR AND F. BERNICOT, *Abstract Hardy-Sobolev spaces and interpolation*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **259**, (2010), 1169–1208.
- [6] F. BERNICOT AND J. ZHAO, *New abstract Hardy spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **255**, (2008), 1761–1796.
- [7] F. BERNICOT AND J. ZHAO, *On maximal  $L^p$ -regularity*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **256**, (2009), 2561–2586.
- [8] F. BERNICOT AND J. ZHAO, *Abstract framework for John-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to Hardy spaces*, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **11**, (2012), 475–501.
- [9] D. C. CHANG, S. WANG AND D. YANG, et al., *Littlewood-Paley characterizations of Hardy-type spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces*, *Complex Anal. Oper. Th.*, 2020, doi:10.1007/s11785-020-00998-0.
- [10] R. R. COIFMAN, *A real variable characterization of  $H^p$* , *Studia Math.*, **51**, (1974), 269–274.
- [11] R. R. COIFMAN AND G. WEISS, *Analyse Harmonique Non-Commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes*, In: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, 242, 1971.
- [12] R. R. COIFMAN AND G. WEISS, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, *Bul. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83**, (1977), 569–645.
- [13] X. T. DUONG AND J. LI, *Hardy spaces associated to operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates and bounded holomorphic functional calculus*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **264**, (2013), 1409–1437.
- [14] X. T. DUONG, J. LI AND L. YAN, *A Littlewood-Paley type decomposition and weighted Hardy spaces associated with operators*, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **26**, (2016), 1617–1646.
- [15] X. T. DUONG AND L. YAN, *On the atomic decomposition for Hardy spaces on Lipschitz domains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , *J. Funct. Anal.*, **215**, (2004), 476–486.
- [16] J. DZIUBAŃSKI, *Atomic decomposition of  $H^p$  spaces associated with some Schrödinger operators*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **47**, (1998), 75–98.
- [17] J. DZIUBAŃSKI, *Spectral multipliers for Hardy spaces associated with Schrödinger operators with polynomial potentials*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **32**, (2000), 571–581.
- [18] J. DZIUBAŃSKI AND M. PREISNER, *Hardy spaces for semigroups with Gaussian bounds*, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **197**, (2018), 965–987.
- [19] J. DZIUBAŃSKI AND J. ZIENKIEWICZ, *Hardy spaces  $H^1$  for Schrödinger operators with compactly supported potentials*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **184**, (2005), 315–326.
- [20] J. FANG AND J. ZHAO,  *$H^p$  boundedness of multilinear spectral multipliers on stratified groups*, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **30**, (2020), 197–222.
- [21] C. FEFFERMAN AND E. M. STEIN,  *$H^p$  spaces of several variables*, *Acta. Math.*, **129**, (1972), 137–193.
- [22] X. FU AND D. YANG, *Hardy spaces  $H^p$  over non-homogeneous metric measure spaces and their applications*, *Sci. China Math.*, **58**, (2015), 309–388.

- [23] R. M. GONG, J. LI AND L. YAN, *A local version of Hardy spaces associated with operators on metric spaces*, *Sci. China Math.*, **56**, (2013), 315–330.
- [24] L. GRAFAKOS, L. LIU AND D. YANG, *Maximal function characterization of Hardy spaces associated on RD-spaces and their applications*, *Sci. China Ser. A*, **51**, (2008), 2253–2284.
- [25] S. HOFMANN, G. LU AND D. MITREA, et al., *Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **214**, 2011.
- [26] S. HOFMANN AND S. MAYBORODA, *Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators*, *Math. Ann.*, **344**, (2009), 37–116.
- [27] S. HOFMANN, S. MAYBORODA AND A. MCINTOSH, *Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in  $L^p$ , Sobolev and Hardy spaces*, *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, **44**, (2011), 723–800.
- [28] R. H. LATTER, *A characterization of  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in terms of atoms*, *Studia Math.*, **62**, (1978), 93–101.
- [29] R. LI, Y. YANG AND Y. LU, *A class of complex symmetric Toeplitz operators on Hardy and Bergman spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2020, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124173.
- [30] Y. LIU AND J. ZHAO, *Abstract Hardy spaces with variable exponents*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **167**, (2018), 29–50.
- [31] S. LU, *Four Lectures on Real  $H^p$  Spaces*, World Scientific Press, Beijing, China, 1995.
- [32] L. PENG AND J. ZHAO, *Characterization of Clifford-valued Hardy spaces and compensated compactness*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **132**, (2004), 47–58.
- [33] L. SONG AND L. YAN, *A maximal function characterization for Hardy spaces associated to nonnegative self-adjoint operators satisfying Gaussian estimates*, *Adv. Math.*, **287**, (2016), 463–484.
- [34] E. M. STEIN, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [35] E. M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals[M]*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [36] E. M. STEIN AND G. WEISS, *On the theory of harmonic functions of several variables, I: The theory of  $H^p$  spaces*, *Acta. Math.*, **103**, (1960), 25–62.
- [37] E. M. STEIN AND G. WEISS, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [38] M. H. TAIBLESON AND G. WEISS, *The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces*, *Astérisque*, **77**, (1980), 67–149.
- [39] L. WU AND L. YAN, *Heat kernels, upper bounds and Hardy spaces associated to the generalized Schrödinger operators*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **270**, (2016), 3709–3749.
- [40] G. XIE AND D. YANG, *Atomic characterizations of weak martingale Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces and their applications*, *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, **13**, (2019), 884–917.
- [41] L. YAN, *Classes of Hardy spaces associated with operators, duality theorem and applications*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **360**, (2008), 4383–4408.
- [42] D. YANG AND S. YANG, *Local Hardy spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type and their applications*, *Sci. China Math.*, **55**, (2012), 1677–1720.
- [43] D. YANG AND Y. ZHOU, *A boundedness criterion via atoms for linear operators in Hardy spaces*, *Const. Approx.*, **29**, (2009), 207–218.
- [44] K. YOSIDA, *Functional Analysis*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [45] C. ZHUO AND D. YANG, *Maximal function characterizations of variable Hardy spaces associated with non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Gaussian estimates*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **141**, (2016), 16–42.

(Received June 13, 2021)

Yin Liu  
 School of Mathematics and Statistics  
 Nanyang Normal University  
 Henan 473061, P. R. China  
 and  
 School of Mathematical Sciences  
 Dalian University of Technology  
 Dalian 116024, P. R. China  
 e-mail: ly1ight@mail.bnu.edu.cn