

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF w_ρ -BIRKHOFF—JAMES ORTHOGONALITY AND w_ρ -PARALLELISM

FUAD KITTANEH* AND ALI ZAMANI

(Communicated by M. S. Moslehian)

Abstract. We study the concepts of Birkhoff–James orthogonality and parallelism in Hilbert space operators, induced by the operator radius norm $w_\rho(\cdot)$. In particular, we completely characterize Birkhoff–James orthogonality and parallelism with respect to $w_\rho(\cdot)$. As an application of the results presented, we obtain a well-known characterization due to R. Bhatia and P. Šemrl for the classical Birkhoff–James orthogonality of Hilbert space operators. Some other related results are also discussed.

1. Introduction

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the unit ball of \mathcal{H} , i.e., $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}} = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \|x\| \leq 1\}$. Let $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . For $\rho > 0$ an operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a ρ -contraction (see [16]) if there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} (\supseteq \mathcal{H})$ and a unitary operator U on \mathcal{K} such that $A^n x = \rho P U^n x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}, n = 1, 2, \dots$, where P is the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{K} to \mathcal{H} . Holbrook [9] and Williams [22] defined the operator radii $w_\rho(\cdot)$ as the generalized Minkowski distance functionals on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e.,

$$w_\rho(A) = \inf \{t > 0 : t^{-1}A \text{ is a } \rho\text{-contraction}\}.$$

The operator radius $w_\rho(\cdot)$, usually referred to in the literature as the ρ -radius, plays a very important role in the study of unitary ρ -dilations (see, e.g., [17]). It is well known that $w_\rho(A^*) = w_\rho(A)$ and $w_\rho(U^*AU) = w_\rho(A)$ for all A and all unitary $U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ i.e., $w_\rho(\cdot)$ is, respectively, self-adjoint and weakly unitarily invariant. Moreover, the operator radii $w_\rho(\cdot)$ have the properties:

$$w_1(A) = \|A\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Hilbert space operator norm, that is, $\|A\| = \sup \{\|Ax\| : x \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}\}$ and

$$w_2(A) = w(A),$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 46B20, 47A12, 47A20, 47A30, 47L05.

Keywords and phrases: Operator radius, operator norm, numerical radius, Birkhoff–James orthogonality, parallelism.

* Corresponding author.

where $w(\cdot)$ is the numerical radius, that is, $w(A) = \sup \{ |\langle Ax, x \rangle| : x \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}} \}$. For every $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we also have

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \|A\| \leq w_{\rho}(A) \leq \frac{1+|1-\rho|}{\rho} \|A\|. \quad (1)$$

If A is normal (i.e., $A^*A = AA^*$), then $w_{\rho}(A) = \frac{1+|1-\rho|}{\rho} \|A\|$ and if A is 2-nilpotent (i.e., $A^2 = 0$), then $w_{\rho}(A) = \frac{1}{\rho} \|A\|$. Notice that there is a major difference between the case when $0 < \rho \leq 2$ and $2 < \rho < \infty$. It is known that for $\rho \in (0, 2]$, $w_{\rho}(\cdot)$ is a norm on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ but for $\rho \in (2, \infty)$ is only a quasi-norm. For proofs and more facts about the operator radii, we refer the reader to [2, 5, 9, 10, 17, 22].

Now, let $\rho \in (0, 2]$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say that A is w_{ρ} -Birkhoff–James orthogonal to B (see [6, 11]), in short $A \perp_{w_{\rho}} B$, if

$$w_{\rho}(A + \gamma B) \geq w_{\rho}(A) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathbb{C},$$

or equivalently,

$$\min_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} w_{\rho}(A + \gamma B) = w_{\rho}(A).$$

In particular, when $\rho = 1$ and $\rho = 2$, we obtain, respectively, the definitions of the classical Birkhoff–James orthogonality (written $A \perp B$) and the numerical radius orthogonality (written $A \perp_w B$) in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The Birkhoff–James orthogonality plays a very crucial role in the geometry of Hilbert space operators, see [4, 18, 19, 21] and the references therein. Characterizations of the Birkhoff–James orthogonality for operators were given in [8, 13, 15, 23, 26].

Furthermore, we say that A is w_{ρ} -parallel to B (see [20]), and we write $A \parallel_{w_{\rho}} B$, if

$$w_{\rho}(A + \lambda B) = w_{\rho}(A) + w_{\rho}(B) \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{T},$$

or equivalently,

$$\max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} w_{\rho}(A + \lambda B) = w_{\rho}(A) + w_{\rho}(B).$$

Here, as usual, \mathbb{T} is the unit circle of the complex plane. For $\rho = 1$ and $\rho = 2$, we also obtain, respectively, the definitions of the operator norm parallelism (written $A \parallel B$) and the numerical radius parallelism (written $A \parallel_w B$) in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The concept of parallelism plays a significant role in the study of the geometric and the analytic properties of Banach space, for instance, see [7, 20, 25]. Some other authors studied different aspects of parallelism of bounded linear operators, see [14, 24] and the references therein.

Motivated by these, in this paper we explore the w_{ρ} -Birkhoff–James orthogonality and the w_{ρ} -parallelism on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. In particular, we present characterizations of the w_{ρ} -Birkhoff–James orthogonality and the w_{ρ} -parallelism. Our results extend some previously known results which appeared in the literature [4, 13, 14, 24].

2. The w_ρ -Birkhoff–James orthogonality

We start our work with the following proposition, which contains some basic properties of the relation \perp_{w_ρ} .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho \in (0, 2]$. The following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

- (i) $A \perp_{w_\rho} B$,
- (ii) $A^* \perp_{w_\rho} B^*$,
- (iii) $\alpha A \perp_{w_\rho} \beta B$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,
- (iv) $U^*AU \perp_{w_\rho} U^*BU$ for all unitary $U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. The proof immediately follows from the definition of the relation \perp_{w_ρ} and the properties of $w_\rho(\cdot)$. \square

REMARK 1. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

(i) If $\rho \in (0, 2]$ and A is 2-nilpotent, then $w_\rho(A) = \frac{1}{\rho}\|A\|$, and so the condition $A \perp B$ implies $A \perp_{w_\rho} B$. Indeed, for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, by (1) it follows that

$$w_\rho(A + \gamma B) \geq \frac{1}{\rho}\|A + \gamma B\| \geq \frac{1}{\rho}\|A\| = w_\rho(A).$$

(ii) If $\rho \in [1, 2]$ and A is normal, then $w_\rho(A) = \|A\|$, and hence the condition $A \perp_{w_\rho} B$ implies $A \perp B$. Indeed, for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, again by (1), we have

$$\|A + \gamma B\| \geq w_\rho(A + \gamma B) \geq w_\rho(A) = \|A\|.$$

In order to prove our desired characterization of the w_ρ -Birkhoff–James orthogonality, we need the following lemmas.

The first lemma has been proved recently in [12, Theorem 3.1].

LEMMA 1. *Let $X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho \in (0, 2]$. Then*

$$w_\rho(X) = \frac{2}{\rho} w \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}X \\ 0 & (1-\rho)X \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

The second lemma reads as follows. Our approach is similar to the one given in [26, Theorem 1].

LEMMA 2. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho \in (0, 2]$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $w_\rho(A + rB) \geq w_\rho(A)$ for all $r \geq 0$,

(ii) there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n, Ay_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n \right\rangle \right) \geq 0.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $w_\rho(A + rB) \geq w_\rho(A)$ for all $r \geq 0$. We may assume that $w_\rho(A) \neq 0$ otherwise (ii) trivially holds. So there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $w_\rho(A) \geq \varepsilon^2$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. Therefore,

$$w_\rho(A + \varepsilon B) \geq w_\rho(A) \geq w_\rho(A) - \varepsilon^2 \geq 0 \quad (0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0). \quad (2)$$

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. By Lemma 1 we have

$$w \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}(A + \varepsilon B) \\ 0 & (1-\rho)(A + \varepsilon B) \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{\rho}{2} w_\rho(A + \varepsilon B),$$

and hence there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}(A + \varepsilon B) \\ 0 & (1-\rho)(A + \varepsilon B) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right| = \frac{\rho}{2} w_\rho(A + \varepsilon B). \quad (3)$$

Utilizing Lemma 1, (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho}{2} w_\rho(A) + \varepsilon \frac{\rho}{2} w_\rho(B) &= w \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}A \\ 0 & (1-\rho)A \end{bmatrix} \right) + \varepsilon w \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}B \\ 0 & (1-\rho)B \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}A \\ 0 & (1-\rho)A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}B \\ 0 & (1-\rho)B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}(A + \varepsilon B) \\ 0 & (1-\rho)(A + \varepsilon B) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \frac{\rho}{2} w_\rho(A + \varepsilon B) \geq \frac{\rho}{2} w_\rho(A), \end{aligned}$$

and so by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}A \\ 0 & (1-\rho)A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right| = \frac{\rho}{2} w_\rho(A).$$

This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} A y_n, x_n \right\rangle + \left\langle (1-\rho) A y_n, y_n \right\rangle \right| = \frac{\rho}{2} w_\rho(A),$$

or equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle A y_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}} x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho} y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A).$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\varepsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} x_n + (1-\rho) y_n, A y_n \right\rangle \left\langle B y_n, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} x_n + (1-\rho) y_n \right\rangle \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{\rho^2}{4} w_\rho^2(A) + \varepsilon^2 \frac{\rho^2}{4} w_\rho(B) \\ &= 2\varepsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} A \\ 0 & (1-\rho) A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} B \\ 0 & (1-\rho) B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right) \\ & \quad + w^2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} A \\ 0 & (1-\rho) A \end{bmatrix} \right) + \varepsilon^2 w^2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} B \\ 0 & (1-\rho) B \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\geq 2\varepsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} A \\ 0 & (1-\rho) A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} B \\ 0 & (1-\rho) B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right) \\ & \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} A \\ 0 & (1-\rho) A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ & \quad + \varepsilon^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} B \\ 0 & (1-\rho) B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}(A + \varepsilon B) \\ 0 & (1-\rho)(A + \varepsilon B) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{\rho^2}{4} w_\rho^2(A + \varepsilon B) \geq \frac{\rho^2}{4} (w_\rho(A) - \varepsilon^2)^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{4} w_\rho^2(A) - \varepsilon^2 \frac{\rho^2}{2} w_\rho(A) + \varepsilon^4 \frac{\rho^2}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} x_n + (1-\rho) y_n, A y_n \right\rangle \left\langle B y_n, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} x_n + (1-\rho) y_n \right\rangle \right) \\ & \quad \geq \varepsilon^3 \frac{\rho^2}{8} - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{4} w_\rho(A) - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{8} w_\rho^2(B). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Finally, by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in (4), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} x_n + (1-\rho) y_n, A y_n \right\rangle \left\langle B y_n, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} x_n + (1-\rho) y_n \right\rangle \right) \geq 0.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose (ii) holds. For any $r \geq 0$, by Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_\rho^2(A + rB) &= \frac{4}{\rho^2} w^2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}(A + rB) \\ 0 & (1-\rho)(A + rB) \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{4}{\rho^2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}(A + rB) \\ 0 & (1-\rho)(A + rB) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{8r}{\rho^2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n, Ay_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n \right\rangle \right) \\
 &\quad + r^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \geq w_\rho^2(A),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies $w_\rho(A + rB) \geq w_\rho(A)$ and the proof is completed. \square

We are now in a position to establish the main result of this section.

THEOREM 1. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho \in (0, 2]$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $A \perp_{w_\rho} B$,

(ii) *for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\theta} \left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n, Ay_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n \right\rangle \right) \geq 0.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $A \perp_{w_\rho} B$ and let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ be fixed. Thus, $w_\rho(A + re^{i\theta}B) \geq w_\rho(A)$ for all $r \geq 0$. By Lemma 2 there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n, Ay_n \right\rangle \left\langle e^{i\theta}By_n, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n \right\rangle \right) \geq 0,$$

and hence we deduce (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose (ii) holds. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\gamma = e^{i\theta}|\gamma|$ for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. So, there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\theta} \left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n, Ay_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n \right\rangle \right) \geq 0.$$

Utilizing a similar argument as in Lemma 2, we get $w_\rho(A + |\gamma|e^{i\theta}B) \geq w_\rho(A)$. Thus, $w_\rho(A + \gamma B) \geq w_\rho(A)$, or equivalently, $A \perp_{w_\rho} B$. \square

When \mathcal{H} is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 1. *Let \mathcal{H} be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, and let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho \in (0, 2]$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $A \perp_{w_\rho} B$,

(ii) for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a vector $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\left| \left\langle Ay, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\theta} \left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x + (1-\rho)y, Ay \right\rangle \left\langle By, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x + (1-\rho)y \right\rangle \right) \geq 0.$$

Proof. If $A \perp_{w_\rho} B$, then from Theorem 1 we obtain a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\theta} \left\langle \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n, Ay_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}x_n + (1-\rho)y_n \right\rangle \right) \geq 0.$$

Since $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a bounded sequence, then it has a convergent subsequence converging to a vector $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$. This $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ is the required vector. The proof in the other direction is straightforward. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following result that can also be found in [13, Theorem 2.3].

COROLLARY 2. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \perp_w B$,
- (ii) *for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Ay_n, y_n \rangle| = w(A)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\theta} \langle y_n, Ay_n \rangle \langle By_n, y_n \rangle \right) \geq 0$.*

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Theorem 1 for $\rho = 2$. \square

As another consequence of Theorem 1 we have the following result.

COROLLARY 3. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

- (i) $A \perp B$,
- (ii) *for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Ay_n, x_n \rangle| = \frac{1}{2} \|A\|$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\theta} \langle x_n, Ay_n \rangle \langle By_n, x_n \rangle \right) \geq 0$,*
- (iii) *there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Az_n\| = \|A\|$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Az_n, Bz_n \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This implication follows immediately from Theorem 1 for $\rho = 1$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose (ii) holds. For $\theta = 0, \pi$, there exist, respectively, sequences $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ and $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x'_n \\ y'_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Ay_n, x_n \rangle| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Ay'_n, x'_n \rangle| = \frac{1}{2} \|A\|$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\langle x'_n, Ay'_n \rangle \langle By'_n, x'_n \rangle \right) \leq 0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\langle x_n, Ay_n \rangle \langle By_n, x_n \rangle \right).$$

Therefore, we can find sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x''_n \\ y''_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Ay''_n, x''_n \rangle| = \frac{1}{2} \|A\| \quad \text{and} \quad \langle By''_n, x''_n \rangle = 0. \quad (5)$$

Put $z_n := 2\|x_n''\|y_n''$ and $e_n := \frac{x_n''}{\|x_n''\|}$. Hence, $\|e_n\| = 1$ and by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have $\|z_n\| = 2\|x_n''\|\|y_n''\| \leq \|x_n''\|^2 + \|y_n''\|^2 \leq 1$, and so $z_n \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Rewrite (5) as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Az_n, e_n \rangle| = \|A\| \quad \text{and} \quad \langle e_n, Bz_n \rangle = 0. \quad (6)$$

Since $|\langle Az_n, e_n \rangle| \leq \|Az_n\| \leq \|A\|$ and $\left\| \frac{Az_n}{\|Az_n\|} - e_n \right\|^2 = 2 - \frac{2}{\|Az_n\|} \operatorname{Re}(\langle Az_n, e_n \rangle)$, by (6) it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Az_n\| = \|A\|$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{Az_n}{\|Az_n\|} - e_n \right) = 0$. Therefore, the above facts imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Az_n, Bz_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|Az_n\| \left\langle \frac{Az_n}{\|Az_n\|} - e_n, Bz_n \right\rangle + \|Az_n\| \langle e_n, Bz_n \rangle \right) = 0.$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Az_n\| = \|A\|$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Az_n, Bz_n \rangle = 0$. We have

$$\|A + \gamma B\|^2 \geq \|(A + \gamma B)z_n\|^2 = \|Az_n\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\gamma} \langle Az_n, Bz_n \rangle) + |\gamma|^2 \|Bz_n\|^2$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$\|A + \gamma B\|^2 \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(A + \gamma B)z_n\|^2 \geq \|A\|^2$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, and so $A \perp B$. \square

REMARK 2. The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) in Corollary 3 is due to R. Bhatia and P. Šemrl [4, Remark 3.1].

3. The w_ρ -parallelism

In this section, we explore the w_ρ -parallelism for Hilbert space operators. Here are some properties of the w_ρ -parallelism whose proofs are so easy that we omit them.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho \in (0, 2]$. The following conditions are mutually equivalent:

- (i) $A \parallel_{w_\rho} B$,
- (ii) $A^* \parallel_{w_\rho} B^*$,
- (iii) $\zeta A \parallel_{w_\rho} \zeta B$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,
- (iii) $\alpha A \parallel_{w_\rho} \beta B$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,
- (iv) $U^* A U \parallel_{w_\rho} U^* B U$ for all unitary $U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

To achieve the following theorem, we mimic some ideas of [3, Theorem 2.1].

THEOREM 2. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho \in (0, 2]$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $A \parallel_{w_\rho} B$,

(ii) *there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B).$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $A \parallel_{w_\rho} B$. Hence, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $w_\rho(A + \lambda B) = w_\rho(A) + w_\rho(B)$. By Lemma 1 there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}(A + \lambda B) \\ 0 & (1-\rho)(A + \lambda B) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right| = \frac{\rho}{2} w_\rho(A + \lambda B),$$

or equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle (A + \lambda B)y_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A + \lambda B). \quad (7)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle (A + \lambda B)y_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right|^2 + \left| \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{\lambda} \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n, By_n \right\rangle \right) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\rho^2} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}A \\ 0 & (1-\rho)A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 + \frac{4}{\rho^2} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}B \\ 0 & (1-\rho)B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ & \quad + 2 \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n, By_n \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq w_\rho^2(A) + w_\rho^2(B) + 2 \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq w_\rho^2(A) + w_\rho^2(B) \\
 &\quad + \frac{8}{\rho^2} \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}A \\ 0 & (1-\rho)A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right| \left| \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)}B \\ 0 & (1-\rho)B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right| \\
 &\leq w_\rho^2(A) + w_\rho^2(B) + 2w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B),
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \left\langle (A + \lambda B)y_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \\
 &\leq w_\rho^2(A) + w_\rho^2(B) \\
 &\quad + 2 \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| \left| \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| \\
 &\leq w_\rho^2(A) + w_\rho^2(B) + 2w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B) = w_\rho^2(A + \lambda B). \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

By (7) and (8) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B).$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B). \tag{9}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| w_\rho(B) \leq w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B),
 \end{aligned}$$

by (9) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A). \tag{10}$$

By using a similar argument, we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(B). \tag{11}$$

Further, for every n , there exist $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \lambda_n \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (9) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \left\langle Ay_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle \left\langle By_n, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_n + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_n \right\rangle = w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B). \quad (12)$$

Since $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathbb{T} , there exists a subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}$ and a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda_{n_k} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}$. We have

$$|1 - |\lambda|| = ||\lambda_{n_k}| - |\bar{\lambda}|| \leq |\lambda_{n_k} - \bar{\lambda}| \rightarrow 0,$$

and hence $|\lambda| = 1$. Thus, $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{\lambda} \left\langle Ay_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \left\langle By_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left((\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_{n_k}) \left\langle Ay_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \left\langle By_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(\lambda_{n_k} \left\langle Ay_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \left\langle By_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \right), \end{aligned}$$

by (12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{\lambda} \left\langle Ay_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \left\langle By_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \right) \\ &= w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

For every k , by Lemma 1 we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & w_\rho^2(A + \lambda B) \\ &\geq \left| \left\langle (A + \lambda B)y_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \left\langle Ay_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \right|^2 + \left| \left\langle By_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{\lambda} \left\langle Ay_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \left\langle By_{n_k}, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x_{n_k} + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y_{n_k} \right\rangle \right), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore by (10), (11) and (13) we conclude that

$$w_\rho^2(A + \lambda B) \geq w_\rho^2(A) + w_\rho^2(B) + 2w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B).$$

Hence, $w_\rho(A + \lambda B) = w_\rho(A) + w_\rho(B)$, that is, $A \parallel_{w_\rho} B$. \square

If \mathcal{H} be finite dimensional, then we get a tractable characterization of the w_ρ -parallelism as follows.

COROLLARY 4. *Let \mathcal{H} be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, and let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho \in (0, 2]$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $A \parallel_{w_\rho} B$,

(ii) *there exists a vector $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that*

$$\left| \left\langle Ay, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y \right\rangle \left\langle By, \sqrt{\frac{8-4\rho}{\rho}}x + \frac{2-2\rho}{\rho}y \right\rangle \right| = w_\rho(A)w_\rho(B).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 1, only we use Theorem 2 instead of Theorem 1. We omit the details. \square

The next result that was proved in [14, Theorem 2.2] (see also [1, Proposition 3.6]) follows immediately from Theorem 2 for $\rho = 2$.

COROLLARY 5. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $A \parallel_w B$,

(ii) *there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Ay_n, y_n \rangle \langle By_n, y_n \rangle| = w(A)w(B).$$

We close this paper with the following result.

COROLLARY 6. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

(i) $A \parallel B$,

(ii) *there exists a sequence $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Ay_n, x_n \rangle \langle By_n, x_n \rangle| = \frac{1}{4} \|A\| \|B\|$,*

(iii) *there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Az_n, Bz_n \rangle| = \|A\| \|B\|$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This implication follows immediately from Theorem 2 for $p = 1$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose (ii) holds. Put $z_n := 2\|x_n\|y_n$. Then, by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have $\|z_n\| = 2\|x_n\|\|y_n\| \leq \|x_n\|^2 + \|y_n\|^2 \leq 1$. Thus, $z_n \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Also, for $x_n \neq 0$, by the Buzano inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4|\langle Ay_n, x_n \rangle \langle By_n, x_n \rangle| &= \left| \left\langle A(2\|x_n\|y_n), \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}, B(2\|x_n\|y_n) \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|Az_n\|\|Bz_n\| + |\langle Az_n, Bz_n \rangle|}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\|A\|\|B\| + |\langle Az_n, Bz_n \rangle|}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\|A\|\|B\| + \|Az_n\|\|Bz_n\|}{2} \leq \|A\|\|B\|, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$4|\langle Ay_n, x_n \rangle \langle By_n, x_n \rangle| \leq \frac{\|A\|\|B\| + |\langle Az_n, Bz_n \rangle|}{2} \leq \|A\|\|B\|. \quad (14)$$

Clearly, (14) holds also when $x_n = 0$. Now, by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (14), we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Az_n, Bz_n \rangle| = \|A\|\|B\|$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) The proof is straightforward and is omitted. \square

REMARK 3. The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) of Corollary 6 already stated in [24, Theorem 3.3].

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to the referees for the useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ABU-OMAR AND F. KITTANEH, *Notes on some spectral radius and numerical radius inequalities*, Studia Math. **227** (2015), no. 2, 97–109.
- [2] T. ANDO AND C. K. LI, *Operator radii and unitary operators*, Oper. Matrices **4** (2) (2010), 273–281.
- [3] M. BARRAA AND M. BOUMAZGOUR, *Inner derivations and norm equality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 2, 471–476.
- [4] R. BHATIA AND P. ŠEMRL, *Orthogonality of matrices and some distance problems*, Linear Algebra Appl. **287** (1–3) (1999), 77–85.
- [5] P. BHUNIA, S. S. DRAGOMIR, M. S. MOSLEHIAN AND K. PAUL, *Lectures on numerical radius inequalities*, Infosys Science Foundation Series in Mathematical Sciences. Springer, 2022.
- [6] G. BIRKHOFF, *Orthogonality in linear metric spaces*, Duke Math. J. **1** (1935), 169–172.
- [7] T. BOTTAZZI, C. CONDE, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, P. WÓJCIK AND A. ZAMANI, *Orthogonality and parallelism of operators on various Banach spaces*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **106** (2019), 160–183.
- [8] S. M. ENDERAMI, M. ABTAHI AND A. ZAMANI, *An extension of Birkhoff–James orthogonality relations in semi-Hilbertian space operators*, Mediterr. J. Math. **19**, 234 (2022).
- [9] J. A. R. HOLBROOK, *On the power-bounded operators of Sz-Nagy and C. Foiaş*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **29** (1968), 299–310.
- [10] J. A. R. HOLBROOK, *Inequalities governing the operator radii associated with unitary p -dilations*, Michigan Math. J. **18** (1971), 149–159.

- [11] R. C. JAMES, *Orthogonality in normed linear spaces*, Duke Math. J. **12** (1945), 291–302.
- [12] F. KITTANEH AND A. ZAMANI, *On the p -operator radii*, Linear Algebra Appl. **687** (2024), 132–156.
- [13] A. MAL, K. PAUL AND J. SEN, *Birkhoff–James orthogonality and numerical radius inequalities of operator matrices*, Monatsh. Math. **197** (2022), 717–731.
- [14] M. MEHRAZIN, M. AMYARI AND A. ZAMANI, *Numerical radius parallelism of Hilbert space operators*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **46**, no. 3 (2020), 821–829.
- [15] M. S. MOSLEHIAN AND A. ZAMANI, *Characterizations of operator Birkhoff–James orthogonality*, Canad. Math. Bull. **60** (2017), no. 4, 816–829.
- [16] B. SZ.-NAGY AND C. FOIAŞ, *On certain classes of power-bounded operators in Hilbert space*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **27** (1966), 17–25.
- [17] B. SZ.-NAGY, C. FOIAŞ, H. BERCOVICI AND L. KÉRCHY, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, second edition, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [18] S. PAL AND S. ROY, *Dilation and Birkhoff–James orthogonality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **537** (2024), no. 2, 128326.
- [19] S. ROY, *The weak differentiability of norm and a generalized Bhatia–Šemrl theorem*, Linear Algebra Appl. **685** (2024), 46–65.
- [20] A. SEDDIK, *Rank one operators and norm of elementary operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. **424** (2007), 177–183.
- [21] A. TURNŠEK, *A remark on orthogonality and symmetry of operators in $B(H)$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **535** (2017), 141–150.
- [22] J. P. WILLIAMS, *Schwarz norms for operators*, Pacific J. Math. **24** (1968), 181–188.
- [23] A. ZAMANI, *Birkhoff–James orthogonality of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces and its applications*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **10** (2019), no. 3, 433–445.
- [24] A. ZAMANI AND M. S. MOSLEHIAN, *Exact and approximate operator parallelism*, Canad. Math. Bull. **58**(1) (2015), 207–224.
- [25] A. ZAMANI, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, M. T. CHIEN AND H. NAKAZATO, *Norm-parallelism and the Davis–Wielandt radius of Hilbert space operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **67** (6) (2019), no. 11, 2147–2158.
- [26] A. ZAMANI AND P. WÓJCIK, *Numerical radius orthogonality in C^* -algebras*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **11** (2020), no. 4, 1081–1092.

(Received July 16, 2024)

Fuad Kittaneh
Department of Mathematics
The University of Jordan
Amman, Jordan

and
Department of Mathematics
Korea University

Seoul 02841, South Korea e-mail: fkitt@ju.edu.jo

Ali Zamani
Department of Mathematics Education
Farhangian University
P. O. Box 14665-889, Tehran, Iran
and

School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences
Damghan University
P.O. Box 36715-364, Damghan, Iran
e-mail: zamani.ali85@yahoo.com