

## MULTIPLIERS OF MULTIDIMENSIONAL FOURIER ALGEBRAS

I. G. TODOROV AND L. TUROWSKA

*Abstract.* Let  $G$  be a locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact group. Motivated by an earlier notion for discrete groups due to Effros and Ruan, we introduce the multidimensional Fourier algebra  $A^n(G)$  of  $G$ . We characterise the completely bounded multidimensional multipliers associated with  $A^n(G)$  in several equivalent ways. In particular, we establish a completely isometric embedding of the space of all  $n$ -dimensional completely bounded multipliers into the space of all Schur multipliers on  $G^{n+1}$  with respect to the (left) Haar measure. We show that in the case  $G$  is amenable the space of completely bounded multidimensional multipliers coincides with the multidimensional Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of  $G$  introduced by Ylinen. We extend some well-known results for abelian groups to the multidimensional setting.

### 1. Introduction

A classical result in Harmonic Analysis asserts that a bounded function defined on a locally compact abelian group  $G$  is a multiplier of the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  of  $G$  precisely when it is the Fourier transform of a regular Borel measure on the character group  $\hat{G}$  of  $G$ . After the seminal work of P. Eymard [10], Harmonic Analysis on general locally compact groups has been closely related to the theory of  $C^*$ - and von Neumann algebras. More recent work of E. Effros, M. Neufang, Zh.-J. Ruan, V. Runde, N. Spronk and others shows that Operator Space Theory plays a significant role in the subject. The operator space structure of  $A(G)$  has thus become an indispensable tool in non-commutative Harmonic Analysis. J. de Cannière and U. Haagerup [4] defined the set  $M^{cb}A(G)$  of completely bounded multipliers of  $A(G)$ , and M. Bozejko and G. Fendler [3] provided a characterisation of  $M^{cb}A(G)$  which, combined with a classical result of A. Grothendieck [13] and a result of V. Peller [17] shows that  $M^{cb}A(G)$  can be isometrically identified with the space of all Schur multipliers of Toeplitz type. An alternative proof of this result was given by P. Jolissaint [14]. N. Spronk [21] showed that this identification is in fact a complete isometry. We refer the reader to Sections 5 and 6 of G. Pisier's monograph [18] for an account of Schur multipliers.

Building on an earlier work on bimeasures on locally compact groups [11], [12], K. Ylinen [22] defined a multivariable version  $B^n(G)$  of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of a locally compact group. A multivariable version of the Fourier algebra of a discrete group was introduced by E. Effros and Zh.-J. Ruan in [7], and its completely bounded

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): Primary 47B49; Secondary 47L60, 43A22.

*Keywords and phrases:* Fourier algebra, multiplier, Schur multiplier, multidimensional.

The research was supported by EPSRC grant D050677/1. The second author was also supported by the Swedish Research Council.

multipliers were characterised in terms of a multilinear matrix version of classical Schur multipliers, introduced in the same paper.

In [15], multidimensional Schur multipliers associated with measure spaces were introduced and identified with a natural extended Haagerup tensor product [9] up to an isometry. In the present paper, we show that this identification is a complete isometry. We define the  $n$ -dimensional Fourier algebra  $A^n(G)$  of an arbitrary locally compact group and show that it is a closed ideal of  $B^n(G)$ . We characterise the set  $M_n^{cb}A(G)$  of completely bounded multipliers associated with  $A^n(G)$  in several equivalent ways (Proposition 5.4, Theorem 5.5, Theorem 5.7). In particular, we show that there exists a completely isometric inclusion of  $M_n^{cb}A(G)$  into the space of all  $n + 1$ -dimensional Schur multipliers on  $G$  with respect to the (left) Haar measure. Its image is a space of multidimensional Schur multipliers of Toeplitz type. Our results imply that if  $G$  is amenable then  $B^n(G)$  can be completely isometrically identified with  $M_n^{cb}A(G)$ . In the case  $G$  is abelian, we show that  $B^n(G)$  can be identified with more general classes of multipliers on  $G$  arising from partitions of the variables (Theorem 6.4). In particular, every multiplier of  $A^n(G)$  is in this case automatically completely bounded. We obtain a multidimensional version of the classical result that if  $\varphi \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$  then the function  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  given by  $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) = \varphi(x - y)$  is a Schur multiplier if and only if  $\varphi$  is the Fourier transform of a regular Borel measure on the unit circle.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin by recalling some basic notions and results from P. Eymard's work [10]. If  $H$  and  $K$  are Hilbert spaces we let  $\mathcal{B}(H, K)$  be the space of all bounded linear operators from  $H$  into  $K$ . We write  $\mathcal{B}(H) = \mathcal{B}(H, H)$ . Throughout the paper,  $G$  will denote a locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact group with a left Haar measure  $m$  and a neutral element  $e$ . As usual,  $L^p(G)$ ,  $p = 1, 2$ , will denote the space of all complex valued Borel functions  $f$  on  $G$  such that  $|f|^p$  is integrable with respect to  $m$ . Integration against  $m$  with respect to the variable  $x$  will be denoted by  $dx$ . The space  $L^1(G)$  is an involutive Banach algebra; its enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra is the *group  $C^*$ -algebra*  $C^*(G)$  of  $G$ . We denote by  $W^*(G)$  the enveloping von Neumann algebra of  $C^*(G)$  and let  $\omega : G \rightarrow W^*(G)$  be the canonical homomorphism of  $G$  into  $W^*(G)$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the left regular representation of  $L^1(G)$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(G)$ ; the closure of its image in the operator norm is the *reduced  $C^*$ -algebra*  $C_r^*(G)$  of  $G$ , and its closure in the weak operator topology is the *group von Neumann algebra*  $\text{VN}(G)$  of  $G$ . We use the symbol  $\lambda$  to also denote the left regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(G)$ .

Let  $B(G) = C^*(G)^*$  be the *Fourier-Stieltjes algebra* of  $G$ ; if  $f \in B(G)$  then  $f$  can be identified with a function (denoted in the same way and) given by  $f(x) = \langle f, \omega(x) \rangle$ . Any such  $f$  has the form  $f(x) = (\pi(x)\xi, \eta)$  for some unitary representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  and vectors  $\xi, \eta \in H$ , and the space  $B(G)$  is a Banach algebra with respect to the pointwise product. By  $A(G)$  we denote as usual the *Fourier algebra* of  $G$ , that is, the ideal of  $B(G)$  of all functions  $f$  of the form  $f(x) = (\lambda_x \xi, \eta)$  where  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$ . Then  $A(G)$  can be canonically identified with the predual of  $\text{VN}(G)$ : if  $f(x) = (\lambda_x \xi, \eta)$ ,  $x \in G$ , then  $\langle f, T \rangle = (T\xi, \eta)$ ,  $T \in \text{VN}(G)$ .

We next recall some notions and facts from Operator Space Theory. We refer the reader to [1], [8], [16] and [19] for further details. An *operator space* is a closed subspace  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{B}(H, K)$  for some Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $K$ . If  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will denote by  $M_{n,m}(\mathcal{E})$  the space of all  $n$  by  $m$  matrices with entries in  $\mathcal{E}$  and let  $M_n(\mathcal{E}) = M_{n,n}(\mathcal{E})$ . Note that  $M_{n,m}(\mathcal{E})$  can be identified in a natural way with a subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(H^m, K^n)$  and hence carries a natural operator norm. If  $n = \infty$  or  $m = \infty$ , we will denote by  $M_{n,m}(\mathcal{E})$  the space of all (singly or doubly infinite) matrices with entries in  $\mathcal{E}$  which represent a bounded linear operator between the corresponding amplifications of the Hilbert spaces and set  $M_\infty(\mathcal{E}) = M_{\infty,\infty}(\mathcal{E})$ . We also write  $M_{n,m} = M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_\infty = M_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ . If  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are operator spaces, a linear map  $\Phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is called *completely bounded* if the map  $\Phi^{(k)} : M_k(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathcal{F})$ , given by  $\Phi^{(k)}((a_{ij})) = (\Phi(a_{ij}))$ , is bounded for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|\Phi\|_{cb} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_k \|\Phi^{(k)}\| < \infty$ . The map  $\Phi$  is called a *complete isometry* if  $\Phi^{(k)}$  is an isometry for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a *complete contraction* if  $\|\Phi\|_{cb} \leq 1$ .

If  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}$ ) is a linear space and  $\|\cdot\|_k$  is a norm on  $M_k(\mathcal{E})$  (resp.  $M_k(\mathcal{F})$ ),  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then one may speak of completely bounded, completely contractive and completely isometric mappings from  $\mathcal{E}$  into  $\mathcal{F}$  as described above. Ruan’s celebrated abstract characterisation of operator spaces identifies a set of axioms on the family  $(\|\cdot\|_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  of norms in order that  $\mathcal{E}$  be completely isometric to an operator space; see [8] for a description of these axioms and applications. An *operator space structure* on a linear space  $\mathcal{E}$  is a family  $(\|\cdot\|_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_k$  is a norm on  $M_k(\mathcal{E})$ , with respect to which  $\mathcal{E}$  is completely isometric to an operator space.

Let  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$  be operator spaces,  $\Phi : \mathcal{E}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be a multilinear map and

$$\Phi^{(k)} : M_k(\mathcal{E}_1) \times M_k(\mathcal{E}_2) \times \dots \times M_k(\mathcal{E}_n) \rightarrow M_k(\mathcal{E})$$

be the multilinear map given by

$$\Phi^{(k)}(a^1, \dots, a^n)_{p,q} = \sum_{p_2, \dots, p_n} \Phi(a^1_{p,p_2}, a^2_{p_2,p_3}, \dots, a^n_{p_n,q}), \tag{1}$$

where  $a^i = (a^i_{p,q}) \in M_k(\mathcal{E}_i)$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq k$ . The map  $\Phi$  is called *completely bounded* if there exists  $C > 0$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all elements  $a^i \in M_k(\mathcal{E}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\|\Phi^{(k)}(a^1, \dots, a^n)\| \leq C \|a^1\| \dots \|a^n\|.$$

If  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are dual operator spaces we say that  $\Phi$  is *normal* if it is weak\* continuous in each variable. We denote by  $CB^\sigma(\mathcal{E}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E})$  the set of all normal completely bounded multilinear maps from  $\mathcal{E}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{E}_n$  into  $\mathcal{E}$ ; this space can be equipped with an operator space structure in a canonical way (see [9]).

E. Christensen and A. Sinclair [6] gave a characterisation of completely bounded (resp. normal completely bounded) multilinear maps defined on the direct product of finitely many C\*-algebras (resp. von Neumann algebras). We will need the following generalisation of Corollaries 5.7 and 5.9 of [6] whose proof is a straightforward generalisation of the proof of Corollary 5.9 of [6]. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a set we let  $\mathcal{A}^n = \underbrace{\mathcal{A} \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}}_n$ .

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra and  $\mathcal{B}_j \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , are von Neumann subalgebras, we say that a mapping  $\Phi : \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  is  $(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_{n-1})$ -modular if

$$\Phi(a_1 r_1, a_2 r_2, \dots, a_n) = \Phi(a_1, r_1 a_2, \dots, r_{n-1} a_n),$$

for all  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $r_j \in \mathcal{B}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a von Neumann algebra,  $\mathcal{B}_j \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann subalgebra,  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\Phi : \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a multilinear map. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Phi$  is completely bounded, normal and  $(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_{n-1})$ -modular;
- (ii) there exists an index set  $J$  and operators  $V_j \in M_J(\mathcal{B}'_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $V_0 \in \mathcal{B}(K^J, H)$  and  $V_n \in M_{1,J}(H, K^J)$  such that for all  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$\Phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = V_0(a_1 \otimes 1_J)V_1(a_2 \otimes 1_J)V_2 \dots V_{n-1}(a_n \otimes 1_J)V_n.$$

Moreover, if (i) holds then  $\|\Phi\|_{cb}$  equals the infimum of  $\|V_0\| \dots \|V_n\|$  over all representations of  $\Phi$  as in (ii) and this infimum is attained.

Tensor products will play a substantial role in the paper. We denote by  $V \odot W$  the algebraic tensor product of the vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ . If  $\mathcal{E}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_1)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_2)$  are operator spaces and  $u \in \mathcal{E}_1 \odot \mathcal{E}_2$ , the Haagerup norm of  $u$  is given by

$$\|u\|_h = \inf \left\{ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k a_j a_j^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k b_j^* b_j \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} : u = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \otimes b_j \right\}.$$

The completion  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_h \mathcal{E}_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1 \odot \mathcal{E}_2$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_h$  is the Haagerup tensor product of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ . We refer the reader to [8] for its properties and to [9] for the definition and properties of the extended Haagerup tensor product  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_{eh} \mathcal{E}_2$  and the normal Haagerup tensor product  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_{\sigma h} \mathcal{E}_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ . We recall the canonical identifications  $(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_h \mathcal{E}_2)^* = \mathcal{E}_1^* \otimes_{eh} \mathcal{E}_2^*$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_{eh} \mathcal{E}_2)^* = \mathcal{E}_1^* \otimes_{\sigma h} \mathcal{E}_2^*$ . If  $\delta \in \mathcal{E}_1^*$  then the left slice map  $L_\delta : \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_{eh} \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$  is the unique completely bounded map given on elementary tensors by  $L_\delta(a \otimes b) = \delta(a)b$  [9]. Similarly, for  $\delta \in \mathcal{E}_2^*$  one defines the right slice map  $R_\delta : \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_{eh} \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Banach space we denote by  $b_1(\mathcal{X})$  the unit ball of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Banach space duality is denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We denote by  $1_H$  the identity operator on a Hilbert space  $H$  and, for a cardinal  $J$ , write  $1_J = 1_{\ell^2(J)}$ . The identity operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  is often denoted simply by 1.

### 3. The operator space of Schur multipliers

In this section we recall the definition of multidimensional Schur multipliers associated with measure spaces and prove a completely isometric version of the characterisation result, Theorem 3.4, of [15].

Let  $(X_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be standard measure spaces and

$$\Gamma(X_1, \dots, X_n) = L^2(X_1 \times X_2) \odot \dots \odot L^2(X_{n-1} \times X_n),$$

where the direct products are equipped with the corresponding product measures. We identify the elements of  $\Gamma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  with functions on

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_{n-1} \times X_{n-1} \times X_n$$

in the obvious fashion. We equip  $\Gamma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  with the Haagerup tensor norm  $\|\cdot\|_h$ , where the  $L^2$ -spaces are given their opposite operator space structure (see [19]) arising from the identification  $f \longleftrightarrow T_f$  of  $L^2(X \times Y)$  with the class of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from  $L^2(X)$  into  $L^2(Y)$  where, for  $f \in L^2(X \times Y)$ , we let  $T_f$  be the (Hilbert-Schmidt) operator given by

$$(T_f \xi)(y) = \int_X f(x, y) \xi(x) dx, \quad \xi \in L^2(X), y \in Y, \tag{2}$$

$dx$  denoting integration with respect to the corresponding measure on  $X$ . If  $f \in L^2(X \times Y)$  we let  $\|f\|_{op}$  be equal to the operator norm of  $T_f$ .

For each  $\varphi \in L^\infty(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n)$  let

$$S_\varphi : \Gamma(X_1, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow L^2(X_1 \times X_n)$$

be the map sending  $f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_{n-1} \in \Gamma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  to the function which maps  $(x_1, x_n)$  to

$$\int \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) f_1(x_1, x_2) f_2(x_2, x_3) \dots f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}, x_n) dx_2 \dots dx_{n-1}.$$

It was shown in Theorem 3.1 of [15] that  $S_\varphi$  is a bounded mapping when  $\Gamma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is equipped with the projective norm where each of its terms is given the  $L^2$ -norm, and that  $\|S_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_\infty$ .

**DEFINITION 3.1.** A function  $\varphi \in L^\infty(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n)$  is called a Schur multiplier (relative to the measure spaces  $(X_1, \mu_1), \dots, (X_n, \mu_n)$ ) if there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\|S_\varphi(u)\|_{op} \leq C \|u\|_h$ , for all  $u \in \Gamma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . The smallest constant  $C$  with this property is denoted by  $\|\varphi\|_m$ .

Let  $H_i = L^2(X_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\varphi \in L^\infty(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n)$  be a Schur multiplier. It was shown in Section 3 of [15] that  $\varphi$  induces a normal completely bounded multilinear map

$$\tilde{S}_\varphi : \mathcal{B}(H_{n-1}, H_n) \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_n)$$

such that  $\|\tilde{S}_\varphi\|_{cb} = \|\varphi\|_m$  and  $\tilde{S}_\varphi(T_{f_{n-1}}, \dots, T_{f_1}) = S_\varphi(f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_{n-1})$ , for all  $f_i \in L^2(X_i \times X_{i+1})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  the collection of all Schur multipliers in  $L^\infty(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{S}$  can be canonically embedded into  $CB^\sigma(\mathcal{B}(H_{n-1}, H_n) \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2), \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_n))$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{S}$  inherits an operator space structure from the latter space. More precisely, if  $\varphi = (\varphi_{p,q}) \in M_k(\mathcal{S})$  we

have  $\|\varphi\|_{m,k} \stackrel{def}{=} \|(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\varphi_{p,q}})\|_{cb}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\varphi} = (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\varphi_{p,q}})$  is identified with a normal completely bounded multilinear map from  $\mathcal{B}(H_{n-1}, H_n) \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$  into  $M_k(\mathcal{B}(H_1, H_n))$ . Note that a matrix  $\varphi = (\varphi_{p,q}) \in M_k(\mathcal{S})$  can be viewed as a map  $\varphi : X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \rightarrow M_k$  by letting  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\varphi_{p,q}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \in M_k$ .

The following result is a matricial version of Theorem 3.4 of [15].

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_{p,q}) \in M_k(\mathcal{S})$ . The following are equivalent:*

(i)  $\|\varphi\|_{m,k} < 1$ ;

(ii) *there exist essentially bounded functions  $a_1 : X_1 \rightarrow M_{\infty,k}$ ,  $a_n : X_n \rightarrow M_{k,\infty}$  and  $a_i : X_i \rightarrow M_{\infty}$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n - 1$ , such that, for almost all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ , we have*

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_n(x_n)a_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) \dots a_1(x_1) \text{ and } \text{esssup}_{x_i \in X_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \|a_i(x_i)\| < 1.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $\mathcal{D}_i$  be the multiplication masa of  $L^\infty(X_i)$ . The proof of Theorem 3.4 of [15] implies that the mapping

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\varphi} \stackrel{def}{=} (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\varphi_{p,q}}) : \mathcal{B}(H_{n-1}, H_n) \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2) \rightarrow M_k(\mathcal{B}(H_1, H_n))$$

is normal, completely bounded, and  $(\mathcal{D}_n, \dots, \mathcal{D}_1)$ -modular in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\varphi}(A_n T_{n-1} A_{n-1}, \dots, T_1 A_1) \\ &= (A_n \otimes 1_k) \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\varphi}(T_{n-1}, A_{n-1} T_{n-2}, \dots, A_2 T_1)(A_1 \otimes 1_k), \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $A_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . A modification of Corollary 5.9 of [6] shows that there exist operators  $V_1 : H_1^k \rightarrow H_1^\infty$ ,  $V_i : H_i^\infty \rightarrow H_i^\infty$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n - 1$  and  $V_n : H_n^\infty \rightarrow H_n^k$  such that the entries of  $V_i$  belong to  $\mathcal{D}_i$ ,  $\prod_{i=1}^n \|V_i\| < 1$  and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\varphi}(T_{n-1}, \dots, T_1) = V_n(T_{n-1} \otimes I) \dots (T_1 \otimes I)V_1,$$

for all  $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(H_i, H_{i+1})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $V_i = (A_{s,t}^i)_{s,t}$ , where  $A_{s,t}^i$  is the multiplication operator corresponding to  $a_{s,t}^i \in L^\infty(X_i)$  let  $a_i : X_i \rightarrow M_\infty$  be the function given by  $a_i(x_i) = (a_{s,t}^i(x_i))_{s,t}$ ,  $x_i \in X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Define  $a_1 : X_1 \rightarrow M_{\infty,k}$  and  $a_n : X_n \rightarrow M_{k,\infty}$  similarly. Then  $\text{esssup}_{x_i \in X_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \|a_i(x_i)\| = \prod_{i=1}^n \|V_i\| < 1$ .

Let  $V_n^p$  (resp.  $V_1^q$ ) be the  $p$ th row (resp. the  $q$ th column) of  $V_n$  (resp.  $V_1$ ). Let  $a_n^p : X_n \rightarrow M_{1,\infty}$  (resp.  $a_1^q : X_1 \rightarrow M_{\infty,1}$ ) be the function corresponding to  $V_n^p$  (resp.  $V_1^q$ ). We have that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\varphi_{p,q}}(T_{n-1}, \dots, T_1) = V_n^p(T_{n-1} \otimes I)V_{n-1} \dots V_2(T_1 \otimes I)V_1^q,$$

for all  $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(H_i, H_{i+1})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . It follows from Theorem 3.4 of [15] that

$$\varphi_{p,q}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_n^p(x_n)a_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) \dots a_2(x_2)a_1^q(x_1), \text{ a.e. } x_i \in X_i.$$

Since this holds for all  $p, q = 1, \dots, k$ , we have that

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_n(x_n)a_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) \dots a_2(x_2)a_1(x_1)$$

for almost all  $x_i \in X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) In the notation of (i) we have that

$$\varphi_{p,q}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_n^p(x_n)a_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) \dots a_2(x_2)a_1^q(x_1),$$

for almost all  $x_i \in X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , which in turn implies that

$$\tilde{S}_{\varphi_{p,q}}(T_{n-1}, \dots, T_1) = V_n^p(T_{n-1} \otimes I)V_{n-1} \dots V_2(T_1 \otimes I)V_1^q,$$

and hence that

$$\tilde{S}_{\varphi}(T_{n-1}, \dots, T_1) = V_n(T_{n-1} \otimes I)V_{n-1} \dots V_2(T_1 \otimes I)V_1,$$

for all  $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(H_i, H_{i+1}), i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . It follows that  $\|S_\varphi\| < 1$  and so  $\|\varphi\|_{m,k} < 1$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.3. Theorem 3.2 amounts to the statement that the identification of the set of all  $n$ -dimensional Schur multipliers on  $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$  with the extended Haagerup tensor product  $L^\infty(X_n) \otimes_{eh} \dots \otimes_{eh} L^\infty(X_1)$  discussed in the remark after Theorem 3.4 of [15] is completely isometric.

### 4. The multidimensional Fourier-Stieltjes algebra

In this section we recall the notion of the Fourier transform of a completely bounded multilinear map on the direct product of finitely many group  $C^*$ -algebras studied in [22], which will provide the basis for our study of multidimensional multipliers. We discuss a description of the multidimensional Fourier-Stieltjes algebra in terms of tensor products and explain its relation to the one dimensional case as well as to the notion of a bimeasure studied in [11].

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An  $n$ -measure on  $G$  is a completely bounded multilinear map  $\Phi : C^*(G)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We note that the term ‘‘bimeasure’’ was used in [11] to designate a bounded bilinear form on  $C_0(G) \times C_0(H)$ , where  $G$  and  $H$  are locally compact groups. We will show below that in the case  $H = G$  is abelian, the notion of a bimeasure agrees with that of a 2-measure. In general, however, this notion is different from ours; we note that a multivariable generalisation of it was introduced and studied in [23].

We let  $M^n(G)$  denote the space of all  $n$ -measures on  $G$ ; by the universal property of the Haagerup tensor product, we have that

$$M^n(G) \cong \left( \underbrace{C^*(G) \otimes_h \dots \otimes_h C^*(G)}_n \right)^*.$$

We equip  $M^n(G)$  with the standard operator space structure of a dual operator space arising from the above identification. Suppose that  $\Phi \in M^n(G)$ . It is standard (see p. 156 of [22]) to extend  $\Phi$  to a normal completely bounded map

$$\tilde{\Phi} : \underbrace{W^*(G) \otimes_{\sigma h} \dots \otimes_{\sigma h} W^*(G)}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Let

$$B^n(G) = \{f \in L^\infty(G^n) : \text{there exists } \Phi \in M^n(G) \text{ such that } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{\Phi}(\omega(x_1), \dots, \omega(x_n)), x_1, \dots, x_n \in G\}. \tag{3}$$

Since  $\{\omega(x) : x \in G\}$  generates  $W^*(G)$  as a von Neumann algebra, we have that the element  $\Phi \in M^n(G)$  associated with  $f \in B^n(G)$  in (3) is unique. We call  $f$  the Fourier transform of  $\Phi$  and write  $f = \hat{\Phi}$ . Thus,  $B^n(G)$  is in one-to-one correspondence with  $M^n(G)$ ; we equip it with the operator space structure arising from this correspondence. Thus, if  $(f_{p,q}) \in M_k(B^n(G))$  and  $\Phi_{p,q} \in M^n(G)$  is such that  $\hat{\Phi}_{p,q} = f_{p,q}$ , we have that  $\|(f_{p,q})\|_{M_k(B^n(G))} = \|(\Phi_{p,q})\|_{M_k(M^n(G))}$ . Since the map  $x \rightarrow \omega(x)$  is weak\* continuous, the space  $B^n(G)$  consists of separately continuous functions. By Corollary 5.4 of [22],  $B^n(G)$  is closed under the pointwise product. By [2],

$$B^n(G) \equiv \underbrace{B(G) \otimes_{eh} \dots \otimes_{eh} B(G)}_n \tag{4}$$

up to a complete isometry. We note that if  $f \in B^n(G)$  and  $a_i \in L^1(G)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then

$$\langle a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n, f \rangle = \int_{G^n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n. \tag{5}$$

Indeed, (5) is obviously true if  $f$  is an elementary tensor, and by linearity, if  $f$  is in the algebraic tensor product of  $n$  copies of  $B(G)$ . If  $f \in B^n(G)$  then there exists a bounded net  $\{f_\nu\}_\nu$  in the algebraic tensor product of  $n$  copies of  $B(G)$  which tends to  $f$  in the topology determined by the duality between  $B^n(G)$  and  $\underbrace{W^*(G) \odot \dots \odot W^*(G)}_n$  [9]. But

then

$$\begin{aligned} f_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \langle f_\nu, \omega(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \omega(x_n) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle f, \omega(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \omega(x_n) \rangle = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$  and (5) follows from the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem.

The following fact proved in [22] will be of importance to us.

**THEOREM 4.1.** [22] *A function  $f$  belongs to  $B^n(G)$  if and only if there exist a Hilbert space  $H$ , vectors  $\xi, \eta \in H$  and strongly continuous unitary representations  $\pi_i$  of  $G$  on  $H$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that*

$$f(x_n, \dots, x_1) = (\pi_n(x_n) \dots \pi_1(x_1) \xi, \eta), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in G.$$

Moreover, the norm of  $f$  equals the infimum of the products  $\|\xi\| \|\eta\|$  over all representations of  $f$  of the above form.

Theorem 4.1 has the following consequence.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *The functions from  $B^n(G)$  are jointly continuous.*

*Proof.* The statement follows from Theorem 4.1 and the fact that operator multiplication is strongly continuous on bounded subsets.  $\square$

We recall that an operator space which is also a Banach algebra is called a completely contractive Banach algebra if the product is completely contractive with respect to the operator projective tensor norm (see [8] for the definition of this tensor norm). It is known that  $B(G)$  is a completely contractive Banach algebra; the next result shows that this remains true in the multivariable setting.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *The operator space  $B^n(G)$  is a completely contractive Banach algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $(f_{p,q}) \in M_k(B^n(G))$  (resp.  $(g_{s,t}) \in M_m(B^n(G))$ ) and  $\Phi_{p,q}$  (resp.  $\Psi_{s,t}$ ) be the  $n$ -measure such that  $\hat{\Phi}_{p,q} = f_{p,q}$  (resp.  $\hat{\Psi}_{s,t} = g_{s,t}$ ). Let  $\Phi = (\Phi_{p,q})$  (resp.  $\Psi = (\Psi_{s,t})$ ); then  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\Psi$ ) can be viewed as a completely bounded mapping from  $C^*(G)^n$  into  $M_k$  (resp.  $M_m$ ). Moreover,  $\|(f_{p,q})\|_{M_k(B^n(G))} = \|\Phi\|_{cb}$  and  $\|(g_{s,t})\|_{M_m(B^n(G))} = \|\Psi\|_{cb}$ .

Since  $\Phi$  is completely bounded, there exist representations  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$  of  $C^*(G)$  on Hilbert spaces  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ , respectively, and operators  $V_0, \dots, V_{n+1}$ , where  $V_{n+1} : H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ ,  $V_0 : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow H_1$  and  $V_i : H_i \rightarrow H_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that

$$\Phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = V_{n+1}\pi_n(a_n)V_n \dots V_1\pi_1(a_1)V_0, \quad a_1, \dots, a_n \in C^*(G), \tag{6}$$

and  $\|\Phi\|_{cb} = \|V_0\| \dots \|V_{n+1}\|$ . We determine representations  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  and operators  $W_0, \dots, W_{n+1}$  associated with  $\Psi$  in a similar fashion.

Consider the mapping  $\Omega : C^*(G)^n \rightarrow M_{km}$ , where for  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in C^*(G)$  we set  $\Omega(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  to be equal to

$$(V_{n+1} \otimes W_{n+1})(\pi_n \otimes \rho_n(a_n))(V_n \otimes W_n) \dots (V_1 \otimes W_1)(\pi_1 \otimes \rho_1(a_1))(V_0 \otimes W_0).$$

Let  $\tilde{\Phi}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\Psi}$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega}$ ) be the canonical extensions of  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\Psi$ ,  $\Omega$ ) to a normal completely bounded map from  $W^*(G)^n$  into  $M_k$  (resp.  $M_m$ ,  $M_{km}$ ). We have that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is given as in (6) but with the extension  $\tilde{\pi}_i$  of  $\pi_i$  to  $W^*(G)$  in the place of  $\pi_i$ . Similar formulas hold for  $\tilde{\Psi}$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .

It now follows that

$$\tilde{\Omega}(\omega(x_1), \dots, \omega(x_n)) = \tilde{\Phi}(\omega(x_1), \dots, \omega(x_n)) \otimes \tilde{\Psi}(\omega(x_1), \dots, \omega(x_n)),$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f_{p,q}g_{s,t})_{p,q,s,t}\|_{M_{km}(B^n(G))} &= \|\tilde{\Omega}\|_{cb} \leq \|V_0 \otimes W_0\| \dots \|V_{n+1} \otimes W_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|V_0\| \dots \|V_{n+1}\| \|W_0\| \dots \|W_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|(f_{p,q})_{p,q}\|_{M_k(B^n(G))} \|(g_{s,t})_{s,t}\|_{M_m(B^n(G))}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

We note that Theorem 4.1 implies that  $B^1(G)$  coincides with the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra  $B(G)$  of the group  $G$  introduced by Eymard [10].

Suppose that  $G$  is abelian and  $n = 2$ . In this case  $M^2(G)$  coincides with the set of all bimeasures on the character group  $\hat{G}$  of  $G$  studied in [12], while  $B^2(G)$  coincides with the set of their Fourier transforms. Indeed, let  $\Phi \in M^2(G)$ . Since  $G$  is abelian,  $C^*(G)$  is canonically  $*$ -isomorphic to  $C_0(\hat{G})$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  can be considered as a bounded bilinear form on  $C_0(\hat{G}) \times C_0(\hat{G})$  (in other words, a *bimeasure* on  $\hat{G}$  in the sense of [12]). On the other hand, for any locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  there exists a canonical injection  $\iota : \mathcal{L}^\infty(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)^{**}$  (where  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$  is the algebra of all bounded Borel functions on  $X$ ) given by  $\iota(f)(\mu) = \int_X f d\mu$ ,  $\mu \in C_0(X)^*$ . Let  $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{L}^\infty(\hat{G}) \times \mathcal{L}^\infty(\hat{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the extension of  $\Phi$  described in Corollary 1.3 of [12]. If  $x \in G$  let  $\check{x}$  be the character of  $\hat{G}$  corresponding to  $x^{-1}$ . It is straightforward to check that

$$\iota(\check{x}) = \omega(x). \tag{7}$$

We next observe that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\iota(f), \iota(g)) = \Phi_1(f, g), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\hat{G}). \tag{8}$$

To this end, let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be probability measures associated with  $\Phi$  through Grothendieck's inequality and let  $\{f_\alpha\} \subseteq C_0(\hat{G})$  and  $\{g_\alpha\} \subseteq C_0(\hat{G})$  be bounded nets such that  $f_\alpha \rightarrow \iota(f)$  and  $g_\alpha \rightarrow \iota(g)$  in the weak\* topology of  $W^*(G)$ . Then  $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$  in  $L^2(\hat{G}, \mu_1)$  and  $g_\alpha \rightarrow g$  in  $L^2(\hat{G}, \mu_2)$ . By the definition of  $\Phi_1(f, g)$  (see [12]), we have that it is the limit of the net  $\{\Phi(f_\alpha, g_\alpha)\}_\alpha$ . Identity (8) now follows by approximation.

Now note that (7) and (8) imply

$$\Phi_1(\check{x}, \check{y}) = \tilde{\Phi}(\omega(x), \omega(y)), \quad x, y \in G.$$

It follows from Definition 1.10 of [12] that  $B^2(G)$  coincides with the set of all Fourier transforms of bimeasures on  $\hat{G}$ .

### 5. Multipliers of $A^n(G)$ : non-abelian groups

In this section, we introduce the multidimensional Fourier algebra  $A^n(G)$  of a locally compact group  $G$ . For each partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of the set  $\{n, \dots, 1\}$  into  $k$  subsets, we define a completely isometric embedding of  $A^k(G)$  into  $A^n(G)$ . Using these embeddings, we define the (completely bounded) multipliers of  $G$  relative to  $\mathcal{P}$ . We characterise the completely bounded multipliers corresponding to the partition with  $k = 1$  in a number of ways, generalising results from [7] and [21].

Let

$$A^n(G) = \{f \in L^\infty(G^n) : \text{there exists a normal c.b. multilinear map}$$

$$\Phi : \text{VN}(G)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } f(x_n, \dots, x_1) = \Phi(\lambda_{x_n}, \dots, \lambda_{x_1})\}.$$

Since  $\{\lambda_x : x \in G\}$  generates  $\text{VN}(G)$  as a von Neumann algebra, the element  $\Phi$  associated with  $f \in A^n(G)$  in the above definition is unique. As before, we call  $f$  the Fourier transform of  $\Phi$  and write  $f = \hat{\Phi}$ . Set  $\text{VN}(G)^{\otimes_{\sigma h} n} = \underbrace{\text{VN}(G) \otimes_{\sigma h} \dots \otimes_{\sigma h} \text{VN}(G)}_n$ . By

[9],  $A^n(G)$  can be identified with the predual of the operator space  $\text{VN}(G)^{\otimes_{\sigma h} n}$  (see [9]). Hence,  $A^n(G)$  possesses a canonical operator space structure; up to a complete isometry,

$$A^n(G) \cong \underbrace{A(G) \otimes_{eh} \dots \otimes_{eh} A(G)}_n.$$

In particular,  $\|f\|_{A^n(G)}$  is by definition equal to the completely bounded norm of its associated map  $\Phi$ . Moreover, the elements  $f \in A^n(G)$  have the form

$$f(x_n, \dots, x_1) = \langle \lambda_{x_n} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{x_1}, f \rangle, \quad x_n, \dots, x_1 \in G.$$

It follows from Corollary 5.7 of [6] that a function  $f \in L^\infty(G^n)$  belongs to  $A^n(G)$  if and only if there exists an index set  $J$ , operators  $V_i \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^J)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$  and vectors  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)^J$  such that for all  $x_n, \dots, x_1 \in G$  we have

$$f(x_n, \dots, x_1) = ((\lambda_{x_n} \otimes 1_J)V_{n-1}(\lambda_{x_{n-1}} \otimes 1_J)V_{n-2} \dots (\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1_J)\xi, \eta). \tag{9}$$

Moreover,  $\|f\|_{A^n(G)}$  is equal to the infimum of  $\|V_1\| \dots \|V_{n-1}\| \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$  over all representations of the form (9) and this infimum is attained.

A fundamental fact proved by Eymard [10] is that  $A(G)$  is an ideal of  $B(G)$ . We now prove the multidimensional version of this result. In the case  $G$  is discrete, this was stated in [7] (p. 214).

**THEOREM 5.1.**  $A^n(G)$  is a closed ideal of  $B^n(G)$ .

*Proof.* We only consider the case  $n = 2$ ; the general case can be treated similarly. Let  $f \in A^2(G)$ . Then  $f(x, y) = ((\lambda_x \otimes 1_J)V(\lambda_y \otimes 1_J)\xi, \eta)$  for some index set  $J$ , vectors  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)^J$  and a bounded operator  $V \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^J)$ . Letting  $\pi$  be the ampliation of multiplicity  $J$  of the left regular representation of  $C^*(G)$  on  $L^2(G)^J$  and  $\Phi \in (C^*(G) \otimes_h C^*(G))^*$  be given by  $\Phi(a, b) = (\pi(a)V\pi(b)\xi, \eta)$  we see that  $f = \hat{\Phi}$  and hence  $f \in B^2(G)$ . Thus,  $A^2(G) \subseteq B^2(G)$ ; from the injectivity of the extended Haagerup tensor product it is clear that  $A^2(G)$  is closed.

Now let  $f \in A^2(G)$  be given as in the first paragraph and  $g \in B^2(G)$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $g(x, y) = (\pi(x)\rho(y)\xi', \eta')$  for some representations  $\pi, \rho : G \rightarrow H$  and vectors  $\xi', \eta' \in H$ . Thus,

$$(fg)(x, y) = (((\lambda_x \otimes 1_J \otimes \pi(x)))(V \otimes 1_H)(\lambda_y \otimes 1_J \otimes \rho(y)))(\xi \otimes \xi'), \eta \otimes \eta').$$

By [4, Lemma 2.1], there exist unitary operators  $U$  and  $W$  and index sets  $J'$  and  $J''$  such that  $U(\lambda_x \otimes 1_J \otimes \pi(x))U^* = \lambda_x \otimes 1_{J'}$  and  $W(\lambda_y \otimes 1_J \otimes \rho(y))W^* = \lambda_y \otimes 1_{J''}$ . It follows that

$$(fg)(x, y) = (((\lambda_x \otimes 1_{J'})T(\lambda_y \otimes 1_{J''})\xi_0, \eta_0),$$

where  $T = U(V \otimes I_H)W^*$ ,  $\xi_0 = W(\xi \otimes \xi')$  and  $\eta_0 = U(\eta \otimes \eta')$ . This clearly implies that  $fg \in A^2(G)$ .  $\square$

Suppose that  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . By a block  $(k, n)$ -partition we mean a partition of the ordered set  $\{n, n-1, \dots, 1\}$  into  $k$  subsets of the form  $\{n, \dots, n_{k-1}\}, \dots, \{n_1 - 1, \dots, 1\}$  where  $n \geq n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1 > 1$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is the block  $(k, n)$ -partition associated with the sequence  $n \geq n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1 > 1$  as above. We define a mapping  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}} : A^k(G) \rightarrow A^n(G)$  by letting  $(\theta_{\mathcal{P}}f)(x_n, \dots, x_1) = f(y_k, \dots, y_1)$  where  $y_i = x_{n_{i-1}} \dots x_{n_{i-1}}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and we have set  $n_0 = 1$ ,  $n_k = n + 1$ . It follows from (9) that  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}$  maps  $A^k(G)$  into  $A^n(G)$ . We let  $\theta = \theta_{\mathcal{P}_0}$  where  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is the  $(1, n)$ -partition; thus,  $\theta$  maps  $A(G)$  into  $A^n(G)$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are algebras and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the  $(k, n)$ -partition associated with the sequence  $n \geq n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1 > 1$ , we say that a map  $\Phi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -modular if

$$\Phi(a_n, \dots, a_i a, a_{i-1}, \dots, a_1) = \Phi(a_n, \dots, a_i, a a_{i-1}, \dots, a_1)$$

whenever  $a, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $i \notin \{1, n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}\}$ .

PROPOSITION 5.2. *For each block  $(k, n)$ -partition  $\mathcal{P}$ , the map  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}} : A^k(G) \rightarrow A^n(G)$  is a completely isometric homomorphism. Moreover,*

$$\text{ran } \theta_{\mathcal{P}} = \{\hat{\Psi} : \Psi : \text{VN}(G)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ is } \mathcal{P}\text{-modular}\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is associated with the sequence  $n \geq n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1 > 1$ . It is obvious that  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}$  is linear and multiplicative. Suppose that  $(f_{p,q}) \in M_r(A^k(G))$  and let  $\Phi_{p,q} : \text{VN}(G)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $\hat{\Phi}_{p,q} = f_{p,q}$ . Set  $\Phi = (\Phi_{p,q})$ ; then  $\Phi$  can be viewed as a completely bounded multilinear mapping from  $\text{VN}(G)^k$  into  $M_r$ . There exist an index set  $J$  and operators  $V_1, \dots, V_{k-1} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^J)$ ,  $V_0 : \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow L^2(G)^J$  and  $V_k : L^2(G)^J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$  such that

$$\Phi(\lambda_{y_k}, \dots, \lambda_{y_1}) = V_k(\lambda_{y_k} \otimes 1_J)V_{k-1}(\lambda_{y_{k-1}} \otimes 1_J)V_{k-2} \dots V_1(\lambda_{y_1} \otimes 1_J)V_0$$

and  $\|\Phi\|_{cb} = \prod_{i=0}^k \|V_i\|$ . Let  $\Psi_{p,q} : \text{VN}(G)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $\hat{\Psi}_{p,q} = \theta_{\mathcal{P}}(f_{p,q})$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq r$  and  $\Psi = (\Psi_{p,q})$ . Then

$$\Psi(\lambda_{x_n}, \dots, \lambda_{x_1}) = V_k(\lambda_{x_n \dots x_{n_{k-1}}} \otimes 1_J)V_{k-1} \dots (\lambda_{x_{n_1-1} \dots x_1} \otimes 1_J)V_0. \tag{10}$$

It follows that

$$\|(\theta_{\mathcal{P}}(f_{p,q}))\|_{M_r(A^n(G))} \leq \prod_{i=0}^k \|V_i\| = \|(f_{p,q})\|_{M_r(A^k(G))},$$

Thus,  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}$  is completely contractive.

Suppose that for some  $f \in A^k(G)$  we have  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}(f) = 0$ . This implies that  $f(x_n \dots x_{n_{k-1}}, \dots, x_{n_1-1} \dots x_1) = 0$  for all  $x_i \in G$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Setting  $x_i = e$  whenever  $i \notin \{1, n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}\}$ , we see that  $f = 0$ . Thus,  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}$  is injective.

Fix  $f = (f_{p,q}) \in M_r(A^k(G))$ . It is clear from (10) that the element  $\Psi = (\Psi_{p,q})$  for which  $\hat{\Psi}_{p,q} = \theta_{\mathcal{P}}(f_{p,q})$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -modular. By Theorem 2.1,

$$\|\theta_{\mathcal{P}}^{(r)}(f)\|_{M_r(A^n(G))} = \inf \prod_{i=0}^k \|V_i\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all operators  $V_i$  for which  $\Psi(\lambda_{x_n}, \dots, \lambda_{x_1})$  equals the right hand side of (10), for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$ . Since  $\theta$  is injective, if (10) is a representation for  $\Psi$  then

$$f(y_k, \dots, y_1) = V_k(\lambda_{y_k} \otimes 1_J)V_{k-1}(\lambda_{y_{k-1}} \otimes 1_J)V_{k-2} \dots (\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1_J)V_0,$$

for all  $y_1, \dots, y_k \in G$ . It follows that  $\|f\|_{M_r(A^k(G))} \leq \prod_{i=0}^k \|V_i\|$  and so  $\|f\|_{M_r(A^k(G))} \leq \|\theta_{\mathcal{P}}^{(r)}(f)\|_{M_r(A^n(G))}$ . Thus,  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a complete isometry.

Let  $\Psi : \text{VN}(G)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be  $\mathcal{P}$ -modular. It remains to show that  $\hat{\Psi} \in \text{ran } \theta_{\mathcal{P}}$ . By Theorem 2.1, there exist an index set and operators  $V_1, \dots, V_{k-1}$  and vectors  $\xi, \eta$  such that

$$\Psi(a_n, \dots, a_1) = ((a_n \dots a_{n_k} \otimes 1_J)V_{k-1} \dots V_1(a_{n_1-1} \dots a_1 \otimes 1_J)\xi, \eta),$$

$a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{VN}(G)$ . Letting  $f \in A^k(G)$  be the function

$$f(y_k, \dots, y_1) = ((\lambda_{y_k} \otimes 1_J)V_{k-1}(\lambda_{y_{k-1}} \otimes 1_J)V_{k-2} \dots V_1(\lambda_{y_1} \otimes 1_J)\xi, \eta),$$

we see that  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}(f) = \hat{\Psi}$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 5.3. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a block  $(k, n)$ -partition. We call a function  $\varphi \in L^\infty(G^n)$  a  $\mathcal{P}$ -multiplier of  $A(G)$  if

$$f \in A^k(G) \Rightarrow \varphi \theta_{\mathcal{P}}(f) \in A^n(G).$$

We denote by  $M_{\mathcal{P}}A(G)$  the collection of all  $\mathcal{P}$ -multipliers of  $A(G)$ .

If  $\varphi \in M_{\mathcal{P}}A(G)$  and the map  $f \rightarrow \varphi \theta_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$  from  $A^k(G)$  into  $A^n(G)$  is completely bounded we call  $\varphi$  a completely bounded (or c.b.)  $\mathcal{P}$ -multiplier of  $A(G)$ . We denote by  $M_{\mathcal{P}}^{cb}A(G)$  the collection of all c.b.  $\mathcal{P}$ -multipliers of  $A(G)$ .

If  $\mathcal{P}$  is the block  $(1, n)$ -partition we set  $M_nA(G) = M_{\mathcal{P}}A(G)$  and  $M_n^{cb}A(G) = M_{\mathcal{P}}^{cb}A(G)$ .

REMARKS. (i) If  $k = n = 1$  the above definition reduces to that of multipliers and completely bounded multipliers of  $A(G)$ .

(ii) An application of the Closed Graph Theorem shows that if  $\varphi \in M_{\mathcal{P}}A(G)$  then the map  $f \rightarrow \varphi \theta_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$  from  $A^k(G)$  into  $A^n(G)$  is bounded.

PROPOSITION 5.4. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the block  $(k, n)$ -partition associated with the sequence  $n \geq n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1 > 1$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\varphi \in M_{\mathcal{P}}^{cb}A(G)$ ;
- (ii) The map

$$(\lambda_{x_n}, \dots, \lambda_{x_1}) \rightarrow \varphi(x_n, \dots, x_1)\lambda_{x_n \dots x_{n_k}} \otimes \lambda_{x_{n_{k-1}} \dots x_{n_{k-1}}} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{x_{n_1-1} \dots x_1}$$

extends to a c.b. normal map  $\Phi_\varphi : \text{VN}(G)^n \rightarrow \text{VN}(G)^{\otimes_{\sigma_h} k}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the map  $T_\varphi : A^k(G) \rightarrow A^n(G)$  given by  $f \rightarrow \varphi\theta(f)$  is completely bounded. Then its adjoint

$$T_\varphi^* : \text{VN}(G)^{\otimes_{\sigma h} n} \rightarrow \text{VN}(G)^{\otimes_{\sigma h} k}$$

is completely bounded. For  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$  set  $y_k = x_n \dots x_{n_k}, \dots, y_1 = x_{n_1-1} \dots x_1$ . If  $f \in A(G)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_\varphi^*(\lambda_{x_n} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{x_1}), f \rangle &= \langle \lambda_{x_n} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{x_1}, T_\varphi f \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda_{x_n} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{x_1}, \varphi\theta(f) \rangle = (\varphi\theta(f))(x_n, \dots, x_1) \\ &= \varphi(x_n, \dots, x_1)f(y_k, \dots, y_1) = \langle \varphi(x_n, \dots, x_1)\lambda_{y_k} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{y_1}, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the map  $\Phi_\varphi$  in (ii) can be taken to be  $T_\varphi^*$ . Conversely, if (ii) holds then the map  $\Phi_\varphi$  in (ii) has a completely bounded predual  $T_\varphi$  and the chain of equalities above implies (i).  $\square$

The mapping  $\varphi \rightarrow \Phi_\varphi$  from Proposition 5.4 is an embedding of  $M_{\mathcal{P}}^{cb}A(G)$  into the space of all normal completely bounded maps from  $\text{VN}(G)^{\otimes_{\sigma h} n}$  into  $\text{VN}(G)^{\otimes_{\sigma h} k}$  and hence gives rise to an operator space structure on  $M_{\mathcal{P}}^{cb}A(G)$ . Namely, given a matrix

$$\varphi = (\varphi_{p,q}) \in M_m(M_{\mathcal{P}}^{cb}A(G))$$

we let  $\|\varphi\|_{M_m(M_{\mathcal{P}}^{cb}A(G))} = \|\Phi_\varphi\|_{cb}$ , where  $\Phi_\varphi \stackrel{def}{=} (\Phi_{\varphi_{p,q}})$  is the corresponding mapping from  $\text{VN}(G)^{\otimes_{\sigma h} n}$  into  $M_m(\text{VN}(G)^{\otimes_{\sigma h} k})$ .

In the next theorem, we relate the completely bounded  $\mathcal{P}$ -multipliers to multi-dimensional Schur multipliers in the case where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the  $(1, n)$ -partition. It generalises Theorem 4.1 of [7], which concerns discrete groups, to arbitrary locally compact groups.

**THEOREM 5.5.** *Let  $\varphi \in L^\infty(G^n)$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  be the space of all  $n + 1$ -dimensional Schur multipliers with respect to the left Haar measure on  $G$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi \in M_n^{cb}A(G)$ ;
- (ii) The function  $\tilde{\varphi} \in L^\infty(G^{n+1})$  given by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \varphi(x_{n+1}^{-1}x_n, \dots, x_2^{-1}x_1)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Moreover, if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varphi_{p,q} \in M_n^{cb}A(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq k$ , then

$$\|(\varphi_{p,q})\|_{M_k(M_n^{cb}A(G))} = \|(\tilde{\varphi}_{p,q})\|_{M_k(\mathcal{S})}.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_{p,q}) \in M_k(M_n^{cb}A(G))$  with  $\|\varphi\|_{M_k(M_n^{cb}A(G))} < 1$ ,  $\Phi_{\varphi_{p,q}}$  be the completely bounded normal map from Proposition 5.4, and  $\Phi_\varphi = (\Phi_{\varphi_{p,q}})$ . By

[6], there exist operators  $V_i \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^\infty)$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ ,  $V_1 \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^k, L^2(G)^\infty)$  and  $V_{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^\infty, L^2(G)^k)$  such that  $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \|V_i\| < 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi_{p,q}(x_{n+1}^{-1}x_n, \dots, x_2^{-1}x_1)\lambda_{x_{n+1}^{-1}\lambda_{x_1}})_{p,q} \\ &= V_{n+1}(\lambda_{x_{n+1}^{-1}\lambda_{x_n}} \otimes 1)V_n(\lambda_{x_n^{-1}\lambda_{x_{n-1}}} \otimes 1)V_{n-1} \dots (\lambda_{x_2^{-1}\lambda_{x_1}} \otimes 1)V_1, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the ampliations are of infinite countable multiplicity. Let  $a_1 : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^k, L^2(G)^\infty)$  and  $a_{n+1} : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^\infty, L^2(G)^k)$  be given as follows:

$$a_1(x_1) = (\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1)V_1(\lambda_{x_1^{-1}} \otimes 1_k), \quad a_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) = (\lambda_{x_{n+1}} \otimes 1_k)V_{n+1}(\lambda_{x_{n+1}^{-1}} \otimes 1).$$

Let also  $a_i : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^\infty)$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , be given by

$$a_i(x_i) = (\lambda_{x_i} \otimes 1)V_i(\lambda_{x_i^{-1}} \otimes 1), \quad x_i \in G.$$

It follows from (11) that, for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(x_{n+1}^{-1}x_n, \dots, x_2^{-1}x_1) \otimes 1_{L^2(G)} \\ &= (\varphi_{p,q}(x_{n+1}^{-1}x_n, \dots, x_2^{-1}x_1)1_{L^2(G)})_{p,q} \\ &= (\lambda_{x_{n+1}} \otimes 1_k)(\varphi_{p,q}(x_{n+1}^{-1}x_n, \dots, x_2^{-1}x_1)\lambda_{x_{n+1}^{-1}\lambda_{x_1}})_{p,q}(\lambda_{x_1^{-1}} \otimes 1_k) \\ &= a_{n+1}(x_{n+1})a_n(x_n) \dots a_1(x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\xi$  be a unit vector in  $L^2(G)$  and  $E$  be the projection onto the one dimensional subspace of  $L^2(G)$  generated by  $\xi$ . The last identity implies that  $\varphi(x_{n+1}^{-1}x_n, \dots, x_2^{-1}x_1) = (Ea_{n+1}(x_{n+1}))a_n(x_n) \dots a_2(x_2)(a_1(x_1)E)$ , for all  $x_i \in G$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ . It follows from Theorem 3.2 that  $\tilde{\varphi}_{p,q} \in \mathcal{S}$  and

$$\|(\tilde{\varphi}_{p,q})\|_{m,k} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \|V_i\| < 1.$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $\varphi \in L^\infty(G^n)$  and suppose that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a Schur multiplier with respect to the left Haar measure. By Theorem 3.4 of [15], the function  $\psi \in L^\infty(G^{n+1})$  given by  $\psi(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \tilde{\varphi}(y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_{n+1}^{-1})$ ,  $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in G$ , is also a Schur multiplier with respect to the left Haar measure. Set  $y_i = x_i^{-1}x_{i+1}^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1}s$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $y_{n+1} = s$ . We have that

$$\psi(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \varphi(y_{n+1}y_n^{-1}, y_ny_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, y_2y_1^{-1}) = \varphi(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1).$$

By Theorem 3.4 of [15], there exist functions  $a_i : G \rightarrow M_\infty$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ ,  $a_1 : G \rightarrow M_{\infty,1}$  and  $a_{n+1} : G \rightarrow M_{1,\infty}$  such that

$$\psi(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = a_{n+1}(y_{n+1})a_n(y_n) \dots a_1(y_1), \quad y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in G.$$

For each  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , let  $A_i \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G) \otimes \ell^2)$  be the operator corresponding in a canonical way to  $a_i$ . Namely,  $A_i$  is given by  $(A_i\tilde{\xi})(s) = a_i(s)\tilde{\xi}(s)$ ,  $s \in G$ , where we have identified  $L^2(G) \otimes \ell^2$  with the space  $L^2(G; \ell_2)$  of all square integrable  $\ell^2$ -valued functions

on  $G$ . Similarly, let  $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G), L^2(G) \otimes \ell^2)$  and  $A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G) \otimes \ell^2, L^2(G))$  be the operators corresponding to  $a_1$  and  $a_{n+1}$ , respectively.

Let  $f \in A(G)$ . Then there exist  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$  such that

$$\theta(f)(x_n, \dots, x_1) = (\lambda_{x_n \dots x_1} \xi, \eta) = \int_G \xi(x_1^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1} s) \overline{\eta(s)} ds.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi\theta(f))(x_n, \dots, x_1) \\ &= \varphi(x_n, \dots, x_1) f(x_n \dots x_1) \\ &= \int_G \varphi(x_n, \dots, x_1) \xi(x_1^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1} s) \overline{\eta(s)} ds \\ &= \int_G \psi(x_1^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1} s, \dots, x_n^{-1} s, s) \xi(x_1^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1} s) \overline{\eta(s)} ds \\ &= \int_G a_{n+1}(s) a_n(x_n^{-1} s) \dots a_1(x_1^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1} s) \xi(x_1^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1} s) \overline{\eta(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_{n+1}(\lambda_{x_n} \otimes 1) A_n \dots A_2(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1) A_1 \xi, \eta) \\ &= ((\lambda_{x_n} \otimes 1) A_n \dots A_2(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1) A_1 \xi, A_{n+1}^* \eta) \\ &= \int_G (((\lambda_{x_n} \otimes 1) A_n \dots A_2(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1) A_1 \xi)(s), (A_{n+1}^* \eta)(s))_{\ell_2} ds \\ &= \int_G a_{n+1}(s) ((\lambda_{x_n} \otimes 1) A_n \dots A_2(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1) A_1 \xi)(s) \overline{\eta(s)} ds \\ &= \int_G a_{n+1}(s) (A_n \dots A_2(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1) A_1 \xi)(x_n^{-1} s) \overline{\eta(s)} ds \\ &= \int_G a_{n+1}(s) a_n(x_n^{-1} s) (\lambda_{x_{n-1}} \otimes 1) \dots A_2(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1) A_1 \xi(x_n^{-1} s) \overline{\eta(s)} ds \\ &= \dots \dots \dots \\ &= \int_G a_{n+1}(s) a_n(x_n^{-1} s) \dots a_1(x_1^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1} s) \xi(x_1^{-1} \dots x_n^{-1} s) \overline{\eta(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(\varphi\theta(f))(x_n, \dots, x_1) = (A_{n+1}(\lambda_{x_n} \otimes 1) A_n \dots A_2(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1) A_1 \xi, \eta) \tag{12}$$

and hence  $\varphi\theta(f) \in A^n(G)$ . Thus,  $\varphi \in M_n A(G)$  and, by Remark (ii) after Definition 5.3, the map  $f \rightarrow \varphi\theta(f)$  is bounded. Equation (12) implies that if  $\Phi_\varphi$  is its adjoint then

$$\Phi_\varphi(\lambda_{x_n} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{x_1}) = A_{n+1}(\lambda_{x_n} \otimes 1) \dots (\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1) A_1, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in G. \tag{13}$$

Thus,  $\Phi_\varphi$  is completely bounded, and hence  $\varphi \in M_n^{cb} A(G)$ .

Now suppose that  $\varphi = (\varphi_{p,q}) \in M_k(L^\infty(G^n))$  and that  $\|(\tilde{\varphi}_{p,q})\|_{m,k} < 1$ . Let  $\psi_{p,q}$  be the map corresponding to  $\varphi_{p,q}$  as specified in the case  $k = 1$  above and  $\psi =$

$(\psi_{p,q})$ . Theorem 3.2 implies that  $\|\psi\|_{m,k} = \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{m,k} < 1$ . Thus, in the notation of Theorem 3.2,  $\|\tilde{S}_\psi\|_k < 1$ , where  $\tilde{S}_\psi = (\tilde{S}_{\psi_{p,q}})_{p,q}$  is the canonical normal completely bounded multilinear map from  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$  into  $M_k(\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)))$ . By Theorem 3.2, we can write  $\psi(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = a_{n+1}(y_{n+1}) \dots a_1(y_1)$ , where  $a_i : G \rightarrow M_\infty$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ ,  $a_1 : G \rightarrow M_{\infty,k}$  and  $a_{n+1} : G \rightarrow M_{k,\infty}$  are functions such that  $\text{esssup}_{y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in G} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \|a_i(y_i)\| < 1$ . As before, let  $A_i \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^\infty)$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ ,  $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^k, L^2(G)^\infty)$  and  $A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^\infty, L^2(G)^k)$  be the operators corresponding to the  $a_i$ 's in the canonical way. Let  $A_{n+1}^p$  (resp.  $A_1^q$ ) be the  $p$ th row (resp. the  $q$ th column) of  $A_{n+1}$  (resp.  $A_1$ ). By (13),  $\Phi_{\varphi_{p,q}}(\lambda_{x_n} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{x_1}) = A_{n+1}^p(\lambda_{x_n} \otimes 1)A_n \dots A_2(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1)A_1^q$ , for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$ . It follows that if  $\Phi_\varphi = (\Phi_{\varphi_{p,q}})$  then (13) holds in the case under consideration as well. Since  $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \|A_i\| < 1$ , we conclude that  $\|\Phi_\varphi\|_{cb} < 1$  or, equivalently,  $\|\varphi\|_{M_k(M_n^{cb}A(G))} < 1$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.6.** *We have that  $B^n(G) \subseteq M_n^{cb}A(G)$ . Moreover, the inclusion map is a complete contraction.*

*Proof.* The inclusion follows from Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 3.4 of [15].

Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_{p,q}) \in M_k(B^n(G))$ ,  $\|\varphi\|_{M_k(B^n(G))} < 1$  and  $\Phi : C^*(G)^n \rightarrow M_k$  be the completely bounded mapping associated with  $\varphi$ . By Theorem 5.2 of [6], there exist Hilbert spaces  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ , representations  $\pi_i : C^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_i)$  and operators  $V_1 \in \mathcal{B}(H, \mathbb{C}^k)$ ,  $V_{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^k, H)$  and  $V_i \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , such that

$$\Phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = V_1 \pi_1(a_1) V_2 \dots V_n \pi_n(a_n) V_{n+1}$$

and  $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \|V_i\| < 1$ . Let  $\tilde{\pi}_i : W^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  be the canonical normal extension of  $\pi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since the extension  $\tilde{\Phi}$  of  $\Phi$  to a normal completely bounded map from  $W^*(G)^n$  into  $M_k$  is unique, we have that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(b_1, \dots, b_n) = V_1 \tilde{\pi}_1(b_1) V_2 \dots V_n \tilde{\pi}_n(b_n) V_{n+1}, \quad b_1, \dots, b_n \in W^*(G).$$

Let  $a_1(y_1) = \tilde{\pi}_n(\omega(y_1))V_{n+1}$ ,  $a_2(y_2) = \tilde{\pi}_{n-1}(\omega(y_2))V_n \tilde{\pi}_n(\omega(y_2^{-1}))$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $a_{n+1}(y_{n+1}) = V_1 \tilde{\pi}_1(\omega(y_{n+1}^{-1}))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) &= \tilde{\Phi}(\omega(y_{n+1}^{-1})\omega(y_n), \dots, \omega(y_2^{-1})\omega(y_1)) \\ &= a_{n+1}(y_{n+1}) \dots a_1(y_1) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\text{esssup}_{y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in G} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \|a_i(y_i)\| < 1$ . Theorems 3.2 and 5.5 imply that the norm of  $\varphi$  as an element of  $M_k(M_n^{cb}A^n(G))$  is less than one. Thus, the inclusion  $B^n(G) \subset M_n^{cb}A(G)$  is a complete contraction.  $\square$

We recall that  $C_r^*(G)$  is the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G$ . We write  $C_r^*(G)^{\otimes_h^n}$  for  $\underbrace{C_r^*(G) \otimes_h \dots \otimes_h C_r^*(G)}_n$ . Let  $B_r(G) = C_r^*(G)^*$  and  $B_r^n(G) = (C_r^*(G)^{\otimes_h^n})^*$ . It is standard

to identify the elements of  $B_r(G)$  with functions from  $B(G)$  in such a way that the duality between  $B_r(G)$  and  $C_r^*(G)$  is given by  $\langle b, \lambda(f) \rangle = \int f(x)b(x)dx$ ,  $f \in L^1(G)$ . We equip  $B_r(G)$  and  $B_r^n(G)$  with the canonical operator space structure as dual operator spaces. Let  $M$  be the completely contractive mapping from  $C_r^*(G)^{\otimes_h^n}$  to  $C_r^*(G)$  which maps  $\lambda(f_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda(f_n)$  (for  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(G)$ ) to  $\lambda(f)$ , where

$$f(x) = \int_{G^n} f_1(x_1)f_2(x_1^{-1}x_2) \dots f_n(x_{n-1}^{-1}x)dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1}.$$

It is easy to check that the adjoint mapping  $M^*$  maps  $f \in B_r(G)$  to  $\theta(f) \in B_r^n(G)$  (here  $\theta(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1 \dots x_n)$ ). We define  $M_n^{cb}B_r(G)$  to be the space of all  $\varphi \in L^\infty(G^n)$  such that the mapping  $T_\varphi : f \mapsto \varphi\theta(f)$  is completely bounded as a map from  $B_r(G)$  to  $B_r^n(G)$ . We note that this map is normal. In fact, if  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(G)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi\theta(f), \lambda(f_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda(f_n) \rangle \\ &= \int_{G^n} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)f(x_1 \dots x_n)f_1(x_1) \dots f_n(x_n)dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \langle f, \lambda(g) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(x)$  equals

$$\int f_1(x_1)f_2(x_1^{-1}x_2) \dots f_n(x_{n-1}^{-1}x)\varphi(x_1, x_1^{-1}x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}^{-1}x)dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1};$$

it is easy to see that  $g \in L^1(G)$ . Therefore  $T_\varphi$  has a predual  $M_\varphi$  which is given by  $\lambda(f_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda(f_n) \mapsto \lambda(g)$ . If  $\varphi \in M_n^{cb}B_r(G)$  then  $M_\varphi$  is completely bounded and  $\|\varphi\|_{M_n^{cb}B_r(G)} = \|M_\varphi\|_{cb}$ . From the definition of the operator space structure of  $B_r(G)$ , we have that if  $(\varphi_{p,q}) \in M_k(M_n^{cb}B_r(G))$  then  $\|(\varphi_{p,q})\| = \|M_\varphi\|_{cb}$ , where  $M_\varphi = (M_{\varphi_{p,q}})$  is the corresponding mapping from  $C_r^*(G)^{\otimes_h^n}$  to  $M_k(C_r^*(G))$ .

The following theorem supplements Theorem 5.5 and provides a multidimensional version of Proposition 4.1 of [21].

**THEOREM 5.7.** *Let  $\varphi \in M_k(L^\infty(G^n))$ . Then the following are equivalent*

- (i)  $\varphi \in b_1(M_k(M_n^{cb}A(G)))$ ;
- (ii) *the multilinear mapping  $M_\varphi : (\lambda(f_1), \dots, \lambda(f_n)) \mapsto (\lambda(f_{ij}))$ , where  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(G)$  and  $f_{ij}(x)$  equals*

$$\int f_1(x_1)f_2(x_1^{-1}x_2) \dots f_n(x_{n-1}^{-1}x)\varphi_{ij}(x_1, x_1^{-1}x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}^{-1}x)dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1}$$

*extends to a complete contraction from  $C_r^*(G)^{\otimes_h^n}$  into  $M_k(C_r^*(G))$ ;*

- (iii)  $\varphi \in b_1(M_k(M_n^{cb}B_r(G)))$ .

*Proof.* For the sake of technical simplicity we assume that  $n = 2$ ; the general case can be treated similarly.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_{p,q}) \in b_1(M_k(M_2^{cb}A(G)))$ . By Proposition 5.4, there exist operators

$$V_0 \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^k, L^2(G)^\infty), V_1 \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^\infty) \text{ and } V_2 \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^\infty, L^2(G)^k)$$

such that  $\|V_0\| \|V_1\| \|V_2\| \leq 1$  and

$$\varphi(x_2, x_1)\lambda_{x_2x_1} = V_2(\lambda_{x_2} \otimes 1)V_1(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1)V_0. \tag{14}$$

Let  $f_1 = (f_1^{p,q}) \in M_{k,r}(C_r^*(G))$  and  $f_2 = (f_2^{p,q}) \in M_{r,k}(C_r^*(G))$ . We denote by  $\lambda(f_1) \odot \lambda(f_2) \in M_k(C_r^*(G) \otimes_h C_r^*(G))$  a  $k \times k$ -matrix whose  $(p, q)$  entry equals  $\sum_{s=1}^r \lambda(f_{p,s}^1) \otimes \lambda(f_{s,q}^2)$ . If  $f_{p,q}^l \in L^1(G)$ ,  $l = 1, 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & M_\varphi^{(k)}(\lambda(f_1) \odot \lambda(f_2)) \\ &= \left( \sum_{s=1}^r \int f_{p,s}^1(x_1)f_{s,q}^2(x_1^{-1}x_2)\varphi(x_1, x_1^{-1}x_2)\lambda(x_2)dx_1dx_2 \right)_{p,q} \\ &= \left( \sum_{s=1}^r \int f_{p,s}^1(x_1)f_{s,q}^2(x_2)\varphi(x_1, x_2)\lambda(x_1x_2)dx_1dx_2 \right)_{p,q} \\ &= \left( \int \sum_{s=1}^r f_{p,s}^1(x_1)f_{s,q}^2(x_2)V_2(\lambda_{x_1} \otimes 1)V_1(\lambda_{x_2} \otimes 1)V_0dx_1dx_2 \right)_{p,q} \\ &= \left( \sum_{s=1}^r V_2\left(\left(\int f_{p,s}^1(x_1)\lambda_{x_1}dx_1\right) \otimes 1\right)V_1\left(\left(\int f_{s,q}^2(x_2)\lambda_{x_2}dx_2\right) \otimes 1\right)V_0 \right)_{p,q} \\ &= \left( \sum_{s=1}^r V_2(\lambda(f_{p,s}^1) \otimes 1)V_1(\lambda(f_{s,q}^2) \otimes 1)V_0 \right)_{p,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|M_\varphi^{(k)}(\lambda(f_1) \odot \lambda(f_2))\| \leq \|V_0\| \|V_1\| \|V_2\| \|\lambda(f_1)\| \|\lambda(f_2)\|$$

and hence  $\|M_\varphi^{(k)}\| \leq 1$ .

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) Follows trivially from the definition of the operator structure of  $M_n^{cb}B_r(G)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) We only consider the case  $k = 1$ . Let  $\varphi \in M_n^{cb}B_r(G)$ ,  $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$  and  $\psi \in A(G) \cap C_c(G)$ , where  $C_c(G)$  is the space of compactly supported functions on  $G$ . We can find  $g \in A(G)$  such that  $g = 1$  on the support of  $\psi$  so that  $\psi g = \psi$ . As  $\theta(g) \in A^n(G)$  and  $A^n(G)$  is an ideal in  $B_r^n(G)$  we have  $\varphi\theta(\psi) = \varphi\theta(\psi)\theta(g) \in A^n(G)$ . Since the  $A^n(G)$ -norm and  $B_r^n(G)$ -norm coincide on  $A^n(G)$  and  $A(G) \cap C_c(G)$  is dense in  $A(G)$  we obtain that  $\varphi$  is in  $b_1(M_n(G))$ . Similar arguments show that  $\varphi$  is a completely contractive multiplier.  $\square$

We next supply some corollaries of the previous results.

**COROLLARY 5.8.** *Let  $G$  be an amenable locally compact group. Then  $B^n(G) = M_n^{cb}A(G)$  completely isometrically.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is amenable then  $B^n(G) = B_r^n(G)$  completely isometrically. Hence, by Theorem 5.7,  $M_n^{cb}A(G) = M_n^{cb}B(G)$  completely isometrically. Since  $B(G)$  contains

the constant functions, it is easy to see that  $M_n^{cb}B(G) = B^n(G)$  completely isometrically.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the block  $(k, n)$ -partition associated with the sequence  $n \geq n_k > \dots > n_1 > 1$  such that each block contains at least two elements, and  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Assume that  $G$  is amenable. Then the function  $\psi : G^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by*

$$\psi(s_n, \dots, s_1) = \varphi(s_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots s_{n_1-1}^{\varepsilon_{n_1-1}}, \dots, s_{n_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon_{n_{k-1}}} \dots s_n^{\varepsilon_n})$$

is a Schur multiplier with respect to the left Haar measure if and only if  $\varphi \in B^k(G)$ .

*Proof.* We prove the statement for  $k = 2$  and a partition of the form  $\mathcal{P} = \{\{n, \dots, m\}, \{m-1, \dots, 1\}\}$ ; the other cases are similar. Assume  $\psi$  is a Schur multiplier. Then  $\psi(s_n, \dots, s_1) = a_1(s_1) \dots a_n(s_n)$  for some (essentially bounded) functions  $a_i : G \rightarrow M_\infty, i = 2, \dots, n-1, a_n : G \rightarrow M_{\infty,1}$  and  $a_1 : G \rightarrow M_{1,\infty}$ . Therefore, the function

$$(s_1, s_2, s_3) \mapsto \varphi(s_3^{-1}s_2, s_2^{-1}s_1) = \psi(s_1^{\varepsilon_n}, s_2^{-\varepsilon_{n-1}}, e, \dots, e, s_2^{\varepsilon_2}, s_3^{-\varepsilon_1})$$

is a Schur multiplier and hence by Theorem 5.5,  $\varphi \in B_2^{cb}A(G) = B^2(G)$ .

Let now  $\varphi \in B^2(G)$ . By Theorem 4.1, there exist representations  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  of  $G$  on  $H$  and vectors  $\xi, \eta$  such that  $\varphi(s_2, s_1) = (\pi_2(s_2)\pi_1(s_1)\xi, \eta)$ , and

$$\psi(s_n, \dots, s_1) = (\pi_2(s_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots s_{m-1}^{\varepsilon_{m-1}})\pi_1(s_m^{\varepsilon_m} \dots s_n^{\varepsilon_n})\xi, \eta).$$

Theorem 3.4 of [15] now easily implies  $\psi$  is a Schur multiplier.  $\square$

**REMARK 5.10.** Since if  $G$  is abelian then  $B(G) = \{\hat{\mu} : \mu \in M(\hat{G})\}$ , Corollary 5.9 implies the following classical result: If  $G$  is a discrete abelian group and  $\varphi \in l^\infty(G)$  then the function  $\psi$  given by  $\psi(x, y) = \varphi(y^{-1}x)$  is a Schur multiplier if and only if  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  for some measure  $\mu \in M(\hat{G})$ .

Here is a more general result:

**COROLLARY 5.11.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group,  $m_1, \dots, m_n = \pm 1, \varphi \in L^\infty(G)$  and  $\psi$  be the function given by*

$$\psi(s_n, \dots, s_1) = \varphi(s_1^{m_1} \dots s_n^{m_n}), \quad s_1, \dots, s_n \in G.$$

Then  $\psi$  is a Schur multiplier (with respect to the Haar measure) if and only if  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  for some measure  $\mu \in M(\hat{G})$ . In this case,  $\|\psi\|_m = \|\mu\|$ .

We close this section with a multidimensional version of [5, Theorem 1]. We use the notation from Proposition 5.4. Recall [10] that if  $f \in A(G)$  and  $T \in \text{VN}(G)$  then  $fT \in \text{VN}(G)$  is the operator given by the duality relation  $\langle g, fT \rangle = \langle fg, T \rangle$ .

PROPOSITION 5.12. *Let  $\Phi : \text{VN}(G)^n \rightarrow \text{VN}(G)$  be a normal completely bounded multilinear map. Then  $\Phi = \Phi_\varphi$  for some  $\varphi \in M_n^{cb}A(G)$  if and only if*

$$\Phi(\theta(f)(S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n)) = f\Phi(S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n), \tag{15}$$

for all  $f \in A(G)$  and all  $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \text{VN}(G)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Phi$  is a normal completely bounded map,  $\Phi = \Psi^*$  for a completely bounded map from  $A(G)$  to  $A^n(G)$ ,

$$\langle \Phi(\theta(f)(S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n)), h \rangle = \langle S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n, \theta(f)\Psi(h) \rangle$$

and

$$\langle f\Phi(S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n), h \rangle = \langle S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n, \Psi(fh) \rangle$$

Thus, if  $\Phi$  satisfies (15) then  $\theta(f)\Psi(h) = \Psi(fh)$  for all  $f, h \in A(G)$ . Since  $A(G)$  is commutative,  $\theta(f)\Psi(h) = \theta(h)\Psi(f)$  and therefore  $\Psi(h) = \varphi\theta(h)$  for some function  $\varphi$  on  $G^n$ . Since  $\Psi$  is completely bounded,  $\varphi \in M_n^{cb}A(G)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(\lambda_{x_n} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{x_1}), h \rangle &= \langle \lambda_{x_n} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{x_1}, \varphi\theta(h) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \varphi(x_n, \dots, x_1)h(x_n \dots x_1) \rangle = \langle \varphi(x_n, \dots, x_1)\lambda_{x_n \dots x_1}, h \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $\Phi = \Phi_\varphi$ .  $\square$

### 6. The abelian case

In this section we assume that  $G$  is abelian. We denote by  $\hat{G}$  the character group of  $G$ . Let  $C_0(G)$  be the algebra of continuous functions vanishing at infinity on  $G$ . The Haagerup tensor product  $\underbrace{C_0(G) \otimes_h \dots \otimes_h C_0(G)}_n$  will be denoted by  $V_h^n(G)$ . The

dual space of  $V_h^n(G)$  is the space of  $n$ -measures on  $\hat{G}$ . Let  $C_b(G)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous bounded functions on  $G$  and  $\mathcal{V}^n(G) = \underbrace{C_b(G) \otimes_h \dots \otimes_h C_b(G)}_n$ .

Denote by  $\hat{G}_d$  the group  $\hat{G}$  equipped with the discrete topology and recall that the Bohr compactification  $\bar{G}$  of  $G$  is the dual of  $\hat{G}_d$ . We note that there is a canonical inclusion of  $V_h^n(G)^*$  into  $V_h^n(\bar{G})^*$ : for  $\Phi \in V_h^n(G)^*$  define  $\bar{\Phi} \in V_h^n(\bar{G})^*$  by

$$\bar{\Phi}(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \check{\Phi}(\iota(a_1|_G) \otimes \dots \otimes \iota(a_n|_G)), \quad a_1, \dots, a_n \in C(\bar{G}),$$

where  $\check{\Phi}$  is the extension of  $\Phi$  to a normal completely bounded multilinear map from  $(C_0(G)^{**})^{\otimes_n \sigma_h}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\iota : C_b(G) \rightarrow C_0(G)^{**}$  is the canonical injection.

We claim that

$$\|\bar{\Phi}\|_{V_h^n(\bar{G})^*} = \|\Phi\|_{V_h^n(G)^*}. \tag{16}$$

If  $a_k = (a_{i,j}^k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , are  $n$  by  $n$  matrices let  $a_1 \odot \dots \odot a_n$  be the  $n$  by  $n$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is equal to

$$a_{i,i_1}^1 \otimes a_{i_1,i_2}^2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i_{n-1},j}^n.$$

To show (16), first note that if  $a_1 \odot \dots \odot a_n \in V_h^n(\bar{G})$  is a function of unit Haagerup norm then

$$|\bar{\Phi}(a_1 \odot \dots \odot a_n)| = |\bar{\Phi}(\iota(a_1|_G) \odot \dots \odot \iota(a_n|_G))| \leq \|\Phi\|,$$

where for  $a = (a_{ij}) \in M_{k,l}(C(\bar{G}))$  we denote by  $a|_G$  the matrix  $(a_{ij}|_G)$ . Hence,  $\|\bar{\Phi}\|_{V_h^n(\bar{G})^*} \leq \|\Phi\|_{V_h^n(G)^*}$ . Conversely, let  $\bar{a}$  denote the canonical extension of a function  $a$  from  $C_0(G)$  to a function from  $C(\bar{G})$  and  $\bar{u} \in V_h^n(\bar{G})$  denote the corresponding extension of an element  $u \in V_h^n(G)$ . Thus, if  $u = a_1 \odot \dots \odot a_n$  then  $\bar{u} = \bar{a}_1 \odot \dots \odot \bar{a}_n$ . It follows that  $\|\bar{u}\|_{V_h^n(\bar{G})} \leq \|u\|_{V_h^n(G)}$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{V_h^n(G)^*} &= \sup\{|\Phi(u)| : u \in V_h^n(G), \|u\|_h \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\bar{\Phi}(\bar{u})| : u \in V_h^n(G), \|u\|_h \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\bar{\Phi}(v)| : v \in V_h^n(\bar{G}), \|v\|_h \leq 1\} \\ &= \|\bar{\Phi}\|_{V_h^n(\bar{G})^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (16) is established. We hence have a canonical isometric embedding of  $M^n(\hat{G})$  into  $M^n(\hat{G}_d)$ , which gives rise to an isometric embedding of  $B^n(\hat{G})$  into  $B^n(\hat{G}_d)$ . The next proposition generalises [12, Theorem 3.3] to the multidimensional case. We note that the proof we give is new in the case  $n = 2$  as well.

**PROPOSITION 6.1.** *Let  $f \in B^n(\hat{G}_d)$ . Then  $f \in B^n(\hat{G})$  if and only if  $f$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* It is clear that if  $f \in B^n(\hat{G})$  then  $f$  is continuous. For the converse direction we use induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$  the claim follows from a classical result of Eberlein [20, Theorem 1.9.1]. Suppose that  $n > 1$  and fix a continuous function  $f$  from  $B^n(\hat{G}_d)$ . For an element  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  let  $\delta_\gamma \in B(\hat{G}_d)^*$  be the evaluation functional,  $\delta_\gamma(h) = h(\gamma)$ ,  $h \in B(\hat{G})$ . Using the identification (4), we let  $L_{\delta_\gamma} : B^n(\hat{G}) \rightarrow B^{n-1}(\hat{G})$  be the corresponding slice map. We have that  $L_{\delta_\gamma}(f) \in B^{n-1}(\hat{G}_d)$  and that  $L_{\delta_\gamma}(f)$  is continuous. By the induction assumption,  $L_{\delta_\gamma}(f) \in B^{n-1}(\hat{G})$ . Since every element of  $B(\hat{G}_d)^*$  can be approximated in the weak\* topology by a bounded net consisting of linear combinations of the functionals  $\delta_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ , we conclude that  $L_\delta(f) \in B^{n-1}(\hat{G})$  for every  $\delta \in B(\hat{G}_d)^*$ . An application of [21, Theorem 2.2] shows that  $f \in B(\hat{G}_d) \otimes_{eh} B^{n-1}(\hat{G})$ . Repeating the above argument with a right slice map in the place of  $L_\delta$  shows that  $f \in B^n(\hat{G})$ .  $\square$

The following lemma generalises a theorem of Eberlein [20, Theorem 1.9.1] to the multidimensional case.

LEMMA 6.2. *Let  $\phi \in L^\infty(\hat{G}^n)$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\phi \in B^n(\hat{G})$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi$  is continuous and there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \sum c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi(\chi_{i_1}, \dots, \chi_{i_n}) \right| \leq C \left\| \sum c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \chi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \chi_{i_n} \right\|_{\gamma^n(G)},$$

where  $\chi_{i_k} \in \hat{G}$  and the summation is over a finite number of indices  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ .

*Proof.* For notational simplicity we assume  $n = 2$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $\phi \in B^2(\hat{G})$ ; by Corollary 4.2,  $\phi$  is continuous and since  $\omega(\chi_i) = \iota(\check{\chi}_i)$ , where  $\check{\chi}_i(x) = \overline{\chi_i(x)} = \chi_i(x^{-1})$  (see (7)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum c_{ij} \phi(\chi_i, \chi_j) \right| &= \left| \tilde{\Phi} \left( \sum c_{ij} \iota(\check{\chi}_i) \otimes \iota(\check{\chi}_j) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|\Phi\| \left\| \sum c_{ij} \iota(\check{\chi}_i) \otimes \iota(\check{\chi}_j) \right\|_{C_0(G)^{**} \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} C_0(G)^{**}} \\ &= \|\Phi\| \left\| \sum c_{ij} \chi_i \otimes \chi_j \right\|_{\gamma^2(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the injectivity of the Haagerup tensor product.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume first that  $G$  is compact. Then  $\hat{G}$  is discrete. Let  $T : C_0(G) \odot C_0(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the mapping given by  $T(\sum c_{ij} \chi_i \otimes \chi_j) = \sum c_{ij} \phi(\chi_i, \chi_j)$ . Then  $|T(f)| \leq C \|f\|_{\gamma^2(G)} = C \|f\|_{V_{\mathfrak{h}}^2(G)}$  for finite sums  $f = \sum c_{ij} \chi_i \otimes \chi_j$  and therefore  $T$  can be extended to a bounded linear functional on  $V_{\mathfrak{h}}^2(G)$ . Thus, there exists  $u \in M^2(\hat{G})$  such that

$$\sum c_{ij} \phi(\chi_i, \chi_j) = \langle u, \sum c_{ij} \chi_i \otimes \chi_j \rangle.$$

In particular,  $\phi(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \langle u, \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \rangle$ , that is,  $\phi = \hat{u}_1 \in B^2(\hat{G})$ , where  $\langle u_1, \chi_i \otimes \chi_j \rangle = \langle u, \check{\chi}_i \otimes \check{\chi}_j \rangle$ .

If  $G$  is not compact let  $\bar{G}$  be the Bohr compactification of  $G$ . Extending each  $\chi \in \hat{G}$  to a character on  $\bar{G}$  we define a linear functional  $T$  on the space of all functions  $f$  on  $\bar{G} \times \bar{G}$  of the form  $f(x, y) = \sum c_{ij} \chi_i(x) \chi_j(y)$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{G}$ , where  $\chi_i, \chi_j \in \hat{G}$ , by letting, for  $f$  as above,  $T(f) = \sum c_{ij} \phi(\chi_i, \chi_j)$ . Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g_i = \sum_k c_k^i \chi_{k,i}$  and  $h_i = \sum_j d_j^i \psi_{j,i}$  be trigonometric polynomials on  $\bar{G}$ , where  $\chi_{k,i}, \psi_{j,i} \in \hat{G}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| T \left( \sum_i g_i \otimes h_i \right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{i,k,j} c_k^i d_j^i \phi(\chi_{k,i}, \psi_{j,i}) \right| \leq C \left\| \sum_{i,k,j} c_k^i d_j^i \chi_{k,i} \otimes \psi_{j,i} \right\|_{\gamma^2(G)} \\ &= C \left\| \sum_i g_i \otimes h_i \right\|_{\gamma^2(G)} = C \left\| \sum_i g_i \otimes h_i \right\|_{V_{\mathfrak{h}}^2(\bar{G})}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the injectivity of the Haagerup tensor product and the fact that  $C_b(G)$  is completely isometrically embedded in  $C(\bar{G})$ . Thus,  $T$  can be extended to a bounded linear functional on  $V_h^2(\bar{G})$  and hence  $\phi(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \langle u, \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \rangle$  for  $u \in M^2(\hat{G}) = M^2(\hat{G}_d)$ , and  $\phi \in B^2(\hat{G}_d)$ . Since  $\phi$  is continuous, Proposition 6.1 implies that  $\phi \in B^2(\hat{G})$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is a multidimensional version of [20, Theorem 3.8.1].

LEMMA 6.3. *Let  $\varphi \in L^\infty(G^n)$ . Assume  $\varphi\theta(g) \in B^n(G)$  for every  $g \in A(G)$ . Then  $\varphi \in B^n(G)$ .*

*Proof.* We only consider the case  $n = 2$ ; the general case can be treated in a similar way. Let  $T : A(G) \rightarrow B^2(G)$  be the linear mapping defined by  $T(g) = \varphi\theta(g)$ . We show that  $T$  is continuous. If  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in  $A(G)$  and  $\varphi\theta(g_n) \rightarrow \hat{u}$  in  $B^2(G)$ , where  $u \in M^2(G)$ , then

$$\hat{u}(h_1, h_2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(h_1, h_2)g_n(h_1h_2) = \varphi(h_1, h_2)g(h_1h_2),$$

hence  $\hat{u} = \varphi\theta(g)$ . By the Closed Graph Theorem,  $T$  is continuous and  $\|\varphi\theta(g)\|_{B^2(G)} \leq C\|g\|_{A(G)}$ .

Given  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in G$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f \in A(G)$ ,  $\|f\|_{A(G)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ , such that  $f(h_ih_j) = 1$ , for all  $i, j$ . Let  $u \in M^2(G)$  be such that  $\hat{u} = \varphi\theta(f)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum c_{ij}\varphi(h_i, h_j) \right| &= \left| \sum c_{ij}\varphi(h_i, h_j)f(h_ih_j) \right| = \left| \sum c_{ij}\hat{u}(h_i, h_j) \right| \\ &= \left| \tilde{u} \left( \sum c_{ij}\iota(\check{h}_i) \otimes \iota(\check{h}_j) \right) \right| \\ &\leq C(1 + \varepsilon) \left\| \sum c_{ij}h_i \otimes h_j \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}^2(\hat{G})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  is the extension of  $u$  to a normal completely bounded linear map from  $(C_0(G)^{**})^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\iota : C_b(G) \rightarrow C_0(G)^{**}$  is the canonical inclusion. Given open sets  $V_1, V_2 \subset G$  with compact closures we can find  $f \in A(G)$  such that  $\theta(f)$  is constant on  $V_1 \times V_2$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $V_1 \times V_2$ , and hence  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $G \times G$ . By Lemma 6.2,  $\varphi \in B^2(G)$ .  $\square$

In the next corollary, we denote by  $M_g$  the operator of multiplication by the function  $g$ .

THEOREM 6.4. *For every block  $(k, n)$ -partition  $\mathcal{P}$ , we have that  $B^n(G) = M_{\mathcal{P}}^{cb}(G) = M_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P}_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{P}_2$ ) be the block  $(1, n)$ - (resp.  $(n, n)$ -)partition. We have that  $\theta_{\mathcal{P}_2}$  is the identity map. For any block  $(k, n)$ -partition  $\mathcal{P}$  we have that

$$\text{ran } \theta_{\mathcal{P}_1} \subseteq \text{ran } \theta_{\mathcal{P}} \subseteq \text{ran } \theta_{\mathcal{P}_2} = A^n(G).$$

Thus,

$$M_{\mathcal{P}_2}A(G) \subseteq M_{\mathcal{P}}A(G) \subseteq M_{\mathcal{P}_1}A(G),$$

and similarly for the completely bounded multipliers. By Theorem 5.1,  $B^n(G) \subseteq M_{\mathcal{M}_2}A(G)$ . By Lemma 6.3,  $M_{\mathcal{M}_1}A(G) \subset B^n(G)$  and hence  $B^n(G) = M_{\mathcal{M}}(G)$ .

The fact that  $B^n(G) = M_{\mathcal{M}}^{cb}A(G)$  follows in the same way, using Proposition 4.3 and the fact that for completely contractive Banach algebras  $A$ , one has  $A \subseteq M_{cb}A$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 6.5.** *Let  $\Psi : A(G) \rightarrow A^n(G)$  be a bounded linear map such that  $\Psi M_\chi = M_{\theta(\chi)}\Psi$  for any  $\chi \in \hat{G}$ . Then  $\Psi(f) = \varphi\theta(f)$ ,  $f \in A(G)$ , for some  $\varphi \in B^n(G)$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.12 that  $\Psi(f) = \varphi\theta(f)$  for some bounded function  $\varphi$  on  $G$ . Thus  $\varphi \in M_nA(G)$ . The statement now follows from Theorem 6.4.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. P. BLECHER AND C. LE MERDY, *Operator algebras and their modules – an operator space approach*, Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [2] D. P. BLECHER AND R. SMITH, *The dual of the Haagerup tensor product*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **45** (1992), 126–144.
- [3] M. BOZEJKO AND G. FENDLER, *Herz-Schur multipliers and completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group*, Colloquium Math., **63** (1992), 311–313.
- [4] J. DE CANNIÈRE AND U. HAAGERUP, *Multipliers of the Fourier algebras of some simple Lie groups and their discrete subgroups*, Amer. J. Math., **107**, 2 (1985), 455–500.
- [5] C. CECCHINI, *Operators on  $VN(G)$  commuting with  $A(G)$* , Colloquium Math., **43** (1980), 137–142.
- [6] E. CHRISTENSEN AND A. M. SINCLAIR, *Representations of completely bounded multilinear operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **72** (1987), 151–181.
- [7] E. G. EFFROS AND ZH.-J. RUAN, *Multivariable multipliers for groups and their operator algebras*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, **51** (1990), Part 1, 197–218.
- [8] E. G. EFFROS AND ZH.-J. RUAN, *Operator Spaces*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 23. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. xvi+363 pp.
- [9] E. G. EFFROS AND ZH.-J. RUAN, *Operator spaces tensor products and Hopf convolution algebras*, J. Operator Theory, **50** (2003), 131–156.
- [10] P. EYMARD, *L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, Bulletin de la S.M.F., **92** (1964), 181–236.
- [11] J. E. GILBERT, T. ITO AND B.M. SCHREIBER, *Bimeasure algebras on locally compact groups*, J. Funct. Anal., **64** (1985), 134–162.
- [12] C. GRAHAM AND B. M. SCHREIBER, *Bimeasure algebras on LCA groups*, Pacific J. Math., **115**, 1 (1984), 91–127.
- [13] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Resume de la theorie metrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Boll. Soc. Mat. Sao-Paulo, **8** (1956), 1–79.
- [14] P. JOLISSAINT, *A characterisation of completely bounded multipliers of Fourier algebras*, Colloquium Math., **63** (1992), 311–313.
- [15] K. JUSCHENKO, I. G. TODOROV AND L. TUROWSKA, *Multidimensional operator multipliers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **361**, 9 (2009), 4683–4720.
- [16] V. PAULSEN, *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [17] V. V. PELLER, *Hankel operators in the perturbation theory of unitary and selfadjoint operators*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., **19**, 2 (1985), 37–51, 96.
- [18] G. PISIER, *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps*, Second, expanded edition. Includes the solution to “The Halmos problem”. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1618. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. viii+198 pp.
- [19] G. PISIER, *Introduction to Operator Space Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

- [20] W. RUDIN, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 12, Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley and Sons), New York-London 1962 ix+285 pp.
- [21] N. SPRONK, *Measurable Schur multipliers and completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **89**, 1 (2004), 161–192.
- [22] K. YLINEN, *Non-commuative Fourier transforms of bounded bilinear forms and completely bounded multilinear operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **79** (1988), 144–165.
- [23] G. ZHAO AND B.M. SCHREIBER, *Algebras of multilinear forms on groups*, Contemp. Math., **189** (1995), 497-511.

(Received May 24, 2010)

*I. G. Todorov*  
*Department of Pure Mathematics*  
*Queen's University Belfast*  
*Belfast BT7 INN, United Kingdom*  
*e-mail: i.todorov@qub.ac.uk*

*L. Turowska*  
*Department of Mathematical Sciences*  
*Chalmers University of Technology*  
*and the University of Gothenburg*  
*Gothenburg SE-412 96, Sweden*  
*e-mail: turowska@chalmers.se*