

## ON JOINT SPECTRUM OF INFINITE DIRECT SUMS

SHUILIN JIN, QINGHUA JIANG, YADONG WANG AND GUANGREN DUAN

(Communicated by R. Curto)

*Abstract.* For families of uniformly bounded  $n$ -tuples  $T_k = (T_k^1, \dots, T_k^n), k = 1, 2, \dots$  of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , the joint spectrum of  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k$  is considered.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an infinite dimensional complex separable Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ . By  $\text{Sp}(T)$  we denote the joint Taylor [5][6] spectrum of  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ , an  $n$ -tuple of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Recall that  $\text{Sp}(T)$  consists of all points  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that the Koszul complex  $K_*(T - \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  of the operators  $(T_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, T_n - \lambda_n)$  is not exact. Let  $\text{Spp}(T)$  denote the joint point spectrum of  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ , i.e.,

$$\text{Spp}(T) = \{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n); \text{there exists } x \in \mathcal{H}, x \neq 0, \\ \text{such that } (\lambda_i I - T_i)x = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}.$$

J. Pushpa and S. M. Patel [4] showed for two  $n$ -tuples  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n)$  of commuting bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , the joint spectrum of  $A \oplus B = (A_1 \oplus B_1, \dots, A_n \oplus B_n)$  equals to the union of the joint spectrum of  $A$  and  $B$ .

A natural question is: For families of uniformly bounded  $n$ -tuples  $T_k = (T_k^1, \dots, T_k^n)$  of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , is the joint spectrum of  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k$  the union of the joint spectrum of  $T_k$ ?

Unfortunately, that is false.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $T_k = (T_k^1, T_k^2)$ , and  $T_k^1 = T_k^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \ddots \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$ .

Then we have:

$$\{(\lambda, \lambda), |\lambda| \leq 1\} = \text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k) \neq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Sp}(T_k) = \{(0, 0)\}.$$

However, by considering the joint point spectrum, we obtain the following theorem:

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): Primary 47A13.

*Keywords and phrases:* Joint spectrum, joint point spectrum.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T_k = (T_k^1, \dots, T_k^n), k = 1, 2, \dots$  be families of uniformly bounded  $n$ -tuples of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then:*

$$\text{Spp}(\oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Spp}(T_k).$$

*Proof.* The fact  $\oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k^i$  is bounded on  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , follows from the fact  $(T_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  are uniformly bounded operator tuples, i.e., there is  $M \geq 0$ , such that

$$\|T_k^i\| \leq M, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \dots$ .

Let  $x = \oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , and assume that:

$$(\lambda - \oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k)x = \oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} ((\lambda_1 - T_k^1)x, \dots, (\lambda_n - T_k^n)x) = 0.$$

Therefore, either  $x = 0$  or  $\lambda \in \text{Spp}(\oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k)$ , hence

$$\text{Spp}(\oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Spp}(T_k). \quad \square$$

**REMARK 3.** By Theorem 2, the condition of  $T_k = (T_k^1, \dots, T_k^n), k = 1, 2, \dots$  being  $n$ -tuples of commuting operators is not necessary. However we do not know much about the non-commutative operator tuples. The theorem can be seen as some work on non-commutative operator tuples.

To get the relation between  $\text{Sp}(\oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k)$  and  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Sp}(T_k)$  in details, we need study the Koszul complex  $K_*(T, \mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $n_k$  be a sequence of nonnegative numbers with  $n_k = 0$ , for  $k < 0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_k}$  and  $d_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_{k-1})$  such that for all  $k$ ,  $d_k \circ d_{k+1} = 0$ . Then the complex is

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d_{k+1}} \mathcal{H}_k \xrightarrow{d_k} \mathcal{H}_{k-1} \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathcal{H}_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{H}_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

If  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is an  $n$ -tuple of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , the Koszul complex  $K_*(T, \mathcal{H})$  is the one we get by taking  $n_k = \binom{n}{k}$  and

$$d_k(x \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} T_{j_i} x \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{e}_{j_i} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_k}.$$

R. Curto [1] introduced an operator matrix corresponding to  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ , defined as:

$$\hat{T} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ d_2^* & d_3 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{n-1}}).$$

LEMMA 4. Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \text{Sp}(T)$  if and only if  $(T - \lambda)^\wedge$  is not invertible.

LEMMA 5. Let  $T_k = (T_k^1, \dots, T_k^n), k = 1, 2, \dots$  be families of uniformly bounded  $n$ -tuples of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty T_k)^\wedge$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty (T_k)^\wedge$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty T_k)^\wedge$  is a bounded operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{n-1}})$  and  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty (T_k)^\wedge$  is a bounded operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \dots$ , then let

$$U : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{n-1}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}},$$

$U : (\xi_1^1, \xi_2^1, \dots, \xi_1^2, \xi_2^2, \dots, \xi_1^{2^{n-1}}, \xi_2^{2^{n-1}}, \dots) \mapsto (\xi_1^1, \xi_1^2, \dots, \xi_1^{2^{n-1}}, \xi_2^1, \xi_2^2, \dots, \xi_2^{2^{n-1}}, \dots)$ , where  $\xi_j^i \in \mathcal{H}, i = 1, \dots, 2^{n-1}; j = 1, 2, \dots$ , thus we have that  $UU^* = I, U^*U = I$  and  $U(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty T_k)^\wedge U^* = \bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty (T_k)^\wedge$ , therefore  $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty T_k)^\wedge$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty (T_k)^\wedge$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 6. Let  $T_k = (T_k^1, \dots, T_k^n), k = 1, 2, \dots$  be families of uniformly bounded  $n$ -tuples of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then:

$$\text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty T_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \text{Sp}(T_k) \cup \sigma,$$

where  $\sigma = \{\lambda \notin \text{Sp}(T_k); \text{there exists } n_k, \text{ such that } \|((\lambda - T_{n_k})^{-1})^\wedge\| \rightarrow \infty\}$ .

*Proof.* For  $\lambda \in \text{Sp}(T_k)$ , it follows from Lemma 4 that  $(\lambda - T_k)^\wedge$  is not invertible, thus  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty (\lambda - T_k)^\wedge$  is not invertible, then by Lemma 5,  $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty (\lambda - T_k)^\wedge)$  is not invertible, that is  $\lambda \in \text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty T_k)$ . Thus we get the inclusion

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \text{Sp}(T_k) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty T_k).$$

If  $\lambda \in \sigma$ , then there is a sequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty, x_{n_k} \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{n-1}}, \|x_{n_k}\| = 1$ , such that

$$\|(\lambda - T_{n_k})^\wedge x_{n_k}\| \rightarrow 0,$$

thus if  $u_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_k-1} 0 \oplus x_{n_k} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=n_k+1}^\infty 0$ , then  $\|u_k\| = 1, u_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ , and

$$\|(\lambda - T_k)^\wedge u_k\| \rightarrow 0,$$

that is  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty (\lambda - T_k)^\wedge$  is not invertible, by Lemma 5,  $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty (\lambda - T_k)^\wedge)$  is not invertible. Hence

$$\text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty T_k) \supseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \text{Sp}(T_k) \cup \sigma.$$

For all  $\lambda \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \text{Sp}(T_k) \cup \sigma$ , then there is  $d > 0$ , such that for all  $k$ ,

$$\|((\lambda - T_k)^{-1})^\wedge\| \leq d,$$

thus  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty}(\lambda - T_k)^\wedge$  is invertible, it follows by Lemma 5 that  $(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty}(\lambda - T_k))^\wedge$  is invertible, therefore  $\lambda \notin \text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k)$ , hence

$$\text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Sp}(T_k) \cup \sigma. \quad \square$$

M. Chō and M. Takaguchi [3] showed that the joint spectrum of an  $n$ -tuple of commuting operators on finite Hilbert space is the joint point spectrum. The following corollary is a generalization of their result by Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 7.** *Let  $T_k = (T_k^1, \dots, T_k^n), k = 1, 2, \dots$  be families of uniformly bounded  $n$ -tuples of commuting operators on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then*

$$\text{Spp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Sp}(T_k).$$

The next corollary is a generalization of a special case of R. Curto and K. Yan [2].

**COROLLARY 8.** *Let  $T_k = (T_k^1, \dots, T_k^n), k = 1, 2, \dots$  be families of uniformly bounded  $n$ -tuples of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , if for all  $k$ ,  $\lambda \notin \text{Sp}(T_k)$ , where  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , there is  $d > 0$ , such that  $\|((\lambda - T_k)^{-1})^\wedge\| \leq d$ , then:*

$$\text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Sp}(T_k).$$

**COROLLARY 9.** *Let  $T_k = (T_k^1, \dots, T_k^n), k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  be families of uniformly bounded  $n$ -tuples of commuting operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then*

$$\text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^m T_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^m \text{Sp}(T_k).$$

It is noted that by using the Curto matrix,  $\lambda \notin \text{Sp}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^m T_k) \Leftrightarrow (\bigoplus_{k=1}^m (\lambda - T_k))^\wedge$  is invertible  $\Leftrightarrow (\lambda - T_k)^\wedge$  is invertible for all  $k = 1, \dots, m \Leftrightarrow \lambda \notin \text{Sp}(T_k)$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, m \Leftrightarrow \lambda \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^m \text{Sp}(T_k)$ .

## Acknowledgment

The work is supported by the China Natural Science Foundation (Grant Nos. 61102149). The authors thank for the suggestions given by the referee.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. CURTO, *Fredholm and invertible  $n$ -tuples of operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **266** (1981), 129–159.
- [2] R. CURTO AND K. YAN, *The Taylor spectrum of infinite direct sums*, Contemp. Math. **120** (1991), 19–27.
- [3] M. CHŌ AND M. TAKAGUCHI, *Joint spectra of matrices*, Sci. Rep. Hiroasaki Univ. **26** (1979), 15–19.

- [4] J. PUSHPA AND S. M. PATEL, *On joint spectrum, joint numerical range and joint spectral radius*, Glasnik Mat. Ser. III **13** (1977), 315–321.
- [5] J. L. TAYLOR, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **6** (1970), 172–191.
- [6] J. L. TAYLOR, *The analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Acta Math. **125** (1970), 1–38.

(Received December 14, 2010)

*Shuilin Jin*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Center for Control Theory and Guidance Technology*  
*Harbin Institute of Technology*  
*Heilongjiang, China, 150001*

*Qinghua Jiang*  
*Academy of Fundamental and Interdisciplinary Sciences*  
*Harbin Institute of Technology*  
*Heilongjiang, China, 150001*  
*e-mail: qhjiang@hit.edu.cn*

*Yadong Wang*  
*School of Computer Science and Technology*  
*Harbin Institute of Technology*  
*Heilongjiang, China, 150001*  
*e-mail: ydwang@hit.edu.cn*

*Guangren Duan*  
*Center for Control Theory and Guidance Technology*  
*Harbin Institute of Technology*  
*Heilongjiang, China, 150001*