

## APPROXIMATE DOUBLE COMMUTANTS IN VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS AND C\*-ALGEBRAS

DON HADWIN

*Dedicated to Eric Nordgren,  
a great mathematician  
and a great friend*

*(Communicated by D. R. Farenick)*

*Abstract.* Richard Kadison showed that not every commutative von Neumann subalgebra of a factor von Neumann algebra is equal to its relative double commutant. We prove that every commutative C\*-subalgebra of a centrally prime C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  equals its relative approximate double commutant. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra, there is a related distance formula.

One of the fundamental results in the theory of von Neumann algebras is von Neumann's classical *double commutant theorem*, which says that if  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^* \subseteq B(H)$ , then  $\mathcal{S}'' = W^*(\mathcal{S})$ . In 1978 [3] the author proved an asymptotic version of von Neumann's theorem, the *approximate double commutant theorem*. For the asymptotic version, we define the *approximate double commutant* of  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq B(H)$ , denoted by  $\text{Appr}(\mathcal{S})''$ , to be the set of all operators  $T$  such that

$$\|A_\lambda T - TA_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$$

for every bounded net  $\{A_\lambda\}$  in  $B(H)$  for which

$$\|A_\lambda S - SA_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$$

for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . More generally, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a unital C\*-algebra and  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , we define the *relative approximate double commutant* of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted by  $\text{Appr}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})''$ , in the same way but insisting that the  $T$ 's and the  $A_\lambda$ 's be in  $\mathcal{B}$ . The approximate double commutant theorem in  $B(H)$  [3] says that if  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^*$ , then  $\text{Appr}(\mathcal{S})'' = C^*(\mathcal{S})$ . Moreover, if we restrict the  $\{A_\lambda\}$ 's to be nets of unitaries or nets of projections that asymptotically commute with every element of  $\mathcal{S}$ , the resulting approximate double commutant is still  $C^*(\mathcal{S})$ .

A von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is *hyperreflexive* if there is a constant  $K \geq 1$  such that, for every  $T \in B(H)$

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{B}) \leq K \sup \{ \|TP - PT\| : P \in \mathcal{B}', P \text{ a projection} \}.$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): Primary 46L19; Secondary 46L05.

*Keywords and phrases:* Double commutant, approximate double commutant, hyperreflexive.

The smallest such  $K$  is called the *constant of hyperreflexivity* for  $\mathcal{B}$ . The inequality

$$\sup \{ \|TP - PT\| : P \in \mathcal{M}', P \text{ a projection} \} \leq \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{M})$$

is always true. The question of whether every von Neumann algebra is hyperreflexive is still open and is equivalent to a number of other important problems in von Neumann algebras (see [6]). It was proved by the author [4] that every unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $B(H)$  is approximately hyperreflexive; more precisely, if  $T \in B(H)$ , then there is a net  $\{P_\lambda\}$  of projections such that

$$\|AP_\lambda - P_\lambda A\| \rightarrow 0$$

for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , and

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{A}) \leq 29 \lim_{\lambda} \|TP_\lambda - P_\lambda T\|.$$

If we replace the role of  $B(H)$  with a factor von Neumann algebra, then the double commutant theorem fails, even when the subalgebra is commutative. Suppose  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset of a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . We define the *relative commutant* of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , the *relative double commutant* of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , and the *relative triple commutant* of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , respectively, by

$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})' = \{T \in \mathcal{R} : \forall S \in \mathcal{S}, TS = ST\},$$

$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})'' = \{T \in \mathcal{R} : \forall A \in (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})', TA = AT\},$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})''' = \{T \in \mathcal{R} : \forall A \in (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})'', TA = AT\}.$$

It is clear from general Galois nonsense that

$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})''' = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})'.$$

Following R. Kadison [8] we will say a subring  $\mathcal{M}$  of a unital ring  $\mathcal{B}$  is *normal* if

$$\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})'' = (\mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{B})' \cap \mathcal{B}.$$

R. Kadison [8] proved that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is type  $I$  von Neumann subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if its center  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}'$  is normal if and only if  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$  is an intersection of masas (maximal abelian selfadjoint subalgebras) of  $\mathcal{B}$ . See the paper of B. J. Vowden [14] for more examples. We see that the part of Kadison’s result concerning abelian  $C^*$ -subalgebras is true in the  $C^*$ -algebraic setting. We prove a general version for rings, which applies to commutative nonselfadjoint subalgebras of a  $C^*$ -algebra or von Neumann algebra.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a unital abelian subring of a unital ring  $\mathcal{B}$ . The following are equivalent:*

1.  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})''$ .

2.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an intersection of maximal abelian subrings of  $\mathcal{B}$ .
3.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an intersection of subrings of the form  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$  for subsets  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

*Proof.* First note that every maximal abelian subring  $\mathcal{E}$  has the property that  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})'$ , which implies  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})''$  and the implication (2)  $\implies$  (3). It is also clear that if  $\{\mathcal{S}_i : i \in I\}$  is a collection of nonempty subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} (\mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{B})' \subseteq (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{B})',$$

and

$$(\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{B})'' \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (\mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{B})''.$$

This, and the fact that  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})''' = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$  always holds, yields (3)  $\implies$  (1).

To prove (1)  $\implies$  (2), suppose (1) holds, and let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a maximal abelian subring of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ . For each  $W \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{M}$ , by (1), there is a  $T_W \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})'$  such that  $T_W W \neq W T_W$ . Since the ring generated by  $\mathcal{M} \cup \{T_W\}$  is abelian, it is contained in a maximal abelian subring  $\mathcal{S}_W$ , and  $W \notin \mathcal{S}_W$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{W} \cap \bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{S}_W,$$

which proves (2) holds.  $\square$

If in the statement and proof of the preceding lemma we replace “ring” with “C\*-algebra”, and the ring generated by  $\mathcal{M} \cup \{T_W\}$  with  $C^*(\mathcal{M} \cup \{T_W\})$ , we obtain the following result for C\*-algebras.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a unital commutative C\*-subalgebra of a unital C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . The following are equivalent:*

1.  $\mathcal{M}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{B}$ .
2.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an intersection of maximal abelian subalgebras of  $\mathcal{B}$ .
3.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an intersection of masas in  $\mathcal{B}$ .
4.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an intersection of algebras of the form  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$  for subsets  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

We now know that every masa in a C\*-algebra is normal. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a masa in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , then the double commutant theorem holds even with a distance formula. The proof is a simple adaptation of the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [13].

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a masa in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{M}) &\leq \sup \{ \|UT - TU\| : U = U^* \in \mathcal{B}, U^2 = 1 \} \\ &= 2 \sup \{ \|TP - PT\| : P = P^* = P^2 \in \mathcal{B} \} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $R$  denote the right-hand side of the inequality, and let  $D$  be the closed ball in  $\mathcal{B}$  centered at  $T$  with radius  $R$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite orthogonal set of projections in  $\mathcal{M}$  whose sum is 1. Let  $G(\mathcal{F})$  be the set of all sums of the form

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_P P$$

with each  $\lambda_P$  in  $\{-1, 1\}$ . Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is a finite group of unitaries and each  $U \in G(\mathcal{F})$  has the form  $2Q - 1$  with  $Q$  a finite sum of elements in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, if  $U = 2Q - 1$ ,

$$2\|TQ - QT\| = \|TU - UT\| = \|T - UTU^*\|.$$

It follows that  $UTU^* \in D$  for every  $U \in G(\mathcal{F})$ . Define

$$S_{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{1}{\text{card}G(\mathcal{F})} \sum_{U \in G(\mathcal{F})} UTU^*.$$

Since  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is a group, it easily follows that, for every  $U_0 \in G(\mathcal{F})$ ,

$$U_0 S_{\mathcal{F}} U_0^* = S_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

This implies that  $S_{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{F}} PTP \in (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})' = (G(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{B})'$ . Choose a subnet  $\{S_{\mathcal{F}_\lambda}\}$  that converges in the weak operator topology to  $S \in D$ . Then  $S \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})' \cap D$ . Since  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})' = \mathcal{M}$ , we conclude

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{M}) \leq \|T - S\| \leq R. \quad \square$$

We now address the approximate double commutant relative to a  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , we know that  $\text{Appr}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})''$  must contain the center  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}'$ . Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , then

$$C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})) \subseteq \text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})''.$$

When  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative, we will prove that equality holds in certain cases, including when  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra.

The following result is based on S. Macado's generalization [11] of the Bishop-Stone-Weierstrass theorem. If  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a unital closed subalgebra of  $C(K)$ , a subset  $E$  of  $K$  is called  $\mathcal{G}$ -antisymmetric if, for every  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , the restriction  $g|_E$  is real-valued implies  $g|_E$  is constant. Machado's theorem [11] says that if  $h \in C(K)$ , then there is a closed  $\mathcal{G}$ -antisymmetric set  $E \subseteq K$  such that

$$\text{dist}(h, \mathcal{G}) = \text{dist}(h|_E, \mathcal{G}|_E),$$

where  $\mathcal{G}|_E = \{g|_E : g \in \mathcal{G}\}$ . A beautiful, short, elementary proof of Machado's theorem was given by T. J. Ransford in [12].

**LEMMA 3.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{W}$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $S = S^* \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $S \notin \mathcal{W}$ . Then there are multiplicative linear functionals  $\alpha, \beta$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and nets  $\{A_\lambda\}, \{B_\lambda\}, \{X_\lambda\}$  and  $\{Y_\lambda\}$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that*

1.  $0 \leq X_\lambda \leq A_\lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq Y_\lambda \leq B_\lambda \leq 1,$
2.  $X_\lambda Y_\lambda = 0, A_\lambda X_\lambda = X_\lambda, Y_\lambda B_\lambda = Y_\lambda,$
3.  $\|DA_\lambda - \alpha(D)A_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|DB_\lambda - \beta(D)B_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$  for every  $D \in \mathcal{D},$
4.  $\alpha(A) = \beta(A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{W},$
5.  $\alpha(X_\lambda) = \beta(Y_\lambda) = 1$  for every  $\lambda,$
6.  $\beta(S) - \alpha(S) = 2\text{dist}(S, \mathcal{W}).$

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be the maximal ideal space of  $\mathcal{D}$  and let  $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow C(K)$  be the Gelfand map, which must be a  $*$ -isomorphism since  $\mathcal{D}$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $g = \Gamma(S) = \Gamma(S^*) = \bar{g}$ . It follows from Machado's theorem [11] that there is a  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$ -antisymmetric set  $E \subseteq K$  such that

$$\text{dist}(S, \mathcal{W}) = \text{dist}(g, \Gamma(\mathcal{W})) = \text{dist}(g|_E, \Gamma(\mathcal{W})|_E).$$

Since  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$  is self-adjoint and  $E$  is  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$ -antisymmetric, every function in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$  is constant. Hence  $\text{dist}(g|_E, \Gamma(\mathcal{W})|_E)$  is the distance from  $g|_E$  to the constant functions. It is clear that the closest constant function to  $g|_E$  is

$$\frac{g(\beta) + g(\alpha)}{2},$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in E, g(\beta) = \max_{x \in E} g(x)$  and  $g(\alpha) = \min_{x \in E} g(x)$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the directed set of all pairs  $\lambda = (U_\lambda, V_\lambda)$  of disjoint open sets with  $\alpha \in U_\lambda$  and  $\beta \in V_\lambda$ , ordered by  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$  if and only if  $U_{\lambda_2} \subseteq U_{\lambda_1}$  and  $V_{\lambda_2} \subseteq V_{\lambda_1}$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  choose continuous functions  $r_\lambda, s_\lambda, t_\lambda, u_\lambda : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

- a.  $r_\lambda(\alpha) = t_\lambda(\beta) = 1,$
- b.  $0 \leq r_\lambda = r_\lambda s_\lambda \leq s_\lambda \leq 1,$
- c.  $0 \leq t_\lambda = t_\lambda u_\lambda \leq u_\lambda \leq 1,$
- d.  $\text{supp } s_\lambda \subseteq U_\lambda$  and  $\text{supp } u_\lambda \subseteq V_\lambda.$

If we choose  $A_\lambda, B_\lambda, X_\lambda, Y_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Gamma(X_\lambda) = r_\lambda, \Gamma(A_\lambda) = s_\lambda, \Gamma(Y_\lambda) = t_\lambda,$  and  $\Gamma(B_\lambda) = u_\lambda,$  then statements (1)-(6) are clear.  $\square$

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is *primitive* if it has a faithful irreducible representation. A  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is *prime* if, for every  $x, y \in \mathcal{B},$  we have

$$x\mathcal{B}y = \{0\} \implies x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0.$$

Every primitive  $C^*$ -algebra is prime, and it was proved by Dixmier [2] that every separable prime  $C^*$ -algebra is primitive. N. Weaver [15] gave an example of a nonseparable prime  $C^*$ -algebra that is not primitive.

We define  $\mathcal{B}$  to be *centrally prime* if, whenever  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$  and  $x\mathcal{B}y = \{0\}$ , there is an  $e \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $x \leq e \leq 1$  and  $y \leq 1 - e \leq 1$ . The centrally prime algebras include the prime ones, von Neumann algebras, and  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}_i / \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}_i$  or a C\*-

ultraproduct  $\prod_{i \in I}^{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_i$  when  $\{\mathcal{B}_i : i \in I\}$  is a collection of unital primitive C\*-algebras (see the proof of Theorem 4).

We characterize  $\text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})''$  for every commutative C\*-subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of a centrally prime C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , and we show that there is a distance formula for every commutative unital C\*-subalgebra if and only if every masa in  $\mathcal{B}$  has a distance formula. In particular, when  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra, we obtain a distance formula.

REMARK 1. Here is a useful comment on distance formulas. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a unital C\*-algebra and  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^* \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , then  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$  is a unital C\*-algebra, so, by the Russo-Dye theorem, the closed unit ball of  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$  is the norm-closed convex hull of the set of unitary elements in  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$ . Hence, for any  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \{ \|TW - WT\| : W \in (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})', \|W\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ \|TU - UT\| : U \in (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})', U \text{ is unitary} \}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar result holds in the approximate case. Suppose  $(\Lambda, \leq)$  is a directed set. Then  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}$  is a unital C\*-algebra and the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \{W_\lambda\} \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B} : \forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \lim_{\lambda} \|W_\lambda S - SW_\lambda\| = 0 \right\}$$

is a unital C\*-algebra and the closed unit ball  $\mathcal{E}_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  is the closed convex hull of its unitary group. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left\{ \limsup_{\lambda} \|TW_\lambda - W_\lambda T\| : W = \{W_\lambda\} \in \mathcal{E}, \|W\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \limsup_{\lambda} \|TU_\lambda - U_\lambda T\| : U = \{U_\lambda\} \in \mathcal{E}, U \text{ is unitary} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a centrally prime unital C\*-algebra and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  are unital commutative C\*-subalgebras of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose  $S = S^* \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then there is a net  $\{W_\lambda\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

1.  $W_\lambda$  is unitary for every  $\lambda$ ,
2.  $\lim_{\lambda} \|AW_\lambda - W_\lambda A\| = 0$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{W}$ ,
3.  $\lim_{\lambda} \|SW_\lambda - W_\lambda S\| = 2\text{dist}(S, \mathcal{W})$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra, then there is a net  $\{P_\lambda\}$  of projections in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

4.  $\lim_\lambda \|AP_\lambda - P_\lambda A\| = 0$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{W}$ ,
5.  $\lim_\lambda \|SP_\lambda - P_\lambda S\| = \text{dist}(S, \mathcal{W})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{W} = C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}))$ ,  $\mathcal{D} = C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \{S\})$ . Now choose  $\alpha, \beta$  and nets  $\{A_\lambda\}, \{B_\lambda\}, \{X_\lambda\}$  and  $\{Y_\lambda\}$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  as in Lemma 3. We first show that  $X_\lambda \mathcal{B} Y_\lambda \neq \{0\}$ ; otherwise, since  $\mathcal{B}$  is centrally prime, there is an  $e \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $X_\lambda \leq e \leq 1$  and  $Y_\lambda \leq 1 - e \leq 1$ . Hence  $\alpha(e) = 1$  and  $\beta(1 - e) = 1$ , or  $\beta(e) = 0$ . However,  $e \in \mathcal{W}$  and, by part (4) of Lemma 3, we get  $\alpha(e) = \beta(e)$ . This contradiction shows that  $X_\lambda \mathcal{B} Y_\lambda \neq \{0\}$ . Hence there is a  $C_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\|X_\lambda C_\lambda Y_\lambda\| = 1$ . Define  $W_\lambda = X_\lambda C_\lambda Y_\lambda = A_\lambda W_\lambda = W_\lambda B_\lambda$ . Lemma 3 implies that, for every  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\|DW_\lambda - \alpha(D)W_\lambda\| = \|DA_\lambda W_\lambda - \alpha(D)A_\lambda W_\lambda\| \leq \|[D - \alpha(D)]A_\lambda\| \|W_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\|W_\lambda D - \beta(D)W_\lambda\| = \|W_\lambda B_\lambda D - \beta(D)W_\lambda B_\lambda\| \leq \|W_\lambda\| \|B_\lambda [D - \alpha(D)]\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\alpha(A) = \beta(A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{W}$ , it follows that  $\|AW_\lambda - W_\lambda A\| \rightarrow 0$ . It also follows that

$$\lim_\lambda \|W_\lambda S - SW_\lambda\| = \lim_\lambda |\beta(S) - \alpha(S)| \|W_\lambda\| = |\beta(S) - \alpha(S)| = 2\text{dist}(S, \mathcal{W}).$$

We now appeal to Remark 1 to replace the net  $\{W_\lambda\}$  with a net of unitaries.

Now suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra. Once we get  $X_\lambda \mathcal{B} Y_\lambda \neq 0$  we know that there is a partial isometry  $V_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  whose final space is contained in the closure of  $\text{ran} X_\lambda$  and whose initial space is contained in  $(\ker Y_\lambda)^\perp$ . Then (3) holds with  $\{W_\lambda\}$  replaced with  $\{V_\lambda\}$ . Also,  $V_\lambda^2 = 0$  (since  $X_\lambda Y_\lambda = 0$ ), so  $P_\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(V_\lambda + V_\lambda^* + V_\lambda V_\lambda^* + V_\lambda^* V_\lambda)$  is a projection. Using the above arguments gives us

$$\|DV_\lambda^* V_\lambda - \beta(D)V_\lambda^* V_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0, \|V_\lambda^* V_\lambda D - \beta(D)V_\lambda^* V_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\|DV_\lambda V_\lambda^* - \alpha(D)V_\lambda V_\lambda^*\| \rightarrow 0, \|V_\lambda V_\lambda^* D - \alpha(D)V_\lambda V_\lambda^*\| \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies

$$\|DV_\lambda^* V_\lambda - V_\lambda^* V_\lambda D + DV_\lambda V_\lambda^* - V_\lambda V_\lambda^* D\| \rightarrow 0$$

for every  $D \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_\lambda \|SP_\lambda - P_\lambda S\| &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_\lambda \|(\alpha(S)V_\lambda - V_\lambda \beta(S)) + (\beta(S)V_\lambda^* - V_\lambda^* \alpha(S))\| \\ &= \lim_\lambda \frac{1}{2} |\beta(S) - \alpha(S)| \|V_\lambda^* - V_\lambda\| = \frac{1}{2} |\beta(S) - \alpha(S)| = \text{dist}(S, \mathcal{W}), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\|V_\lambda^* - V_\lambda\| = 1$  for every  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra of a centrally prime unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then*

$$\text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})'' = C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})).$$

Hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is normal if and only if  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\mathcal{W} = C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})) \subseteq \text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})''$ . Choose a masa  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{W} \subseteq \text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})'' \subseteq \text{Appr}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B})'' = \mathcal{D}.$$

If we choose  $S = S^* \in \text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})''$  and apply Theorem 1 we see that  $S \in \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})''$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, we have proved that  $\text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})'' \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a centrally prime  $C^*$ -algebra with trivial center, e.g., a factor von Neumann algebra or the Calkin algebra, then  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})''$  for every commutative unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

In the von Neumann algebra setting, we get a distance formula. We have not tried to get the best constant.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then there is a net  $\{P_\lambda\}$  of projections in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that,*

1. for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\|AP_\lambda - P_\lambda A\| \rightarrow 0,$$

and

- 2.

$$\text{dist}(T, C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}))) \leq 10 \lim_{\lambda} \|TP_\lambda - P_\lambda T\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{W} = C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}))$ . We define the seminorm  $\Delta$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  by setting  $\Delta(V)$  to be the supremum of  $\lim_{\lambda} \|VP_\lambda - P_\lambda V\|$  taken over all nets  $\{P_\lambda\}$  of projections in  $\mathcal{B}$  for which  $\|AP_\lambda - P_\lambda A\| \rightarrow 0$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lim_{\lambda} \|VP_\lambda - P_\lambda V\|$  exists. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a masa in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .

We first assume  $T = T^*$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that there is an  $S \in \mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\|S - T\| \leq 2 \sup \{ \|TP - PT\| : P = P^* = P^2 \in \mathcal{D} \} \leq 2\Delta(T).$$

If we apply Theorem 1, we obtain a net  $\{P_\lambda\}$  of projections in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\lim_{\lambda} \|WP_\lambda - P_\lambda W\| = 0$$

for every  $W \in \mathscr{W}$ , and such that

$$\lim_{\lambda} \|P_{\lambda}S - SP_{\lambda}\| = \text{dist}(S, \mathscr{W}).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(T, \mathscr{W}) &\leq \text{dist}(S, \mathscr{W}) + \|S - T\| \leq \Delta(S) + 2\Delta(T) \\ &\leq \Delta(S - T) + \Delta(T) + 2\Delta(T) \leq \|S - T\| + 3\Delta(T) \leq 5\Delta(T). \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $T = T^*$ .

For the general case,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(T, \mathscr{A}) &\leq \text{dist}(\text{Re}T, \mathscr{A}) + \text{dist}(\text{Im}T, \mathscr{A}) \\ &\leq 5\Delta(\text{Re}T) + 5\Delta(\text{Im}T) \leq 5 \left[ \frac{1}{2}\Delta(T + T^*) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(T - T^*) \right] \\ &\leq 5[\Delta(T) + \Delta(T^*)] = 10\Delta(T), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\Delta(T) = \Delta(T^*)$ .  $\square$

In some cases our results yield information on relative double commutants.

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose  $\{\mathscr{B}_n\}$  is a sequence of primitive  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\mathscr{B} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathscr{B}_n / \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathscr{B}_n$ . If  $\mathscr{A}$  is a separable commutative unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathscr{B}$ , then*

$$(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B})'' = C^*(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{B})),$$

*i.e.,  $C^*(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{B}))$  is normal.*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\mathscr{B}$  is centrally prime. Since each  $\mathscr{B}_n$  is primitive, we can assume, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that there is a Hilbert space  $H_n$  such that  $\mathscr{B}_n$  is an irreducible unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H_n)$ . Suppose  $A, B \in \mathscr{B}$ ,  $0 \leq A, B \leq 1$  and  $A\mathscr{B}B = 0$ . We can lift  $A, B$ , respectively to a sequences  $\{A_n\}, \{B_n\}$  in  $\prod_{n \geq 1} \mathscr{B}_n$ . Hence,

for every bounded sequence  $\{T_n\} \in \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathscr{B}_n$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n T_n B_n\| = 0.$$

Choose unit vectors  $e_n, f_n \in H_n$  so that  $\|A_n e_n\| \geq \|A_n\|/2$  and  $\|B_n f_n\| \geq \|B_n\|/2$ . It follows from the irreducibility of  $\mathscr{B}_n$  and Kadison's transitivity theorem [9] that there is a  $T_n \in \mathscr{B}_n$  such that  $\|T_n\| = 1$  and  $T_n B_n f_n = \|B_n f_n\| e_n$ . It follows that

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n T_n B_n\| \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n T_n B_n f_n\| \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4} \|A_n\| \|B_n\|.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min(\|A_n\|, \|B_n\|)^2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n\| \|B_n\| = 0.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$P_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \|B_n\| \leq \|A_n\| \\ 0 & \text{if } \|A_n\| < \|B_n\| \end{cases}.$$

Then  $\{P_n\}$  is in the center of  $\prod_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{B}_n$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n B_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - P_n) A_n\| = 0.$$

If  $P$  is the image of  $\{P_n\}$  in the quotient  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $P$  is a central projection and  $PA = P$  and  $(1 - P)B = B$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is centrally prime. So it follows that

$$\text{Appr}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})'' = C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})).$$

The proof will be completed with proof of the following claim: If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a norm-separable subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then

$$\text{Appr}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'' = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})''.$$

It is clear from considering constant sequences that the inclusion  $\text{Appr}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'' \subseteq (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})''$  holds for every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . To prove the reverse inclusion, suppose  $T \notin \text{appr}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})''$ . Then there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a net  $\{A_\lambda\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\|A_\lambda S - SA_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , and such that  $\|A_\lambda T - TA_\lambda\| \geq \varepsilon$  for every  $\lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{S_1, S_2, \dots\}$  be a dense subset of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We can lift each  $S_n$  to  $\{S_n(j)\}_{j \geq 1} \in \prod_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{B}_k$

and lift  $T$  to  $\{T(j)\}_{j \geq 1}$ . It follows that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an  $A_n \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\|A_n\| = 1$  such that

- a.  $\|A_n S_k - S_k A_n\| < 1/n$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,
- b.  $\|A_n T - T A_n\| > \varepsilon/2$ .

Note that if  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  lifts to  $\{B(j)\}_{j \geq 1} \in \prod_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{B}_k$ , then  $\|B\| = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|B(j)\|$ .

If we lift each  $A_n$  to  $\{A_n(j)\}$ , it follows that we can find an arbitrarily large  $j_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|A_n(j_n) S_k(j_n) - S_k(j_n) A_n(j_n)\| < 1/n$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $\|A_n(j_n) T(j_n) - T(j_n) A_n(j_n)\| > \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $j_n$  can be chosen to be arbitrarily large, we can choose  $\{j_n\}$  so that  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots$ . We now define  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  by defining

$$A(j) = \begin{cases} A_n(j_n) & \text{if } j = j_n \text{ for some } n \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We see that  $AS_k = S_k A$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $\|AT - TA\| \geq \varepsilon/2$ . Hence  $T \notin (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})''$ .  $\square$

We conclude with some questions.

- 1. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a normal von Neumann subalgebra of a factor von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , is there a constant  $K \geq 1$  such that, for every  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{M}) \leq K \sup \{ \|TP - PT\| : P = P^2 = P^* \in \mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{B} \}?$$

When  $\mathcal{B} = B(H)$ , this question is equivalent to Kadison's similarity problem. What about factors not of type  $I$ ?

2. Is there an analog of Theorem 3 for arbitrary  $C^*$ -subalgebras of a factor von Neumann algebra?
3. It seems likely that a version of parts (4) and (5) of Theorem 1 might hold under assumptions weaker than  $\mathcal{B}$  being a von Neumann algebra. Is it true when  $\mathcal{B}$  has real-rank zero? What if we include nuclear and simple? The key is getting the partial isometries  $V_\lambda$  in the proof of Theorem 1. When does a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  have the property that whenever  $X, Y, A, B \geq 0$  are in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $AX = X$ ,  $BY = Y$ ,  $AB = 0$  and  $XBY \neq \{0\}$ , there is a nonzero partial isometry  $V \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $AV = VB = V$ ?

## REFERENCES

- [1] MARIE CHODA, *A condition to construct a full  $II_1$ -factor with an application to approximate normalcy*, Math. Japon. **28** (1983) 383–398.
- [2] J. DIXMIER, *Sur les  $C^*$ -algèbres*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **88** (1960) 95–112.
- [3] DON HADWIN, *An asymptotic double commutant theorem for  $C^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **244** (1978) 273–297.
- [4] DON HADWIN, *Approximately hyperreflexive algebras*, J. Operator Theory **28** (1992) 51–64.
- [5] DON HADWIN, *Continuity modulo sets of measure zero*, Math. Balkanica (N.S.) **3** (1989) 430–433.
- [6] DON HADWIN, VERN I. PAULSEN, *Two reformulations of Kadison's similarity problem*, J. Operator Theory **55** (2006) 3–16.
- [7] PAUL JOLISSAINT, *Operator algebras related to Thompson's group  $F$* , J. Aust. Math. Soc. **79** (2005) 231–241.
- [8] RICHARD V. KADISON, *Normalcy in operator algebras*, Duke Math. J. **29** (1962) 459–464.
- [9] RICHARD V. KADISON AND J. R. RINGROSE, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras*, Vol. II, New York: Harcourt, 1986.
- [10] G. G. KASPAROV, *The operator  $K$ -functor and extensions of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. USSR-Isv. **16** (1981) 513–572.
- [11] SILVIO MACHADO, *On Bishop's generalization of the Weierstrass-Stone theorem*, Indag. Math. **39** (1977) 218–224.
- [12] T. J. RANSFORD, *A short elementary proof of the Bishop-Stone-Weierstrass theorem*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **96** (1984), no. 2, 309–311.
- [13] SHLOMO ROSENER, *Distance estimates for von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982) 248–252.
- [14] B. J. VOWDEN, *Normalcy in von Neumann algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **27** (1973) 88–100.
- [15] NIK WEAVER, *A prime  $C^*$ -algebra that is not primitive*, J. Funct. Anal. **203** (2003) 356–361.

(Received December 1, 2011)

Don Hadwin  
 Mathematics Department  
 University of New Hampshire  
 e-mail: don@unh.edu

<http://www.math.unh.edu/~char126/relaxdon>