

## POSITIVE DEFINITE SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN NONLINEAR MATRIX EQUATIONS

Z. MOUSAVI, F. MIRZAPOUR AND M. S. MOSLEHIAN

(Communicated by L. Chi-Kwong)

*Abstract.* We investigate positive definite solutions of nonlinear matrix equations  $X - f(\Phi(X)) = Q$  and  $X - \sum_{i=1}^m f(\Phi_i(X)) = Q$ , where  $Q$  is a positive definite matrix,  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) are positive linear maps on  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $f$  is a nonnegative matrix monotone or matrix anti-monotone function on  $[0, \infty)$ . In this article, using appropriate inequalities and some fixed point results, we prove the existence of unique positive definite solutions for the mentioned above equations.

### 1. Introduction

We consider the positive solutions of the nonlinear matrix equations

$$X - f(\Phi(X)) = Q, \quad X - \sum_{i=1}^m f(\Phi_i(X)) = Q,$$

where  $Q$  is a positive  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) are positive linear maps on  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $f$  is a nonnegative matrix monotone or matrix anti-monotone function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Since 1990, this type of equations has been studied in initial form of  $X + AX^{-1}A^* = Q$  under assumption that  $Q$  is positive semidefinite [1, 8]. This form of nonlinear matrix equations have many applications in analysis of networks, dynamic programming, control theory and statistics. A particular kind of this equation solved for an optimal interpolation theory problem [20]. The equation  $X - AX^{-1}A^* = Q$  arises in the analysis of stationary Gaussian reciprocal processes over a finite interval [15] and the equation  $X + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X^{-1} B_i = Q$  solved for the analysis of certain Markov processes called Tree-Like stochastic processes [3]. Specific equations such as  $X + A^* X^{-n} A = Q$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $X - A^* X^q A = Q$  ( $q \geq 1$ ),  $X - \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* X^r A_i = Q$ ,  $X - \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = Q$  and so on have been extensively studied in the literature [12, 4, 5, 9, 16]. Fixed point theorems play a crucial role in solving of these matrix equations [10].

Some researchers focused on theoretical results involving the existence of positive solutions or the necessary and sufficient conditions of existence of positive solutions [17, 16, 8] and the others investigated numerical iterative methods and perturbation

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 15A24.

*Keywords and phrases:* Nonlinear matrix equation, matrix monotone, positive definite solution, positive linear map.

analysis [12, 13, 21]. There are relatively few papers that deal with general matrix equations. El-Sayed and Ran studied the equation  $X + A^*f(X)A = Q$ , in the case that  $f$  is either matrix monotone or matrix anti-monotone [7]. In addition, Ran and Reurings derived sufficient conditions for the existence and the uniqueness of a positive definite solution of the same equation [19].

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the  $n \times n$  complex matrix algebra equipped with the usual operator norm  $\| \cdot \|$ . It is known that the strong operator topology and the norm topology on finite dimensional space  $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  coincide. When we write  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m = A$  we mean  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|A_m - A\| = 0$ . We shall show the  $n \times n$  identity matrix by  $I$ . A linear map  $\Phi : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is said to be a positive map if  $\Phi(A) \geq 0$  for all  $A \geq 0$ . In addition,  $\Phi$  is normalized, if  $\Phi(I) = I$ . A typical positive linear map  $\Phi : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is  $\Phi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X A_i$ , where  $A_i \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

For an arbitrary  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A$ , the symbols  $\lambda_1(A)$ ,  $\lambda_n(A)$ ,  $\sigma_1(A)$  and  $\sigma_n(A)$  stand for the maximum eigenvalue, minimum eigenvalue, maximum singular value and minimum singular value, respectively. If  $A$  and  $B$  are Hermitian matrices and  $A - B$  is positive semidefinite (positive definite, resp.), then we write  $A \geq B$  ( $A > B$ , resp.). It is known that if  $A$  is a positive semidefinite matrix then  $\lambda_n(A)I \leq A \leq \lambda_1(A)I$ .

Suppose that  $B$  and  $C$  are two  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices and  $B \leq C$  ( $B < C$ , resp.). The notation  $[B, C]$  ( $(B, C)$ , resp.) means the set of all Hermitian matrices such that  $B \leq X \leq C$  ( $B < X < C$ , resp.). Throughout this paper, we denote the class of all Hermitian matrices and positive definite matrices by  $H_n$  and  $P_n$ , respectively. Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. A real-valued continuous function  $f$  on  $J$  is said to be *matrix monotone* (*matrix anti-monotone*) if for all  $A, B \in H_n$ ,  $A \leq B$  implies that  $f(A) \leq f(B)$  ( $f(A) \geq f(B)$ , resp.). It is known (see e.g. [14]) that a nonnegative matrix monotone function  $f$  has a representation of the form

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{(1+s)t}{s+t} dm(s), \quad t > 0,$$

where  $m$  is a positive measure on the half-line  $[0, \infty)$ . For example, the function  $f(t) = \log t$  is a nonnegative matrix monotone function on  $(1, +\infty)$ . As usual a Hermitian matrix  $C$  with spectrum in the domain  $J$  of  $f$  is called a fixed point of  $f$  if  $f(C) = C$ . To achieve our result we employ the following known results.

LEMMA 2.1. [2] *If  $A, B \in P_n$  such that  $A \geq B > 0$  ( $A > B > 0$ ), then*

(i)  $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha > 0$  ( $A^\alpha > B^\alpha > 0$ ) for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$

(ii)  $0 < A^\alpha \leq B^\alpha$  ( $0 < A^\alpha < B^\alpha$ ) for  $-1 \leq \alpha < 0$ .

LEMMA 2.2. [11] *Let  $A, B \in H_n$  and  $A < B$ . Let  $g : [A, B] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a matrix monotone function such that  $A \leq g(A) \leq g(B) \leq B$ . Then  $g$  has two fixed points  $X_+$*

and  $X_-$  (maximum and minimum fixed point, resp.) in  $[A, B]$  such that

$$X_+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} B_n \text{ and } X_- = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A_n,$$

where  $A_n = g(A_{n-1})$ ,  $A_0 = A$ ,  $B_n = g(B_{n-1})$  and  $B_0 = B$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Moreover, if  $X_+ = X_-$ , then  $g$  has a unique fixed point  $X_+$  (or  $X_-$ ).

### 3. Results

We start our work with the following lemma which is interesting on its own right.

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $f$  be a nonnegative matrix monotone function on  $J = [0, \infty)$  and  $A \in P_n$ . If  $\mu \geq 1$ , then  $f(\mu A) \leq \mu f(A)$ . If  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ , then  $f(\mu A) \geq \mu f(A)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\mu \geq 1$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we have  $(sI + \mu A) \geq (sI + A)$  so  $(sI + \mu A)^{-1} \leq (sI + A)^{-1}$ . Since  $A$  commutate with  $(sI + \mu A)^{-1}$ , we have  $A(sI + \mu A)^{-1} \leq A(sI + A)^{-1}$ . Similarly, for any  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ , we deduce that  $A(sI + \mu A)^{-1} \geq A(sI + A)^{-1}$ . Hence

$$f(\mu A) = \int_J (1+s)(\mu A)(s + \mu A)^{-1} dm(s)$$

$$\begin{cases} \leq \mu \int_J (1+s)A(s+A)^{-1} dm(s) = \mu f(A) & \mu \geq 1 \\ \geq \mu \int_J (1+s)A(s+A)^{-1} dm(s) = \mu f(A) & 0 < \mu \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $f$  be a nonnegative matrix monotone function on  $J = [0, \infty)$ ,  $A \in P_n$  and  $F(X) = Q + f(X)$ , where  $Q \in P_n$ . Then for any  $0 < \mu \leq 1$

$$F(\mu A) \geq \mu(1 + \omega(\mu))F(A),$$

where  $\omega(\mu) = \frac{(1-\mu)\lambda_n(Q)}{\mu(\lambda_1(Q) + f(\lambda_1(A)))}$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $F(\mu A) - \mu(1 + \omega(\mu))F(A) \geq 0$ . By using Lemma 3.1, we have  $f(\mu A) - \mu f(A) \geq 0$  for any  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ . In addition,  $A$  is a positive definite matrix, so  $A \leq \lambda_1(A)I$ , which implies  $-f(A) \geq -f(\lambda_1(A)I)$ . Let  $\omega(\mu)$  be an arbitrary nonnegative function of  $\mu$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mu A) - \mu(1 + \omega(\mu))F(A) &= Q + f(\mu A) - \mu(1 + \omega(\mu))(Q + f(A)) \\ &= Q + (f(\mu A) - \mu f(A)) - \mu(1 + \omega(\mu))Q - \mu\omega(\mu)f(A) \\ &\geq (1 - \mu)Q - \mu\omega(\mu)Q - \mu\omega(\mu)f(A) \\ &\geq \{(1 - \mu)\lambda_n(Q) - \mu\omega(\mu)\lambda_1(Q) - \mu\omega(\mu)f(\lambda_1(A))\}I \\ &\geq \{(1 - \mu)\lambda_n(Q) - \mu\omega(\mu)[\lambda_1(Q) + f(\lambda_1(A))]\}I. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\omega(\mu) = \frac{(1-\mu)\lambda_n(Q)}{\mu(\lambda_1(Q) + f(\lambda_1(A)))}$ , we get  $F(\mu A) \geq \mu(1 + \omega(\mu))F(A)$ .

Note that since  $\mu > 0$ ,  $(1 - \mu) \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_n(Q) > 0$  and  $f(\lambda_1(A)) \geq 0$ , so  $\omega(\mu) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.3. Let  $f$  be a nonnegative matrix anti-monotone function on  $J = [0, \infty)$ ,  $A \in P_n$  and  $F(X) = Q + f(X)$ , where  $Q \in P_n$ . Then for any  $0 < \mu \leq 1$

$$F^2(\mu A) \geq \mu(1 + \omega(\mu))F^2(A),$$

where  $\omega(\mu) = \frac{(1-\mu)\lambda_n(Q)}{\mu(\lambda_1(Q)+f(\lambda_1(A))+\lambda_1(Q))}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is a matrix anti-monotone function, so  $f(Q + f(X))$  is matrix monotone and  $f(Q + f(A)) \leq f(\lambda_1(Q)I + f(\lambda_1(A))I)$ . Hence  $F^2(X) = Q + f(Q + f(X))$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

REMARK 3.4. Theorem 3.2 and Corollary (3.3) are general forms of Lemmas 2.3 and 3.1 in [5] with short proofs.

LEMMA 3.5. Let  $f$  be a matrix anti-monotone function on  $J$ . Suppose that  $A, B \in H_n$  with spectra in  $J$  and  $A \leq f(B) \leq f(A) \leq B$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- (i)  $f^2$  has two fixed points  $X_+$  and  $X_-$  in  $[A, B]$ , where  $X_+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{2n}(B)$  and  $X_- = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{2n}(A)$ .
- (ii) If  $A \leq X \leq B$  is a fixed point of  $f$ , then  $X_- \leq X \leq X_+$ .
- (iii) If  $X_- = X_+ = \bar{X}$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point such that

$$\bar{X} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^n(X_0),$$

where  $A \leq X_0 \leq B$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $A \leq f(B) \leq f(A) \leq B$ , therefore,  $A \leq f(B) \leq f^2(A) \leq f^2(B) \leq f(A) \leq B$  implying that  $A \leq f^2(A) \leq f^2(B) \leq B$ . Hence,  $g = f^2$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.2. Consequently,  $f^2$  has two fixed points  $X_+$  and  $X_-$  in  $[A, B]$ , where  $X_+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{2n}(B)$  and  $X_- = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{2n}(A)$ .

(ii) Let  $X$  be a matrix such that  $A \leq X \leq B$  and  $f(X) = X$ . By acting repeatedly, we have  $f^{2n}(A) \leq f^{2n}(X) = X \leq f^{2n}(B)$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  implies that  $X_- \leq X \leq X_+$ .

(iii) If  $X_- = X_+ = \bar{X}$ , then  $\bar{X} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{2n}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{2n}(B)$ . Moreover, if  $X_0 \in [A, B]$ , then for any  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$f^{2n}(A) \leq f^{2n}(X_0) \leq f^{2n}(B), \tag{3.1}$$

which gives

$$f^{2n}(A) \leq f^{2n+1}(B) \leq f^{2n+1}(X_0) \leq f^{2n+1}(A) \leq f^{2n}(B). \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{2n}(X_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{2n+1}(X_0) = \bar{X}$ , hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^n(X_0) = \bar{X}$ . Therefore,  $\bar{X} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^n(X_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(f^{n-1}(X_0)) = f(\bar{X})$ .  $\square$

We recall the well-known Schauder fixed-point theorem as follows: Let  $C$  be a nonempty, compact and convex subset of a Banach space  $V$ . If  $f : C \rightarrow C$  is continuous, then  $f$  has a fixed point (see [10]).

We are ready to state our first main result.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $f$  be a nonnegative matrix monotone function on  $J = [0, \infty)$ , let  $\Phi : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a positive linear map and  $g(X) = Q + f(\Phi(X))$ , where  $X$  and  $Q$  are positive definite. If there exists  $x_1 > 0$  such that  $f(x_1) \leq \frac{x_1 - \lambda_1(Q)}{\lambda_1(\Phi(I))}$ , then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If  $1 \leq \lambda_1(\Phi(I))$ , then  $g(X) \leq \beta I$ , where  $\beta$  is a positive solution of  $x - \lambda_1(Q) = \lambda_1(\Phi(I))f(x)$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $0 < \lambda_n(\Phi(I)) \leq 1$ , then  $g(X) \geq \alpha I$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive solution of  $x - \lambda_n(Q) = \lambda_n(\Phi(I))f(x)$ .*

*Moreover, if the conditions of (i) and (ii) are satisfied, then  $g$  has a unique positive definite fixed point  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$  and  $\{g^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .*

*Proof.* (i) First, we show that the real function  $l(x) = b_1 f(x) + b_2$  has a unique positive fixed point, where  $b_1 = \lambda_1(\Phi(I))$  and  $b_2 = \lambda_1(Q)$ . Since  $f$  is a nonnegative matrix monotone function, so  $l$  is an increasing function such that  $0 < l(0) = \lambda_1(\Phi(I))f(0) + \lambda_1(Q)$ . By the assumption,  $f(x_1) \leq \frac{x_1 - \lambda_1(Q)}{\lambda_1(\Phi(I))}$ , so we have  $l(x_1) = \lambda_1(\Phi(I))f(x_1) + \lambda_1(Q) \leq x_1$ . Thus  $l$  satisfies the conditions of the Schauder fixed point theorem, so  $l(x)$  has a positive fixed point in  $[0, x_1]$ .

Hence the equation  $x - \lambda_1(Q) = \lambda_1(\Phi(I))f(x)$  has a positive solution  $\beta$ , i.e.

$$\beta - \lambda_1(Q) = \lambda_1(\Phi(I))f(\beta).$$

For  $X \leq \beta I$ ,

$$Q + f(\Phi(X)) \leq Q + f(\Phi(\beta I)) = Q + f(\beta \Phi(I)).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$Q + f(\Phi(X)) \leq Q + f(\lambda_1(\Phi(I))\beta I) \leq (\lambda_1(Q) + \lambda_1(\Phi(I))f(\beta))I = \beta I.$$

Therefore  $g(X) \leq \beta I$ .

(ii) Since  $f(x_1) \leq \frac{x_1 - \lambda_1(Q)}{\lambda_1(\Phi(I))} < \frac{x_1 - \lambda_n(Q)}{\lambda_n(\Phi(I))}$ , using an argument similar to (i), one can show that the equation  $x - \lambda_n(Q) = \lambda_n(\Phi(I))f(x)$  has a positive solution  $\alpha$ , i.e.

$$\alpha - \lambda_n(Q) = \lambda_n(\Phi(I))f(\alpha).$$

By the same way, there exists a positive number  $\gamma$  such that

$$\gamma - \lambda_1(Q) = \lambda_n(\Phi(I))f(\gamma).$$

Since  $\lambda_n(Q) \leq \lambda_1(Q)$ , we have  $\alpha \leq \gamma$  and since  $\lambda_n(\Phi(I))f(x) \leq \lambda_1(\Phi(I))f(x)$ , we get  $\gamma \leq \beta$ , so  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . We consider  $X \geq \alpha I$ .

$$Q + f(\Phi(X)) \geq Q + f(\Phi(\alpha I)) = Q + f(\alpha \Phi(I)).$$

From Lemma 3.1 we deduce that

$$Q + f(\Phi(X)) \geq (\lambda_n(Q) + \lambda_n(\Phi(I))f(\alpha))I = \alpha I.$$

Therefore  $g(X) \geq \alpha I$ .

Now we assume that the conditions of (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Hence, for any  $\alpha I \leq X \leq \beta I$ , we have

$$\alpha I \leq g(\alpha I) \leq g(X) \leq g(\beta I) \leq \beta I.$$

If  $g$  acts on the latter inequality repeatedly, we infer that the increasing sequence  $\{g^n(\alpha)I\}$  and the decreasing sequence  $\{g^n(\beta)I\}$  are bounded above to  $\beta I$  and bounded below to  $\alpha I$ , respectively. Due to Lemma 2.2,  $X_- = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g^n(\alpha I)$  and  $X_+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g^n(\beta I)$  are fixed points of  $g$  and  $X_- \leq X_+$ .

In the sequel, we prove the uniqueness of the fixed point by the technique used in [5]. It is sufficient to show that  $X_- \geq X_+$ . For any  $\alpha I \leq X \leq \beta I$ , we have

$$X_- = g(X_-) \geq \alpha I = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \beta I \geq \frac{\alpha}{\beta} g(X_+) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} X_+.$$

Set  $t_0 = \max\{t : X_- \geq tX_+\}$ . Then  $0 < t_0 < \infty$ . We claim that  $t_0 \geq 1$ . In contrary assume that  $0 < t_0 < 1$ . Employing Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$X_- = g(X_-) \geq g(t_0 X_+) \geq [1 + \omega(t_0)]t_0 g(X_+) = [1 + \omega(t_0)]t_0 X_+,$$

but  $[1 + \omega(t_0)]t_0 > t_0$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $t_0$ . Consequently,  $t_0 \geq 1$ , which gives  $X_- \geq X_+$ .

Assume that  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ . Since  $g$  is a matrix monotone function, it follows that for any  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$g^n(\alpha I) \leq g^n(X_0) \leq g^n(\beta I),$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g^n(\alpha I) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g^n(\beta I) = \bar{X} = X_+ = X_-,$$

which implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g^n(X_0) = \bar{X}$ .  $\square$

Using the same strategy one can prove the next result.

**PROPOSITION 3.7.** *Let  $f$  be a nonnegative matrix monotone function on  $J = [0, \infty)$ . Let  $\Phi_i : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive linear maps and  $g(X) = Q + \sum_{i=1}^m f(\Phi_i(X))$ , where  $X$  and  $Q$  are positive definite. If there exists  $x_1 > a$  such that  $f(x_1) \leq \frac{x_1 - \lambda_1(Q)}{\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_1(\Phi_i(I))}$ , then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If  $1 \leq \lambda_1(\Phi_i(I))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , then  $g(X) \leq \beta I$ , where  $\beta$  is a positive solution of  $x - \lambda_1(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_1(\Phi_i(I))f(x)$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $0 < \lambda_n(\Phi_i(I)) \leq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , then  $g(X) \geq \alpha I$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive solution of  $x - \lambda_n(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_n(\Phi_i(I))f(x)$ .*

Moreover, if the conditions of (i) and (ii) are satisfied, then  $g$  has a unique positive definite fixed point  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$  and  $\{g^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .

The next result reads as follows.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $f$  be a nonnegative matrix anti-monotone function on  $J = [0, \infty)$ , let  $\Phi : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a positive linear map and  $h(X) = Q + f(\Phi(X))$ , where  $X$  and  $Q$  are positive definite. If the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha \leq \beta$  is a solution of the following system:*

$$\begin{cases} x = \lambda_n(Q) + \lambda_n(\Phi(I))f(y) \\ y = \lambda_1(Q) + \lambda_1(\Phi(I))f(x) \end{cases}$$

*then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If  $1 \leq \lambda_1(\Phi(I))$ , then  $h(X) \leq \beta I$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $0 < \lambda_n(\Phi(I)) \leq 1$ , then  $h(X) \geq \alpha I$ .*

*Moreover, if the conditions of (i) and (ii) are satisfied, then  $g$  has a unique positive definite fixed point  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$  and  $\{h^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .*

*Proof.* We show that  $\alpha I \leq h(X) \leq \beta I$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X \leq \beta I$ , while  $1 \leq \lambda_1(\Phi(I))$  and  $0 < \lambda_n(\Phi(I)) \leq 1$ . By using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\alpha I = (\lambda_n(Q) + \lambda_n(\Phi(I))f(\beta))I \leq Q + f(\Phi(X)) \leq (\lambda_1(Q) + \lambda_1(\Phi(I))f(\alpha))I = \beta I.$$

According to Lemma 3.5,  $h^2$  has two positive fixed points  $X_-$  and  $X_+$  such that  $X_- \leq X_+$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h^{2n}(\alpha I) = X_-$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h^{2n}(\beta I) = X_+$ .

To show uniqueness, we need only to verify  $X_- \geq X_+$ . Since  $Q \leq h(X)$ , we have  $Q \leq h^2(X) \leq h(Q)$ . Now  $h^2(X) \leq \lambda_1(h(Q))I$  leads to

$$\begin{aligned} X_- &= h^2(X_-) = Q + f(\Phi(Q) + \Phi(f(\Phi(X_-)))) \\ &\geq \lambda_n(Q)I = \frac{\lambda_n(Q)\lambda_1(h(Q))}{\lambda_1(h(Q))}I \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_n(Q)}{\lambda_1(h(Q))}h^2(X_+) = \frac{\lambda_n(Q)}{\lambda_1(h(Q))}X_+. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $t_0 = \max\{t : X_- \geq tX_+\}$ . Obviously,  $0 < t_0 < +\infty$ . We claim that  $t_0 \geq 1$ . If  $0 < t_0 < 1$ , then, by Corollary 3.3, we have

$$X_- = h^2(X_-) \geq h^2(t_0X_+) \geq t_0[1 + \omega(t_0)]h^2(X_+) = t_0[1 + \omega(t_0)]X_+,$$

but  $t_0[1 + \omega(t_0)] > t_0$ , which contradicts maximality of  $t_0$ . Hence,  $t_0 \geq 1$  and  $X_- \geq X_+$ . Due to Lemma 3.5,  $h(X)$  has a unique fixed point in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$  such that

$$\bar{X} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h^n(X_0),$$

where  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .  $\square$

Utilizing the same reasoning as in the proof of the previous theorem one can show the next result.

COROLLARY 3.9. *Let  $f$  be a nonnegative matrix anti-monotone function on  $J = [0, \infty)$ , let  $\Phi_i : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive linear maps and  $h(X) = Q + \sum_{i=1}^m f(\Phi_i(X))$ , where  $X$  and  $Q$  are positive definite. If the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha \leq \beta$  is a solution of the following system:*

$$\begin{cases} x = \lambda_n(Q) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_n(\Phi_i(I))f(y) \\ y = \lambda_1(Q) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_1(\Phi_i(I))f(x) \end{cases}$$

then the following assertions hold:

- (i) *If  $1 \leq \lambda_1(\Phi(I))$ , then  $h(X) \leq \beta I$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $0 < \lambda_n(\Phi(I)) \leq 1$ , then  $h(X) \geq \alpha I$ .*

Moreover, if the conditions of (i) and (ii) are satisfied, then  $g$  has a unique positive definite fixed point  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$  and  $\{h^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .

#### 4. Application for nonlinear operator equations

The following corollaries and examples illustrate our results. Note that a fixed point of function  $g(X) = Q + f(\Phi(X))$  is the solution of the equation  $X - f(\Phi(X)) = Q$ .

We shall consider the following equation.

$$X - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X A_i\right)^r = Q, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $Q \in P_n$  and  $r \in [-1, 1)$ .

COROLLARY 4.1. (i) *Let  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $g(X) = Q + (\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X A_i)^r$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i)$  and  $0 < \lambda_n(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i) \leq 1$ . Then Equation (4.1) has a unique positive definite solution  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the positive solutions of the equations  $x - \lambda_n(Q) = \lambda_n(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i)x^r$  and  $x - \lambda_1(Q) = \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i)x^r$ , respectively. In addition,  $\{g^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .*

(ii) *Let  $r \in [-1, 0)$  and  $h(X) = Q + (\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X A_i)^r$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i)$  and  $0 < \lambda_n(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i) \leq 1$ . If the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha \leq \beta$  is a solution of the following system:*

$$\begin{cases} x = \lambda_n(Q) + \lambda_n(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i)y^r \\ y = \lambda_1(Q) + \lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i)x^r, \end{cases}$$

then Equation (4.1) has a unique positive definite solution  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$ . In addition,  $\{h^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .

*Proof.* (i) In Theorem 3.6, we set  $\Phi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X A_i$  and  $f(x) = x^r$ , where  $r \in (0, 1)$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $f$  is a nonnegative matrix monotone function on  $J = [0, \infty)$ .

Since there exists a  $x_1 > 0$  such that  $x_1^r \leq \frac{x_1 - \lambda_1(Q)}{\lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i)}$ ,  $(\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_1(\sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* A_i)x^r}{x - \lambda_1(Q)} = 0, r \in (0, 1))$  we get the result.

(ii) This result comes from Theorem 3.8, if we set  $\Phi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X A_i$  and  $f(x) = x^r$ , where  $r \in [-1, 0)$ . In this case,  $f$  is a matrix anti-monotone function on  $J = (0, \infty)$  by Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

One can specialize Equation (4.1) by putting  $\Phi(X) = A^* X A$ , where  $A$  is an isometry, i.e.  $A^* A = I$  and  $f(x) = x^{-1}$ . So  $\lambda_n(\Phi(I)) = \lambda_1(\Phi(I)) = 1$  and  $f(x)$  is a matrix nonnegative anti-monotone function on  $(0, \infty)$ , hence the conditions of corollary 4.1 are satisfied. It is sufficient to obtain the following system solution.

$$\begin{cases} x = \lambda_n(Q) + \frac{1}{y} \\ y = \lambda_1(Q) + \frac{1}{x}. \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $K$  is the correlation matrix of  $A \in P_n$ , i.e.

$$K = (a_{ij}/(a_{ii}a_{jj})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{for} \quad A = (a_{ij})$$

The matrix  $K$  is positive definite. We define a map  $\Phi(X) = K \circ X$ , where  $\circ$  denotes the Hadamard product of matrices. Then  $\Phi$  is a normalized positive linear map ([18]). Now we shall consider the following equation.

$$X - (K \circ X)^r = Q, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $Q \in P_n$ ,  $r \in [-1, 1)$ .

**COROLLARY 4.2.** (i) Let  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $g(X) = Q + (K \circ X)^r$ . Then Equation (4.2) has a unique positive definite solution  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive solutions of equations  $x - \lambda_n(Q) = x^r$  and  $x - \lambda_1(Q) = x^r$ , respectively. In addition,  $\{g^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .

(ii) Let  $r \in [-1, 0)$  and  $h(X) = Q + (K \circ X)^r$ . If the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha \leq \beta$  is a solution of the following system:

$$\begin{cases} x = \lambda_n(Q) + y^r \\ y = \lambda_1(Q) + x^r \end{cases}$$

then Equation (4.2) has a unique positive definite solution  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$ . In addition,  $\{h^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\Phi(X) = K \circ X$  and  $f(x) = x^r$  in Theorem 3.6 and 3.8. Since  $\Phi$  is a normalized positive map, so  $\lambda_1(\Phi(I)) = \lambda_n(\Phi(I)) = 1$  which satisfies the conditions of mentioned theorems. (Additionally,  $f(x)$  satisfies the conditions of the (i) and (ii) by similar discussions as in Corollary 4.1.)  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.3.** Let  $g(X) = Q + \sum_{i=1}^m \log(A_i^* X A_i)$ , where  $Q \in P_n$ . Suppose that  $\lambda_n(Q) > 1$ ,  $1 \leq \sigma_1^2(A_i)$  and  $0 < \sigma_n^2(A_i) \leq 1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then the matrix equation

$$X - \sum_{i=1}^m \log(A_i^* X A_i) = Q$$

has a unique positive solution  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive solutions of equations  $x - \lambda_n(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_n^2(A_i) \log(x)$  and  $x - \lambda_1(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_1^2(A_i) \log(x)$ , respectively. Moreover,  $\{g^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to put  $f(x) = \log(x)$  and  $\Phi_i(X) = A_i^* X A_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  in Proposition 3.7. Since  $f(x) = \log(x)$  is a matrix monotone function on  $(0, \infty)$  and there exists  $x_1 > 1$  such that  $\log(x_1) \leq \frac{x_1 - \lambda_1(Q)}{\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_1^2(A_i)}$  ( $\lambda_n(Q) > 1$ ), so the conditions of Proposition 3.7 are met.

(Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_1^2(A_i) \log(x)}{x - \lambda_1(Q)} = 0$ .)  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.4.** Let  $g(X) = Q + U^* X (X^s + I)^{-1} U$ , where  $Q \in P_n$ ,  $s \in (0, 1]$  and  $U$  is a unitary matrix. Then the matrix equation

$$X - U^* X (X^s + I)^{-1} U = Q$$

has a unique positive solution  $\bar{X}$  in  $[\alpha I, \beta I]$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive solutions of equations  $x - \lambda_n(Q) = \frac{x}{x^s + 1}$  and  $x - \lambda_1(Q) = \frac{x}{x^s + 1}$ , respectively. Moreover,  $\{g^k(X_0)\}$  converges to  $\bar{X}$  for any  $\alpha I \leq X_0 \leq \beta I$ .

*Proof.* Put  $f(x) = \frac{x}{x^s + 1}$  and  $\Phi(X) = U^* X U$  in Theorem 3.6. Note that since  $\varphi(x) = 1 + x^s$ , where  $s \in (0, 1]$ , is matrix monotone, so is  $f(x) = \frac{x}{\varphi(x)} = \frac{x}{x^s + 1}$ , cf. [18, Corollary 1.14]. In addition, since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{(x - \lambda_1(Q))(x^s + 1)} = 0$ , so there exists a number  $x_1 > 0$  such that  $f(x_1) < x_1 - \lambda_1(Q)$ .  $\square$

### 5. Numerical experiments

We carry out numerical examples for computing a positive definite solution of equations  $X - \log(A^* X A) = Q$ ,  $X - (A^* X A)^{-1} = Q$  and  $X - (K \circ X)^{\frac{1}{2}} = Q$  by MATLAB. All computations are presented with the first 6 digits and for the stopping condition of all algorithms we have chosen  $\varepsilon = 10^{-8}$ . We have used the methods described in Theorems 3.6 and 3.8. The CPU time needed by all the algorithms is negligible, since it is less than a second. In the following  $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$  stands for infinity norm of matrices.

**EXAMPLE 5.1.** Consider the equation  $X - \log(A^* X A) = Q$  with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.00171 & 0.1120 & 0.0400 \\ 0.0020 & 0.4720 & -0.0020 \\ -0.0040 & -0.0010 & 2.0100 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have  $\lambda_1(Q) = 3$ ,  $\lambda_n(Q) = 2 > 1$ ,  $0 < \sigma_n^2(A) = 0.000002 \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq \sigma_1^2(A) = 4.041720$ . We compute  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by solving the following equations, respectively.

$$x - 2 = 0.000002 \log(x), \quad x - 3 = 4.041720 \log(x),$$

which gives  $\alpha = 2.000001$  and  $\beta = 13.527597$ . So we begin with an initial matrix  $X_0$  such that

$$(2.000001)I \leq X_0 \leq (13.527597)I.$$

We use the following iterative algorithm for calculating the solution.

**Algorithm (a)**

1. Set  $X_0 = ((\mu)2.000001 + (1 - \mu)13.527597)I$ , where  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ .

2. For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , compute  $X_{n+1} = Q + \log(A^*X_nA)$ , until

$$\| Q - X_{n+1} + \log(A^*X_{n+1}A) \|_\infty < \varepsilon.$$

3.  $X_{n+1}$  provides an approximation to  $\bar{X}$ .

Table (a) reports, for different values of the parameter  $\mu$  ( $\mu = 0.2, \mu = 0.5$  and  $\mu = 0.8$ ), the number of iterations which is needed to satisfy the stopping conditions i.e.  $\| Q - X + \log(A^*XA) \|_\infty < \varepsilon$  and the relative error  $R_n = \frac{\|X_{n+1} - X_n\|_\infty}{\|X_{n+1}\|_\infty}$ , where  $X$  is the approximation provided by Algorithm (a).

Table (a)

$\mu$	$n$	$R_n$
0.2	29	4.942802e-10
0.5	29	5.108141e-10
0.8	29	5.373146e-10

The solution is

$$\bar{X} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} -6.128080 - 0.924340i & -2.744315 - 1.969056i & -2.992607 + 2.831025i \\ -4.683328 - 2.039036i & 1.542492 - 1.771237i & 0.754397 + 1.610607i \\ -2.060743 + 2.818150i & 0.7094100 + 1.511913i & 4.981168 + 0.028113i \end{pmatrix}.$$

EXAMPLE 5.2. Consider the equation  $X - (A^*XA)^{-1} = Q$  with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -0.2 \\ 0.1 & -0.6 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have  $\lambda_n(Q) = 1.438447, \lambda_1(Q) = 5.561553, 0 < \sigma_n^2(A) = 0.304220 \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq \sigma_1^2(A) = 1.105780$ . By solving the following system,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are obtained.

$$\begin{cases} x = 1.438447 + 0.304220 \frac{1}{y} \\ y = 5.561553 + 1.105780 \frac{1}{x} \end{cases}$$

It gives  $\alpha = 1.4866950$  and  $\beta = 6.3053370$ . So initial matrix  $X_0$  is chosen such that

$$(1.4866950)I \leq X_0 \leq (6.3053370)I.$$

We use the following iterative algorithm.

**Algorithm (b)**

1. Set  $X_0 = ((\mu)1.4866950 + (1 - \mu)6.3053370)I$ , where  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ .

2. For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , compute  $X_{n+1} = Q + (A^*X_nA)^{-1}$ , until

$$\| Q - X_{n+1} + (A^*X_{n+1}A)^{-1} \|_{\infty} < \varepsilon.$$

3.  $X_{n+1}$  provides an approximation to  $\bar{X}$ .

Table (b) reports, for different values of the parameter  $\mu$ , the number of iterations which is needed to satisfy the stopping conditions i.e.  $\| Q - X + (A^*XA)^{-1} \|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$  and the relative error.

Table (b)

$\mu$	$n$	$R_n$
0.2	13	7.532181e-11
0.5	12	4.531828e-10
0.8	13	5.742987e-10

The solution is  $\bar{X} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 3.681601 & 2.703509 \\ 2.703509 & 5.105746 \end{pmatrix}$ .

EXAMPLE 5.3. Consider the equation  $X - (K \circ X)^{\frac{1}{2}} = Q$ . Let  $A$  be any diagonal positive definite matrix. Then  $K$  is the identity matrix. Assume  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Here  $\lambda_n(Q) = 1$ ,  $\lambda_1(Q) = 3$  and  $\alpha = 2.618034$ ,  $\beta = 5.302776$  which are the solutions of the equations  $x - 1 = \sqrt{x}$  and  $x - 3 = \sqrt{x}$ , respectively. Therefore,

$$(2.618034)I \leq X_0 \leq (5.302776)I.$$

ALGORITHM (C).

1. Set  $X_0 = ((\mu)2.618034 + (1 - \mu)5.302776)I$ , where  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ .

2. For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , compute  $X_{n+1} = Q + (K \circ X_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , until  $\| Q - X_{n+1} + (K \circ X_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ .

3.  $X_{n+1}$  provides an approximation to  $\bar{X}$ .

The result is presented in the following table.

Table (c)

$\mu$	$n$	$R_n$
0.2	16	4.958179e-09
0.5	16	3.342531e-09
0.8	15	4.727529e-09

The solution is  $\bar{X} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 2.6180334 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5.302776 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4.000000 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Comparing the examples, we conclude that the initial matrix influences on number of iteration and relative error but the difference of number of iteration is insignificant in most examples.

*Acknowledgements.* M. S. Moslehian (the corresponding author) was supported by a grant from Ferdowsi University of Mashhad (No. MP94334MOS).

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. N. ANDERSON, JR., T. D. MORLEY AND G. E. TRAPP, *Positive solutions to  $X = A - BX^{-1}B^*$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **134** (1990), 53–62.
- [2] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Grad. Texts in Math. **169**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [3] D. A. BINI, G. LATOUCHEB AND B. MEINI, *Solving nonlinear matrix equations arising in Tree-Like stochastic processes*, Linear Algebra Appl. **366** (2003), 39–64.
- [4] X. DUAN AND A. LIAO, *On the nonlinear matrix equation  $X + A^*X^{-q}A = Q$  ( $q \geq 1$ )*, Math. Comput. Modelling **49** (2009), 936–945.
- [5] X. DUAN AND A. LIAO, *On Hermitian positive definite solution of the matrix equation  $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^q A_i = Q$* , J. Comput Appl. Math. **229** (2009), no. 1, 27–36.
- [6] X. DUAN, A. LIAO AND B. TANG, *On the nonlinear matrix equation  $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = Q$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), 110–121.
- [7] S. M. EL-SAYED AND A. C. M. RAN, *On an iteration method for solving a class of nonlinear matrix equations*, Siam J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **23** (2001), no. 3, 632–645.
- [8] J. C. ENGWERDA, A. C. M. RAN AND A. L. RIJKEBOER, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a positive definite solution of the matrix equation  $X + A^*X^{-1}A = Q$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **186** (1993), 255–275.
- [9] F. O. FARID, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, Q.-W. WANG AND Z.-C. WU, *On the Hermitian solutions to a system of adjointable operator equations*, Linear Algebra Appl. **437** (2012), no. 7, 1854–1891.
- [10] A. GRANAS AND J. DUGUNDJI, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer-verlag, New York, 2003.
- [11] D. GUO, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Shangdong Sci. and Tech. press, Jinan, 2001.
- [12] V. HASANOV AND I. IVANOV, *Solutions and perturbation estimates for the matrix equations  $X \pm A^*X^{-n}A = I$* , Appl. Math. Comput. **156** (2004), 513–525.
- [13] I. G. IVANOV, V. I. HASANOV AND F. UHLIG, *Improved methods and starting values to solve the matrix equations  $X \pm A^*X^{-1}A = I$  iteratively*, Math. Comput. **74** (2004), 263–278.
- [14] F. KUBO AND T. ANDO, *Means of positive linear operators*, Math. Ann. **246** (1980), 205–224.
- [15] B. C. LEVY, R. FREZZA AND A. J. KRENER, *Modeling and estimation of discrete-time Gaussian reciprocal processes*, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control **35** (1990), 1011–1023.
- [16] Y. D. LIM, *Solving the nonlinear matrix equation  $X = Q + \sum_{i=1}^m m_i X^{\delta_i} M_i^*$  via a contraction principle*, Linear Algebra Appl. **430** (2009), 1380–1383.
- [17] B. MEINI, *Nonlinear matrix equations and structured linear algebra*, Linear Algebra Appl. **413** (2006), 440–457.
- [18] J. PEČARIĆ, T. FURUTA, J. M. HOT AND Y. SEO, *Mond-Pečarić method in operator inequalities*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [19] A. C. M. RAN AND M. C. B. REURINGS, *On the nonlinear matrix equation  $X - A^*f(X)A = Q$ : solutions and perturbation theory*, Linear Algebra Appl. **346** (2002), 15–26.
- [20] A. C. M. RAN AND M. C. B. REURINGS, *A nonlinear matrix equation connected to interpolation theory*, Linear Algebra Appl. **379** (2004), 289–302.
- [21] A. C. M. RAN, M. C. B. REURINGS AND L. RODMAN, *A perturbation analysis for nonlinear self-adjoint operator equations*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **28** (2006), no. 1, 89–104.

- [22] M. C. B. REURINGS, *Contractive maps on normed linear spaces and their applications to nonlinear matrix equations*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **418** (2006), 292–311.

(Received January 1, 2015)

Z. Mousavi  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Sciences  
University of Zanjan  
P. O. Box 45195-313, Zanjan, Iran  
e-mail: zeinabmoosavi@znu.ac.ir

F. Mirzapour  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Sciences  
University of Zanjan  
P. O. Box 45195-313, Zanjan, Iran  
e-mail: f.mirza@znu.ac.ir

M. S. Moslehian  
Department of Pure Mathematics  
Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS)  
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad  
P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran.  
e-mail: moslehian@um.ac.ir,  
moslehian@member.ams.org