

SUBSPACE–HYPERCYCLIC WEIGHTED SHIFTS

NAREEN BAMERNI AND ADEM KILIÇMAN

(Communicated by R. Curto)

Abstract. Our aim in this paper is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for bilateral and unilateral weighted shift operators to be subspace-transitive. We show that the Herrero question [6] holds true even on a subspace of a Hilbert space, i.e. there exists an operator T such that both T and T^* are subspace-hypercyclic operators for some subspaces. We display the conditions on the direct sum of two invertible bilateral forward weighted shift operators to be subspace-hypercyclic.

1. Introduction

A bounded linear operator T on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is hypercyclic if there is a vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\text{Orb}(T, x) = \{T^n x : n \geq 0\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , such a vector x is called hypercyclic for T . The first example of a hypercyclic operator on a Banach space was constructed by Rolewicz in 1969 [12]. He showed that if B is the backward shift on $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ then λB is hypercyclic if and only if $|\lambda| > 1$. The hypercyclicity concept was probably born with the thesis of Kitai in 1982 [8] who introduced the hypercyclic criterion to show the existence of hypercyclic operators.

The study of the scaled orbit $\mathbb{C}\text{Orb}(T, x)$ and the disk orbit $\mathbb{D}\text{Orb}(T, x)$ of an operator T is motivated by the Rolewicz example [12]. In 1974, Hilden and Wallen [7] defined supercyclic operators as follows: An operator T is called supercyclic if there is a vector x such that its scaled orbit is dense in \mathcal{H} . Similarly, Zeana [14] defined diskcyclicity concept. An operator T is called diskcyclic if there is a vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that its disk orbit is dense in \mathcal{H} . For more information about these operators, the reader may consult [3, 5].

In 2011, Madore and Martínez-Avendaño [10] introduced and studied the density of an orbit in a non-trivial subspace instead of the whole space and called that phenomenon subspace-hypercyclicity. For more details on subspace-hypercyclic operators, the reader may refer to [1, 9, 11].

In 1991, Herrero [6] asked whether there exists a hypercyclic operator T such that its adjoint is also hypercyclic. In 1995, Salas [13] characterized all hypercyclic bilateral weighted shift operators and consequently, he gave an example supporting Herrero's question. However, those characterizations were so complicated; therefore,

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47A16, 47B37.

Keywords and phrases: Hypercyclic operators, weighted shift operators.

Feldman [4] constructed simpler conditions that characterize hypercyclic invertible bilateral weighted shifts. Now, it is natural to ask: What kinds of weighted shift operators are subspace-hypercyclic?

In this paper, we follow the line of Salas's proofs [13] and Feldman's proofs [4] to characterize subspace-hypercyclic weighted shift operators for some subspaces. In particular, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for bilateral weighted shift operators to be subspace-transitive. We give some simpler conditions that characterize subspace-transitive invertible bilateral weighted shift operators in terms of their weight sequences. Then, we show that the same conditions hold true for a weaker property than invertibility. We use these characterization to show that the Herrero question [6] still holds for subspace-hypercyclic operators; i.e, there is an operator T such that both T and its adjoint are subspace-hypercyclic for some subspaces; however, we don't know whether they are subspace-hypercyclic for the same subspace or not. Moreover, we characterize subspace-hypercyclic unilateral backward weighted shift operators in term of their weight sequences. Also, we characterize the direct sum of weighted shifts that are subspace-hypercyclic.

We recall the following facts from the literature.

DEFINITION 1.1. [10] Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and \mathcal{M} be a closed subspace of \mathcal{H} . Then T is called \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic or a subspace-hypercyclic operator for a subspace \mathcal{M} if there exists a vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\text{Orb}(T, x) \cap \mathcal{M}$ is dense in \mathcal{M} . Such a vector x is called an \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic vector for T .

DEFINITION 1.2. [10] Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and \mathcal{M} be a closed subspace of \mathcal{H} . Then T is called \mathcal{M} -transitive or subspace-transitive for a subspace \mathcal{M} if for each pair of non-empty open sets U_1, U_2 of \mathcal{M} there exists an $n \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $T^{-n}U_1 \cap U_2$ contains a non-empty relatively open set in \mathcal{M} .

THEOREM 1.3. [10] Every \mathcal{M} -transitive operator on \mathcal{H} is \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic.

PROPOSITION 1.4. [2] Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and \mathcal{M} be a closed subspace of \mathcal{H} . The following statements are equivalent:

1. T is \mathcal{M} -transitive,
2. for each $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$, there exist sequences $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ such that $T^{n_k} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for all $k \geq 1$, $x_k \rightarrow x$ and $T^{n_k} x_k \rightarrow y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,
3. for each $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ and each 0-neighborhood W in \mathcal{M} , there exist $z \in \mathcal{M}$ and $n \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x - z \in W$, $T^n z - y \in W$ and $T^n \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

2. Main results

All results in this section hold true for the Banach spaces $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ ($1 < p < \infty$); however, for the sake of simplicity we only deal with the Hilbert spaces $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

Let T be the bilateral forward weighted shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, then $T(e_r) = w_r e_{r+1}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let S be the right inverse (backward shift) to T and be defined as follows: $S(e_r) = \frac{1}{w_{r-1}} e_{r-1}$. Observe that $TS e_r = e_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. If T is invertible then $T^{-1} = S$. Also, we have

$$T^k(e_{m_r}) = \left(\prod_{j=m_r}^{m_r+k-1} w_j \right) e_{m_r+k} \text{ and } S^k(e_{m_r}) = \left(\prod_{j=m_r-1}^{m_r-k} \frac{1}{w_j} \right) e_{m_r-k}.$$

The next theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a bilateral weighted shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ to be \mathcal{M} -transitive. First, we suppose that all subspaces \mathcal{M} in this section are non-trivial topologically closed and have some subset of $\{e_r\}$ as a basis, where $\{e_r\}$ is the canonical Schauder basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ or $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $e_r(j) = \delta_{r,j}$ (Kronecker delta). It follows that $\mathcal{M} \cap \{e_r\} = \{e_{m_j} : j \in \mathcal{N}\} \neq \phi$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let T be a bilateral forward weighted shift in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, \mathcal{M} be a subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{m_j : e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M} \cap \{e_r\}\}$. Then T satisfies \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic criterion if and only if, for any $q \in \mathcal{N}$, we have*

- (i) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{w_{m_j-k}} : m_j \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } |m_j| \leq q \right\} = 0,$
- (ii) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{m_j+k} : m_j \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } |m_j| \leq q \right\} = 0,$
- (iii) $T^{n_p} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{N}},$

Proof. Let T satisfy \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic criterion, then T is \mathcal{M} -transitive. Suppose that $q \in \mathcal{N}$ and $y = z = \sum_{\substack{|m_j| \leq q \\ m_j \in \mathcal{F}}} e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then by 1.4 there exist a vector $x \in \mathcal{M}$, a large positive integer $n > 2q$ and a small positive integer ε_n such that

$$\left\| x - \sum_{\substack{|m_j| \leq q \\ m_j \in \mathcal{F}}} e_{m_j} \right\| < \varepsilon_n, \tag{1}$$

$$\left\| T^n x - \sum_{\substack{|m_j| \leq q \\ m_j \in \mathcal{F}}} e_{m_j} \right\| < \varepsilon_n \tag{2}$$

and

$$T^n \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \text{ (} n \text{ has to be in } \mathcal{F}\text{)}. \tag{3}$$

(1) implies that $|x_{m_j}| > 1 - \varepsilon_n$ if $|m_j| \leq q$ and $|x_{m_j}| < \varepsilon_n$ otherwise. Since $n > 2q$, (2) implies that for all $|m_j| \leq q$, we have

$$|x_{m_j}| \|T^n e_{m_j}\| = |x_{m_j}| \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{k+m_j} \right) < \varepsilon_n.$$

It follows that

$$\left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{k+m_j} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{|x_{m_j}|} < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{1 - \varepsilon_n} = \delta_n, \tag{4}$$

Also (2) implies that

$$\|x_{m_j-n}(T^n e_{m_j-n}) - e_{m_j}\| < \varepsilon_n.$$

for all $|m_j| \leq q$. Thus

$$|x_{m_j-n}| \left| \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{m_j-n+k} \right| - 1 = |x_{m_j-n}| \left| \prod_{k=1}^n w_{m_j-k} \right| - 1 < \varepsilon_n.$$

Therefore

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{w_{m_j-k}} \right) < \frac{|x_{m_j-n}|}{1 - \varepsilon_n} < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{1 - \varepsilon_n} = \delta_n. \tag{5}$$

It is clear that $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof follows by (3), (4) and (5).

Conversely, we verify the \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic criterion with the dense subsets $D = D_1 = D_2$ of \mathcal{M} consisting of all sequences with finite support. By hypothesis, there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{N}}$ such that $T^{n_p} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Also, there exist $j \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $m_j \in \mathcal{F}; |m_j| \leq q$,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n_p} \frac{1}{w_{m_j-k}} = 0 \tag{6}$$

and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n_p-1} w_{m_i+k} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Let $x = \sum_{|m_i| \leq q} x_i e_{m_i} \in D$ and $y = \sum_{|m_j| \leq q} y_j e_{m_j} \in D$, and let B be the backward shift defined on D by $B(e_n) = \frac{1}{w_{n-1}} e_{n-1}$, then

$$\|B^{n_p} y\| \leq \frac{\|y\|}{\min \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{n_p} w_{m_j-k} : |m_j| \leq q \right\}}, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\|T^{n_p} x\| \leq \max \left\{ \prod_{k=0}^{n_p-1} w_{m_i+k} : |m_i| \leq q \right\} \|x\| \tag{9}$$

By hypothesis, it is clear that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|B^{np}y\| = 0$, $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{np}x\| = 0$ and $T^{np}B^{np}y = y$. Thus, by taking $x_k = B^{np}y$, T satisfies \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic criterion. \square

For invertible bilateral weighted shifts, 2.1 can be simplified more, first we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let T be an invertible bilateral weighted shift on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with standard basis $\{e_{m_i} : i \in \mathcal{N}, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that $T^{n_k}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for all $k \geq 1$. If there exists an $i \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $T^{n_k}e_{m_i} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $T^{n_k}e_{m_r} \rightarrow 0$ for all $r \in \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. Since $T^{n_k}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [4]. \square

THEOREM 2.3. *Let T be an invertible bilateral forward weighted shift in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, \mathcal{M} be a subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{m_j : e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M} \cap \{e_r\}\}$. Then T is \mathcal{M} -transitive if and only if there exist an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ and $m_i \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T^{n_k}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$ and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=m_i}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1+m_i}^{n_k+m_i} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} = 0 \tag{10}$$

Proof. To prove the “if” part, we verify the \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic criterion with the dense subsets $D = D_1 = D_2$ of \mathcal{M} consisting of all sequences with finite support. Let $x, y \in D$, then by 2.2 and triangle inequality it is enough to consider $x = y = e_{m_i}$ for some $m_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $x_k = B^{n_k}y$ where B is a bilateral weighted shift with weight sequence $\frac{1}{w_{n-1}}$. By hypothesis, we have

$$\|T^{n_k}e_{m_i}\| = \prod_{j=m_i}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_j \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\|B^{n_k}e_{m_i}\| = \prod_{j=1+m_i}^{n_k+m_i} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, it is clear that $T^{n_k}B^{n_k}x = x$. Thus, the conditions of \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic criterion are satisfied.

The proof of the “only if” part follows from 2.1. \square

The next theorem shows that the 2.3 still holds by assuming a weaker form of invertibility.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ be a bilateral forward weighted shift with a positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that for all $n < 0$, $w_n \geq b > 0$. Let \mathcal{M} be a subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{m_j : e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M} \cap \{e_r\}\}$. Then T is \mathcal{M} -transitive if and*

only if there exist an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ and $m_i \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T^{n_k} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=m_i}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1+m_i}^{n_k+m_i} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Proof. For “if” part, we verify 2.1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $q \in \mathcal{N}$ and let $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ (to be determined later). By hypothesis, there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_r\}_{r \in \mathcal{N}}$ such that

$$T^{n_r} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \quad (12)$$

for all $r \in \mathcal{N}$, and there exists an arbitrary large $n_k \in \{n_r\}_{r \in \mathcal{N}}$ and $m_i \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\prod_{j=m_i}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_j < \delta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{j=1+m_i}^{n_k+m_i} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} < \delta_2$$

Suppose that $n = n_k + m_i + q + 1$ (which ensure that $m_p + n - 1 \geq n_k + m_i$ for all $|m_p| \leq q$). Now, for all $m_p \in \mathcal{F}$ with $|m_p| \leq q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=m_p}^{n+m_p-1} w_j &= \left(\prod_{j=m_p}^{m_p-1} \frac{1}{w_j} \right) \left(\prod_{j=m_i}^{m_p-1} w_j \right) \left(\prod_{j=m_p}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_j \right) \left(\prod_{j=m_i+n_k}^{n+m_p-1} w_j \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=m_i}^{m_p-1} \frac{1}{w_j} \right) \left(\prod_{j=m_i}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_j \right) \left(\prod_{j=m_i+n_k}^{n+m_p-1} w_j \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\prod_{j=m_i}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_j \right) \|T^{2q}\| \\ &\leq C\delta_1 \|T^{2q}\|, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant. If we assume that $\delta_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{C\|T^{2q}\|}$, then

$$\prod_{j=m_p}^{n+m_p-1} w_j \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } m_p \in \mathcal{F}; |m_p| \leq q. \quad (13)$$

Now, for all $m_p \in \mathcal{F}$ with $|m_p| \leq q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1+m_p}^{n+m_p} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} &= \left(\prod_{j=1+m_p}^{m_i} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1+m_i}^{n_k+m_i} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} \right) \left(\prod_{j=n_k+m_i+1}^{n+m_p} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} \right) \\ &\leq L\delta_2 \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{2q}, \end{aligned}$$

where L is a constant. Hence, if $\delta_2 < \frac{b^{2q}\varepsilon}{L}$, then

$$\prod_{j=1+m_p}^{n+m_p} \frac{1}{w_{-j}} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } m_p \in \mathcal{F}; |m_p| \leq q. \quad (14)$$

It follows by (12), (13) and (14) that T is \mathcal{M} -transitive .

Conversely, follows immediately by 2.1. \square

The following Proposition can be proved by the same arguments used in the proof of 2.3; therefore, we state it without proof.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let T_1 and T_2 be invertible bilateral forward weighted shifts in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, respectively. Let \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 be closed subspaces of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{m_j : e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M}_1 \cap \{e_r\}\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{h_j : e_{h_j} \in \mathcal{M}_2 \cap \{e_r\}\}$. Then $T_1 \oplus T_2$ is $\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ -transitive if and only if there exist $m_i \in \mathcal{F}_1$, $h_p \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ such that $(T_1 \oplus T_2)^{n_k}(\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$ and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \prod_{j=m_i}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_j, \prod_{j=h_p}^{h_p+n_k-1} a_j \right\} = 0 \tag{15}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \prod_{j=1-m_i}^{n_k-m_i} \frac{1}{w_{-j}}, \prod_{j=1-h_p}^{n_k-h_p} \frac{1}{a_{-j}} \right\} = 0 \tag{16}$$

It can be easily shown that the above theorem does not hold just for two operators but for a finite number of invertible bilateral forward weighted shifts.

In the same way we can characterize the \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic backward weighted shifts. The following propositions characterized \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic backward weighted shift. We skip their proofs since they can be proved by the same steps as in the proof of the case of \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic forward weighted shifts.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let T be a bilateral backward weighted shift in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, \mathcal{M} be a subspace of \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{F} = \{m_j : e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M} \cap \{e_r\}\}$. Then T satisfies \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic criterion if and only if, for any $q \in \mathcal{N}$, we have*

- (i) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{w_{m_j+k}} : m_j \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } |m_j| \leq q \right\} = 0$,
- (ii) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{m_j-k} : m_j \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } |m_j| \leq q \right\} = 0$,
- (iii) $T^{n_p} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{N}}$,

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let T be an invertible bilateral backward weighted shift in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, \mathcal{M} be a subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{m_j : e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M} \cap \{e_r\}\}$. Then T is \mathcal{M} -transitive if and only if there exist an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ and $m_i \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T^{n_k} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$ and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=m_i}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_{-j} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1+m_i}^{n_k+m_i} \frac{1}{w_j} = 0 \tag{17}$$

PROPOSITION 2.8. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ be a bilateral backward weighted shift with a positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that for all $n < 0$, $w_n \geq b > 0$. Let \mathcal{M} be a subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{m_j : e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M} \cap \{e_r\}\}$. Then T is \mathcal{M} -transitive if and only if there exist an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ and $m_i \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T^{n_k} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=m_i}^{m_i+n_k-1} w_{-j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1+m_i}^{n_k+m_i} \frac{1}{w_j} = 0 \quad (18)$$

The following example shows that the Herrero question [6] holds true even on a subspace of a Hilbert space.

EXAMPLE 2.9. There exists an operator T such that both T and T^* are subspace-hypercyclic for some subspaces.

Proof. One can construct a weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that it satisfies the conditions of 2.3 for a subspace \mathcal{M}_1 and satisfies the conditions of 2.7 for a subspace \mathcal{M}_2 . If we set T to have the weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, it immediately follows that both T and T^* are subspaces-transitive operators for \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 , respectively. \square

Since $T^n(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $T^{*n}(\mathcal{M}^\perp) \subseteq \mathcal{M}^\perp$, then one may think that an operator T is \mathcal{M} -transitive if and only if T^* is \mathcal{M}^\perp -transitive. However, the next example shows that the last statement does not need to be true.

EXAMPLE 2.10. Let B be a unilateral backward shift operator, F be a unilateral forward shift operator and

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : x_{2n} = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

Then, by Example 3.7 of [10], $2B$ is \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic where $2B(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (2x_1, 2x_2, 2x_3, \dots)$. However, $(2B)^* = 2F$, where $2F(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, 2x_0, 2x_1, \dots)$, cannot be \mathcal{M}^\perp -subspace since the unilateral forward shifts are unitary and so cannot be subspace-hypercyclic for any subspace.

QUESTION 1. If T is \mathcal{M}_1 -transitive and T^* is \mathcal{M}_2 -transitive, is there any relation between \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 ?

We now turn to the unilateral weighted shift operators acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Let B be a unilateral backward weighted shift operator with a positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then B is defined by $Be_0 = 0$ and $Be_n = w_n e_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let F be a unilateral forward weighted shift operator with a positive weight sequence $\{\frac{1}{w_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then F is defined by $Fe_n = (1/w_{n+1})e_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Since unilateral forward weighted shifts cannot be subspace-hypercyclic operators for any subspaces as stated in 2.10; therefore, we characterize only the unilateral backward weighted shifts that are subspace-hypercyclic operators.

THEOREM 2.11. *Let B be a unilateral backward weighted shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with positive weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$, \mathcal{M} be a subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{m_j : e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M} \cap \{e_r\}\}$. Then B is \mathcal{M} -transitive if and only if there exists an $m_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ of positive integers such that $B^{n_k} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$ and*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (w_{m_i+1} w_{m_i+2} \cdots w_{m_i+n}) = \infty.$$

Proof. For the “if” part, we verify subspace-hypercyclic criterion. Suppose that $D = D_1 = D_2$ be the dense subsets of \mathcal{M} made up of all finitely supported sequences. Then for all $x \in D$, $B^{n_k} x = 0$ for a large enough k since x has only finite numbers of nonzero elements. Let F be a right inverse to B where $F e_n = (1/w_{n+1}) e_{n+1}$, and let $x_k = F^{n_k} y$. Since $B^{n_k} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\{n_k : k \in \mathcal{N}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, and so $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$. It follows that, $B^{n_k} x_k \rightarrow y$ and $\|x_k\| = \|F^{n_k} y\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence T satisfies \mathcal{M} -hypercyclic criterion and so T is \mathcal{M} -transitive.

For the “only if” part, suppose that T is \mathcal{M} -transitive. Let $m_i \in \mathcal{F}$, by 1.4 one may find an $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and a small positive number ε_n such that

$$B^n \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \tag{19}$$

$$\|x - e_{m_i}\| \leq \varepsilon_n \tag{20}$$

and

$$\|B^n x - e_{m_i}\| \leq \varepsilon_n. \tag{21}$$

By (19), it is easy to find an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ of positive integers such that

$$B^{n_k} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{N} \tag{22}$$

Suppose that $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$. From (20), it follows that

$$|x_j| \leq \varepsilon_n \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{N}; j \neq m_i \tag{23}$$

and

$$|x_{m_i} - 1| < \varepsilon_n$$

From (21), it follows that

$$|x_{n+m_i} w_{1+m_i} w_{2+m_i} \cdots w_{n+m_i}| - 1 \leq \varepsilon_n,$$

that is,

$$(x_{n+m_i} w_{1+m_i} w_{2+m_i} \cdots w_{n+m_i}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon_n \tag{24}$$

Since $n + m_i \neq m_i$, then by (23) we have $x_{n+m_i} \leq \varepsilon_n$, combining this with (24), we get

$$w_{1+m_i} w_{2+m_i} \cdots w_{n+m_i} \geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon_n}{\varepsilon_n}. \tag{25}$$

Since $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the proof follows by (22) and (25). \square

The next proposition characterizes the direct sum of unilateral backward weighted shifts that are subspace-hypercyclic for some subspaces, in term of their weight sequences.

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let B_1 and B_2 be unilateral backward weighted shifts in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with positive weight sequences $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ and $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$, respectively. Let \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 be subspaces of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{m_j : e_{m_j} \in \mathcal{M}_1 \cap \{e_r\}\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 = \{h_j : e_{h_j} \in \mathcal{M}_2 \cap \{e_r\}\}$. Then $B_1 \oplus B_2$ is $\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ -transitive if and only if there exist $m_i \in \mathcal{G}_1$, $h_p \in \mathcal{G}_2$ and an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{N}}$ such that $(B_1 \oplus B_2)^{n_k}(\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$ and*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \min \{ (a_{h_p+1} a_{h_p+2} \cdots a_{h_p+n}), (w_{m_i+1} w_{m_i+2} \cdots w_{m_i+n}) \} \} = \infty.$$

Acknowledgements. The authors are very grateful to the editor(s) and referee(s) for the details comments that improved the manuscript substantially.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. BAMERNI, V. KADETS, AND A. KILIÇMAN, *Hypercyclic operators are subspace hypercyclic*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **435** (2), 1812–1815, 2016.
- [2] N. BAMERNI AND A. KILIÇMAN, *On the direct sum of two bounded linear operators and subspace-hypercyclicity*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.02862v2, 2016.
- [3] N. BAMERNI, A. KILIÇMAN AND M. S. M. NOORANI, *A review of some works in the theory of diskcyclic operators*, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society **39** (02), 723–739, 2016.
- [4] N. S. FELDMAN, *Hypercyclicity and supercyclicity for invertible bilateral weighted shifts*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **131** (2), 479–485, 2003.
- [5] K.-G. GROSSE-ERDMANN AND A. P. MANGUILLOT, *Linear chaos*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [6] D. A. HERRERO, *Limits of hypercyclic and supercyclic operators*, Journal of Functional Analysis **99** (1), 179–190, 1991.
- [7] H. HILDEN AND L. WALLEN J., *Some cyclic and non-cyclic vectors of certain operators*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal **23** (7), 557–565, 1974.
- [8] C. KITAI, *Invariant closed sets for linear operators*, University of Toronto, 1984.
- [9] C. LE, *On subspace-hypercyclic operators*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **139** (8), 2847–2852, 2011.
- [10] B. F. MADORE AND R. A. MARTÍNEZ-AVENDAÑO, *Subspace hypercyclicity*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **373** (2), 502–511, 2011.
- [11] H. REZAEI, *Notes on subspace-hypercyclic operators*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **397** (1), 428–433, 2013.
- [12] S. ROLEWICZ, *On orbits of elements*, Studia Mathematica **1** (32), 17–22, 1969.
- [13] H. N. SALAS, *Hypercyclic weighted shifts*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **347** (3), 993–1004, 1995.
- [14] J. ZEANA, *Cyclic Phenomena of operators on Hilbert space*, Thesis, University of Baghdad, 2002.

(Received May 23, 2016)

Nareen Bamerni
Department of Mathematics
University of Duhok
Kurdistan Region, Iraq
e-mail: nareen_bamerni@yahoo.com

Adem Kiliçman
Department of Mathematics
University Putra Malaysia
43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia
e-mail: akilicman@yahoo.com