

A NEW CLASS OF HYPERFINITE KADISON–SINGER FACTORS

FEI MA AND YE ZHANG

(Communicated by Z.-J. Ruan)

Abstract. In this paper, we construct a new class of hyperfinite Kadison-Singer factors on separable Hilbert spaces, and we show that each of these Kadison-Singer factors is isomorphic to a subalgebra of CSL algebra. Moreover, a sufficient and necessary condition for two of these Kadison-Singer factors being isometrically isomorphic is given. Finally, we obtain that every norm preserving automorphism on these Kadison-Singer algebras is inner.

1. Introduction

In 1960, Kadison and Singer (see [12]) introduced and studied a class of non-self-adjoint operator algebras which they called triangular (operator) algebras. Suppose \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} , and \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. A triangular algebra \mathcal{T} is a subalgebra of \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{T}^*$ is a maximal abelian selfadjoint subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . One of the interesting cases is $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Nest algebras introduced by Ringrose (see [7, 8]) are the most well understood non-selfadjoint algebras, it is a class of maximal triangular algebras. Let \mathcal{L} be a set of projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$ denote the set of bounded operators that leave the range of every element of \mathcal{L} invariant, i.e.,

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : (I - P)TP = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

Dually, let \mathcal{A} be a set of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the collection of projections whose ranges are left invariant by every element of \mathcal{A} , i.e.,

$$\text{Lat}(\mathcal{A}) = \{P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : P^* = P, P^2 = P, (I - P)TP = 0, \forall T \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Recall that a subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *reflexive* if $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg}(\text{Lat}(\mathcal{A}))$. Every nest algebra is a reflexive algebra, and reflexive algebras are completely determined by their lattices of invariant subspace projections.

In 2009, Ge and Yuan (see [10]) combined triangularity, reflexivity and von Neumann algebra properties in a single class of algebras and introduced Kadison-Singer (KS) algebras.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47L35, 47L75.

Keywords and phrases: Kadison-Singer algebra, Kadison-Singer lattice, hyperfinite KS-factor, CSL algebra.

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11601298), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. GK201903008), "Qinglan talents" Program of Xinyang Normal University (No.XSYQL201801), Scientific research plan projects of Xinyang Normal University (No.14XSYK003).

DEFINITION 1.1. (See [10], Definition 1.) Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. A subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a *Kadison-Singer algebra* (or *KS-algebra*) if \mathcal{A} is reflexive and maximal with respect to the diagonal subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$ of \mathcal{A} , in the sense that if there is another reflexive subalgebra \mathcal{B} of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}^* = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$. When the diagonal of a KS-algebra \mathcal{A} is a factor, we say \mathcal{A} is a *Kadison-Singer factor* (or *KS-factor*). A lattice \mathcal{L} of projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a *Kadison-Singer lattice* (or *KS-lattice*) if \mathcal{L} is a minimal reflexive lattice that generates the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{L}'' , equivalently, \mathcal{L} is reflexive and $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$ is a Kadison-Singer algebra.

In [10], Ge and Yuan gave a class of algebras with hyperfinite diagonals. Later, in [11] they constructed three free projections with trace $\frac{1}{2}$, and then proved that the reflexive lattices generated by these three projections are homeomorphic to the sphere S^2 plus two points. In [6], Hou and Yuan generalized this result and proved the same holds true for reflexive lattice generated by any double triangle lattice of projections in a finite von Neumann algebra. Ren and Wu in [17] constructed a new kind of KS lattices in separable Hilbert spaces. Dong and Hou in [1] studied the automorphisms of some KS algebras. Wu and Yuan in [15] proved that if an abelian KS algebra \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra of matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})(n \geq 3)$, then \mathcal{A} cannot be generated by a single element. Similar results can be found in [2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 16]. KS-algebras bring connections between selfadjoint and non-selfadjoint theories, so many techniques and tools in von Neumann algebras can be used to study these non-selfadjoint algebras.

In this paper, based on the hyperfinite KS-factors in [10], we construct a class of lattices and a class of unbounded operators in separable Hilbert spaces, then we prove that this lattice algebra is isomorphism to a subalgebra of CSL algebra. Moreover, we show that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s, \dots))$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s, \dots))$ if and only if $n_i = m_i$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, in Section 3, we show that if $n_i = 2$ for each i , then every norm preserving automorphism on $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$ is an inner automorphism.

2. Hyperfinite KS-factors

In this section, we shall construct a new hyperfinite KS-Factor. Similar to [10], let $M_{n_\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$ ($n_\lambda > 1$) be the algebra of $n_\lambda \times n_\lambda$ matrices and \mathcal{A} obtained by taking the completion (with respect to operator norm) of $\otimes_{\lambda=1}^\infty M_{n_\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$. Then we may write \mathcal{A} for $\overline{M_{n_1} \otimes M_{n_2} \otimes \dots}$. We denote by $E_{ij}^{(k)}, i, j = 1, \dots, n_k$, the standard matrix unit system for $M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), and for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$E_i^{(m)} = \sum_{t=1}^i E_{tt}^{(m)} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n_m$$

be projections of $M_{n_m}(\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}_m(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m) = M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{n_2}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \dots \otimes M_{n_m}(\mathbb{C}). \tag{2.1}$$

Then $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\cup_{m=1}^\infty \mathcal{N}_m(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)}$. Now, we construct (inductively) a family of projections in $\mathcal{N}_m(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$.

If $m = 1$, define $P_{1,j_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{j_1} E_{ii}^{(1)}$, $j_1 = 1, \dots, n_1 - 1$, and $P_{1,n_1} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{s,t=1}^{n_1} E_{st}^{(1)}$. Suppose when $k \leq m - 1$, for each $j_k = 1, \dots, n_k$, $P_{k,j_k} \in \mathcal{N}_k(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ is defined. Now when $k = m$, we define

$$P_{m,j_m} = P_{m-1,n_{m-1}-1} + (I - P_{m-1,n_{m-1}-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{j_m} E_{ii}^{(m)}, \quad j_m = 1, \dots, n_m - 1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$P_{m,n_m} = P_{m-1,n_{m-1}-1} + (I - P_{m-1,n_{m-1}-1}) \left(\frac{1}{n_m} \sum_{s,t=1}^{n_m} E_{st}^{(m)} \right). \tag{2.3}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{L}_m(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$ the lattice generated by $\{P_{k,j_k} : 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j_k \leq n_m\}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\infty(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m, \dots) = \cup_m \mathcal{L}_m(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$, the lattice generated by $\{P_{k,j_k} : k \geq 1, 1 \leq j_k \leq n_k\}$. If the sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is clear, without causing confusion, we may write $\mathcal{N}_m, \mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{L}_\infty$ instead of $\mathcal{N}_m(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m), \mathcal{L}_m(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m), \mathcal{L}_\infty(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m, \dots)$. We can easily show that \mathcal{N}_m is generated by \mathcal{L}_m (as a finite-dimensional von Neumann algebra).

Let ρ_λ be a faithful state on $M_{n_\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$, and $\rho = \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes \dots$. Clearly, ρ is a state on \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_λ be the Hilbert space obtained by GNS construction on (\mathcal{A}, ρ) and $(M_{n_\lambda}(\mathbb{C}), \rho_\lambda)$. It is well-known (see Chapter 11.4 in [13]) that the weak operator closure of \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a hyperfinite factor \mathcal{R} (In particular, the factor \mathcal{R} is type II_1 if ρ is a trace). Then \mathcal{L}_m and \mathcal{L}_∞ become lattices of projections in \mathcal{R} . It is similar to [10] we can prove that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$ is KS-factor containing the hyperfinite factor \mathcal{R}' as its diagonal and the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. [10] *With $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{N}_1$ defined above, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1) = \{ & T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : E_{ii}^{(1)} T E_{jj}^{(1)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j < i \leq n_1; \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} E_{11}^{(1)} T E_{j1}^{(1)} = \sum_{j=2}^{n_1} E_{12}^{(1)} T E_{j1}^{(1)} = \dots = E_{1n_1}^{(1)} T E_{n_11}^{(1)} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_{ij}^{(1)}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n_1$) are the matrix units for \mathcal{N}_1 .

Let $F_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E_{i,n_1}^{(1)}$, and

$$F_m = (I - P_{m-1,n_{m-1}-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n_m} E_{i,n_m}^{(m)}.$$

Now, we construct a class of operators $\{V_m\}$. Define $V_m : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with

$$V_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1-1} E_{ii}^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E_{in_1}^{(1)} = P_{1,n_1-1} + F_1,$$

and when $m \geq 2$,

$$V_m = P_{m-1,n_{m-1}-1} + (I - P_{m-1,n_{m-1}-1})(E_{n_m-1}^{(m)} + F_m).$$

By the definition of V_m we have the following fact.

LEMMA 2.2. *If $k > m$, then $V_k P_{m,n_m-1} = P_{m,n_m-1} = P_{m,n_m-1} V_k$.*

Proof. From the definition of V_m , it is easy to see that when $k > m$,

$$\begin{aligned} & V_k - P_{m,n_m-1} \\ &= (I - P_{m,n_m-1})(P_{k-1,n_{k-1}-1} - P_{m,n_m}) + (I - P_{k-1,n_{k-1}-1})(E_{n_m-1}^{(m)} + F_m) \\ &= (I - P_{m,n_m-1})(V_k - P_{m,n_m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

When $k \geq m$, $P_{k-1,n_{k-1}-1} \geq P_{m-1,n_{m-1}-1}$. For all $k > m$, we have

$$(I - P_{m,n_m-1})V_k P_{m,n_m-1} = (I - P_{m,n_m-1})(P_{m,n_m-1} + (V_k - P_{m,n_m-1}))P_{m,n_m-1} = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_k P_{m,n_m-1} &= (P_{1,n_1-1} + (V_k - P_{1,n_1-1}))(P_{1,n_1-1} + (I - P_{1,n_1-1})(P_{m,n_m-1} - P_{1,n_1-1})) \\ &= P_{1,n_1-1} + (I - P_{1,n_1-1})(V_k - P_{1,n_1-1})(P_{m,j_m} - P_{1,n_1-1}) \\ &= \dots \\ &= P_{m,n_m-1} + (I - P_{m,n_m-1})(V_k - P_{m,n_m-1})P_{m,n_m-1} \\ &= P_{m,n_m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have that $P_{m,n_m-1} V_k = P_{m,n_m-1}$ for all $k > m$. \square

Since $\forall x \in \cup_{m=1}^\infty P_{m,n_m-1} \mathcal{H}$, there exists a smallest integer $k = k(x)$ such that $x \in P_{k,n_k-1} \mathcal{H}$. Then we define an operator V_0 on $\mathcal{D}(V_0) = \cup_{m=1}^\infty P_{m,n_m-1} \mathcal{H}$ with

$$V_0 x = \left(\prod_{i=1}^\infty V_i\right)x = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k V_i\right)x.$$

By the definition of P_{m,j_m} and using Lemma 2.2, we are able to get $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_{m,n_m-1} = I$, and

$$V_0 P_{m,j_m} = V_1 V_2 \cdots V_m P_{m,j_m} \in P_{m,n_m-1} \mathcal{H},$$

for all $j_m = 1, 2, \dots, n_m - 1$. Thus, V_0 is densely defined on \mathcal{H} .

REMARK 2.1. Note that the V_0 defined above is unbounded. In fact, for any m , choose a unit vector a_m in \mathcal{H}_m such that $\xi_m = (0, 0, \dots, 0, a_m)^\top \in P_{m,n_m-1} \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(V_0)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^\infty V_i \xi_m \right\| &= \left\| \prod_{i=1}^m V_i \xi_m \right\| = \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} V_i (0, \dots, 0, a_m, \dots, a_m)^\top \right\| \\ &= \dots = \|(a_m, a_m, \dots, a_m, a_m)^\top\| \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and then V_0 is unbounded.

By Lemma 2.2, we know that for each $x \in P_{m,n_m-1} \mathcal{H}$, $V_0 P_{m,n_m-1} = \prod_{i=1}^m V_i P_{m,n_m-1}$ and $(P_{m,n_m-1} V_0)x = P_{m,n_m-1} (\prod_{i=1}^\infty V_i)x = P_{m,n_m-1} (\prod_{i=1}^m V_i)x$, it is clear that $V_0 P_{m,n_m-1}$ is bounded. It is not hard to see that

$$V_1^{-1} = I - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1-1} E_{i,n_1}^{(1)},$$

and when $m \geq 2$,

$$V_m^{-1} = P_{m-1, n_{m-1}-1} + (I - P_{m-1, n_{m-1}-1})(I - \sum_{i=1}^{n_m-1} E_{i, n_m}^{(m)}).$$

LEMMA 2.3. V_0^* is a densely defined closed operator on \mathcal{H} .

Proof. We claim that $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} ((V_1^*)^{-1}(V_2^*)^{-1} \dots (V_m^*)^{-1} P_{m, n_{m-1}} \mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(V_0^*)$.

Let $k > m$ and $\xi \in (V_1^*)^{-1}(V_2^*)^{-1} \dots (V_m^*)^{-1} P_{m, n_{m-1}} \mathcal{H}$. Then for every $\eta \in P_{k, n_k-1} \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle \xi, \prod_{i=1}^k V_i \eta \rangle = \langle V_m^* V_{m-1}^* \dots V_1^* \xi, \prod_{i=m+1}^k V_i \eta \rangle.$$

Note that $V_m^* V_{m-1}^* \dots V_1^* \in P_{m, n_{m-1}} \mathcal{H}$, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\langle V_m^* V_{m-1}^* \dots V_1^* \xi, \prod_{i=m+1}^k V_i \eta \rangle = \langle V_m^* V_{m-1}^* \dots V_1^* \xi, P_{m, n_{m-1}} \eta \rangle = \langle V_m^* V_{m-1}^* \dots V_1^* \xi, \eta \rangle.$$

This implies that $\eta \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{m, n_{m-1}} \mathcal{H} \subset D(V_0^*)$. Therefore V_0^* is densely defined. \square

Since V_0^* is densely defined and $\mathcal{D}(V_0) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{m, n_{m-1}} \mathcal{H}$, we know that V_0 is preclosed and refer to $\overline{V_0}$ as the closure of V_0 . Now let $V = \overline{V_0} = V_0^{**}$. Then $\mathcal{D}(V_0) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(V)$ and $V|_{\mathcal{D}(V_0)} = V_0$. In this case, we say that $\mathcal{D}(V_0)$ is a core for V . From Lemma 2.3, V is densely defined and closed on \mathcal{H} . By the definition of V , we have that $V P_{m, j_m} = V_1 V_2 \dots V_m P_{m, j_m}$, indeed, we have the result as follows.

LEMMA 2.4. For $j_m = 1, 2, \dots, n_m$, $V P_{m, j_m} = V_1 V_2 \dots V_m P_{m, j_m} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}''$.

Proof. When $m = 1$, clearly, $E_{ii}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{L}_1'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\infty}''$ and when $j_1 < n_1$, we have

$$V P_{1, j_1} = V_1 P_{1, j_1} = P_{1, j_1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}'' ,$$

and if $j_1 = n_1$,

$$n_1 E_{ii}^{(1)} P_{1, n_1} E_{jj}^{(1)} = E_{ij}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{L}_1'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\infty}''.$$

Therefore, the lemma holds when $m = 1$.

Now, we assume the lemma holds for all $m \leq k$, that is, $V P_{k, j_k} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}''$ and $E_{ij}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{L}_k'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\infty}''$. Since $\mathcal{L}_k \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{k+1}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_k \subseteq \mathcal{L}_k'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{k+1}'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\infty}''.$$

Thus, we conclude that both $\sum_{i=1}^j E_{ii}^{(k+1)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n_{k+1} - 1$) and $\sum_{s,t=1}^{n_{k+1}} E_{st}^{(k+1)}$ are in \mathcal{L}_{k+1}'' . By the definition of $P_{k+1, n_{k+1}}$, we see that

$$n_{k+1} E_{ii}^{(k+1)} P_{k+1, j_{k+1}} E_{jj}^{(k+1)} \in \mathcal{L}_{k+1}'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\infty}''.$$

Hence $V P_{k+1, j_{k+1}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}''$. \square

LEMMA 2.5. V is affiliated with \mathcal{L}_∞'' .

Proof. Let W be a unitary in \mathcal{L}_∞' . It follows from $W^*P_{m,n_m-1}W = P_{m,n_m-1} (\forall m)$ that $W\mathcal{D}(V_0) = \mathcal{D}(V_0)$. Moreover, since V is the closure of V_0 , $W\mathcal{D}(V) = \mathcal{D}(V)$.

Let $\xi \in P_{m,n_m-1}\mathcal{H}$. Since

$$V_0W\xi = V_1V_2 \cdots V_mW\xi = WV_1V_2 \cdots V_m\xi,$$

for each $\beta \in \mathcal{D}(V)$, there exists a sequence $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty P_{n,j_n}\mathcal{H}$ such that $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$. Note that $\mathcal{D}(V_0)$ is a core for V , we have

$$V\beta_n = V_0\beta_n \rightarrow V\beta.$$

Clearly, $W\beta_n \rightarrow W\beta$. By Lemma 2.4, we get

$$V_0W\beta_n = (V_0P_{n,j_n})W\beta_n = W(V_0P_{n,j_n})\beta_n = WV_0\beta_n \rightarrow WV\beta.$$

On the other hand,

$$V_0W\beta_n = VW\beta_n \rightarrow VW\beta.$$

Then $VW\beta = WV\beta$. This proves that if W commutes with V_0 , then W commutes with V . Therefore V is affiliated with \mathcal{L}_∞'' . \square

By the proof of Lemma 2.3, we know that $\text{Ker}(V_0) = \{0\}$. Observe that $V^* = \overline{V_0}^* = V_0^*$, for every $x \in \text{Ker}(V)$,

$$0 = \langle Vx, y \rangle = \langle x, V^*y \rangle = \langle x, V_0^*y \rangle, \quad \forall y \in V_0^*.$$

This implies that $x \perp \text{ran}(V_0^*)$. Since the range of V_0^* is closed densely defined on \mathcal{H} , $x = 0$ and hence $\text{Ker}(V) = \{0\}$. Thus V is one-to-one, the inverse V^{-1} of V exists, and

$$V^{-1} = V_0^{-1} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^\infty V_i \right)^{-1} = \cdots V_m^{-1}V_{m-1}^{-1} \cdots V_1^{-1}.$$

LEMMA 2.6. For every $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$, $\|V^{-1}AV\| \leq \|A\|$.

Proof. Let $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$. Since for each m , $VP_{m,n_m-1}\mathcal{H} = P_{m,n_m-1}\mathcal{H}$ and $V^{-1}P_{m,n_m-1}\mathcal{H} = P_{m,n_m-1}\mathcal{H}$, we only need to show that for every m , $\|V^{-1}AVP_{m,n_m-1}\| \leq \|A\|$.

Assume that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1}^{(1)} & A_{1,2}^{(1)} & \cdots & A_{1,n_1}^{(1)} \\ 0 & A_{2,2}^{(1)} & \cdots & A_{2,n_1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{n_1,n_1}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we know $\sum_{i=1}^n A_{1,i}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=2}^n A_{2,i}^{(1)} = \dots = A_{n_1,n_1}^{(1)}$, and then

$$\begin{aligned}
 V^{-1}AV &= \dots V_2^{-1}V_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1}^{(1)} & A_{1,2}^{(1)} & \dots & A_{1,n_1}^{(1)} \\ 0 & A_{2,2}^{(1)} & \dots & A_{2,n_1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{n_1,n_1}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} V_1V_2 \dots \\
 &= \dots V_2^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1}^{(1)} & A_{1,2}^{(1)} & \dots & A_{1,n_1-1}^{(1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_{2,2}^{(1)} & \dots & A_{2,n_1-1}^{(1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{n_1-1,n_1-1}^{(1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & A_{1,1}^{(2)} & \dots & A_{1,n_2}^{(2)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{n_2,n_2}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} V_2 \dots \\
 &= \dots = \begin{pmatrix} M_{n_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & M_{n_2} & \dots & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & M_{n_k} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

where for $i = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$M_{n_i} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1}^{(i)} & A_{1,2}^{(i)} & \dots & A_{1,n_i-1}^{(i)} \\ 0 & A_{2,2}^{(i)} & \dots & A_{2,n_i-1}^{(i)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{n_i-1,n_i-1}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we have that $\|V^{-1}AVP_{m,j_m}\| \leq \|A\|$, and hence for every $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$, $\|V^{-1}AV\| \leq \|A\|$. \square

A family of projections in \mathcal{N}_m was given by (2.2) and (2.3), we now construct a new family of projections in \mathcal{N}_m . Let

$$\tilde{P}_{m,j_m} = P_{m,j_m}, \quad j_m = 1, \dots, n_m - 1; \tag{2.4}$$

$$\tilde{P}_{m,n_m} = I - P_{m,n_m-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_m$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty = \cup_m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_m$ the lattice generated by $\{\tilde{P}_{k,j_m} : 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j_m \leq n_m\}$, and $\{\tilde{P}_{k,j_k} : k \geq 1, 1 \leq j_k \leq n_k\}$, respectively. Then they are commutative subspace lattices (CSL), and hence $\text{Alg}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$ is a commutative subspace lattices algebra. Moreover, the following theorem follows directly from the preceding lemma.

THEOREM 2.1. *With \mathcal{L}_∞ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty$ defined above, there exists an unbounded operator V and a strong operator topology (SOT) dense subalgebra \mathcal{A} of the CSL-algebra $\text{Alg}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$ such that*

$$V^{-1}\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)V \cong \mathcal{A} \subset \text{Alg}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty).$$

Proof. By the definition of P_{k,j_k} and \widetilde{P}_{k,j_k} , we know that $P_{k,j_k} = \widetilde{P}_{k,j_k}$ for all k and $j_k = 1, 2, \dots, n_k - 1$. Since $(I - V^{-1}P_{k,j_k}V)A(V^{-1}P_{k,j_k}V) = V^{-1}((I - P_{k,j_k})VAV^{-1}P_{k,j_k})V = 0$, $(I - \widetilde{P}_{k,j_k})A\widetilde{P}_{k,j_k} = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$. Thus

$$\text{Ran}(V^{-1}P_{k,j_k}V) = \text{Ran}(\widetilde{P}_{k,j_k}),$$

and by the proof of Lemma 2.6, we know $V^{-1}\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)V$ is a dense subalgebra in $\text{Alg}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$, which implies that there exists a SOT-dense subalgebra \mathcal{A} of the CSL-algebra $\text{Alg}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$, satisfying

$$V^{-1}\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)V \cong \mathcal{A}. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 2.1. *If $T \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$ is in the center of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$, then*

$$T = V(\lambda_1 P_{1,n_1-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \lambda_i (P_{i,n_i-1} - P_{i-1,n_{i-1}-1}))V^{-1},$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, we know that $V^{-1}\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)V$ is a SOT-dense subalgebra of the CSL-algebra $\text{Alg}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$, then $V^{-1}TV$ is in the center of $\text{Alg}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$. Since an element in the center of CSL-algebra $\text{Alg}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$ is of the form $\lambda_1 P_{1,n_1-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \lambda_i (P_{i,n_i-1} - P_{i-1,n_{i-1}-1})$ for some $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$T = V(\lambda_1 P_{1,n_1-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \lambda_i (P_{i,n_i-1} - P_{i-1,n_{i-1}-1}))V^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Let $T_{n_1}^{(1)} = P_{1,n_1-1}$, $T_{n_m}^{(m)} = P_{m,n_m-1} - P_{m-1,n_{m-1}-1}$, and $W_m = VT_{n_m}^{(m)}V^{-1}$. Then

$$T = V(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i T_{n_i}^{(i)})V^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i W_i.$$

REMARK 2.2. It is not hard to see that for all $m \neq k \geq 1$, $W_m W_k = W_k W_m = 0$. Since $T_{n_m}^{(m)}$ and $T_{n_k}^{(k)}$ are the minimal idempotents in the center of $\text{Alg}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$, by Corollary 2.1, we know W_m and W_k are the minimal idempotents in the center of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$.

The following result shows that in the sense of isometrical isomorphism, $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s, \dots))$ is unique.

THEOREM 2.2. *If $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s, \dots))$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s, \dots))$, then $n_i = m_i$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$.*

Proof. Let $W'_m = E_{n_m}^{(m)} - F_m$. By the definition of W_i , we know that $W_1 = W'_1 = E_{n_1}^{(1)} - F_1$. Thus

$$\|W_1\| = \|W_1^* W_1\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{n_1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_2\| &= \|W_2^*W_2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ (W_2')^* & \cdots & (W_2')^* \end{pmatrix}_{n_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & W_2' \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & W_2' \end{pmatrix}_{n_1} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|n_1(W_2')^*W_2'\| = \sqrt{n_1n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show $\|W_s\| = \sqrt{n_1n_2 \cdots n_s}$ for each $s \geq 2$.

By Corollary 2.1, we know that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i W_i^{(n_i)}$ is in the centralizer of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s, \dots))$, and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i W_i^{(m_i)}$ is in the centralizer of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s, \dots))$. If $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s, \dots))$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s, \dots))$, then we have $n_i = m_i$ for all i . Otherwise, we may assume that there exists an integer k such that for $1 \leq i < k$, $n_i = m_i$, and $n_k \neq m_k$,

$$\|W_k^{(n_k)}\| = \sqrt{n_1n_2 \cdots n_k} \neq \sqrt{m_1m_2 \cdots m_k} = \|W_k^{(m_k)}\|.$$

Since $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s, \dots))$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s, \dots))$, it must be norm preserving. Note that W_{n_i} and W_{m_i} are minimal idempotent elements of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s, \dots))$ and $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s, \dots))$, they must have the same norm, which is a contradiction and therefore $n_i = m_i$ for all i . \square

3. Automorphisms on $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$

Algebraic automorphisms of reflexive operator algebras acting on separable Hilbert spaces have been investigated by many mathematicians. Recall that an automorphism φ on an algebra \mathcal{A} is *inner* if there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi(A) = u^*Au, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, if φ is an isometric isomorphism, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s, \dots))$ has only one structure. In this section, we let all $n_i = 2$ in (2.1), and $\mathcal{L}_\infty = \cup_m \mathcal{L}_m$, we will study automorphism on $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$.

THEOREM 3.1. *If an automorphism $\varphi : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$ is norm preserving, then φ is an inner automorphism.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$ be an automorphism. By Theorem 2.2, we know that W_i 's are minimal idempotent elements of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$, then $\varphi(W_i) = W_i$. Particularly, we have $\varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} W_1\right) = \varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) W_1 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(A) & B - \varphi_1(A) \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} W_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(A) & -\varphi_1(A) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_2(A) \\ 0 & \varphi_2(A) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let P be a projection in $B(P_{1,1}\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} P & -P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} P & -P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ -P & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{2}.$$

Since $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(P) & -\varphi_1(P) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \sqrt{2} \|\varphi_1(P)\|$, which implies $\|\varphi_1(P)\| = 1$, therefore $\varphi_1(P)$ is also a projection in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$. Since $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we have φ_1 is an isometric automorphism. Thus, for all $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$, $\varphi(A^*) = \varphi(A)^*$. Then for all $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$, there exists a unitary operator u_1 such that $\varphi_1(A) = u_1^* A u_1$.

Now we claim that

$$u_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1, \quad u_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} u_1$$

and

$$\varphi_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1.$$

Indeed, since

$$\varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

there exists a unitary u_2 such that

$$\varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A & -A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A & -A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u_2^* A u_2 & -u_2^* A u_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_2^* A u_2 & -u_2^* A u_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let P be a projection and $P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} P & -P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. It's easy to see that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & -P_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_1 \\ 0 & P_1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \sqrt{2}.$$

Since φ is norm preserving, we know

$$\sqrt{2} = \left\| \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u_1^* P_1 u_1 & -u_1^* P_1 u_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u_2^* P u_2 & -u_2^* P u_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_2^* P u_2 & -u_2^* P u_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|.$$

Let $Q = u_1^* P_1 u_1 = u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & -P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1$ and $E = \begin{pmatrix} u_2^* P u_2 & -u_2^* P u_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} Q & -Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & E - Q \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} Q & E - Q \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} Q & E - Q \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q^* & 0 \\ E^* - Q^* & E^* \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} Q Q^* + (E - Q)(E^* - Q^*) & (E - Q)E^* \\ E(E^* - Q^*) & E E^* \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|Q Q^* + (E - Q)(E^* - Q^*)\| \leq 2$.

Since $Q Q^* = u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} 2P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1$, we have $\|Q Q^*\| = 2$. Note that $Q Q^* = 2u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1$,

we obtain $u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1 E = Q$, that is

$$u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1 \begin{pmatrix} u_2^* P u_2 & -u_2^* P u_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & -P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1. \tag{3.1}$$

It is easy to check that

$$u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1 \begin{pmatrix} u_2^* P u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1 = u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1.$$

Note that $u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1$ and $\begin{pmatrix} u_2^* P u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are the projections in $B(P_{1,1} \mathcal{H})$, then $u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1$ is a subprojection of $\begin{pmatrix} u_2^* P u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Similarly, we have $u_1 \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1^*$ is a subprojection of $\begin{pmatrix} u_2 P u_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}$. In particular, u_1^* commute with $\begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and therefore $a_2 = 0$.

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1^* P a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_2^* P u_2 & -u_2^* P u_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = u_1^* \begin{pmatrix} P & P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & a_3^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$a_1^* P a_1 = a_1^* P a_3$, and $P a_1 = P a_3$ for all projection P , therefore we have $a_1 = a_3$. So $u_1 \in (\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_\infty))'$.

From (3.1), we know that for every projection P , $a_1^* P a_1 u_2^* P u_2 = a_1^* P a_1$. Multiplying the above equation by a_1 on left and u_2^* on right, we have $P a_1 u_2^* P = P a_1 u_2^*$. We also have $P a_1 u_2^* P = a_1 u_2^* P$ by $(a_1^* P a_1)^* = a_1^* P a_1$. The claim is proved. Then

$$\varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & u_2^* \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & u_2^* \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} u_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & u_2^* \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} u_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & u_2^* \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $B = \begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Similarly, $u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} u_3 & 0 \\ 0 & u_3 \end{pmatrix}$, and $u_3 = \begin{pmatrix} u_4 & 0 \\ 0 & u_4 \end{pmatrix}$, \dots . This implies that $\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 \\ 0 & u_1 \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathcal{L}_\infty)'$. Therefore, we get

$$\varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & B-A \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & u_1^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B-A \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 \\ 0 & u_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the commutator of a von Neumann Algebra is self-adjoint, φ is an inner automorphism. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. J. DONG, C. J. HOU, *On some automorphisms of a class of Kadison-Singer algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012) 2037–2053.
- [2] A. J. DONG, C. J. HOU, J. TAN, *Classification of Kadison-Singer lattices in matrix algebras*, Acta Math. Sin., Chin. Series, 54 (2011) 333–342.
- [3] A. J. DONG, D. WANG, *On strong Kadison-Singer algebras*, Acta Math. Sinica (English), 29 (2013) 2219–2232.
- [4] C. J. HOU, *Cohomology of a class of Kadison-Singer algebras*, Sci. China Math. 53 (2010) 1827–1839.
- [5] C. J. HOU, *Derivations of a class of Kadison-Singer algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 466 (2015) 241–253.
- [6] C. HOU, W. YUAN, *Minimal generating reflexive lattices of projections in finite von Neumann algebras*, Math. Ann. 353 (2012) 499–517.
- [7] J. R. RINGROSE, *Super-diagonal forms for compact linear operators*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 12 (1962) 367–384.
- [8] J. R. RINGROSE, *On some algebras of operators*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 15 (1965) 61–83.
- [9] L. G. WANG, W. YUAN, *A new class of Kadison-Singer algebras*, Expositiones Math. 29 (2011) 126–132.
- [10] L. M. GE, W. YUAN, *Kadison-Singer Algebras, I – Hyperfinite Case*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107 (2010) 1838–1843.
- [11] L. M. GE, W. YUAN, *Kadison-Singer Algebras, II – Finite Case*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107 (2010) 4840–4844.
- [12] R. KADISON, I. SINGER, *Triangular operator algebras. Fundamentals and hyperreducible theory*, Amer J. Math. 82 (1960) 227–259.
- [13] R. KADISON, J. RINGROSE, *Fundamentals Of The Theory Of Operator Algebras, volume I and II*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1983 and 1986.
- [14] R. POWERS, *Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von Neumann rings*, Ann.Math., 86:138–171, 1967.
- [15] W. M. WU, W. YUAN, *On generators of abelian Kadison-Singer algebras in matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 440 (2014) 197–205.

- [16] W. YUAN, *Kadison-Singer algebras*, *Doctoral Thesis (University of New Hampshire, NH)*, 2009.
- [17] Y. H. REN, W. M. WU, *Some new classes of Kadison-Singer lattices in Hilbert spaces*, *Science China (Mathematics)* 57 (2014) 837–846.

(Received March 28, 2018)

Fei Ma
College of Mathematics and Information Science
Xianyang Normal University
Xianyang 712000, China
e-mail: mafei6337@sina.com

Ye Zhang
School of Mathematics and Information Science
Shaanxi Normal University
Xi'an 710119, China
e-mail: zhangye@snnu.edu.cn