

## **$n$ -FOLD JORDAN PRODUCT COMMUTING MAPS WITH A $\lambda$ -ALUTHGE TRANSFORM**

YOUNJIN KIM AND EUNGIL KO

(Communicated by R. Curto)

*Abstract.* Let  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  be the set of all bounded linear operators from  $H$  to  $H$ , where  $H$  is a complex Hilbert space. In this paper, we study the properties of  $T$  when the  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform of  $T^n$  is  $T$ . Also we prove that the bijective map  $\Phi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  commutes with a  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform under the  $n$ -fold jordan product if and only if there exists a unitary operator  $U: H \rightarrow K$  such that  $\Phi(T) = UTU^*$  for every  $T$  in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be complex Hilbert spaces and let  $\mathcal{B}(H, K)$  denote the set of all bounded linear operators from  $H$  to  $K$ . If  $H = K$ , we write  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  in place of  $\mathcal{B}(H, K)$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}(T)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(T)$ , and  $T^*$  be the range, the null space, and the operator adjoint of  $T$ , respectively. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H, K)$  is an *isometry* if  $T^*T = I_H$ . In addition, an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H, K)$  is called *unitary* if  $T$  is a surjective isometry. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H, K)$  is called a *partial isometry* if  $T^*T$  is an orthogonal projection, which means  $TT^*T = T$ . We denote the module of  $T$  by  $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . An operator  $T$  is called *normal* if  $T^*T = TT^*$ . An operator  $T$  is called *quasinormal* if  $T^*TT = TT^*T$ . If  $T$  has a normal extension, it is called a *subnormal* operator. In addition,  $T$  is called  *$p$ -hyponormal* if  $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$ , where  $p > 0$ . If  $p = 1$ ,  $T$  is said to be *hyponormal*. If  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ , the operator  $T$  is called *semi-hyponormal*. The following inclusion relations are well known:  $\{\text{normal}\} \subset \{\text{quasinormal}\} \subset \{\text{subnormal}\} \subset \{\text{hyponormal}\} \subset \{\text{semi-hyponormal}\}$ .

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  has a unique polar decomposition  $T = U|T|$ , where  $U$  is the appropriate partial isometry satisfying  $\ker U = \ker |T| = \ker T$  and  $\ker U^* = \ker T^*$ . Then the Aluthge transform of  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is defined by

$$\tilde{T} = |T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2},$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 47A05, 47B49, 46L40.

*Keywords and phrases:* Quasi-normal operators, polar decomposition,  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform.

The first author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1A6A3A04005963). The second author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2016R1D1A1B03931937).

which is introduced by Aluthge [1].

The Aluthge transform of  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  satisfying  $p$ -hyponormality becomes a  $(p + \frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal operator preserving its spectrum when  $0 \leq p < \frac{1}{2}$ . Otherwise, it transforms a  $p$ -hyponormal operator into a hyponormal operator preserving its spectrum when  $\frac{1}{2} \leq p < 1$ . In addition, the sequence of consecutive iterations of the Aluthge transform, which is denoted by  $\{\tilde{T}^{(n)}\}$ , is convergent to a normal operator under some conditions. Note that the Aluthge transform does not preserve hyponormality in the unbounded case.

In 2003, K. Okubo [6] introduced a more general notion called  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform. For operator  $T$  with the polar decomposition  $T = |U|T$ , where  $U$  is the appropriate partial isometry satisfying  $\ker U = \ker |T| = \ker T$  and  $\ker U^* = \ker T^*$ , the  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform of  $T$  is defined by

$$\Delta_\lambda(T) = |T|^\lambda U |T|^{1-\lambda}$$

for any  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ . Note that  $\Delta_1(T) = |T|U$  is known as the Duggal transform of  $T$ .

In 2000, I. Jung, E. Ko, and C. Pearcy [5] showed that quasinormal operators  $T$  are exactly the fixed points of  $\Delta_\lambda$ , which means  $\Delta_\lambda(T) = T$ . In 2016, F. Chabbabi and M. Mbekhta [4] obtained that the property  $T = I$  is equivalent to the property  $\Delta_\lambda(T^2) = T$ , where  $T$  and  $T^*$  are one-to-one. In this paper, we show that the properties  $T^{(n-1)^2} = I$  and  $(T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1}$  are equivalent to that the property  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T$ , where  $T$  and  $T^*$  are one-to-one. This result plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

In 2016, F. Botelho, L. Molnar, and G. Nagy [2] described the linear bijective mapping on von Neumann factors which commutes with the  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform. Later, F. Chabbabi [3] described the bijective map  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  satisfying the following condition, which is not necessarily linear,

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)\Phi(B)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(AB)) \text{ for every } A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$$

for some  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. The Jordan product of  $A_1, A_2$  is defined by

$$A_1 \circ A_2 = \frac{1}{2}(A_1A_2 + A_2A_1)$$

for  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. For an integer  $n > 1$ , the  $n$ -fold Jordan product of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  is defined by

$$A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \dots \circ A_n = (A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \dots \circ A_{n-1}) \circ A_n$$

for  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

This definition can be applied recursively to the  $(n - 1)$ -fold. Therefore the  $n$ -fold Jordan product of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  can be written as

$$A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \dots \circ A_n = (((A_1 \circ A_2) \circ A_3) \dots \circ A_{n-1}) \circ A_n.$$

This includes the usual Jordan product  $A_1 \circ A_2 = \frac{A_1A_2 + A_2A_1}{2}$ , and the 3-fold Jordan product  $A_1 \circ A_2 \circ A_3 = (A_1 \circ A_2) \circ A_3 = \frac{A_1A_2A_3 + A_2A_1A_3 + A_3A_1A_2 + A_3A_2A_1}{4}$ . Furthermore, if  $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_n$  then we have  $A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \dots \circ A_n = A^n$ .

In 2017, F. Chabbabi and M. Mbekhta [4] gave a description of the bijective maps which consider the Jordan product commuting maps with the  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform. In this paper, we show that the bijective map  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  commutes with a  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform under the  $n$ -fold jordan product if and only if there exists a unitary operator  $U : H \rightarrow K$  such that  $\Phi(T) = UTU^*$  for every  $T$  in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

### 2. Properties of the $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform

In this section, we show that the properties  $T^{(n-1)^2} = I$  and  $(T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1}$  are equivalent to that the property  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T$ , that is the  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform of the  $n$ th power of  $T$  is  $T$ , where  $T$  and  $T^*$  are one-to-one. We begin with the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and let  $T^n = U|T^n|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $T$  and  $T^*$  are one-to-one. If  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $(T^n)^{n-1} = (T^*)^{n-1}$ .
- (ii)  $T^{n-1}$  is quasinormal.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $T^n = U|T^n|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T^n$ . Suppose that  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T$ . It means that  $|T^n|^\lambda U |T^n|^{1-\lambda} = T$ . From  $|T^n|^\lambda U |T^n| = |T^n|^\lambda U |T^n|^{1-\lambda} |T^n|^\lambda = T |T^n|^\lambda$  and  $|T^n|^\lambda U |T^n| = |T^n|^\lambda T^n$ , we obtain

$$T |T^n|^\lambda = |T^n|^\lambda T^n. \tag{1}$$

Furthermore, we deduce that

$$T^{n-1} |T^n|^\lambda = T^{n-2} T |T^n|^\lambda = T^{n-2} |T^n|^\lambda T^n = T^{n-3} |T^n|^\lambda T^{2n} = \dots = |T^n|^\lambda T^{(n-1)n}. \tag{2}$$

Using  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T$ , we obtain that

$$T^{n-1} |T^n|^\lambda U |T^n|^{1-\lambda} = T^{n-1} \Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T^n = U |T^n| = U |T^n|^\lambda |T^n|^{1-\lambda}. \tag{3}$$

Since  $T^n$  is injective, it follows that

$$T^{n-1} |T^n|^\lambda U = U |T^n|^\lambda. \tag{4}$$

Using (2) and (4), we obtain

$$|T^n|^\lambda T^{n(n-1)} U = T^{n-1} |T^n|^\lambda U = U |T^n|^\lambda.$$

Since  $|T^n|^\lambda T^{n(n-1)} = U |T^n|^\lambda U^* \geq 0$ , it follows that

$$|T^n|^\lambda T^{n(n-1)} = (|T^n|^\lambda T^{n(n-1)})^* = T^{*n(n-1)} |T^n|^\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (|T^n|U^*)^{n-1}|T^n|^\lambda = (|T^n|U^*)^{n-2}|T^n|U^*|T^n|^\lambda \\
 &= (|T^n|U^*)^{n-2}|T^n|^\lambda(|T^n|)^{1-\lambda}U^*|T^n|^\lambda \\
 &= (|T^n|U^*)^{n-2}|T^n|^\lambda\Delta_\lambda(T^n)^* = (|T^n|U^*)^{n-2}|T^n|^\lambda T^* \\
 &= (|T^n|U^*)^{n-3}|T^n|^\lambda\Delta_\lambda(T^n)^*T^* \\
 &= (|T^n|U^*)^{n-3}|T^n|^\lambda(T^*)^2 = \dots = |T^n|^\lambda(T^*)^{n-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T^n$  is injective, we thus obtain  $T^{n(n-1)} = (T^*)^{n-1}$ .

(ii) Let  $T^n = U|T^n|$  be a polar decomposition of  $T^n$ . Using  $T^{n(n-1)} = (T^*)^{n-1}$  from (i), we also derive that

$$((T^{n-1})^*T^{n-1})T^{n-1} = (T^{n(n-1)}T^{n-1})T^{n-1} = T^{n-1}(T^{n(n-1)}T^{n-1}) = T^{n-1}((T^{n-1})^*T^{n-1}).$$

Hence, we conclude that the operator  $T^{n-1}$  is quasinormal.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and let  $T$  and  $T^*$  be one-to-one. If  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $T^{n-1}$  is self-adjoint.
- (ii)  $\Delta_\lambda(T^{n-1}) = T^{n-1}$ .
- (iii)  $T^{(n-1)^2} = I$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $T^n = U|T^n|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$\Delta_\lambda((T^{n-1})^*) = \Delta_\lambda(T^{n(n-1)}) = \Delta_\lambda((T^{n-1})^n) = T^{n-1}. \tag{5}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 in [4], we obtain

$$T^{n-1} = (T^{n-1})^*$$

since  $T^{n-1}$  is quasinormal from Lemma 2.1. Hence we conclude that  $T^{n-1}$  is self-adjoint.

(ii) Since  $T^{n-1}$  is self-adjoint, we have  $\Delta_\lambda((T^{n-1})) = \Delta_\lambda((T^{n-1})^*)$ . By (5), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Delta_\lambda((T^{n-1})) = \Delta_\lambda((T^{n-1})^*) = T^{n-1}.$$

(iii) Since  $T^{n-1}$  is self-adjoint, we thus obtain

$$T^{n-1} = (T^{n-1})^* = T^{n(n-1)}$$

from Lemma 2.1.

This yields for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$T^{n-1} (T^{n^2-2n+1} - I) = 0.$$

Since  $T^{n-1}$  is injective, we deduce

$$T^{n^2-2n+1} - I = 0.$$

Hence we conclude that

$$T^{(n-1)^2} = I.$$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and let  $T$  and  $T^*$  be one-to-one. If  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $T$  is an algebraic operator of order  $(n-1)^2$  and the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of  $T$  consists of  $(n-1)^2$ th roots of unity.*

*Proof.* Using  $T^{(n-1)^2} = I$  from Theorem 2.2, we obtain  $p(T) = 0$  for some polynomial  $p(z) = z^{(n-1)^2} - 1$ . Hence  $T$  is an algebraic operator of order  $(n-1)^2$  and  $\sigma(T)$  consists of roots of  $p(z) = 0$ .

As some applications of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we get the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and let  $T$  and  $T^*$  be one-to-one. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i)  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T.$

(ii)  $T \in \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : T^{(n-1)^2} = I \text{ and } (T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1} \right\}.$

*Proof.* Let  $T^n = U|T^n|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T$ . Then  $T^{(n-1)^2} = I$  and  $(T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1}$  hold from Theorem 2.2. Assume that (ii) holds. Using  $I = T^{n^2-2n+1} = T^{n(n-1)}T^{-(n-1)}$  and  $(T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1}$ , we obtain

$$T^{n(n-1)} = T^{n-1} = (T^{n-1})^*.$$

From the given conditions, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} |T^{n(n-1)}|^\lambda &= \left( (T^*)^{n(n-1)} T^{n(n-1)} \right)^{\lambda/2} \\ &= \left( (T^*)^{(n-1)} (T^*)^{(n-1)^2} T^{(n-1)^2} T^{n(n-1)} \right)^{\lambda/2} = \left( (T^*)^{(n-1)} I T^{n(n-1)} \right)^{\lambda/2} \\ &= \left( (T^*)^{(n-1)} T^{n(n-1)} \right)^{\lambda/2} = |T^{n-1}|^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ .

Similarly, we have  $|T^{n(n-1)}|^{1-\lambda} = |T^{n-1}|^{1-\lambda}$  for any  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ . Hence, we conclude that

$$\Delta_\lambda((T^{n-1})^n) = |T^{n(n-1)}|^\lambda U |T^{n(n-1)}|^{1-\lambda} = |T^{n-1}|^\lambda U |T^{n-1}|^{1-\lambda} = \Delta_\lambda(T^{n-1}). \tag{6}$$

Let  $T^{n-1} = V|T^{n-1}|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T^{n-1}$ . By assumption we obtain

$$V|T^{n-1}| = T^{n-1} = T^{(n-1)^2} T^{n-1} = T^{n(n-1)} = U|T^{n(n-1)}| = U|T^{n-1}|.$$

Since  $T$  is one to one and  $(V - U)|T^{n-1}| = 0$ , we have  $V = U$ .

From this and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\lambda((T^{n-1})^n) &= \Delta_\lambda(T^{n-1}) = |T^{n-1}|^\lambda U |T^{n-1}|^{1-\lambda} \\ &= |T^{n-1}|^\lambda V |T^{n-1}|^{1-\lambda} = V |T^{n-1}| = T^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

since the operator  $T^{n-1}$  is self-adjoint. Hence we conclude that  $\Delta_\lambda(T^n) = T$  for all  $n$ .

### 3. Bijective maps commuting with $\lambda$ -Aluthge transforms

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be two complex Hilbert spaces with  $\dim(H) \geq 2$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a bijective map such that:

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A_1) \circ \Phi(A_2) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(A_n)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \dots \circ A_n)) \tag{7}$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

We now consider some properties of a bijective map  $\Phi$  which commutes with a  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform under the  $n$ -fold jordan product.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $T$  be a bounded operator defined on  $H$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a bijective map satisfying (7) for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following properties hold:*

(i)  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\Phi(I) \in \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(K) : T^{(n-1)^2} = I \text{ and } (T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1} \right\}$ .

(ii) The map  $\Phi$  preserves the following:

$$\begin{aligned} A \in \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : T^{(n-1)^2} = I \text{ and } (T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1} \right\} \\ \iff \Phi(A) \in \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(K) : T^{(n-1)^2} = I \text{ and } (T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For all  $A \in \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : T^{(n-1)^2} = I \text{ and } (T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1} \right\}$ , we have  $\Phi(A^{n-1}) = (\Phi(A))^{n-1}$ .

(iv)  $\Phi(I) = I$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since the bijective map  $\Phi$  is onto, there exists  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  such that  $\Phi(A) = 0$ . From (7), we have  $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(0) \circ \Phi(A) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(A)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(0 \circ A \circ \dots \circ A)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(0)) = \Phi(0)$  and  $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(0) \circ \Phi(A) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(A)) = \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(0) \circ 0 \circ \dots \circ 0) = \Delta_\lambda(0) = 0$ . It follows that  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . From (7), we thus obtain

$$\Delta_\lambda((\Phi(I))^n) = \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(I) \circ \Phi(I) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(I)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(I \circ I \circ \dots \circ I)) = \Phi(I).$$

By Theorem 2.4, we conclude that

$$\Phi(I) \in \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(K) : T^{(n-1)^2} = I \text{ and } (T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1} \right\}.$$

(ii) Suppose that  $A \in \left\{ T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : T^{(n-1)^2} = I \text{ and } (T^{n-1})^* = T^{n-1} \right\}$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $\Delta_\lambda(A^n) = A$ . From (7), we thus obtain  $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^n) = \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \circ \Phi(A) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(A)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \circ A \circ \dots \circ A)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^n)) = \Phi(A)$ . It means that  $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^n) = \Phi(A)$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $\Phi(A)$  satisfies  $\Phi(A)^{(n-1)^2} = I$  and  $(\Phi(A)^{n-1})^* = \Phi(A)^{n-1}$ . Conversely, we can prove in the same way.

(iii) By assumption,  $A^{n-1}$  is self-adjoint. Hence  $(\Phi(A))^{n-1}$  is also self-adjoint from (ii). From (7), we obtain that  $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(A)) = \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^{n-1}) = \Phi(A)^{n-1}$ . Since  $A^{n-1}$  is self-adjoint, we thus obtain  $\Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \circ A \circ \dots \circ A)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^{n-1})) = \Phi(A^{n-1})$ . Hence we conclude that  $\Phi(A)^{n-1} = \Phi(A^{n-1})$ .

(iv) From (i) and (iii), we obtain that  $\Phi(I)^{n-1} = \Phi(I^{n-1}) = \Phi(I)$ . Since the bijective map  $\Phi$  is injective and  $\Phi(0) = 0$  from (i), we deduce that  $\Phi(I)^{n-2} = I$ . Since  $\Phi(I)^{(n-1)^2} = I$  from (ii), we deduce that  $I = \Phi(I)^{(n-1)^2} = (\Phi(I)^{n-2})^n \Phi(I)$ . Because of  $\Phi(I)^{n-2} = I$ , we obtain  $\Phi(I) = I$ .

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a bijective map satisfying (7). Then  $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A))$  holds for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . Furthermore, we have  $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A))^k = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^k))$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Phi(I) = I$  from Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)) = \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \circ \Phi(I) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(I)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \circ I \circ \dots \circ I)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A))$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . Similarly we also obtain

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^k) = \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)^k \circ \Phi(I) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(I)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^k \circ I \circ \dots \circ I)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A^k))$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The next lemma characterizes the properties of the bijective map for the rank one orthogonal projections.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $P = x \otimes x$  and  $P' = x' \otimes x'$  be two rank one orthogonal projections such that  $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a bijective map satisfying (7). Then there exists a bijective function  $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that:*

(i)

$$\Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') = h(\alpha)\Phi(P) + h(\beta)\Phi(P')$$

for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . In addition, the function  $h$  is multiplicative.

(ii) For every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , we deduce that  $\langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle = h(\langle Ax, x \rangle)$  for all unit vectors  $x, y$  such that  $\Phi(x \otimes x) = y \otimes y$ . In addition, the function  $h$  is additive.

*Proof.* (i) Since  $P = x \otimes x$  and  $P' = x' \otimes x'$  are rank one orthogonal projections such that  $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$ , we have  $(\alpha P + \beta P') \circ (P + P') \circ \dots \circ (P + P') = \alpha P + \beta P'$ . Since

$P$  is self-adjoint, we have  $P^{n-1} = P^{n(n-1)}$ . Therefore we have  $P^{(n-1)^2} = I$ . By Lemma 3.1 (iii), we obtain  $\Phi(P^{n-1}) = \Phi(P)^{n-1}$ . Therefore we deduce that

$$\Phi(P) = \Phi(P)^{n-1} = (\Phi(P)^{n-1})^{n-1} = \Phi(P)^{(n-1)^2} = I$$

from Lemma 3.1 (ii). Then we conclude that  $\Phi(P)^2 = \Phi(P) = \Phi(P^2)$ , and thus the bijective map  $\Phi$  preserves the set of orthogonal projections. We denote a partial ordering between orthogonal projections by  $P \leq Q$  if  $PQ = QP = P$ . Since the bijective map  $\Phi$  preserves the set of orthogonal projections, we also obtain  $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(Q)\Phi(P)) = \Phi(Q) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(Q)) = \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(Q))$ . Since  $\Phi(P)$  is an orthogonal projection, we have  $\Phi(Q)\Phi(P) = \Phi(P)\Phi(Q) = \Phi(Q)$ . It follows that  $\Phi(Q) \leq \Phi(P)$ . It means that the bijective map  $\Phi$  preserves the order relation on the set of orthogonal projections in the both direction. Since  $P, Q$  are orthogonal, we have  $P \leq P + Q$  and  $Q \leq P + Q$ . We also obtain  $\Phi(P) \leq \Phi(P + Q)$  and  $\Phi(Q) \leq \Phi(P + Q)$ . Since  $\Phi(P) \perp \Phi(Q)$ , it follows that  $\Phi(P) + \Phi(Q) \leq \Phi(P + Q)$ . Since  $\Phi(P + Q) = \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(P)) + \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(Q))) \leq \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(P) + \Phi(Q))) = \Phi(P) + \Phi(Q)$ , we conclude that  $\Phi(P + Q) = \Phi(P) + \Phi(Q)$  for all orthogonal projections  $P, Q$  such that  $P \perp Q$ . Since  $\alpha P + \beta Q$  is normal for all orthogonal projections  $P, Q$  such that  $P \perp Q$ , the bijective map  $\Phi(\alpha P + \beta Q)$  is quasinormal. By the result of I. Jung, E. Ko, and C. Pearcy [5] that quasinormal operators are exactly the fixed points of  $\Delta_\lambda$ , we have  $\Phi(\alpha P + \beta Q) = \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha P + \beta Q))$ . By Corollary 3.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha P + \beta P')) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(\alpha P + \beta P')) \\ &= \Phi(\Delta_\lambda((\alpha P + \beta P') \circ (P + P') \circ (P + P') \circ \dots \circ (P + P'))) \\ &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') \circ \Phi(P + P') \circ \dots \circ \Phi(P + P')) \\ &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') \circ (\Phi(P) + \Phi(P')) \circ \dots \circ (\Phi(P) + \Phi(P'))) \\ &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') \circ \Phi(P) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(P) + \Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') \circ \Phi(P') \circ \dots \circ \Phi(P')). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\alpha P + \beta P') \circ P \circ P \circ \dots \circ P = \alpha P$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') \circ \Phi(P) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(P)) &= \Phi(\Delta_\lambda((\alpha P + \beta P') \circ P \circ P \circ \dots \circ P)) \\ &= \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(\alpha P)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(x \otimes \bar{\alpha}x)) \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{\langle x, \bar{\alpha}x \rangle}{\|\bar{\alpha}x\|^2}(\bar{\alpha}x \otimes \bar{\alpha}x)\right) = \Phi(\alpha(x \otimes x)) \\ &= \Phi(\alpha P). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also obtain  $\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') \circ \Phi(P') \circ \dots \circ \Phi(P')) = \Phi(\beta P')$ . Since  $\Phi(I) = I$  from Lemma 3.1 and  $\Phi(P)^2$  is quasinormal, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(P)^2 &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(P)^2) = \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(P) \circ \Phi(P) \circ \Phi(I) \circ \Phi(I) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(I)) \\ &= \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(P \circ P \circ I \circ I \circ \dots \circ I)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Phi(I) = I$  from Lemma 3.1, we let  $x, x' \in H$  and  $y, y' \in K$  be unit vectors such that  $\Phi(P) = \Phi(x \otimes x) = y \otimes y$  and  $\Phi(P') = \Phi(x' \otimes x') = y' \otimes y'$ . Since  $P = x \otimes x$  and

$P' = x' \otimes x'$  are two rank one orthogonal projections such that  $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$ , then  $y$  and  $y'$  are orthogonal too. Therefore  $\Phi(P)$  is rank one orthogonal projection. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha P) \circ \Phi(P)) &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha P) \circ \Phi(P) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(P)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(\alpha P \circ P \circ \dots \circ P)) \\ &= \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(\alpha P)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(x \otimes \bar{\alpha}x)) = \Phi\left(\frac{\langle x, \bar{\alpha}x \rangle}{\|\bar{\alpha}x\|^2}(\bar{\alpha}x \otimes \bar{\alpha}x)\right) \\ &= \Phi(\alpha(x \otimes x)) = \Phi(\alpha P). \end{aligned}$$

By applying the proposition 2.3. in [4], there exists  $h(\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Phi(\alpha P) = h(\alpha)\Phi(P)$ . In the same way, there exists  $h(\beta) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Phi(\beta P') = h(\beta)\Phi(P')$ . Finally, we obtain that

$$\Phi(\alpha P + \beta P') = h(\alpha)\Phi(P) + h(\beta)\Phi(P')$$

for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Since  $\Phi(0) = h(0)\Phi(P)$  and  $\Phi(P) = h(1)\Phi(P)$ , we have  $h(0) = 0$  and  $h(1) = 1$ . By using (iv) in Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha)h(\beta)h(1)\dots h(1)I &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(\alpha I) \circ \Phi(\beta I) \circ \Phi(I) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(I)) \\ &= \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(\alpha I \circ \beta I \circ I \circ \dots \circ I)) = h(\alpha\beta)I. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude that the function  $h$  is multiplicative.

(ii) Since  $\Phi(I) = I$  from Lemma 3.1, we let  $x \in H$  and  $y \in K$  be unit vectors such that  $\Phi(x \otimes x) = y \otimes y$ . Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A)(y \otimes y)) &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \circ (y \otimes y)) \\ &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \circ (y \otimes y) \circ (y \otimes y) \circ \dots \circ (y \otimes y)) \\ &= \Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A) \circ (\Phi(x \otimes x)) \circ (\Phi(x \otimes x)) \circ \dots \circ (\Phi(x \otimes x))) \\ &= \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \circ (x \otimes x) \circ \dots \circ (x \otimes x))) \\ &= \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A \circ (x \otimes x))) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A(x \otimes x))) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(Ax \otimes x)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . By using Proposition 2.1 in [4], we deduce that

$$\langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle y \otimes y = \Phi(\langle Ax, x \rangle x \otimes x) = h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) y \otimes y.$$

Finally, we have

$$\langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle = h(\langle Ax, x \rangle)$$

for all unit vectors  $x, y$  such that  $\Phi(x \otimes x) = y \otimes y$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

Let  $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + x')$ . Then there exists a unit vector  $t \in K$  such that  $\Phi(z \otimes z) = t \otimes t$ .

Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\langle \alpha Pz + \beta P'z, z \rangle) &= \langle \Phi(\alpha P + \beta P')t, t \rangle \\ &= \langle (h(\alpha)\Phi(P) + h(\beta)\Phi(P'))t, t \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= h(\alpha)\langle \Phi(P)t, t \rangle + h(\beta)\langle \Phi(P')t, t \rangle \\
 &= h(\alpha)h(\langle Pz, z \rangle) + h(\beta)h(\langle P'z, z \rangle) \\
 &= h(\alpha)h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + h(\beta)h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

using  $\langle Pz, z \rangle = \langle \langle z, x \rangle x, z \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}x, z \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\langle P'z, z \rangle = \langle \langle z, x' \rangle x', z \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}x', z \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ . Since the function  $h$  is multiplicative by Lemma 3.3 (i), we obtain that  $h(\langle \alpha Pz + \beta P'z, z \rangle) = h(\alpha\|Pz\|^2 + \beta\|P'z\|^2) = h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(\alpha + \beta)$ .

Finally, we deduce that

$$h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(\alpha + \beta) = h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(h(\alpha) + h(\beta)).$$

Because of  $h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \neq 0$ , we conclude that the function  $h$  is additive.

Recall that if  $T$  is a bounded linear operator acting on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ , then the *numerical range* of  $T$  is defined by

$$W(T) = \{(Tx, x) : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

The next theorems give a description of the bijective maps between Banach spaces which commute with a  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform under the  $n$ -fold jordan product.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $H$  and  $K$  be two complex Hilbert spaces with  $\dim(H) \geq 2$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a bijective map. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

( $\alpha$ ) *For every  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , the bijective map  $\Phi$  satisfies*

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A_1) \circ \Phi(A_2) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(A_n)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \dots \circ A_n)).$$

*for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

( $\beta$ ) *There exists a unitary operator  $U : H \rightarrow K$  such that*

$$\Phi(T) = UTU^* \text{ for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $H$  and  $K$  be two complex Hilbert spaces with  $\dim(H) \geq 2$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a bijective map. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

( $\alpha$ ) *For every  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , the bijective map  $\Phi$  satisfies*

$$\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A_1)\Phi(A_2)\dots\Phi(A_n)) = \Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A_1A_2\dots A_n)).$$

( $\beta$ ) *There exists a unitary operator  $U : H \rightarrow K$  such that*

$$\Phi(T) = UTU^* \text{ for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

Now we are in a position to present the proof of Theorem 3.4. Our proof follows the similar steps to verify for Theorem 1.1 in [4].

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be a bijective map satisfying the condition  $(\alpha)$  in Theorem 3.4. Since  $\Phi(I) = I$  from Lemma 3.1, we let  $x \in H$  and  $y \in K$  be unit vectors such that  $\Phi(x \otimes x) = y \otimes y$ . Since  $\langle \Phi(A+B)y, y \rangle = h(\langle (A+B)x, x \rangle) = h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) + h(\langle Bx, x \rangle) = \langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle + \langle \Phi(B)y, y \rangle = \langle (\Phi(A) + \Phi(B))y, y \rangle$  and  $\langle \Phi(\alpha A)y, y \rangle = h(\langle \alpha Ax, x \rangle) = h(\alpha)h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) = h(\alpha)\langle \Phi(A)y, y \rangle$  from Lemma 3.3, we deduce that

$$\Phi(A+B) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(B) \text{ and } \Phi(\alpha A) = h(\alpha)\Phi(A)$$

for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a bounded subset in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{E} \subset W(A)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . By using (ii) in Lemma 3.3, we obtain that

$$h(\mathcal{E}) \subset h(W(A)) = W(\Phi(A)).$$

Since  $W(\Phi(A))$  is bounded, we claim that the function  $h$  is bounded on the bounded set. Since the function  $h$  is multiplicative and additive by Lemma 3.3, we conclude that the function  $h$  is continuous. It follows that the function  $h$  is the identity or the complex conjugation map. Therefore the bijective map  $\Phi$  is linear or antilinear.

By Corollary 3.2, the bijective map  $\Phi$  commutes with the  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform. Using the Theorem 1 in [2], there exists a linear and bijective operator  $V : H \rightarrow K$  such that  $\Phi$  takes one of the following either

$$\Phi(A) = VAV^* \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{B}(H), \tag{8}$$

or

$$\Phi(A) = VA^*V^* \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{B}(H). \tag{9}$$

Suppose that the bijective map  $\Phi$  takes the form (9). Since  $V$  is unitary, we deduce that  $V^*\Phi(A)V = V^*VA^*V^*V = A^*$ . Then we obtain the following equation

$$\Delta_\lambda(A^*) = \Delta_\lambda(V^*\Phi(A)V) = V^*\Delta_\lambda(\Phi(A))V = V^*\Phi(\Delta_\lambda(A))V = (\Delta_\lambda(A))^* \tag{10}$$

for all bounded linear operators  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

If we consider  $A = x \otimes x'$  such that  $x, x'$  are unit independent vectors in  $H$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\lambda(A) &= \Delta_\lambda(x \otimes x) = \frac{\langle x, x' \rangle}{\|x'\|^2} (x' \otimes x') = \langle x, x' \rangle (x' \otimes x') \\ \Delta_\lambda(A^*) &= \Delta_\lambda(x' \otimes x) = \frac{\langle x', x \rangle}{\|x\|^2} (x \otimes x) = \langle x', x \rangle (x \otimes x). \end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude that

$$(\Delta_\lambda(A))^* = \langle x', x \rangle (x' \otimes x') \neq \Delta_\lambda(A^*).$$

It is a contradiction to (10).

Therefore there exists a unitary operator  $V : H \rightarrow K$  such that

$$\Phi(A) = VAV^* \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. ALUTHGE, *On  $p$ -hyponormal operators for  $0 < p < 1$* , Integral Equations Operator Theory **13** (1990) 307–315.
- [2] F. BOTELHO, L. MOLNAR, AND G. NAGY, *Linear bijections on von Neumann factors commuting with  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **48** (2016) 74–84.
- [3] F. CHABBABI, *Product commuting maps with the  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **449** (2017) 589–600.
- [4] F. CHABBABI AND M. MBEKHTA, *Jordan-product commuting nonlinear maps with  $\lambda$ -Aluthge transform*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **450** (2017) 293–313.
- [5] I. JUNG, E. KO AND C. PEARCY, *Aluthge transform of operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **37** (2000) 437–448.
- [6] K. OKUBO, *On weakly unitarily invariant norm and the Aluthge transformation*, Linear Algebra Appl. **371** (2003) 369–375.

(Received February 7, 2018)

Younjin Kim  
*Institute of Mathematical Sciences*  
*Ewha Womans University*  
*Seoul, South Korea*  
*e-mail: younjinkim@ewha.ac.kr*

Eungil Ko  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Ewha Womans University*  
*Seoul, South Korea*  
*e-mail: eiko@ewha.ac.kr*