

THE C^* -ALGEBRA OF COMPACT PERTURBATIONS OF DIAGONAL OPERATORS

ESTEBAN ANDRUCHOW, EDUARDO CHIUMIENTO AND ALEJANDRO VARELA

(Communicated by I. M. Spitkovsky)

Abstract. We study the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ which consists of sums of a diagonal plus a compact operator. We describe the structure of the unitary group, the sets of ideals, automorphisms and projections.

1. Introduction

The motive of this paper is the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ consisting of operators in a separable and infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} (with a fixed or canonical orthonormal basis) which are sums of diagonal and compact operators. It is an algebra with plenty of ideals (even maximal ideals: as many as there are points in the residual set $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, where $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is the Stone-Cech compactification of the natural numbers), projections and homomorphisms. We focus on the set of projections and its geometry, on the structure of the unitary group, and on the automorphisms of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.

Section 2 contains preliminary facts on $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ (e.g. $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is nuclear, quasi-diagonal, evidently contains the ideal \mathcal{K} of compact operators, with quotient l^∞/c_0 , etc). In Section 3 we examine the general properties of the groups of invertibles and unitaries. For instance a unitary U element in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ can be factorized $U = e^{iX}D$, where D is unitary and diagonal, and $X^* = X$ is compact. In Section 4 we consider ideals, characters and positive functionals. It is shown that all automorphisms are approximately inner (i.e., implemented by unitaries in \mathcal{H}). Two questions remain unanswered:

- Are all unitary elements of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ exponentials (with exponent in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$)?
- Are the unitary operators of \mathcal{H} which implement the automorphisms of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ a product of a unitary in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ times a *permutation* unitary operator?

In Section 5 we study the set of projections. Our main result (Theorem 4.7) describes the structure of this set. The main tools in this description are the concept of index of a pair of projections [2] and the restricted Grassmanian associated to a given decomposition of \mathcal{H} [15], [16]. In Section 6 we compute the first homotopy group of the unitary group of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, and the K -groups of the algebra.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Diagonal operators, compact operators, projections.

Keywords and phrases: 46L05, 47Axx.

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. We denote by $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of diagonal operators with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis $\{e_n : n \geq 1\}$, and by $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the ideal of compact operators. Our object of study is the algebra

$$\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K} := \{D + K : D \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } K \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

If $\mathbf{x} = \{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in ℓ^∞ , we shall denote by $D_{\mathbf{x}}$ the diagonal operator whose entries are x_n . Clearly, if $T \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, T decomposes as a sum of a diagonal plus a compact operator in many ways. For instance, if $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{c}_0$ (=sequences converging to zero), then $T = D + K = D + D_{\mathbf{y}} + K - D_{\mathbf{y}}$, are two such decompositions. Apparently, all decompositions arise in this form from a given one. There is though one distinguished decomposition. Denote by

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

the conditional expectation given by $\Delta(T) = D_{\mathbf{t}}$, where $\mathbf{t} = \{\langle T e_n, e_n \rangle\}_{n \geq 1}$ (i.e., putting zeros in the off-diagonal entries of T). It is well known that Δ preserves the Schatten-von Neumann ideals, and is contractive for the p -norms. In particular, it preserves \mathcal{K} , i.e., $\Delta(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{K}$ and $\|\Delta(T)\| \leq \|T\|$. The distinguished decomposition alluded above is

$$T = D_T + K_T, \text{ with } \Delta(K_T) = 0.$$

REMARK 2.1. Basic properties of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$:

1. $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. This follows because \mathcal{D} is a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and \mathcal{K} is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then a standard isomorphism theorem (see Corollary II.5.1.3 in [3]) states that $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is closed and, hence, a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.
2. $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is non separable: $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ contains a copy of $\ell^\infty \simeq \mathcal{D}$. In particular, it is non AFD.
3. $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is quasi-diagonal (see [5]).
4. $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is nuclear. Indeed, \mathcal{K} is nuclear and the quotient $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}$ is commutative, thus nuclear (see [3], p. 369).
5. $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is strong and weak operator dense in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.
6. Any normal operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is unitarily equivalent to an element in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ (this is the classical Weyl-von Neumann-Berg theorem).
7. The inclusion $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is irreducible.
8. If $T \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is selfadjoint, then D_T and K_T are selfadjoint. Indeed, $\Delta(T)^* = \Delta(T^*) = \Delta(T)$.

Let us denote by π both quotient homomorphisms $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}$ and its restriction $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}$. It is known that $\pi(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra (shortly, a *masa*), called the *standard masa* of the Calkin algebra [7]. Then, also the following characteristic property of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ can be obtained.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- a) $S \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$,
- b) $[S, D] \in \mathcal{K}$ for every diagonal operator D ,
- c) $[S, T] \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $T \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$,
- d) $[S, P] \in \mathcal{K}$ for every orthogonal projection $P \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Consider first a) \Rightarrow b). If $S = D_0 + K_0 \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, then $[S, D] = [K_0, D] \in \mathcal{K}$. Conversely, suppose that $[S, D] \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$0 = \pi([S, D]) = [\pi(S), \pi(D)]$$

for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\pi(\mathcal{D})$ is maximal abelian, this implies that $\pi(S) \in \pi(\mathcal{D})$, i.e., $S \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.

The assertion b) \Leftrightarrow c) is clearly a trivial consequence of a) \Leftrightarrow c). To prove the latter note that the non trivial implication is c) \Rightarrow a). But this also follows immediately. If $[S, T] \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ for all $T \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, then $[S, D] \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, and by the argument of the last paragraph we obtain that $S \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.

b) \Rightarrow d) is trivial. Conversely, suppose that $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ satisfies $[S, P] \in \mathcal{K}$ for every orthogonal projection $P \in \mathcal{D}$. We can approximate every diagonal $D \in \mathcal{D}$ with diagonals $\{D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite spectrum as sequences of ℓ^∞ can be approximated by those that take finite values. Then $[S, D] = \lim_n [S, D_n] \in \mathcal{K}$, because \mathcal{K} is closed. \square

Let us finish this preliminary section by showing that elements of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ with finite spectrum are norm dense in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The set of elements with finite spectrum is norm dense in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. Also the set of selfadjoint elements with finite spectrum is norm dense in the set of selfadjoint elements of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, i.e., $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ has real rank zero.*

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by E_k the rank one orthogonal projection onto the line generated by the vector e_k of the fixed basis, and by $F_n = \sum_{k=1}^n E_k$. Let $\{D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be finite linear combinations of diagonal projections that approximate K . Then $D_n + F_n K F_n \rightarrow D + K$ in norm. Now consider

$$D_n + F_n K F_n = F_n(D_n + K)F_n + (I - F_n)D_n(I - F_n)$$

The first summand has finite spectrum (belongs to a finite-dimensional space) and the second has finite spectrum by the definition of D_n . Then $D + K$ can be approximated with finite spectrum elements of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.

If we start with a selfadjoint element $D + K$ (with $D^* = D$ and $K^* = K$), it is clear that we can choose selfadjoint finite spectrum approximants to $D + K$. \square

3. The linear group of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$

Let us denote by $G_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$ the linear group (or group of invertible elements) of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $T \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is invertible, then there exists a decomposition $T = D_0 + K_0$ with D_0 invertible.*

Proof. Let $T = D + K$, and denote by $\mathbf{d} = \{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ the sequence of the entries of D . We claim that \mathbf{d} cannot have a subsequence which converges to 0. Suppose otherwise that there exists a subsequence $d_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$. Consider the sequence $\mathbf{z} = \{z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by $z_n = 1$ if $n = n_k$, and 0 if not. Then $D_{\mathbf{z}}$ is a projection such that $D_{\mathbf{z}}D$ is compact. Then $D_{\mathbf{z}}T = D_{\mathbf{z}}D + D_{\mathbf{z}}K$ is compact. Since T is invertible, this implies that $D_{\mathbf{z}} = (D_{\mathbf{z}}T)T^{-1}$ is a compact projection. Then it must have finite rank, which leads to a contradiction. It follows that there exists $r > 0$ such that $|d_n| \geq r$ for all $n \geq 1$, save for a finite set $\{n_1, \dots, n_N\}$. Let P be the diagonal operator with 1 in the place n_j , $1 \leq j \leq N$, and zero elsewhere. Clearly P is compact, and $D_0 = D + rP \in \mathcal{D}$ is invertible, for a suitable choice of r . Then $T = D_0 + (K - rP)$, with $K - rP \in \mathcal{K}$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. The following are equivalent:*

1. T is invertible.
2. $N(T) = \{0\}$ and there exists a decomposition $T = D + K$ with D invertible.
3. $R(T) = \mathcal{H}$ and there exists a decomposition $T = D + K$ with D invertible.

Proof. By the previous lemma, 1) implies 2) and 3). Conversely, if $T = D + K$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$ invertible, then $T = D(I + D^{-1}K)$. Thus, if $I + D^{-1}K$ has either trivial nullspace or full range, by Fredholm's alternative, $I + D^{-1}K$ is invertible. \square

Denote by $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ the set of self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *$G_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$ is dense in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ (i.e., $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ has stable rank one [6]). The same holds for the selfadjoint parts: $G_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}} \cap \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ is dense in $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}) \cap \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Let $T = D + K \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, with D given by the sequence $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $D_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}$ given by the sequence $\{d_n^\varepsilon\}_{n \geq 1}$,

$$d_n^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} d_n & \text{if } |d_n| \geq \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & \text{if } |d_n| < \varepsilon \end{cases}.$$

Clearly, D_ε is invertible and $\|D - D_\varepsilon\| \leq 2\varepsilon$. Let $M \in \mathcal{K}$ be a finite rank operator such that $\|K - M\| < \varepsilon$. Then also $D_\varepsilon^{-1}M$ has finite rank, and thus finite spectrum. We can further adjust M in order that -1 does not belong to the spectrum of $D_\varepsilon^{-1}M$ (for instance, adding a small multiple of a projection onto the finite rank subspace on

which $D_\varepsilon^{-1}M$ acts), and still have that $\|K - M\| < \varepsilon$. Then $D_\varepsilon + M = D_\varepsilon(I + D_\varepsilon^{-1}M)$ is invertible, and

$$\|D + K - (D_\varepsilon + M)\| \leq \|D - D_\varepsilon\| + \|K - M\| < 3\varepsilon.$$

If T, D and K are selfadjoint, then the operator D_ε is clearly selfadjoint. Also the finite rank operator M approximating K can be chosen selfadjoint. \square

Next we consider the relation between the commutative C^* -algebra $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}$ and Fredholm operators.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. $\pi(T)$ is invertible in $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}$.
2. T is Fredholm.
3. T is semi-Fredholm.

In any of these cases, $\text{ind}(T) = 0$.

Proof. To prove that 2) implies 1), we note that the Fredholm property of T is equivalent to the invertibility of $\pi(T)$ in the Calkin algebra. But the algebra $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}$ is a C^* -subalgebra of the Calkin algebra. Therefore the inverse of $\pi(T)$ must be in $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}$. The reverse implication is trivial.

The non trivial part of the equivalence between 2) and 3) follows by noting that $T = D + K \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, is semi-Fredholm if and only if D is. But for diagonal operators we have that $N(D) = R(D)^\perp$. Therefore D is Fredholm, and consequently, $T = D + K$ is also Fredholm. In addition, note that in this case, $\text{ind}(T) = \text{ind}(D) = 0$. \square

The following elementary consequence follows:

COROLLARY 3.5. *There are no proper isometries in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$: if $V \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is isometric, then V is a unitary operator. Similarly, there are no proper co-isometries in this algebra.*

Proof. An isometry V is a semi-Fredholm operator with $-\infty \leq \text{ind}(V) \leq 0$. Thus $\text{ind}(V) = 0$. Since $N(V) = \{0\}$, it follows that $R(V)^\perp = \{0\}$, i.e. $R(V) = \overline{R(V)} = \mathcal{H}$. \square

Let us describe unitary elements in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *If $U \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is unitary, then there exists a decomposition $U = D + K$ with D unitary and K compact. Moreover, U can be factorized as*

$$U = D e^{iX}$$

with $X = X^* \in \mathcal{K}$, $\|X\| \leq \pi$.

Proof. Let $U = D' + K'$ be an arbitrary decomposition of U . Since U is unitary, $I = U^*U = D'^*D' + D'^*K' + K'^*D' + K'^*K'$ and thus $D'^*D' = I + K''$ with $K'' \in \mathcal{K}$. It follows that the spectrum of D'^*D' is countable, and accumulates only eventually at 1, i.e., if d'_n are the entries of D' , then $|d'_n| \rightarrow 1$. Let $d'_n = |d'_n|e^{i\theta_n}$, with $-\pi \leq \theta_n < \pi$. Put $D = D_{\mathbf{d}}$, where $\mathbf{d} = \{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, and $d_n = e^{i\theta_n}$. Then $D' - D$, which is the diagonal operator given by the sequence $(|d'_n| - 1)e^{i\theta_n}$ (which converges to zero), is compact. Then $K = K' + D' - D \in \mathcal{K}$ and $U = D + K$ with D unitary.

Then $U = D(I + D^*K)$. The operator $I + D^*K$ is unitary. Thus D^*K is normal and compact: there exist mutually orthogonal selfadjoint projections P_n of finite rank, such that

$$D^*K = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n P_n.$$

Put $P_0 = I - \sum_{n \geq 1} P_n$. Then

$$1 + D^*K = P_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (1 + \lambda_n) P_n.$$

Since $I + D^*K$ is unitary, $|1 + \lambda_n| = 1$. Let $-\pi \leq \chi_n < \pi$ such that $e^{i\chi_n} = 1 + \lambda_n$, and put

$$X = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi_n P_n.$$

The fact that $1 + \lambda_n$ accumulate only eventually at 1, implies that $\{\chi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ accumulate only eventually at 0. Thus X is compact. Clearly $\|X\| \leq \pi$ and $e^{iX} = I + D^*K$. \square

The unitary group $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}}$ of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ was studied in [4]. The above factorization was found there. The following is a straightforward consequence:

COROLLARY 3.7. *$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}}$ and $G_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}}$ are connected.*

Proof. By the above factorization, any element $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}}$ can be factorized $U = De^{iX}$, with $D = D_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\mathbf{d} = \{e^{i\theta_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$. Then $U(t) = D(t)e^{itX}$, with $D(t) = D_{\mathbf{d}(t)}$, $\mathbf{d}(t) = \{e^{it\theta_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a continuous path of unitary elements in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}}$, such that $U(0) = I$ and $U(1) = U$.

Let $G \in G_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}}$, and $G = U|G|$ its polar decomposition, which remains in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. The set of positive invertible elements in a C^* -algebra is convex, thus connected. As above, U can be connected with I by a continuous path. \square

QUESTION 3.8. One question that we consider interesting is whether the unitary group of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is exponential, i.e., whether $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}}$ equals $\{e^{i(D+K)} : D^* = D, K^* = K\}$. The *exponential rank* measures how many exponentials are needed to factorize any unitary element in the connected component of the identity. Clearly, from the above Proposition, this number is less or equal than 2. H.X. Lin [10] proved that unital C^* -algebras with real rank zero (as $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$) have this invariant less or equal than $1 + \varepsilon$, meaning that any unitary element (in the connected component of the identity) is a limit of exponentials.

$\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ has the FU property ([12], Proposition 1.5): unitary elements with finite spectrum are norm dense in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}}$.

Let us complete this section with a factorization result for positive invertible elements. It is a particular case of a remarkable general result by H. Porta and L. Recht [14]. Let us transcribe their result:

THEOREM 3.9. (Porta and Recht [14], Corollary 7) *Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be unital C^* -algebras and $E : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a conditional expectation. Then any positive and invertible element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ has a unique factorization*

$$a = b^{1/2} e^z b^{1/2},$$

where $b \in \mathcal{B}$ (is positive and invertible) and $z^* = z$ with $E(z) = 0$.

This result is non trivial even for the case when \mathcal{A} is the algebra of 3×3 complex matrices and \mathcal{B} the subalgebra of diagonal matrices. In our case, where the conditional expectation is $\Delta : \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, this results yields the following:

COROLLARY 3.10. *Let $A \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ be positive and invertible. Then there exist a unique positive and invertible diagonal operator D , and a selfadjoint compact operator Z , with zero diagonal, such that*

$$A = D^{1/2} e^Z D^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Note that if $Z \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ belongs to the kernel of Δ , then Z is compact: recall the distinguished decomposition $Z = D_Z + K_Z$,

$$0 = \Delta(D_Z) + \Delta(K_Z) = D_Z. \quad \square$$

4. Ideals, characters and positive functionals

The quotient map π onto the Calkin algebra restricted to $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ gives a $*$ -epimorphism

$$\pi = \pi|_{\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}.$$

REMARK 4.1. Recall that $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}$ is a commutative algebra. Denote by \mathbf{c}_0 the Banach space of sequences which converge to 0. Then, clearly,

$$(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K} \simeq \ell^\infty / \mathbf{c}_0.$$

Indeed, if $T \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, and D is given by the sequence $\mathbf{d} = \{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, the isomorphism is given by $\pi(T) \mapsto [\mathbf{d}]$. The maximal ideal space of $\ell^\infty / \mathbf{c}_0$ consists of maximal ideals of ℓ^∞ which contain the ideal \mathbf{c}_0 . The maximal ideal space of ℓ^∞ is the Stone-Cech compactification $\beta\mathbb{N}$ of the natural numbers \mathbb{N} . The ideals which contain \mathbf{c}_0 are those on the residual set, i.e. $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$.

COROLLARY 4.2. *There are uncountable many different maximal ideals in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, which contain \mathcal{H} . In fact, there are 2^c maximal ideals.*

Proof. For any maximal ideal \mathcal{M} in ℓ^∞/\mathbf{c}_0 , let $\psi_{\mathcal{M}} : \ell^\infty/\mathbf{c}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the multiplicative functional such that $\ker \psi_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}$. Then $\Psi_{\mathcal{M}} := \psi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi : \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplicative functional. Clearly different maximal ideals \mathcal{M} of ℓ^∞/\mathbf{c}_0 give rise to different characters $\Psi_{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{D}$, all of which vanish at \mathcal{H} . \square

Let us take a brief look at the positive functionals in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. There is an explicit way to decompose any $\varphi \geq 0$ in its atomic and singular parts. Namely, given $\varphi \geq 0$, it is clear that the restriction $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}} := \varphi|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a positive functional (eventually $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$) in \mathcal{K} . Then there exists a trace class operator $A_\varphi \geq 0$ such that

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(K) = \text{Tr}(A_\varphi K)$$

for all $K \in \mathcal{K}$. Also it is clear that $\text{Tr}(A_\varphi) = \|\varphi|_{\mathcal{K}}\| \leq \|\varphi\|$. Note that $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}$ can be extended to $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ naturally: $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(T) = \text{Tr}(A_\varphi T)$ (in fact, it can be extended to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), and denote still by $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}$ this extension. Put

$$\varphi_\infty := \varphi - \varphi_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Then

PROPOSITION 4.3. $\varphi_\infty \geq 0$, and $\varphi_\infty|_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$.

Proof. The second assertion is clear. Let $T \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, $T = D_T + K_T$ (unique decomposition with $E(K_T) = 0$). Note that $D_T = \Delta(T) \geq 0$. Then

$$\varphi(T) = \varphi(D_T) + \varphi(K_T) = \varphi(D_T) + \text{Tr}(A_\varphi K_T),$$

whereas $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(T) = \text{Tr}(A_\varphi D_T) + \text{Tr}(A_\varphi K_T)$. Thus, in order to check that $\varphi_\infty \geq 0$ we must show that

$$\text{Tr}(A_\varphi D_T) \leq \varphi(D_T).$$

Let D_n be an increasing sequence of finite rank diagonal operators such that $D_n \rightarrow D_T$ strongly (for instance, D_n be the n -truncation of D_T). Then, since $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}$ is strongly continuous on bounded sets,

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(D_n) \rightarrow \varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(D_T).$$

On the other hand, since $D_T - D_n \geq 0$, and D_n are compact,

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(D_n) = \varphi(D_n) \leq \varphi(D_T),$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Thus we have the decomposition $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathcal{K}} + \varphi_\infty$, with $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}$ normal (i.e., strongly continuous on bounded sets) and φ_∞ singular (i.e., vanishing on compact elements of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$). These singular positive functionals are in one to one correspondence with positive functionals in ℓ^∞/\mathbf{c}_0 .

The following result, shows, in particular, that the maximal ideals of ℓ^∞/\mathbf{c}_0 induce all the maximal ideals of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{I} \neq 0$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to show that there is a non zero compact element in \mathcal{I} . Let $0 \neq D_0 + K_0 \in \mathcal{I}$, $D_0 = D_{\mathbf{d}}$ and E_j stands for the diagonal projection with 1 in the j -coordinate. Note that if $E_j(D_0 + K_0) \neq 0$, then we are done. So we assume that $E_j(D_0 + K_0) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$. Therefore the diagonal $\mathbf{d} = \{d_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ satisfies $d_j = -(K_0)_{jj}$, $j \geq 1$, which implies that D_0 is compact, and then $D_0 + K_0$ also is compact. \square

REMARK 4.5. In particular, this allows us to describe the irreducible representations of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$: The irreducible representations of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ are the multiplicative functionals of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ (which necessarily vanish at \mathcal{K} , i.e., they are given by characters of ℓ^∞/\mathbf{c}_0), or they are unitarily equivalent to the inclusion representation $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Indeed, the set of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ decomposes into those that vanish in \mathcal{K} , and those which do not, and therefore restrict to irreducible representations of \mathcal{K} . The first set was described in Corollary 4.2.

The following result follows.

LEMMA 4.6. *Let θ be a $*$ -automorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. Then $\theta(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$*

Proof. Since $\theta(\mathcal{K})$ is a proper ideal of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K} \subset \theta(\mathcal{K})$. Also, by the same argument, $\mathcal{K} \subset \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$. Then, $\theta(\mathcal{K}) \subset \theta\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$. \square

Then a $*$ -automorphism θ of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ induces, by restriction, a $*$ -automorphism $\theta|_{\mathcal{K}}$ of \mathcal{K} . These are given by conjugation with unitary operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Thus, there exists a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\theta(K) = UKU^*, \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{K}.$$

On the other hand, if an automorphism θ leaves \mathcal{D} invariant (i.e., $\theta(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}$), it must be $\theta(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$. Indeed, since $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is maximal abelian, then so is $\theta(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, and so $\theta(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$. Thus θ induces an automorphism of ℓ^∞ . It is an easy exercise that these are given by permutations of \mathbb{N} ; if σ is a permutation of \mathbb{N} , then the corresponding automorphism is given by $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \mapsto \{x_{\sigma(n)}\}_{n \geq 1}$. Clearly the operator U_σ acting in \mathcal{H} , given by

$$U_\sigma \xi = \sum_{n \geq 1} \xi_n e_{\sigma(n)}, \text{ if } \xi = \sum_{n \geq 1} \xi_n e_n,$$

is a unitary operator in \mathcal{H} . This unitary operator implements in turn the automorphism $\theta_\sigma = \theta$,

$$\theta_\sigma : \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}, \theta_\sigma(T) = U_\sigma T U_\sigma^*, \text{ if } T \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}.$$

Note that $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ if and only if the permutation σ leaves all but a finite set of numbers fixed. Otherwise, θ_σ is an outer automorphism.

The following result shows that all automorphisms of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ are *approximately inner* [8]:

LEMMA 4.7. *Let θ be a $*$ -automorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. Then there exists a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(T) = UTU^*$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.6 and the subsequent remark, there exists a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\theta(K) = UKU^*$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}$. We claim that this unitary implements θ in the whole algebra $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. Let $0 \leq D = D_{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathbf{d} = \{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. Consider D_N given by the sequence \mathbf{d}_N , which is the truncation of the sequence \mathbf{d} at N . Then it is clear that $D_N \leq D$ and $D_N \rightarrow D$ in the strong operator topology. The first assertion implies that $\theta(D_N) \leq \theta(D)$. On the other hand, since D_N is compact, $\theta(D_N) = UD_NU^*$. Also it is clear that $UD_NU^* \rightarrow UDU^*$ in the strong operator topology. It follows that

$$UDU^* \leq \theta(D).$$

Pick now $D'_N = D_{\mathbf{d}'_N}$, where $\mathbf{d}'_N = \{(d'_N)_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is given by

$$(d'_N)_n = \begin{cases} d_n, & \text{if } n \leq N \\ \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\infty}, & \text{if } n > N \end{cases}.$$

Clearly, $D'_N = D_N + \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\infty}(I - E_N)$, where E_N is the (diagonal) projection onto the subspace generated by e_1, \dots, e_N . Also it is clear that $D'_N \geq D$. Therefore $\theta(D'_N) \geq \theta(D)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(D'_N) &= \theta(D_N) + \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\infty}(I - \theta(E_N)) = UD_NU^* + \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\infty}(I - UE_NU^*) \\ &= U(D_N + \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\infty}(I - E_N))U^* = UD'_NU^*. \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation shows that $D'_N \rightarrow D$ strongly, and thus again $UD'_NU^* \rightarrow UDU^*$ strongly. Therefore $UDU^* \geq \theta(D)$. Thus, $\theta(D) = UDU^*$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$ with $D \geq 0$. Then it holds for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$. \square

COROLLARY 4.8. *All automorphisms of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ are strong (and weak) operator continuous.*

Clearly there are unitaries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that do not induce $*$ -homomorphisms of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$: pick a selfadjoint operator A which does not belong to $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$; by the theorem of Weyl and von Neumann, there exists a unitary W in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $W^*AW \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, then W does not induce a $*$ -homomorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. The condition on $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ that $UDU^* \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$ is clearly necessary for $\theta = Ad(U)$ to define an automorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. Let us show that it is also sufficient:

PROPOSITION 4.9. *Let $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $UDU^* \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $Ad(U)$ defines an automorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. Clearly $Ad(U)$ defines an injective $*$ -homomorphism. Let us check that it is onto. Clearly $Ad(U)(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$. So we must show that $\mathcal{D} \subset Ad(U)(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})$.

Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Denote by $u = \pi(U)$, $d = \pi(D)$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}$. Clearly $Ad(u)$ is a $*$ -automorphism of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}$, and the hypothesis implies that $\mathcal{B} = Ad(u)(\pi(\mathcal{D}))$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $\pi(\mathcal{D})$. As remarked in Section 2, $\pi(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra. Then $Ad(u)(\pi(\mathcal{D}))$, being the image of a masa is also a masa. Then $Ad(u)(\pi(\mathcal{D})) = \pi(\mathcal{D})$. Thus, there exists $D' \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $Ad(u)(d') = d$, where $d' = \pi(D')$. Then there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$D = UD'U^* + K = U(D' + U^*KU)U^* \in Ad(U)(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}). \quad \square$$

We know so far, explicitly, the existence of the following automorphisms:

1. For $\mathbf{w} = \{w_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, with $|w_n| = 1$, $\theta_{\mathbf{w}} = Ad(D_{\mathbf{w}})$.
2. For $X^* = X \in \mathcal{K}$, $\theta_X = Ad(e^{iX})$.
3. For σ a permutation of \mathbb{N} , the automorphism $\theta_{\sigma} = Ad(U_{\sigma})$ described above.

Clearly, among these, only the θ_{σ} , with σ of infinite support are outer. Note also that $U_{\sigma}D_{\mathbf{w}} = D_{\sigma(\mathbf{w})}U_{\sigma}$, where $\sigma(\mathbf{w}) = \{w_{\sigma(n)}\}_{n \geq 1}$, and that $U_{\sigma}e^{iX} = e^{iU_{\sigma}XU_{\sigma}^*}U_{\sigma}$; so that

$$\theta_{\sigma}\theta_{\mathbf{w}}\theta_X = \theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{w})}\theta_{U_{\sigma}XU_{\sigma}^*}\theta_{\sigma}.$$

Also, due to the factorization of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$ given in Proposition 3.6, it is clear that any inner automorphism can be expressed as $\theta_{\mathbf{w}}\theta_X$, for suitable \mathbf{w} and X . If σ_1, σ_2 are permutations of \mathbb{N} , $\theta_{\sigma_1}\theta_{\sigma_2} = \theta_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}$. Thus, any automorphism in the group generated by these types of automorphisms, can be expressed as a product

$$\theta_{\mathbf{w}}\theta_X\theta_{\sigma}. \tag{1}$$

QUESTION 4.10. Does this group exhaust the whole automorphism group of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. In other words, are all unitaries U of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which satisfy $U\mathcal{D}U^* \subset \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ of the form $U = D_{\mathbf{w}}e^{iX}U_{\sigma}$, with $X = X^*$ compact?

Let us denote by $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{H})$ the group generated by unitaries U_{σ} , $D_{\mathbf{w}}$ and e^{iX} , for σ permutations of \mathbb{N} , \mathbf{w} of modulus 1 and $X^* = X$ compact. Note that due to the above description, we can write

$$\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{H}) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})} U_{\sigma} \cdot \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})$ denotes the group of permutations of \mathbb{N} . Denote by $\mathcal{S}_f(\mathbb{N}) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})$ the subgroup of permutation with finite support, i.e. which leave a co-finite set fixed. The above description can be refined, namely:

$$\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{H}) = \bigcup_{[\sigma] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})/\mathcal{S}_f(\mathbb{N})} U_{\sigma} \cdot \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}. \tag{3}$$

To prove this fact, we need the following Lemma:

LEMMA 4.11. *Let σ be a permutation of \mathbb{N} of infinite support, and $T = D + K \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. Then*

$$\|U_\sigma - T\| \geq 1.$$

If additionally $T \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$, then

$$\|U_\sigma - T\| \geq \sqrt{2}.$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let E_N be the orthogonal projection onto the subspace generated by e_1, \dots, e_N . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_\sigma - T\| &\geq \|E_N^\perp(U_\sigma - T)E_N^\perp\| = \|E_N^\perp(U_\sigma - D)E_N^\perp - E_N^\perp KE_N^\perp\| \\ &\geq \|E_N^\perp(U_\sigma - D)E_N^\perp\| - \|E_N^\perp KE_N^\perp\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since K is compact, we can pick N large enough so that $\|E_N^\perp KE_N^\perp\| < \varepsilon$. Then, for such N ,

$$\|U_\sigma - T\| \geq \|E_N^\perp(U_\sigma - D)E_N^\perp\| - \varepsilon \geq \|E_N^\perp(U_\sigma - D)E_N^\perp e_m\| - \varepsilon,$$

for any vector e_m of the orthogonal basis. For the given N , we choose m so that $m > N$ and $m \neq \sigma(m) > N$. This is possible because σ has infinite support. Then

$$E_N^\perp(U_\sigma - D)E_N^\perp e_m = e_{\sigma(m)} - d_m e_m,$$

whose norm is $\sqrt{1 + |d_m|^2} \geq 1$. Then $\|U_\sigma - T\| > 1 - \varepsilon$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

If T is unitary in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, we can choose a decomposition $T = D + K$ with $|d_n| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\|e_{\sigma(m)} - d_m e_m\| = \sqrt{2}$. \square

THEOREM 4.12.

$$\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{H}) = \bigcup_{[\sigma] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})/\mathcal{S}_f(\mathbb{N})} U_\sigma \cdot \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}. \quad (4)$$

Thus, in the norm topology of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{H})$ is a non countable discrete union of copies of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$. In particular, $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{H})$ is closed.

Proof. Formula (3) states that in formula (2), it suffices to choose one σ in each class of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})/\mathcal{S}_f(\mathbb{N})$. This is clear, if $\sigma' = \sigma\gamma$, for some $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_f(\mathbb{N})$, then $U_{\sigma'} = U_\sigma U_\gamma$. Clearly $U_\gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$, and then $U_{\sigma'} \cdot \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}} = U_\sigma \cdot \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$. On the other hand, if σ and σ' belong to different classes, $U_\sigma^* U_{\sigma'} = U_{\sigma^{-1}\sigma'} \notin \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$, because $\sigma^{-1}\sigma'$ has infinite support. Since $\mathcal{S}_f(\mathbb{N})$ is countable, the quotient $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})/\mathcal{S}_f(\mathbb{N})$ is uncountable. To end the proof, let us show that if σ, σ' belong to different classes, then $\|U_\sigma V - U_{\sigma'} W\| \geq \sqrt{2}$, if $V, W \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$. Indeed

$$\|U_\sigma V - U_{\sigma'} W\| = \|U'_\sigma(U_{\sigma'}^* U_\sigma - W W^*)V\| = \|U_{\sigma'^{-1}\sigma} - W W^*\| \geq \sqrt{2},$$

by Lemma 4.11. \square

Using Lemma 4.11, we can also show the following:

PROPOSITION 4.13. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})$ of infinite support, and $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$. Then*

$$\|\theta_\sigma - Ad(U)\| \geq 2.$$

Proof.

$$\|\theta_\sigma - Ad(U)\| = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}, \|X\| \leq 1} \|U_\sigma X U_\sigma^* - X U_\sigma^*\| = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}, \|X\| \leq 1} \|U^* U_\sigma X - X U^* U_\sigma\|.$$

Since $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ is strongly dense in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, it is clear that

$$\sup_{X \in \mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}, \|X\| \leq 1} \|U^* U_\sigma X - X U^* U_\sigma\| = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \|X\| \leq 1} \|U^* U_\sigma X - X U^* U_\sigma\|.$$

This, in turn, is the norm of the derivation $\delta_{U^* U_\sigma}$, where $\delta_A(X) = XA - AX$. By a result by J.G. Stampfli [17], $\|\delta_A\| = 2 \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda I\|$. Then

$$\|\theta_\sigma - Ad(U)\| = 2 \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|U^* U_\sigma - \lambda I\| = 2 \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|U_\sigma - \lambda U\|.$$

By Lemma 4.11, $\|U_\sigma - \lambda U\| \geq 1$, and the proof follows. \square

REMARK 4.14. Therefore, as in formula (3), we can describe the group of automorphisms generated by unitaries in $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{H})$ as a discrete union of copies of the group of inner automorphisms,

$$\{Ad(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{H})\} = \bigcup_{[\sigma] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})/\mathcal{S}_f(\mathbb{N})} Ad(U_\sigma) \cdot \{Ad(V) : V \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}\}.$$

If θ_1, θ_2 belong to different copies, then $\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\| \geq 2$. Indeed, $\theta_1 = Ad(U_{\sigma_1} U_1)$ and $\theta_2 = Ad(U_{\sigma_2} U_2)$, with $\sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1$ of infinite support. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ad(U_{\sigma_1} U_1) - Ad(U_{\sigma_2} U_2)\| &= \|Ad(U_{\sigma_2}) \left(Ad(U_{\sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1} - Ad(U_2 U_1^*) \right) Ad(U_1)\| \\ &= \|Ad(U_{\sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1}) - Ad(U_2 U_1^*)\| \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

by the above proposition. In particular, the group $\{Ad(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{H})\}$ is open and closed in the group of all $*$ -automorphisms of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, in the norm topology.

REMARK 4.15. The fact that the automorphisms of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ leave \mathcal{K} invariant, implies that any automorphism θ of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ induces an automorphism $\bar{\theta}$ of the quotient ℓ^∞/\mathbf{c}_0 . The automorphisms of $\ell^\infty/\mathbf{c}_0 \simeq C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$ are in one to one correspondence, by Gelfand's map, with the homeomorphisms of the residual set $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. It is known, if one assumes the continuum hypothesis, that the set of homeomorphisms of $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ has cardinality 2^c (see for instance [18], Chapter 15)

On the other hand, since \mathcal{H} is separable, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and thus $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, has cardinality c . Since all automorphisms of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ are implemented by unitaries, it follows that they have cardinality c .

Therefore not every automorphism of $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}$ can be lifted to an automorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. Clearly the former set is much more complicated.

REMARK 4.16. There are plenty of (non onto) $*$ -endomorphisms. To the characters (onto the subalgebra $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 \subset \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$), one can add the following. Let $F \subset \mathbb{N}$, and consider $\mathcal{D}_F \subset \mathcal{D}$ the subalgebra

$$\mathcal{D}_F = \{D_{\mathbf{x}} : x_i = x_j \text{ if } i, j \in F\}.$$

\mathcal{D}_F is the unitization of the ideal of \mathcal{D} of diagonal matrices whose F -entries are zero. Recall the multiplicative functionals $\Psi_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi : \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\psi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the multiplicative functional associated to the maximal ideal \mathcal{M} . Fix \mathcal{M}_0 and let $\{\mathcal{M}_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F\}$, where $\mathcal{M}_j \neq \mathcal{M}_0$ and $\mathcal{M}_j \neq \mathcal{M}_k$ if $k \neq j$, but otherwise arbitrary. Then the map

$$\varphi : \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}, \quad \varphi(T) = D_{\mathbf{d}}, \quad \text{where } d_n = \begin{cases} \Psi_{\mathcal{M}_0}(T) & \text{if } n \in F \\ \Psi_{\mathcal{M}_n}(T) & \text{if } n \notin F \end{cases}.$$

is clearly a $*$ -endomorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, whose image is \mathcal{D}_F . Note also that

$$\mathcal{K} \subset N(\varphi) = \bigcap_{n \in F} \mathcal{M}_n \cap \mathcal{M}_0.$$

5. Projections

Finite rank projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ belong to $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, as well as arbitrary projections in \mathcal{D} (diagonal matrices with entries 0 or 1).

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $P = D + K \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ be an orthogonal projection, with $D^* = D = D_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then the only possible accumulation points of the sequence $\mathbf{d} = \{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are 0 or 1.*

Proof. Since $D + K = D^2 + DK + KD + K^2$, it follows that $D - D^2$ is a compact self-adjoint operator. Thus $\sigma(D - D^2) = \{d_n - d_n^2 : n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence whose only possible accumulation point is 0. \square

As a consequence, we have,

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let $P \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ be an orthogonal projection. Then there exists a decomposition $P = E + K$, where $E \in \mathcal{D}$ is a (diagonal) projection.*

Proof. Let $P = D_{\mathbf{d}} + K'$, with $\mathbf{d} = \{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. Then we can write \mathbf{d} as the union of two subsequences. Consider $\{d_{j_k}\}$ and $\{d_{i_k}\}$ with $d_{j_k} \rightarrow 0$, $d_{i_k} \rightarrow 1$ and $\mathbb{N} = \{j_k : k \geq 1\} \cup \{i_k : k \geq 1\}$ (if 0 or 1 do not occur as accumulation points, we omit the corresponding subsequence). Let $\mathbf{d}_0 = \{d'_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\mathbf{d}_1 = \{d''_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by

$$d'_n = \begin{cases} d_{j_k} & \text{if } n = j_k \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases}, \quad d''_n = \begin{cases} d_{i_k} - 1 & \text{if } n = i_k \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

Clearly $D_{\mathbf{d}_0}$ and $D_{\mathbf{d}_1}$ are compact. Let $E = D_{\mathbf{t}}$, where $\mathbf{t} = \{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is given by

$$t_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = j_k \\ 1 & \text{if } n = i_k \end{cases}.$$

Then E is a projection in \mathcal{D} , and clearly

$$P = E + K' + D_{\mathbf{d}_0} + D_{\mathbf{d}_1}. \quad \square$$

The set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$ of projections in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ consists of three disjoint classes:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^0 = \{P \text{ has finite rank}\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^1 = \mathcal{P}_1 = \{P \text{ has co-finite rank}\},$$

and the complement of the union of these sets, the set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^\infty$. Note that the first two classes correspond with projections $P = D + K$ such that the spectrum of D accumulates only at (respectively) 0 or 1, whereas in the class $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^\infty$ the spectrum of d accumulates both at 0 and 1. We shall focus on the description of this latter class.

It will be useful to recall the definition of the *restricted Grassmannian* [15], [16] (also called Sato Grassmannian)

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ an orthogonal decomposition of \mathcal{H} , with $\dim \mathcal{H}_+ = \dim \mathcal{H}_- = \infty$. Denote by E_+, E_- the orthogonal projections onto $\mathcal{H}_+, \mathcal{H}_-$, respectively. A projection P belongs to the restricted Grassmannian $Gr_{res}(\mathcal{H}_+)$ with respect to the subspace \mathcal{H}_+ if and only if

1.

$$E_+P|_{R(P)} : R(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+ \in \mathcal{B}(R(P), \mathcal{H}_+)$$

is a Fredholm operator in $\mathcal{B}(R(P), \mathcal{H}_+)$, and

2.

$$E_-P|_{R(P)} : R(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_- \in \mathcal{B}(R(P), \mathcal{H}_-)$$

is compact.

The index of the first operator characterizes the connected components of $Gr_{res}(\mathcal{H}_+)$: two projections P, Q belong to the same component of $Gr_{res}(\mathcal{H}_+)$ if and only if $E_+P \in \mathcal{B}(R(P), \mathcal{H}_+)$ and $E_+Q \in \mathcal{B}(R(Q), \mathcal{H}_+)$ have the same Fredholm index.

REMARK 5.3. Given $P \in Gr_{res}(\mathcal{H}_+)$, the Fredholm index of $E_+P \in \mathcal{B}(R(P), \mathcal{H}_+)$ was called the index of the pair (P, E_+) in [2]. There, among other properties, it was shown that it can be computed as

$$index(P, E_+) = \dim(R(P) \cap N(E_+)) - \dim(N(P) \cap R(E_+)).$$

PROPOSITION 5.4. Let $P \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ be a projection in the class $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^\infty$, $P = E + K$ with E a projection. Then $P \in Gr_{res}(R(E))$.

Proof. The null space of $EP|_{R(P)}$ is $R(P) \cap N(E)$, which, after elementary computations, coincides with the finite dimensional $N(P - E - I) = N(K - I)$. The orthogonal complement of the range of $EP|_{R(P)}$ is $N(P) \cap R(E)$, which coincides with $N(P - E + I) = N(K + I)$, is also finite dimensional. \square

REMARK 5.5. Note that in the above proposition, $\text{index}(P, E) = \dim N(K - I) - \dim N(K + I)$. The compact operator $K = P - E$, being a difference of projections, satisfies that $\sigma(K) \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ is symmetric with respect to the origin, with λ having the same (finite) multiplicity as $-\lambda$ ($|\lambda| < 1$). However, there is no restriction for the multiplicities of ± 1 , other than finiteness. Thus one can find examples where any value of the index can occur.

It is clear that if $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{K}}^\infty$, then there are infinitely many ways to decompose $P = E + K$: one can subtract from E any finite number of 1's, and add the corresponding finite rank projection to K . Also it is clear, for instance playing with both P and E diagonal, that the index is not conserved for different decompositions of the same projection.

Conversely, if $P = E + K = F + K'$ with E, F diagonal projections, then $E - F$ is compact, which means that the subspace where they differ, i.e. $R(E) \cap N(F) \oplus N(E) \cap R(F)$, must have finite dimension (indeed, in this subspace $E - F$ is a compact symmetry).

Nevertheless the index does in fact play a key role in determining the connected components of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{K}}^\infty$. Let us recall the following property from [2], which we state as a lemma, restricting the hypothesis to our current problem: namely for pairs of projections with compact difference. Recall that such pairs of projections have finite index.

LEMMA 5.6. ([2]) *Let (P, Q) and (Q, R) be two pairs of projections with $P - Q, Q - R \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $P - R \in \mathcal{K}$ and*

$$\text{index}(P, R) = \text{index}(P, Q) + \text{index}(Q, R).$$

THEOREM 5.7. *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{K}}$. Then there exists a diagonal projection E_0 such that $P - E_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\text{index}(P, E_0) = 0$. Moreover, there exists a unitary operator U such that $U - I \in \mathcal{K}$ (i.e., $U = e^{iX}$ with $\|X\| \leq \pi, X \in \mathcal{K}$; in particular, $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{K}}$), which satisfies*

$$UE_0U^* = P.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{K}}^\infty$. Let E be a diagonal projection such that $P - E$ is compact. Let $m = \text{index}(P, E)$. Clearly, there exists a diagonal projection E_0 such that $E - E_0$ has finite rank and $\text{ind}(E, E_0) = -m$. Then, by the above lemma,

$$\text{index}(P, E_0) = \text{index}(P, E) + \text{index}(E, E_0) = 0.$$

Denote by $K_0 = P - E_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Consider the decomposition of $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$, where $\mathcal{H}_0 = N(K_0^2 - 1)^\perp$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = N(K_0^2 - 1)$, which reduces both P and E_0 . In \mathcal{H}_0 , $\pm 1 \notin \sigma(K_0|_{\mathcal{H}_0})$, thus, $\|P|_{\mathcal{H}_0} - E|_{\mathcal{H}_0}\| < 1$. It is well known that two projections in a C^* -algebra at distance less than one are conjugate by a unitary operator in the algebra (even more [13]: projections at distance less than one are conjugated by the exponential map of the manifold of projections). In [15] it was shown that in the case of the restricted

Grassmannian, the argument at the exponential is compact. Namely, there exists $X_0^* = X_0 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ with $\|X_0\| < \pi/2$ such that $P|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = e^{iX_0} E_0|_{\mathcal{H}_0} e^{-iX_0}$.

In \mathcal{H}_1 , $P|_{\mathcal{H}_1} = 1 \oplus 0$ and $E_0|_{\mathcal{H}_1} = 0 \oplus 1$, in the decomposition $\mathcal{H}_1 = N(K_0 - I) \oplus N(K_0 + I)$. Note that $\text{index}(P, E_0) = 0$ means that both subspaces have the same (finite) dimension. Then it is clear that there exists $X_1^* = X_1$, with norm $\|X_1\| = \pi/2$, acting in \mathcal{H}_1 , such that $e^{iX_1} E_0|_{\mathcal{H}_1} e^{-iX_1} = P|_{\mathcal{H}_1}$. For instance, pick any unitary isomorphism $V : N(K + I) \rightarrow N(K - I)$, and put $X_1 = i\frac{\pi}{2}(V - V^*)$. Then

$$X = X_0 \oplus X_1$$

is compact, self-adjoint, $\|X\| \leq \pi/2$, and satisfies $e^{iX} E_0 e^{-iX} = P$. The proof in the case $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^0$ is straightforward. Note that $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^1$ if and only if $I - P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^0$, which deals with the remaining case. \square

REMARK 5.8. The projection E_0 of the above result is clearly not unique: given E there are infinitely many diagonal projections F with $E - F$ of finite rank and

$$-m = \text{index}(E, F) = \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : E_{n,n} = 1 \text{ and } F_{n,n} = 0\} - \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : E_{n,n} = 0 \text{ and } F_{n,n} = 1\}.$$

Then P is conjugated with many different diagonal projections by means of the exponential map. The different diagonal projections E_0, F_0 with $\text{index}(P, E_0) = \text{index}(P, F_0) = 0$, satisfy (by means of the same lemma above)

$$\text{index}(E_0, F_0) = 0.$$

Thus, again using the facts cited from [15], they are also conjugate via the exponential map of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$: there exists $Y = Y^* \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\|Y\| \leq \pi$ such that $e^{iY} E_0 e^{-iY} = F_0$.

The following result will be useful to characterize the connected components of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^\infty$.

LEMMA 5.9. *Let E, F be diagonal projections such that $E - F$ is non compact, or $E - F$ is compact but $\text{index}(E, F) \neq 0$. Then E and F are not conjugate by unitaries in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$.*

Proof. As seen above, unitaries U in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ can be written $U = D e^{iX}$, with $D \in \mathcal{D}$ unitary and $X = X^*$ compact. Therefore, if E and F are conjugate in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, then $F = U E U^* = e^{iX} E e^{-iX}$. Since $e^{iX} = I + K$ for some $K \in \mathcal{K}$, it follows that

$$E - F = E - (I + K)E(I + K^*) = -KE - EK^* - KEK^* \in \mathcal{K},$$

which rules out the case $E - F$ non compact. Consider the path $E(t) = e^{itX} E e^{-itX}$. Clearly, by the same argument above, $E - E(t) \in \mathcal{K}$ for all t . Thus $\text{index}(E, E(t))$ is defined. We claim that it is constant. Recall that $\text{index}(E, E(t))$ can be computed as the Fredholm index of the operator

$$E(t)E|_{R(E)} : R(E) \rightarrow R(E(t)).$$

Since $E(t) = e^{itX} E e^{-itX}$ and $R(E(t)) = e^{itX} (R(E))$, the index of this operator coincides with the index of

$$E e^{-itX} E|_{R(E)} : R(E) \rightarrow R(E).$$

Since the index of a Fredholm operator (in a fixed Banach space) is locally constant, $index(E, E(t))$ is constant. In particular, at $t = 0$ and $t = 1$,

$$0 = index(E, E) = index(E, F). \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 5.10. *The connected components of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{H}}^\infty$ coincide with the orbits of the exponential subgroup*

$$\mathcal{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) = \{e^{iX} : X = X^* \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

They are parametrized by the set of diagonal projections (with infinite 0's and infinite 1's), modulo the index: E and E' define the same component if and only if $index(E, E') = 0$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{H}}$ has uncountable many connected components.

Proof. Only the last assertion needs a proof. Clearly, there are uncountable many diagonal projections with infinite 0's and infinite 1's. The set of diagonal projections E' which have zero index with respect to a fixed projection E_0 is countable. Indeed, for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ let

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \{E' : index(E', E_0) = 0 \text{ and } \dim(R(E') \cap N(E_0)) = k\}.$$

Clearly the set \mathcal{E}_k is countable: it has the same cardinality as the set of the subsets of $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $\#A = k$, which is countable. Also it is clear that

$$\{E' : index(E', E_0) = 0\} = \cup_{k=0}^\infty \mathcal{E}_k. \quad \square$$

Let us make a brief recollection of the basic facts of the metric geometry of the space of projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Our references for these facts are [13] and [1].

REMARK 5.11. The distance between two projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, can be computed as

$$d(P, Q) = \inf\{\ell(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ is continuous and piecewise differentiable, and joins } P \text{ and } Q\},$$

where it is implicit that $\gamma(t)$ consists of projections. If γ is parametrized in the interval I , the length $\ell(\gamma)$ is computed

$$\ell(\gamma) = \int_I \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| dt.$$

Pairs of projections which can be joined by a minimal curve have been characterized: there exists a curve of minimal length if and only if $index(P, Q) = 0$. In that case, there exists a self-adjoint operator X of norm $\|X\| \leq \pi/2$, satisfying that $X(R(P)) \subset N(P)$ (and therefore also $X(N(P)) \subset R(P)$), with the same condition for Q ; we shall

abbreviate these conditions by saying that X is P and Q co-diagonal. This operator X satisfies that

$$e^{iX}Pe^{-iX} = Q.$$

The minimal curve δ joining P and Q is $\delta(t) = e^{itX}Pe^{-itX}$, its length is

$$\ell(\delta) = d(P, Q) = \|X\| = \sin^{-1}(\|P - Q\|).$$

These facts and the proof of Theorem 5.7 imply the following:

COROLLARY 5.12. *Let P, Q be projections in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, in the same connected component. Then there exists $X^* = X$ with the following properties*

1. X is compact, with $\|X\| \leq \pi/2$.
2. The curve $\delta(t) = e^{itX}Pe^{-itX}$, joins $\delta(0) = P$ and $\delta(1) = Q$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$.
3. δ has minimal length among all piecewise differentiable curves of projections of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which join P and Q . In particular, it has minimal length among curves of projections in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. We consider first the case when $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^\infty$. There exist diagonal projections E_0, E_1 such that $\text{index}(P, E_0) = \text{index}(Q, E_1) = 0$. Since E_0 and E_1 lie in the same connected component, it also holds that $\text{index}(E_0, E_1) = 0$. Then $\text{index}(P, Q) = 0$. Also since the differences $P - E_0, Q - E_1$ and $E_0 - E_1$ are compact, also the difference $K = P - Q$ is compact. Using the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 5.7, namely decomposing \mathcal{H} as

$$\mathcal{H} = N(K^2 - I)^\perp \oplus N(K^2 - I),$$

we have that in $N(K^2 - I)^\perp$ the reductions of P and Q lie at distance strictly less than 1, and thus one can find a compact self-adjoint operator X_0 , which is co-diagonal with respect to these reduced projections, satisfies $\|X_0\| < \pi/2$, and e^{iX_0} conjugates the (reduced) projections. As we saw, the operator X_1 performing the analogous task in the finite dimensional space $N(K^2 - I)$ can also be chosen co-diagonal (see [1] for an explicit description of X_1). In this case X_1 (if non trivial), has norm equal to $\pi/2$. Then $X = X_0 \oplus X_1$ is the self-adjoint exponent of the minimal curve.

The cases $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^0$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}^1$ are straightforward. \square

Projections $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the same nullity $n(P)$ (dimension of the nullspace) and rank $r(P)$ (dimension of the range) are unitarily equivalent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The next result establishes that projections in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ with the same nullity and rank are conjugated by an automorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$:

PROPOSITION 5.13. *Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$ such that $n(P) = n(Q)$ and $r(P) = r(Q)$, Then there exist an automorphism θ of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ such that $\theta(P) = \theta(Q)$.*

Proof. By the above results, there exist diagonal projections $E = D_e, F = D_f$ and self-adjoint compact operators X, Y such that

$$P = e^{iX} E e^{-iX} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = e^{iY} F e^{-iY}.$$

Thus E and F satisfy $n(E) = n(F)$ and $r(E) = r(F)$. In other words,

$$\#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : e_n = 0\} = \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_n = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : e_n = 1\} = \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_n = 1\}.$$

Then there exists a permutation σ of \mathbb{N} such that

$$\sigma(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : e_n = 0\}) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_n = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : e_n = 1\}) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_n = 1\}.$$

That is,

$$\theta_\sigma(E) = U_\sigma E U_\sigma^* = U_\sigma E U_{\sigma^{-1}} = F.$$

Thus, $Q = e^{iY} \theta_\sigma(E) e^{-iY} = e^{iY} \theta_\sigma(e^{-iX} P e^{iX}) e^{-iY} = \theta_Y \theta_\sigma \theta_{-X}(P)$. \square

Next we address a problem which is related to the question 4.10 of the previous section. Let U a unitary operator which implements an automorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. This means that for any diagonal operator D there exist a diagonal D' and a compact K such that $UDU^* = D' + K$.

LEMMA 5.14. *Let D_0 be a diagonal operator with finite spectrum, and U a unitary operator implementing an automorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. Then there exist a compact self-adjoint operator X_0 and a permutation σ_0 of \mathbb{N} such that $U_0 = e^{iX_0} U_{\sigma_0}$ verifies*

$$UD_0U^* = U_0D_0U_0^*.$$

Proof. Let $D_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k E_k$, with E_k mutually orthogonal diagonal projections. Consider the projection UE_1U^* in $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. By the same argument as in the previous proposition, there exist a compact self-adjoint operator X_1 and a permutation σ_1 such that

$$UE_1U^* = e^{iX_1} U_{\sigma_1} E_1 (e^{iX_1} U_{\sigma_1})^*.$$

Denote by $U_1 = (e^{iX_1} U_{\sigma_1})^* U$. Then $U_1 E_1 U_1^* = E_1$. Then U_1 is reduced by $R(E_1)$, which is spanned by a subset of the fixed orthonormal basis. Consider $D_2 = \sum_{k=2}^n d_k E_k$ and $U_1 D_2 U_1^* \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$. By the same argument, fixing now E_2 , there exist a compact self-adjoint operator X_2 of $R(E_1)^\perp$, and a permutation σ_2 which leaves $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : e_k \in R(E_1)\}$ fixed, such that

$$U_1 E_2 U_1^* = e^{iX_2} U_{\sigma_2} E_2 (e^{iX_2} U_{\sigma_2})^*.$$

Denote $U_2 = (e^{iX_2} U_{\sigma_2})^* U_1$. Then

$$U_2 E_1 U_2^* = E_1,$$

because $e^{iX_2} U_{\sigma_2}$ acts as the identity in $R(E_1)$, and

$$U_2 E_2 U_2^* = E_2$$

by construction. Iterating (finitely many times) this procedure, we obtain a unitary

$$U_0 = e^{iX_n}U_{\sigma_n}e^{iX_{n-1}}U_{\sigma_{n-1}}\cdots e^{iX_1}U_{\sigma_1},$$

which as in (1), can be rewritten in the form

$$U_0 = e^{iX_0}U_{\sigma_0},$$

for X_0 self-adjoint and compact and σ_0 a permutation of \mathbb{N} , such that

$$U_0^*UE_k(U_0^*U)^* = E_k,$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, n$, and thus $U_0D_0U_0^* = UD_0U^*$. \square

Clearly, the unitary operator U_0 above depends on D .

COROLLARY 5.15. *Let U be a unitary operator implementing an automorphism of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$, and D be an arbitrary diagonal operator. Then there exist unitary operators $U_n = e^{iX_n}U_{\sigma_n}$, with $X_n = X_n^*$ compact, such that*

$$U_nDU_n^* \rightarrow UDU^*$$

in norm.

Proof. Recall from Remark 2.1, that diagonal operators with finite spectrum are dense in \mathcal{D} . Let D_n be a sequence of diagonal operators with finite spectrum such that $D_n \rightarrow D$ in norm. From the above Lemma, we know that there exist $U_n = e^{iX_n}U_{\sigma_n}$ such that

$$U_nD_nU_n^* = UD_nU^*.$$

Then $U_nD_nU_n^* \rightarrow UDU^*$. On the other hand,

$$\|U_nDU_n^* - U_nD_nU_n^*\| = \|U_n(D - D_n)U_n^*\| = \|D - D_n\| \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

REMARK 5.16. The above result could be phrased as saying that automorphisms are pointwise inner on diagonal elements with finite spectrum, and asymptotically given by conjugation with unitaries of the form $U_0 = e^{iX_0}U_{\sigma_0}$ (with X_0 compact). This clearly falls short of answering Question 4.10. However, suppose that for any diagonal D , there exists a unitary U_0 as above such that $UDU^* = U_0DU_0^*$. Then, choosing a unitary $D = D_{\mathbf{d}}$ with $d_j \neq d_k$ when $k \neq j$, this would imply that U_0^*U is a diagonal unitary operator. This would answer our question in the affirmative.

6. Topology of the unitary group

Recall that $\mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) : U - I \in \mathcal{K}\} = \{e^{iX} : X^* = X \in \mathcal{K}\}$, and that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes the unitary group of \mathcal{D} . Clearly $\mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ are closed subgroups of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}$. Consider the following map

$$\mathbf{p} : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}}, \quad \mathbf{p}(D, V) = DV. \quad (5)$$

As seen in Proposition 3.6, \mathbf{p} is onto. We shall see that it is a submersion and a fibre bundle. Clearly it is a C^∞ map. In order to prove that it is a submersion, let us show that it has C^∞ local cross sections. Since the spaces concerned are groups, clearly, it suffices to show the existence of a local cross section on a neighborhood of the identity:

LEMMA 6.1. *There is a map $\mathbf{s} : \mathcal{B}_2 = \{U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{K}} : \|U - I\| < 2\} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{p} \circ \mathbf{s} = id_{\mathcal{B}_2}.$$

Proof. First note, by general considerations on C^* -algebras, that $\|U - I\| < 2$, implies that there exists a unique $Z^* = Z \in \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}$ with $\|Z\| < \pi$ such that $e^{iZ} = U$, and the (logarithm) map $\mathcal{B}_2 \ni U \mapsto Z$ is C^∞ . Note that

$$U = e^{iZ} = e^{i\Delta(Z)+i(Z-\Delta(Z))} = e^{i\Delta(Z)} + K,$$

for $K = e^{iZ} - e^{i\Delta(Z)} \in \mathcal{K}$, because $Z - \Delta(Z) \in \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$e^{iZ} = e^{i\Delta(Z)}(I + e^{-i\Delta(Z)}K),$$

with $e^{i\Delta(Z)} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $(I + e^{-i\Delta(Z)}K) \in \mathcal{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$. That is,

$$\mathbf{s}(U) = \left(e^{i\Delta(Z)}, I + e^{-i\Delta(Z)}(e^{iZ} - e^{i\Delta(Z)}) \right) = (e^{i\Delta(Z)}, e^{-i\Delta(Z)}e^{iZ})$$

is a C^∞ cross section for \mathbf{p} . \square

REMARK 6.2. The fibre of \mathbf{p} over I is the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{p}^{-1}(\{I\}) = \{(D, D^*) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^2 : D \in \mathcal{U}_\infty(\mathcal{H})\}.$$

Clearly \mathcal{F} is an abelian group, and the unitaries D are of the form $D_{\mathbf{z}}$, with $\mathbf{z} = \{z_n\}$ such that $|z_n| = 1$ and $z_n \rightarrow 1$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq \{\mathbf{z} = \{z_n\} : |z_n| = 1, z_n \rightarrow 1\} = \mathcal{U}_{C(\alpha\mathbb{N})},$$

the unitary group of the continuous functions on the one point compactification $\alpha\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ of \mathbb{N} .

Since the fibres of \mathbf{p} are groups, and \mathbf{p} has smooth local cross sections, it follows that

COROLLARY 6.3. *The map \mathbf{p} is a group-quotient map with local cross sections, thus a submersion and a locally trivial fibre bundle.*

Clearly, the group \mathcal{F} is connected: a sequence $\mathbf{z} = \{z_n\}$ in \mathbb{T} which accumulates only at 1 can be lifted to a sequence of real numbers accumulating at 0, $z_n = e^{is_n}$ with $s_n \rightarrow 0$. Then the curve $\mathbf{z}(t) = e^{it s_n}$ connects \mathbf{z} with the identity element of this group.

This implies, by means of the homotopy exact sequence of the fibre bundle \mathbf{p} , that $\pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{H}})$ is the image of the π_1 group of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})$:

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}) \times \pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(\mathbf{p})} \pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{H}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The homotopy groups of $\mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})$ have been computed, see for instance [11]. We shall be concerned with the first two homotopy groups. One has that $\pi_0(\mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})) = 0$, and $\pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})) = \mathbb{Z}$.

REMARK 6.4. Let us characterize the first homotopy groups of \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$. This can be done by means of the exponential map, which in both cases gives the universal covering of these groups:

1. For this purpose, we identify \mathcal{F} with $\mathcal{U}_{C(\alpha\mathbb{N})}$. Then

$$\exp_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathbf{c}_0^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}, \quad \exp_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{x}) = D_{e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x}}},$$

where $\mathbf{c}_0^{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the space of real sequences which tend to zero, and $e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x}}$ is the sequence $\{e^{2\pi i x_n}\}$. This map is clearly a covering map, the fibre over I is the set of sequences of integers which tend to zero, i.e. the (additive) group $\mathbf{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of sequences of integers which are zero but for finite terms. Thus

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \exp_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(I) \simeq \mathbf{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

2. The same map, defined over $\ell_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$, the space of bounded real sequences, gives the universal covering of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$,

$$\exp_{\mathcal{D}} : \ell_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad \exp_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) = D_{e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x}}}.$$

The fibre over I in this case is the set of sequences of integers which are bounded (and thus assume a finite set of values), let us denote this group by $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}) \simeq \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Therefore, in order to compute the first homotopy group of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{H}}$, we must identify the image of the inclusion map

$$\iota : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \iota(\mathbf{x}) = (D_{e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x}}}, D_{e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{x}}})$$

at the π_1 -level,

$$\pi_1(\iota) : \mathbf{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

PROPOSITION 6.5. *With the above notations and identifications,*

$$\pi_1(\iota)(k_1, \dots, k_n, 0, \dots) = \left((k_1, \dots, k_n, 0, \dots), -\sum_{j=1}^n k_j \right).$$

In particular, $\pi_1(\iota)$ is injective.

Proof. The sequences of the form $\mathbf{e}_j = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$ form a set of generators for the group $\mathbf{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. They correspond to loops of the form

$$\varepsilon_j(t) = D_{e^{2\pi i t \mathbf{e}_j}}.$$

Then $\iota(\varepsilon_j(t)) = (D_{e^{2\pi i t \mathbf{e}_j}}, D_{e^{-2\pi i t \mathbf{e}_j}})$. The first coordinate of this pair, corresponds in $\pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}})$ with the sequence \mathbf{e}_j . The second coordinate, corresponds in $\pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}))$ with $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

COROLLARY 6.6.

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}+\mathcal{H}}) \simeq \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z} / \{(\mathbf{z}, k) \in \mathbf{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z} : -\sum_{j \geq 1} z_j = k\}.$$

6.1. K -groups

Let us include a short comment on the K -groups of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{H}$. The extension

$$\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\pi} \ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0$$

induces the six term exact sequence of K -groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & K_0(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & K_0(\ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0) \\ \partial \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \partial \\ K_1(\ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0) & \xleftarrow{\pi_*} & K_1(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{H}) & \xleftarrow{\iota_*} & K_1(\mathcal{H}) \end{array} \quad (6)$$

REMARK 6.7.

1. It is well known that $K_0(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{H}) = 0$.
2. The K groups of $\ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0$ can be computed using standard techniques:

$$K_0(\ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0) = \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}) / \mathbf{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}},$$

and $K_1(\ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0) = 0$. Indeed, it is well known that

$$K_0(\ell^{\infty}) = \ell^{\infty}.$$

Moreover, since \mathbf{c}_{00} (the subspace of sequences of finite support) is a local Banach algebra and $\mathbf{c}_{00} \subset \mathbf{c}_0$ is dense, the equalities $K_*(\mathbf{c}_0) = K_*(\mathbf{c}_{00}) = \mathbf{c}_{00}(K_*(\mathbb{C}))$ follow using the density theorem of Karoubi (see [9]). Then by excision and periodicity there exist an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \longleftarrow & & \\ & & & & K_1(\ell^{\infty}) & \longleftarrow & \\ & & & & \longleftarrow & & \\ & & & & K_1(\ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0) & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \\ & & & & \mathbf{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}} & & \end{array}$$

The left vertical arrow is onto because the one that follows is zero, since $\mathbf{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z})$. This allows to calculate $K_0(\ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0)$, and shows that $K_1(\ell^{\infty} / \mathbf{c}_0) = K_1(\ell^{\infty}) = 0$.

REMARK 6.8. Plugging this information in (6), we get that $K_1(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}) = 0$, and that the group $K_0(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})$ contains a copy of \mathbb{Z} . Moreover, since

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}) / \mathfrak{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

one has that

$$K_0(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}) / \mathbb{Z} \simeq \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}) / \mathfrak{c}_{00}^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

It would be interesting to know if $K_0(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})$ is uniquely determined by its subgroup $\mathbb{Z} \subset K_0(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})$ and the quotient $K_0(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K}) / \mathbb{Z}$. Or, furthermore, to describe $K_0(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{K})$ explicitly.

Acknowledgement. We thank Guillermo Cortiñas for pointing us how to deal with the K-theory of ℓ^∞ / c_0 , and the referee for many helpful comments.

This research was supported by Grants CONICET (PIP 2016 0525), ANPCyT (2015 1505/ 2017 0883) and FCE-UNLP (11X681).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ANDRUCHOW, *Operators which are the difference of two projections*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 420 (2014), 1634–1653.
- [2] J. AVRON, R. SEILER, B. SIMON, *The index of a pair of projections*, J. Funct. Anal. 120 (1994), no. 1, 220–237.
- [3] B. BLACKADAR, *Operator algebras. Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 122. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [4] T. BOTTAZZI, A. VARELA, *Unitary subgroups and orbits of compact self-adjoint operators*, Studia Math. 238 (2017), 155–176.
- [5] N. P. BROWN, *On quasidiagonal C^* -algebras*, Operator algebras and applications, 19–64, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 38, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
- [6] G. CORACH, A. R. LAROTONDA, *Le rang stable de certaines algèbres d'opérateurs* (French), [The stable rank of some operator algebras] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 296 (1983), 949–951.
- [7] B. E. JOHNSON, S. K. PARROTT, *Operators commuting with a von Neumann algebra modulo the set of compact operators*, J. Functional Analysis 11 (1972), 39–61.
- [8] R. V. KADISON, J. R. RINGROSE, *Derivations and automorphisms of operator algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 4 1967 32–63.
- [9] M. KAROUBI, *K-théorie algébrique de certaines algèbres d'opérateurs*, Algèbres d'opérateurs (Sém., Les Plans-sur-Bex, 1978), pp. 254–290, Lecture Notes in Math., 725, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [10] H. X. LIN, *Exponential rank of C^* -algebras with real rank zero and the Brown-Pedersen conjectures*, J. Funct. Anal. 114 (1993), 1–11.
- [11] R. S. PALAIS, *On the homotopy type of certain groups of operators*, Topology 3 (1965), 271–279.
- [12] N. C. PHILLIPS, *Simple C^* -algebras with the property weak (FU)*, Math. Scand. 69 (1991) 1, 127–151.
- [13] H. PORTA, L. RECHT, *Minimality of geodesics in Grassmann manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), 464–466.
- [14] H. PORTA, L. RECHT, *Conditional expectations and operator decompositions*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 12 (1994), 335–339.
- [15] M. SATO, Y. SATO, *Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold*, Nonlinear partial differential equations in applied science, Proc. U.S. – Jap. Semin., Tokyo 1982, North-Holland Math. Stud. 81, 259–271 (1983).

- [16] G. SEGAL, G. WILSON, *Loop groups and equations of KdV type*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 61 (1985), 5–65.
- [17] J. G. STAMPFLI, *The norm of a derivation*, Pacific J. Math. 33 (1970), 737–747.
- [18] N. WEAVER, *Forcing for mathematicians*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2014.

(Received October 21, 2019)

Esteban Andruchow
Instituto de Ciencias
Universidad Nacional de Gral. Sarmiento
J. M. Gutierrez 1150, (1613) Los Polvorines, Argentina
and
Instituto Argentino de Matemática
'Alberto P. Calderón', CONICET
Saavedra 15 3er. piso, (1083) Buenos Aires, Argentina
e-mail: eandruch@ungs.edu.ar

Eduardo Chiumiento
Departamento de Matemática & Centro de Matemática La Plata
FCE-UNLP
Calles 50 y 115, (1900) La Plata, Argentina
and
Instituto Argentino de Matemática
'Alberto P. Calderón', CONICET
Saavedra 15 3er. piso, (1083) Buenos Aires, Argentina
e-mail: eduardo@mate.unlp.edu.ar

Alejandro Varela
Instituto de Ciencias
Universidad Nacional de Gral. Sarmiento
J. M. Gutierrez 1150, (1613) Los Polvorines, Argentina
and
Instituto Argentino de Matemática
'Alberto P. Calderón', CONICET
Saavedra 15 3er. piso, (1083) Buenos Aires, Argentina
e-mail: avarela@ungs.edu.ar