

## EIGENVECTORS AND SPECTRA OF SOME WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON $L^p$ SPACES

GAJATH GUNATILLAKE

(Communicated by G. Misra)

*Abstract.* Let  $\varphi$  be a self map of  $[0, 1]$ , and  $\mathcal{W}$  be a map on  $[0, 1]$ . If  $f$  belongs to the  $L^p$  space of  $[0, 1]$ , then the operator  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$  defined by  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}(f) = \mathcal{W} \cdot f \circ \varphi$ , is a weighted composition operator. The spectrum of such an operator when  $\varphi$  is a monotonic contraction map and  $\mathcal{W}$  is a Lipschitz continuous function is computed in this work.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $\varphi$  be a self map of  $[0, 1]$ . Assume that  $f$  is in the  $L^p$  space of  $[0, 1]$ . The operator that takes  $f$  to  $f \circ \varphi$  is a composition operator and is denoted by  $C_\varphi$ . For more details on composition operators on  $L^p$  spaces see Chapter 2 of [3].

Now let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a function on  $[0, 1]$ . The operator that takes  $f$  to  $\mathcal{W} \cdot f \circ \varphi$  is a weighted composition operator and is denoted by  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$ . See [1] and [2] for weighted composition operators on different spaces.

In this work we take  $\varphi$  to be a strictly monotonic contraction map which maps the interval  $[0, 1]$  into itself that induces a bounded composition operator on  $L^p$ . We take  $\mathcal{W}$  to be a Lipschitz continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . We first construct some eigenvectors of  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$ . Next we estimate its spectral radius and this allows us to compute the spectrum of  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Denote the interval  $[0, 1]$  by  $I$  and the Lebesgue measure by  $m$ . A measurable function  $\phi$  from  $I$  into  $I$  is said to be non-singular, if  $m(\phi^{-1}(S)) = 0$ , whenever  $m(S) = 0$  for measurable  $S$ .

Assume that  $\varphi$  is a Lebesgue measurable non-singular self map of  $I$ . Suppose that there is a positive constant  $K$  such that for all Lebesgue measurable subsets  $E$  of  $I$ ,

$$m(\varphi^{-1}(E)) \leq Km(E). \tag{1}$$

Then, a bounded linear operator  $C_\varphi$  on  $L^p$  can be defined by

$$C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): Primary 47B37; Secondary 47B33.

*Keywords and phrases:* Weighted composition operator,  $L^p$  spaces.

see Chapter 2 of [3] for a proof. Now let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a Lipschitz continuous function on  $I$ . Denote the operator that takes  $f$  to  $\mathcal{W} \cdot f \circ \varphi$  by  $C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}$ , i.e

$$C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}(f) = \mathcal{W} \cdot f \circ \varphi$$

Since we have taken  $C_\varphi$  as a bounded operator and  $\mathcal{W}$  a continuous function, it easily follows that  $C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}$  is a bounded operator. However, in general it is possible to have bounded weighted composition operators induced by weight functions that are not continuous.

Let  $n$  be an integer greater than 1. We use  $\varphi^n$  to denote  $\varphi$  composed with itself  $n$  times. Moreover, we take  $\varphi^0(x) = x$ . Also we use  $C_\varphi^n$  to denote the operator  $C_\varphi$  composed with itself  $n$  times. It is easy to see that  $C_\varphi^n(f) = f \circ \varphi^n$ . Therefore,

$$C_\varphi^n = C_{\varphi^n}$$

Similarly, it is easy to see that  $C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}^n(f) = (\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{W} \circ \varphi) \cdots (\mathcal{W} \circ \varphi^{n-1}) \cdot f \circ \varphi^n$  hence

$$C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}^n = C_{(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{W} \circ \varphi)(\mathcal{W} \circ \varphi^2) \cdots (\mathcal{W} \circ \varphi^{n-1}), \varphi^n}$$

We refer to strictly increasing functions as increasing functions and strictly decreasing functions as decreasing functions.

Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a strictly monotonic contraction map whose Lipschitz constant is  $\beta$ . Thus, for any  $x, y$  in  $I$ ,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq \beta \cdot |x - y|$$

where  $0 < \beta < 1$ . If  $C_\varphi$  is bounded, and  $\varphi$  is differentiable at  $x_0$ , then from (1) it follows that  $|\varphi'(x_0)| \geq \frac{1}{K}$ . Notice that  $\varphi'$  exists almost everywhere. It is well known that  $\varphi$  has a unique fixed point  $\zeta$  and if  $x \neq \zeta$ , the sequence  $\{\varphi^n(x)\}$  converges to  $\zeta$ . Here  $\varphi$  is a strictly monotonic map, hence if  $x \neq \zeta$ , then  $\varphi^n(x) \neq \zeta$ , for any  $n$ . Whenever the one sided derivatives of  $\varphi$  at 0 and 1 exist, we denote them by  $\varphi'(0)$  and  $\varphi'(1)$ .

### 3. Spectra

We begin our work by constructing some eigenvectors for  $C_\varphi$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an increasing contraction map that takes  $I$  into itself. Let the unique fixed point of  $\varphi$  be  $\zeta$ . Suppose that  $C_\varphi$  is bounded on  $L^p$  and  $\varphi'(\zeta)$  exist. Then the point spectrum of  $C_\varphi$  contains the open disk of radius  $(\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$  centered at the origin.*

*Proof.* We will first consider the case  $0 \leq \zeta < 1$ .

Since  $\varphi$  has only one fixed point,  $\varphi(1) < 1$ . Moreover,  $\varphi$  is an increasing function, thus  $\varphi^n(1) < \varphi^{n-1}(1)$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . The function  $\varphi$  is a contraction map, thus the

sequence  $\{\varphi^n(1)\}$  converges to  $\zeta$ . For a positive integer  $n$ , let  $A_n = (\varphi^n(1), \varphi^{n-1}(1)]$ . If  $0 < |\lambda| < (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ , then define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{n-1}, & \text{if } x \in A_n \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq \zeta \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\int_I |f(x)|^p dx = \sum_{n=1}^\infty m(A_n) |\lambda^{n-1}|^p$ . It can be very easily seen that  $\frac{m(A_n)}{m(A_{n-1})} = \frac{\varphi^{n-1}(1) - \varphi^n(1)}{\varphi^{n-2}(1) - \varphi^{n-1}(1)}$ . Now, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(1) = \zeta$ , it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(A_n)}{m(A_{n-1})} = \varphi'(\zeta)$ . Therefore, if  $0 < |\lambda| < (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(A_n) |\lambda^{n-1}|^p}{m(A_{n-1}) |\lambda^{n-2}|^p} < 1.$$

Hence  $f \in L^p$ .

To prove that  $f$  is an eigenvector, first, let  $x \in [0, \zeta]$ . Then  $f(x) = 0$ . Since  $\varphi$  is increasing, if  $0 \leq x \leq \zeta$ , then  $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq \zeta$ , hence  $f(\varphi(x)) = 0$ .

Next let  $x \in (\zeta, 1]$ . Then  $x \in A_n$ , for some  $n$  and hence  $f(x) = \lambda^{n-1}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is increasing it easily follows that  $A_{n+1} = \varphi(A_n)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi(x) \in A_{n+1}$ , hence  $f(\varphi(x)) = \lambda^n$ .

Therefore, for all  $x$  in  $I$  we get that

$$f(\varphi(x)) = \lambda f(x)$$

This proves that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue when  $0 \leq \zeta < 1$ .

Now assume that  $\zeta = 1$ .

Then  $\{\varphi^n(0)\}$  is an increasing sequence that converges to 1. For a positive integer  $n$ , let  $B_n = [\varphi^{n-1}(0), \varphi^n(0))$ . If  $0 < |\lambda| < (\varphi'(1))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ , define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{n-1}, & \text{if } x \in B_n \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

Using arguments very similar to the ones used when  $\zeta < 1$ , it can be proved that  $g$  is an eigenvector for eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Clearly  $m(\varphi(I)) < 1$ , and the characteristic function of  $I \setminus \varphi(I)$  is in the kernel of  $C_\varphi$ . Therefore 0 is in the point spectrum as well.  $\square$

Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator on  $L^p$ . Below, the spectral radius of  $T$  is denoted by  $r(T)$  and the supremum of the set  $\{\|T(f)\|_p : \|f\|_p = 1\}$  is denoted by  $\|T\|$ . Moreover,  $\sigma(T)$  denotes the spectrum of  $T$ .

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an increasing contraction map that takes  $I$  into itself. Let  $\zeta$  be the unique fixed point of  $\varphi$ . Assume that  $\varphi'$  exists and is continuous at  $\zeta$ . If  $C_\varphi$  is bounded on  $L^p$ , then the spectral radius of  $C_\varphi$  is not larger than  $(\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that  $0 < \zeta < 1$ .

Let  $f \in L^p$ . Then  $\|C_\varphi^n(f)\|_p^p = \int_I |f(\varphi^n(x))|^p dx$ . By a change of variables it can be easily seen that

$$\|C_\varphi^n(f)\|_p^p = \int_{A_n} |f(y)|^p \frac{1}{(\varphi^n)'((\varphi^n)^{-1}(y))} dy \tag{2}$$

where  $A_n = [\varphi^n(0), \varphi^n(1)]$  and  $(\varphi^n)^{-1}$  is defined on  $A_n$ . Let  $z = (\varphi^n)^{-1}(y)$ . By applying the chain rule repeatedly we get  $(\varphi^n)'(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi'(\varphi^{n-j}(z))$ .

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Clearly  $\varphi^n(I) = [\varphi^n(0), \varphi^n(1)]$ . Notice that both sequences  $\{\varphi^n(0)\}$  and  $\{\varphi^n(1)\}$  tend to  $\zeta$ . Hence,  $\varphi'(\zeta) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon) < \varphi'(\varphi^{n+N}(z))$  for  $n > N$ , for some  $N$  and all  $z \in I$ . Thus  $(\varphi'(\zeta) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon))^{n-N} \omega^N < \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi'(\varphi^{n-j}(z))$ , where  $\omega$  is the infimum of  $\varphi'$  on  $I$ . Therefore, from (2) it follows that

$$\|C_\varphi^n(f)\|_p^p \leq \frac{1}{(\varphi'(\zeta) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon))^{n-N} \omega^N} \int_I |f(y)|^p dy$$

Hence  $\|C_\varphi^n\| \leq \frac{1}{((\varphi'(\zeta) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon))^{n-N} \omega^N)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ . Now it easily follows that

$$\|C_\varphi^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{((\varphi'(\zeta) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon))^{1 - \frac{N}{n}} \omega^{\frac{N}{n}})^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{(\varphi'(\zeta) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon))^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

Since the inequality above is true for all  $\varepsilon$  in  $(0,1)$ , it easily follows that  $r(C_\varphi) \leq (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ .

If  $\zeta = 0$ , then  $\{\varphi^n(1)\}$  tends to 0 and  $\varphi^n(I) = [0, \varphi^n(1)]$ . If  $\zeta = 1$ , then  $\{\varphi^n(0)\}$  tends to 1 and  $\varphi^n(I) = [\varphi^n(0), 1]$ . Thus, a proof similar to the one used for  $0 < \zeta < 1$ , yields the desired result when  $\zeta = 0$  or  $\zeta = 1$ .  $\square$

Using the result above, next we estimate the spectral radius of  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$ .

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an increasing contraction map that takes  $I$  into itself and  $\mathcal{W}$  be a Lipschitz continuous map on  $I$ . Let  $\zeta$  be the unique fixed point of  $\varphi$ . Suppose that  $\varphi'$  exists and continuous at  $\zeta$ . If  $C_\varphi$  is bounded on  $L^p$ , then the spectral radius of  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$  is not larger than  $|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| \cdot (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that  $0 < \zeta < 1$ .

Let  $f \in L^p$ . Then  $\|C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}^n(f)\|_p^p = \int_I |\prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{W}(\varphi^j(x)) \cdot f(\varphi^n(x))|^p dx$ .

Let  $0 < \varepsilon$ . Clearly  $\varphi^n(I) = [\varphi^n(0), \varphi^n(1)]$ . Notice that both sequences  $\{\varphi^n(0)\}$  and  $\{\varphi^n(1)\}$  tend to  $\zeta$ . Thus  $|\mathcal{W}(\varphi^j(x))| \leq |\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| + \varepsilon$  for  $j > N$ , for some  $N$ . Therefore,

$$\|C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}^n(f)\|_p^p \leq (|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| + \varepsilon)^{p(n-N)} \int_I |C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}^N C_\varphi^{n-N}(f)(x)|^p dx$$

Thus,  $\|C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}^n(f)\|_p^p \leq (|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| + \varepsilon)^{p(n-N)} \|C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}^N\|_p^p \cdot \|C_\varphi^{n-N}\|_p^p \cdot \|f\|_p^p$  and now it follows that

$$\|C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}^n\| \leq (|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| + \varepsilon)^{(n-N)} \|C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}^N\| \cdot \|C_\varphi^{n-N}\|$$

Therefore

$$\|C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq (|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| + \varepsilon)^{(1-\frac{N}{n})} \|C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}^N\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot (\|C_\varphi^{n-N}\|^{\frac{1}{(n-N)}})^{1-\frac{N}{n}}$$

By letting  $n$  tend to infinity we get

$$r(C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}) \leq \frac{|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| + \varepsilon}{(\varphi'(\zeta))^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, it easily follows that  $r(C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}) \leq |\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| \cdot (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ .

If  $\zeta = 0$ , then  $\{\varphi^n(1)\}$  tends to 0 and  $\varphi^n(I) = [0, \varphi^n(1)]$ . If  $\zeta = 1$ , then  $\{\varphi^n(0)\}$  tends to 1 and  $\varphi^n(I) = [\varphi^n(0), 1]$ . Thus, a proof similar to the one used for  $0 < \zeta < 1$ , yields the desired result when  $\zeta = 0$  or  $\zeta = 1$ .  $\square$

In order to create eigenvectors for weighted composition operators we first investigate some infinite products.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $\varphi$  be a contraction map that takes  $I$  into itself. Assume that  $\zeta$  is the unique fixed point of  $\varphi$ . If  $\Phi$  is a Lipschitz continuous function on  $I$  and  $\Phi(\zeta) = 1$ , then the infinite product*

$$\left( \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi \circ \varphi^n \right)$$

converges to a bounded function that is non-zero on a neighborhood of  $\zeta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be the Lipschitz constant of  $\Phi$  and  $\beta$  be the Lipschitz constant of  $\varphi$ . Then,  $|\Phi(\varphi^n(x)) - \Phi(\zeta)| \leq \tilde{\gamma}|\varphi^n(x) - \zeta|$ . Thus for all  $x$  in  $I$ ,

$$|\Phi(\varphi^n(x)) - 1| \leq \tilde{\gamma}\beta^n$$

Hence the infinite product  $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi(\varphi^n(x))$  converges to a function  $W(x)$ . Moreover,

$$\left| \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi(\varphi^n(x)) \right| \leq e^{\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{(1-\beta)}}$$

for all  $x \in I$ . See page 162 of [6] for convergence and the upper bound of the infinite product.

There is a neighborhood  $U$  of positive measure that contains  $\zeta$  such that  $\Phi(x) \neq 0$ , for  $x \in U$ . Clearly  $\varphi^n(U) \subseteq U$ , thus  $\Phi(\varphi^n(x)) \neq 0$ , when  $x \in U$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $W(x) \neq 0$ , for all  $x \in U$ ; see page 163 of [6].  $\square$

The infinite product above allows us to construct eigenvectors of weighted composition operators.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $\varphi$  be a contraction map that takes  $I$  into itself. Assume that  $\zeta$  is the unique fixed point of  $\varphi$ . Further assume that  $\Phi$  is a Lipschitz continuous function on  $I$  and  $\Phi(\zeta) = 1$ . Suppose that  $C_\varphi$  is a bounded operator and  $\lambda$  is a non-zero eigenvalue with the eigenvector  $f$ . Then  $\lambda$  is also an eigenvalue of  $C_{\Phi,\varphi}$  with the eigenvector*

$$\left( \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi \circ \varphi^n \right) \cdot f$$

*Proof.* Let  $W(x) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi \circ \varphi^n(x)$ . Then  $W$  is bounded on  $I$ . Thus,  $W \cdot f$  is in  $L^p$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\Phi,\varphi}(W \cdot f) &= \Phi \cdot W \circ \varphi \cdot f \circ \varphi \\ &= \Phi \cdot \left( \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi \circ \varphi^n \right) \circ \varphi \cdot (\lambda f) \\ &= \lambda \cdot \Phi \cdot \left( \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi \circ \varphi^{n+1} \right) \cdot f \end{aligned}$$

Incorporating the term  $\Phi$  into the infinite product results in

$$C_{\Phi,\varphi}(W \cdot f) = \lambda \cdot \left( \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi \circ \varphi^n \right) \cdot f$$

Finally we prove that  $W \cdot f$  is not the zero function. Let  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $f$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda$ , it follows that  $C_\varphi^n(f) = \lambda^n f$ . Therefore

$$f \circ \varphi^n = \lambda^n f, \tag{3}$$

almost everywhere. If  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $\zeta$ , then  $\varphi^n(I) \subset U$ , for all  $n$  large enough. If  $f$  is zero almost everywhere on  $U$ , then it follows from equation above that  $f$  is zero almost everywhere on  $I$ . Since  $f$  is an eigenvector this is impossible, hence  $f$  cannot be zero a.e on any neighborhood of  $\zeta$ .

Since  $W$  is non-zero on some neighborhood of  $\zeta$  now it follows that  $W \cdot f$  is a non-zero element in  $L^p$ . Thus  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $C_{\Phi,\varphi}$  with the eigenvector  $W \cdot f$ .  $\square$

Notice that results in Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 were obtained without assuming that  $\varphi$  is monotonic. It suffices that  $\varphi$  is a contraction map.

If  $r > 0$ , we denote the open disc of radius  $r$  centered at the origin by  $B(r)$ .

LEMMA 3.6. *Let  $\varphi$  be an increasing contraction map that takes  $I$  into itself and  $\mathcal{W}$  be a Lipschitz continuous map on  $I$ . Let  $\zeta$  be the unique fixed point of  $\varphi$ . Suppose that  $\varphi'$  exists and continuous at  $\zeta$ . Assume that  $C_\varphi$  is bounded on  $L^p$  and  $\mathcal{W}'(\zeta) \neq 0$ . If  $\lambda$  is in  $B(|\mathcal{W}'(\zeta)| \cdot (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}) \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(x) = \frac{\mathcal{W}(x)}{\mathcal{W}(\zeta)}$  for  $x \in I$ . Now consider the weighted composition operator  $C_{\Phi, \varphi}$ . Let  $\lambda \in B((\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $C_{\varphi}$ , and hence it is an eigenvalue of  $C_{\Phi, \varphi}$ ; see Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.1. Since  $\mathcal{W}(\zeta)C_{\Phi, \varphi} = C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$ , it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{W}(\zeta)\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$ . This is the desired result.  $\square$

Next we compute the spectrum when  $\varphi$  is increasing.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an increasing contraction map that takes  $I$  into itself and  $\mathcal{W}$  be a Lipschitz continuous map on  $I$ . Let  $\zeta$  be the unique fixed point of  $\varphi$ . Suppose that  $\varphi'$  exists and continuous at  $\zeta$ . If  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $L^p$ , then the spectrum of  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$  is the closed disk of radius  $|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| \cdot (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$  centered at the origin.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{W}(\zeta) = 0$ , then  $r(C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}) = 0$ , and hence the spectrum is  $\{0\}$ .

Now assume that  $\mathcal{W}(\zeta) \neq 0$ . From Lemma 3.6 it follows that  $\sigma(C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi})$  contains  $B(|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| \cdot (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}) \setminus \{0\}$ , and from Lemma 3.3 it follows that  $\sigma(C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi})$  is contained in the closure of  $B(|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| \cdot (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}})$ . Since  $\sigma(C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi})$  is a closed set, the desired result follows.  $\square$

Below, we denote the unit circle centered at origin by  $\mathbb{T}$  and the point spectrum of an operator  $T$  by  $\sigma_p(T)$ .

Next, we compute the spectrum when  $\varphi$  is decreasing.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a decreasing contraction map that takes  $I$  into itself and  $\mathcal{W}$  be a Lipschitz continuous map on  $I$ . Let  $\zeta$  be the unique fixed point of  $\varphi$ . Suppose that  $\varphi'$  exists and continuous at  $\zeta$ . If  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $L^p$ , then the spectrum of  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}$  is the closed disk of radius  $|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| \cdot (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$  centered at the origin.*

*Proof.* First assume that  $\mathcal{W}(\zeta) \neq 0$ . If  $\varphi$  is decreasing, then  $\varphi^2$  is increasing. Thus, from Lemma 3.1 it follows that  $\sigma_p(C_{\varphi^2})$  contains  $B(((\varphi^2)'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}})$ . Recall that  $C_{\varphi^2}^2 = C_{\varphi^2}$ . Thus,  $(\sigma_p(C_{\varphi}))^2 = \sigma_p(C_{\varphi^2})$ ; see [5, p.266]. If  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(C_{\varphi}) \setminus \mathbb{T}$ , and  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ , then  $\lambda e^{i\theta}$  also belongs to  $\sigma_p(C_{\varphi})$ ; see [4]. Since  $(\varphi^2)'(\zeta) = (\varphi'(\zeta))^2$  it follows that  $\sigma_p(C_{\varphi})$  contains  $B((\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}) \setminus \mathbb{T}$ .

If  $\lambda$  is a non-zero eigenvalue of  $C_{\varphi}$ , then  $\lambda$  is also an eigenvalue of  $C_{\Phi, \varphi}$  where  $\Phi(x) = \frac{\mathcal{W}(x)}{\mathcal{W}(\zeta)}$ , therefore,  $\mathcal{W}(\zeta)\lambda \in \sigma_p(C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi})$ ; see Lemma 3.5. Thus it follows that

$\sigma_p(C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi})$  contains  $B(|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)| \cdot (\varphi'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}}) \setminus (\{0\} \cup \mathbb{T})$ .

It is not difficult to see that  $\mathcal{W} \cdot \mathcal{W} \circ \varphi$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $I$ . Thus from Theorem 3.7 it follows that  $\sigma(C_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \mathcal{W} \circ \varphi, \varphi^2})$  is the closure

of  $B(|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)|^2 ((\varphi^2)'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}})$ .

Recall that  $C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}^2 = C_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \mathcal{W} \circ \varphi, \varphi^2}$ . Thus,  $(\sigma(C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}))^2 = \sigma(C_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \mathcal{W} \circ \varphi, \varphi^2})$ . Therefore,  $r(C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi}) = (|\mathcal{W}(\zeta)|^2 ((\varphi^2)'(\zeta))^{-\frac{1}{p}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Since  $\sigma(C_{\mathcal{W}, \varphi})$  is a closed set, the desired result follows.

If  $\mathcal{W}(\zeta) = 0$ , then  $r(C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}) = 0$  and hence  $\sigma(C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}) = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

We close this paper with the following example.

Let  $\varphi(x) = kx$ , where  $0 < k < 1$  and  $\mathcal{W}(x) = e^x$ . The spectrum of  $C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}$  on  $L^p$  is the closed disk of radius  $k^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ ; see Theorem 3.7.

Now,  $(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W} \circ \varphi^n)(x) = e^{x(1+k+k^2+\dots)}$ , which further simplifies to  $e^{\frac{1}{1-k}x}$ . Now let  $f_\lambda$  be an eigenvector for  $C_\varphi$  as described in Lemma 3.1. Then  $e^{\frac{1}{1-k}x} f_\lambda(x)$  is an eigenvector for  $C_{\mathcal{W},\varphi}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. BUDZYŃSKI, Z. JABŁOŃSKI, I. B. JUNG AND J. STOCHEL, *Unbounded Weighted Composition Operators in  $L^2$  spaces*, Springer, 2018.
- [2] J. W. CARLSON, *The Spectra and Commutants of some Weighted Composition Operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 317 (1990), 631–654.
- [3] R. K. SINGH AND J. S. MANHAS, *Composition Operators on Function Spaces*, Elsevier, 1993.
- [4] W. C. RIDGE, *Spectrum of a Composition Operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 37 (1973), 121–127.
- [5] W. RUDIN, *Functional Analysis*, TATA McGraw-Hill, 2006.
- [6] W. A. VEECH, *A Second Course in Complex Analysis*, W. A. Benjamin. Inc, New York, 1967.

(Received September 18, 2019)

Gajath Gunatillake  
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
 American University of Sharjah  
 P. O. BOX 26666, Sharjah, UAE  
 e-mail: mgunatillake@aus.edu; gajathg@yahoo.com