

ANALYTIC EXTENSION OF n -NORMAL OPERATORS

SALAH MECHERI

(Communicated by G. Misra)

Abstract. Normal operators and n -normal operators played a pivotal role in the development of operator theory. In order to generalize these classes of operators, we introduce new classes of operators which we call analytic extension of n -normal operator and F -quasi- n -normal operator. We show that every analytic extension of n -normal operator and F -quasi- n -normal operator have scalar extensions. We also show that an analytic extension of n -normal operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Some spectral properties are also presented.

1. Introduction

Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Throughout this paper $R(T)$, $N(T)$, $\sigma(T)$ denotes range, null space and spectrum of $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ respectively. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be analytic if there exists a nonconstant analytic function F on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ such that $F(T) = 0$. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be algebraic if there is a nonconstant polynomial p such that $p(T) = 0$. Recall that an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *normal* if $T^*T = TT^*$. In [1], S. A. Alzuraiqi and A. B. Patel introduced n -normal operators.

DEFINITION 1.1. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be n -normal if

$$T^*T^n = T^nT^* \tag{1.1}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

This definition seems natural. S. A. Alzuraiqi and A. B. Patel proved characterizations of 2-normal, 3-normal and n -normal operators on \mathbb{C}^2 . Also, they made several examples of n -normal operators and proved that T is n -normal if and only if T^n is normal. Also, they proved that if T is 2-normal with the following condition

$$\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) = \emptyset; \tag{1.2}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 47A11; Secondary 47A15, 47B20.

Keywords and phrases: n -power normal operator, subscalar operator, invariant subspace, hyperinvariant subspace.

then T is subscalar. Recently, the authors in [5] have studied spectral properties of an n -normal operator T satisfying the following condition (1.2).

$$\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) \subset \{0\}. \tag{1.3}$$

It is a little weaker assumption than this condition (1.2). Recently the authors in [6], studied several properties of n -normal. In particular, they proved that if T is 2-normal with (1.3), then T is polarloid. They also studied subscalarity of n -normal operators under certain conditions.

In order to generalize the classes of quasi- n -normal and k -quasi- n -normal operators, we introduce the class of F -quasi- n -normal operators as follows:

DEFINITION 1.2. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be F -quasi- n -normal if $F(T)^*(T^n T^* - T^* T^n)F(T) = 0$ for some nonconstant analytic function F on some neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$, and p -quasi- n -normal if there exists a nonconstant polynomial p such that $p(T)^*(T^n T^* - T^* T^n)p(T) = 0$. In particular, if $p(z) = z^k$ for some positive integer k or $p(z) = z$, then T is said to be k -quasi- n -normal operator or quasi- n -normal operator, respectively.

If $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is analytic, then $F(T) = 0$ for some nonconstant analytic function F on a bounded neighborhood U of spectrum of T . Since F cannot have infinitely many zeros in U , we write $F(z) = G(z)p(z)$, where the function G is analytic and does not vanish on U and p is a nonconstant polynomial with zeros in U . By Riesz-Dunford functional calculus, $G(T)$ is invertible and the invertibility of $G(T)$ induces that $p(T) = 0$, which means that T is algebraic (See [4]). We say that T is analytic with order n when p has degree n .

In order to generalize the class of n -normal operators, we introduce analytic extensions of n -normal operators as follows:

DEFINITION 1.3. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$ is said to be an analytic extension of n -normal operator if $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$, where T_1 is an n -normal operator and T_3 is analytic of order n , where n is a positive integer. This means that $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be an analytic extension of an n -normal operator if there exists an invariant subspace \mathcal{M} such that $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is n -normal and $T^*|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ is algebraic.

Let $0 \leq m \leq \infty$. Recall that an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a scalar operator of order m if there exists a continuous unital moromorphism of topological algebra $\Phi : C_0^m(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Phi(z) = T$, where z stands for the identity function on C_0^m , the space of all compactly supported functions continuously differentiable of order m . An operator T is said to be *subscalar* of order m if T is similar to the restriction of a scalar operator of order m to an invariant subspace ([14]). M. Putinar [17] proved subscalarity for hyponormal operators.

Let $H^\infty(U)$ denote the space of all bounded analytic functions on a bounded open set U in \mathbb{C} . A subset σ of \mathbb{C} is dominating in U if $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in \sigma \cap U} |f(x)|$ holds for each function $f \in H^\infty(U)$. Recall [3], a subset σ is thick if there is a bounded open set

U in \mathbb{C} such that σ is dominating in U . In [3], S. Brown [3] proved if T is hyponormal operator with thick spectra then T has non trivial invariant subspace. Eschmeier [7] showed that a Banach space operator T has a nontrivial invariant subspace if T has the property (β) with thick spectra.

In this paper we prove that analytic extension of n -normal operators are subscalar without any additional condition and we present several properties of these classes of operators. We also show that an analytic extension of n -normal operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Some spectral properties of such operators are also presented.

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{C} denote the set of complex numbers and let D be a bounded open disk in \mathbb{C} . We denote by $L^2(D, \mathcal{H})$ the Hilbert space of measurable functions $f : D \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{2,D} = \left(\int_D \|f(z)\|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where $d\mu(z)$ be the planar Lebesgue measure.

The Bergman space for D , denoted by $A^2(D, \mathcal{H})$, is a subspace of $L^2(D, \mathcal{H})$ in which each function is analytic in D (i.e., $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$). Let $\mathcal{O}(D, \mathcal{H})$ be the Fréchet space of \mathcal{H} -valued analytic functions on D with respect to uniform topology. Note that

$$A^2(D, \mathcal{H}) = L^2(D, \mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{O}(D, \mathcal{H})$$

is a Hilbert space. The following function space $W^m(D, \mathcal{H})$ is a Sobolev type space with respect to $\bar{\partial}$ and of order m

$$W^m(D, \mathcal{H}) = \{f \in L^2(D, \mathcal{H}) : \bar{\partial}^i f \in L^2(D, \mathcal{H}), \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Note that $W^2(D, \mathcal{H})$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^m}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^m \|\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,D}^2,$$

$W^m(D, \mathcal{H})$ becomes a Hilbert space contained continuously in $L^2(D, \mathcal{H})$. A bounded linear operator S on \mathcal{H} is called scalar of order m if it possesses a spectral distribution of order m , i.e., if there is a continuous unital morphism of topological algebras

$$\Phi : C_0^m(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that $\Phi(z) = S$, where z is the identity function on \mathbb{C} . An operator is subscalar if it is similar to the restriction of a scalar operator to an invariant subspace. Let U be a (connected) bounded open subset of \mathbb{C} , and let m be a nonnegative integer. The linear operator M_f of multiplication by f on $W^m(U, \mathcal{H})$ is continuous, has a spectral distribution of order m , and is defined by the functional calculus

$$\Phi_M : C_0^m(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(W^m(U, \mathcal{H})), \Phi_M(f) = M_f.$$

Therefore, M_f is a scalar operator of order m . Let

$$V : W^m(U, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \oplus_0^\infty L^2(U, \mathcal{H})$$

be the operator defined by $V(f) = (f, \bar{\partial}f, \dots, \bar{\partial}^m f), f \in W^m(U, \mathcal{H})$. Then V is an isometry such that $VM_z = (\oplus_0^m M_z)V$. Therefore, M_z is a subnormal operator.

An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to have the *single-valued extension property (SVEP)* if for every open subset \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{C} and any analytic function $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $(T - z)f(z) \equiv 0$ on G , we have $f(z) \equiv 0$ on \mathcal{U} . A Hilbert space operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to satisfy *Bishop’s property (β)* if, for every open subset \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{C} and every sequence $f_n : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of analytic functions with $(T - z)f_n(z)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of \mathcal{U} , $f_n(z)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of \mathcal{U} .

For $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}$, the *local resolvent set* of T at x , $\rho_T(x)$, is the set of elements $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there exists an analytic function $f(\lambda)$ defined in a neighborhood of z_0 , with values in \mathcal{H} , which verifies $(T - \lambda)f(\lambda) \equiv x$. The set $\sigma_T(x)$, the compliment of $\rho_T(x)$ is called the *local spectrum* of T at x . The *local spectral subspace* of T denoted by $\mathcal{H}_T(G)$ is the set

$$\mathcal{H}_T(G) = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \sigma_T(x) \subset G\}$$

for each subset G of \mathbb{C} .

If $T \in B(H)$ satisfies Bishop’s property (β), then T has the (SVEP). For more details see [13, 15, 16].

3. Subscalarity

In this section we prove that an analytic extension of n -normal operator is sub-scalar of order $2n + 2$. We begin with the following useful lemma due to Putinar [17].

LEMMA 3.1. (See [17, Proposition 2.1]) *For a bounded open disk D in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , there is a constant C_D such that for an arbitrary operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $f \in W^2(D, \mathcal{H})$ we have*

$$\|(I - P)f\|_{2,D} \leq C_D(\|(T - z)\bar{\partial}f\|_{2,D} + \|(T - z)\bar{\partial}^2 f\|_{2,D}),$$

where P denote the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, \mathcal{H})$ on to the Bergman space $A^2(D, \mathcal{H})$

Now we prove the following lemma which will be used for the sequel.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $T \in B(H)$ be n -normal operator, then T has the Bishop’s property (β).*

Proof. Let $T \in B(H)$ be n -normal. It is easy to see that $T^n(T^*)^n = (T^*)^n T^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence T^n is normal. Now, since T^n is normal, by applying [13] T has the Bishop’s property (β). \square

LEMMA 3.3. Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be an n -normal operator and let $\{f_j\}$ be a sequence in $W^m(D, \mathcal{H})$ ($m \geq 2$) such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)\bar{\partial}^i f_j\|_{2,D} = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where D is a bounded disc in \mathbb{C} . Then,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\partial}^i f_j\|_{2,D_0} = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 2$, where $D_0 \subsetneq D$.

Proof. Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be an n -normal operator. From Lemma 3.1, there exists a constant C_D such that

$$\|(I - P)f\|_{2,D} \leq C_D(\|(T - z)\bar{\partial}f\|_{2,D} + \|(T - z)\bar{\partial}^2 f\|_{2,D}) \tag{3.1}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. From (3.1), we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P)\bar{\partial}^i f_j\|_{2,D} = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 2$. Thus we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)P\bar{\partial}^i f_j\|_{2,D} = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

holds for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 2$. From Lemma 3.2, n -normal operator satisfies Bishop's property (β) and hence by (3.3), we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|P\bar{\partial}^i f_j\|_{2,D_0} = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 2$, where $D_0 \subsetneq D$.

From (3.2) and (3.4), we get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\partial}^i f_j\|_{2,D_0} = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 2$. \square

LEMMA 3.4. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$, where T_1 is an n -normal operator and T_3 is analytic with order n . For any bounded disc D which contains $\sigma(T)$, define the map $A : \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \frac{W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)}{(T - z)W^{2(n+1)}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)}$ by

$$Ax = \widetilde{1} \otimes x (\equiv 1 \otimes x + \overline{(T - z)W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)}),$$

where $1 \otimes x$ denotes the constant function sending any $z \in D$ to $x \in \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$. Then, A is injective with closed range.

Proof. Let $f_j = f_{j,1} \oplus f_{j,2} \in W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and let $x_j = x_{j,1} \oplus x_{j,2} \in \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ be sequences such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)f_j + 1 \otimes x_j\|_{W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)} = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.5), we write

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_1 - z)f_{j,1} + T_2 f_{j,2} + 1 \otimes x_{j,1}\|_{W^{2n+2}} = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_3 - z)f_{j,2} + 1 \otimes x_{j,2}\|_{W^{2n+2}} = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Then from the definition of the norm of Sobolev space, (3.6) and (3.7) yields,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_1 - z)\bar{\partial}^i f_{j,1} + T_2 \bar{\partial}^i f_{j,2}\|_{2,D} = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_3 - z)\bar{\partial}^i f_{j,2}\|_{2,D} = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2(n + 1)$.

Write $F(z) = G(z)p(z)$, where G is non vanishing analytic function on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ and nonconstant polynomial p . Let $z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n$ be zeros of $p(z)$. Set $q_s = (z - z_{(s+1)}) \dots (z - z_n)$, $s = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$.

Now we need to prove that for all $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ the following equation hold

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|q_s T_3^{n-s} \bar{\partial}^i f_{j,2}\|_{2,D_s} = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 2 - 2s$, where $\sigma(T) \not\subseteq D_n \not\subseteq D_{n-1} \not\subseteq \dots \not\subseteq D_1 \subset D$. We use induction on s for the proof (3.10). Since T_3 is analytic of order n , (3.10) is true for $s = 0$. Suppose that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|q_s (T_3^{n-s}) \bar{\partial}^i f_{j,2}\|_{2,D_s} = 0$$

holds for $0 < s < n$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 2 - 2s$.

From (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain that

$$0 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|q_{s+1} (T_3^{n-s-1} - z) \bar{\partial}^i f_{j,2}\|_{2,D_s} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(z_{s+1} - z) q_s T_3^{n-s-1} \bar{\partial}^i f_{j,2}\|_{2,D_s} \tag{3.11}$$

holds for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 2s + 2$.

From [12, lemma 3.2], it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_3^{n-s-1} \bar{\partial}^i f_{j,2}\|_{2,D_{s+1}} = 0 \tag{3.12}$$

holds for $i = 1, 2$, where $\sigma(T) \subsetneq D_{s+1} \subsetneq D_s$. Which completes the proof of (3.10). Now consider $s = n$ in (3.10), so we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\partial}^i f_{j,2}\|_{2,D_n} = 0 \tag{3.13}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. So from (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_1 - z)\bar{\partial}^i f_{j,1}\|_{2,D_n} = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P_{H_1})f_{j,1}\|_{2,D_t} = 0, \tag{3.14}$$

where $\sigma(T) \subsetneq D_t \subsetneq D_n$ and $P_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D_t, \mathcal{H}_1)$ onto $A^2(D_t, \mathcal{H}_1)$.

From (3.13) and Lemma 3.1 with zero operator, it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P_{H_2})f_{j,2}\|_{2,D_t} = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

where $P_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D_t, \mathcal{H}_2)$ onto $A^2(D_t, \mathcal{H}_2)$.

Set $Pf_j := P_{\mathcal{H}_1}f_{j,1} \oplus P_{\mathcal{H}_2}f_{j,2}$. Then from (3.5), (3.14) and (3.15), we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)Pf_j + 1 \otimes x_j\|_{2,D_t} = 0.$$

Let γ be a closed curve in D_k surrounding $\sigma(T)$. Then, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|Pf_j + (T - z)^{-1}(1 \otimes x_j)(z)\| = 0$ uniformly for all $z \in \gamma$. Then by Riesz-Dunford functional calculus, we get $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} Pf_j(z) dz + x_j\| = 0$. But Cauchy's theorem yields that $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} Pf_j(z) dz = 0$. Thus we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j\| = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove that every analytic extension of an n -normal operator has a scalar extension.

THEOREM 3.5. *If T is an analytic extension of n -normal operator, then T is subscalar of order $2n + 2$, where n is a positive integer.*

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$, where T_1 is an n -normal operator and T_3 is analytic with order n . For any bounded disc D which contains $\sigma(T)$, the map

$$A : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \frac{W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)}{(T - z)W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)}$$

by

$$Ax = \widetilde{1 \otimes x} (\equiv 1 \otimes x + \overline{(T - z)W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)},$$

where $1 \otimes x$ denotes the constant function sending any $z \in D$ to $x \in \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ is injective with closed range by Lemma 3.4. Consider M , which is the operator of multiplication by z on $W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)$. Then M is scalar operator of order $2n + 2$ and has spectral distribution

$$\Phi : C_0^{2n+2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)$$

defined by $\Phi(v)x = vx$ for $v \in C_0^{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})$ and $x \in W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)$. Since $\overline{(T - z)W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus W^{2n+2}(D, \mathcal{H}_2)}$ is invariant under M , \tilde{M} is scalar operator of order $2n + 2$ with $\tilde{\Phi}$ as a spectral distribution. From the definition of map A , we have $AT = \tilde{M}A$. In particular $R(A)$ is an invariant subspace for \tilde{M} . Since T is similar to restriction $\tilde{M}|_{R(A)}$, it follows that T is subscalar of order $2n + 2$. \square

The following corollaries are immediate.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let T be an analytic extension of an n -normal operator. Then T satisfies the Bishop's property (β) .*

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let T be an analytic extension of an n -normal operator. Then T satisfies the single valued extension property (SVEP).*

Recall that an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called isoloid if every isolated point of spectrum of T is an eigenvalue. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be polaroid if every $\lambda \in iso\sigma(T)$ is a pole of the resolvent of T .

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let T be a analytic extension of n -normal operator. Then T is polaroid.*

Proof. Assume that T is an analytic extension of n -normal operator. Then T is subscalar by Theorem 3.5. Hence, it follows from [16, Corollary 2.2] that T is polaroid. \square

LEMMA 3.9. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$ be an analytic extension of n -normal operator, i.e.,*

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

is an operator matrix on $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$, where T_1 is n -normal and $F(T_3) = 0$ for a nonconstant analytic function F on a neighborhood D of $\sigma(T_3)$. Then $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \sigma(T_3)$ and $\sigma(T_3)$ is a subset of $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : p(z) = 0\}$ where $F(z) = G(z)p(z)$, G is analytic and does not vanish on D , and p is a polynomial.

Proof. Since $p(T_3) = 0$, choose a minimal polynomial q such that $q(T_3) = 0$ and $q(z)$ is a factor of $p(z)$. Then $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : q(z) = 0\}$ is nonempty and is contained in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : p(z) = 0\}$. First we will show that $\sigma(T_3) = \sigma_p(T_3) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : q(z) = 0\}$. Since $q(T_3) = 0$, we have $q(\sigma(T_3)) = \sigma(q(T_3)) = \{0\}$ by the spectral mapping theorem. This means that $\sigma(T_3) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : q(z) = 0\}$. Moreover if we assume that z_1, \dots, z_k are all the roots of $q(z) = 0$, not necessarily distinct, then $(T_3 - z_1)(T_3 - z_2) \cdots (T_3 - z_k)x = 0$ for all $x \in H_2$. By the minimality of the degree of q , we can select a vector $x_0 \in H_2$ such that $(T_3 - z_2) \cdots (T_3 - z_k)x_0 \neq 0$, and so $z_1 \in \sigma(p(T_3))$. Similarly, $z_i \in \sigma_p(T_3)$ for all $i = 1; 2; \dots; k$. Hence $\sigma(T_3) = \sigma_p(T_3) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : q(z) = 0\}$. Since $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : q(z) = 0\}$ is a finite set, $\sigma(T_1) \cap \sigma(T_3)$ is also finite, which implies that $\sigma(T_1) \cap \sigma(T_3)$ has no interior point. By using [9], we get $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \sigma(T_3)$. \square

THEOREM 3.10. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$ be an analytic extension of n -normal operator, i.e.,*

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

is an operator matrix on $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$, where T_1 is n -normal and $F(T_3) = 0$ for a non-constant analytic function F on a neighborhood D of $\sigma(T_3)$. Then the following statements hold

- (i) $H_T(E) \subseteq H_{T_1}(E) \oplus \{0\}$ for every subset E of \mathbb{C} .
- (ii) $\sigma_{T_3}(x_2) \subset \sigma_T(x_1 \oplus x_2)$ and $\sigma_{T_1}(x_1) = \sigma_T(x_1 \oplus 0)$, where $x_1 \oplus x_2 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$.
- (iii) $R_{T_1}(F) \oplus 0 \subset H_T(F)$, where $R_{T_1}(F) := \{y \in H_1 : \sigma_{T_1}(y) \subset F\}$ for any subset $F \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. (i) Let E be any subset of \mathbb{C} and let $x_1 \in H_{T_1}(E)$. Since T has SVEP by Lemma 3.2, there exists an H -valued analytic function f_1 on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ such that $(T_1 - z)f_1(z) \equiv x_1$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$. Hence $(T - z)(f_1(z) \oplus 0) \equiv x_1 \oplus 0$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$, and so $x_1 \oplus 0 \in H_T(E)$.

(ii) Let $x_1 \oplus x_2 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$. If $z_0 \in \rho_T(x_1 \oplus x_2)$, then there exists an \mathcal{H} -valued analytic function defined on a neighborhood U of z_0 such that $(T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = x_1 \oplus x_2$ for all $\lambda \in U$. We can write $f = f_1 \oplus f_2$ where $f_1 \in O(U; H_1)$ and $f_2 \in O(U; H_2)$. Then we get

$$(T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 - \lambda & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\lambda) \\ f_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

Thus $(T_3 - \lambda)f_2(\lambda) = x_2$. Hence $z_0 \in \rho_{T_3}(x_2)$. On the other hand, if $z_0 \in \rho_T(x_1 \oplus 0)$, then there exists an \mathcal{H} -valued analytic function defined on a neighborhood U of z_0 such that $(T - \lambda)g(\lambda) = x_1 \oplus 0$ for all $\lambda \in U$. We can write $g = g_1 \oplus g_2$ where $g_1 \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{H}_2)$. Then we get

$$(T - \lambda)(g(\lambda)) = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 - \lambda & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\lambda) \\ g_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $(T_1 - \lambda)g_1(\lambda) + T_2g_2(\lambda) \equiv x_1$ and $(T_3 - \lambda)g_2(\lambda) \equiv 0$. Since T_3 is algebraic of order n , it has SVEP, which implies that $g_2(\lambda) \equiv 0$. Thus $(T_1 - \lambda)g_1(\lambda) \equiv x_1$, and so

$z_0 \in \rho_{T_1}(x_1)$. Conversely, let $z_0 \in \rho_{T_1}(x_1)$. Then there exists a function $g_1 \in O(U, \mathcal{H}_1)$ for some neighborhood U of z_0 such that $(T_1 - \lambda)g_1(\lambda) \equiv x_1$. Then $(T - \lambda)(g_1(\lambda) \oplus 0) \equiv x_1 \oplus 0$. Hence $z_0 \in \rho_T(x_1 \oplus 0)$.

(iii) If $x_1 \in R_{T_1}(F)$, then $\sigma_{T_1}(x_1) \subset F$. Since $\sigma_{T_1}(x_1) = \sigma_{T_1}(x_1 \oplus 0)$ by (ii), $\sigma_{T_1}(x_1 \oplus 0) \subset F$. Thus $x_1 \oplus 0 \in H_T(F)$, and hence $R_{T_1}(F) \oplus 0 \subset H_T(F)$. \square

LEMMA 3.11. *Let $T \in B(H)$ be F -quasi- n -normal and let \mathcal{M} be a reducing subspace for T . Then the restriction $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a p -quasi- n -normal operator.*

Proof. Since T is an F -quasi- n -normal operator for some function F analytic and nonconstant on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$. Let $F(z) = G(z)p(z)$ where G is a nonvanishing analytic function on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ and p is a nonconstant polynomial. Since \mathcal{M} is a T -reducing subspace, we can write

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

on $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$; where $T_1 = T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $T_3 = (I - P)T(I - P)|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$, and P denotes the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{M} . Since T is F -quasi- n -normal, $F(T)^*(T^n T^*)F(T) = F(T)^* T^* T^n F(T)$. Therefore

$$0 = G(T)^* \begin{pmatrix} p(T_1)^*(T_1^n T_1^* - T_1^* T_1^n)p(T_1) & A \\ & B \\ & C \end{pmatrix} G(T)$$

for some operators $A; B$ and C by Riesz-Dunfords functional calculus. Since $G(T)$ is invertible, $p(T_1)^*(T_1^n T_1^* - T_1^* T_1^n)p(T_1) = 0$. This implies that $T_1 = T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is p -quasi- n -normal. \square

THEOREM 3.12. *If T is an F -quasi- n -normal operator, then T is subscalar. In particular, every k -quasi- n -normal operator is subscalar of order $2k + 2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be F -quasi- n -normal for some analytic function F on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$. If the range of $F(T)$ is norm dense in \mathcal{H} , then T is n -normal. Hence T is subscalar of order 2. Hence it suffices to assume that the range of $F(T)$ is not norm dense in \mathcal{H} . Since $F(T)$ commutes with T , $\overline{R(F(T))}$ is a T -invariant subspace. Thus T can be expressed as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

on $\overline{R(F(T))} \oplus N(F(T)^*)$; where $T_1 = T|_{\overline{R(F(T))}}$ and $T_3 = (I - P)T(I - P)|_{N(F(T)^*)}$, and P denotes the projection of H onto $\overline{R(F(T))}$. Note that $F(z) = G(z)p(z)$ where G is a nonvanishing analytic function on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ and p is a nonconstant polynomial. Then $G(T)$ is invertible and thus we obtain that $N(F(T)) = N(p(T))$. Since $p(T_3) = (I - P)p(T)(I - P)|_{N(F(T)^*)}$, it follows for any $x \in N(F(T)^*)$,

$$\langle p(T_3)x; y \rangle = \langle p(T)x; y \rangle = \langle x; p(T)^*y \rangle = 0$$

for all $y \in N(F(T)^*)$. Hence $p(T_3) = 0$. Thus T_3 is analytic. Since $P(T_1^n T_1^* - T_1^* T_1^n)P = 0$, $PT_1^n T_1^* P - PT_1^* T_1^n P = 0$. Hence $T_1^n T_1^* - T_1^* T_1^n = 0$. This shows that T_1 is n -normal. If T_3 is analytic of order k , then T is subscalar of order $2k+2$ by Theorem 3.5. \square

COROLLARY 3.13. *Every F -quasi- n -normal operator has the Bishop's property (β) .*

COROLLARY 3.14. *Every k -quasi- n -normal operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is subscalar of order $2k+2$. In particular, T has the Bishop's property (β) .*

It is known that a normal operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace. In the following theorem, we will show that an analytic extension of n -normal operator also has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

THEOREM 3.15. *Let T be a n -normal operator. Then T has a nontrivial invariant subspace.*

Proof. Let T be a n -normal operator. Then T^n is normal. Hence, T^n has no hypercyclic vector by [11, Corollary 4.5]. Hence, T has no hypercyclic vector by [2]. Therefore, T has a nontrivial closed invariant subspace by [10]. \square

THEOREM 3.16. *Let T be an analytic extension of n -normal operator. Then T has a nontrivial invariant subspace.*

Proof. Let T be an analytic extension of n -normal operator. Then there is a closed subspace \mathcal{M} invariant under T such that $T_1 = T|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ is n -normal. If $\mathcal{M}^\perp = \{0\}$, then T is an n -normal. So, T has a nontrivial invariant subspace by Theorem 3.16. Now, if $\mathcal{M}^\perp \neq \{0\}$, then \mathcal{M} is a non trivial proper invariant subspace for T . \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions, which considerably helped to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. ALZURAIQI AND A. B. PATEL, *On n -normal operators*, General Math. Notes 1, (2010), 61–73.
- [2] S. I. ANSARI, *Hypercyclic and cyclic vector*, J. Funct. Anal. 128 (1995), 374–383.
- [3] S. BROWN, *Hyponormal operator with thick spectrum have invariant subspaces*, Ann. Math 125 (1987), 93–103.
- [4] X. H. CAO, *Analytically class A operators and Weyl's theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 320 (2006), no. 2, 795–803.
- [5] M. CHO AND B. NACEVSKA NASTOVSKA, *Spectral properties of n -normal operators*, to appear in Filomat.
- [6] MUNEO CHO, JI EUN LEE, KOTARO TANAHASHI, ATSUSHI UCHIYAMA, *Remarks on n -normal operators*, Filomat 32:15 (2018), 5441–5451.
- [7] J. ESCHMEIER, *Invariant subspaces for subscalar operators*, Arch. Math, 52 (1989), 562–570.
- [8] C. FOIAS AND A. E. FRAZHO, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problem*, Operator Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 44, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990.

- [9] J. K. HAN, H. Y. LEE, W. Y. LEE, *Invertible completions of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 128 (2000), 119–123.
- [10] D. A. HERRERO AND C. KITAI, *On invertible hypercyclic operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992), 873–875.
- [11] C. KITAI, *Invariant closed sets for linear operators*, Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of Toronto, 1982.
- [12] E. KO, *Algebraic and triangular n -hyponormal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1995), 3473–3481.
- [13] K. B. LAURSEN AND M. N. NUEMANN, *Introduction to local spectral theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [14] M. MARTIN AND M. PUTINAR, *Lectures on Hyponormal operators*, Operator theory Adv. Appl. 39, Birkhauser, Boston, 1989.
- [15] S. MECHERI, *Bishop's property (β) , Hypercyclicity and hyperinvariant subspaces*, Operators and Matrices 8 (2014) 555–562.
- [16] S. MECHERI, *Subscalarity, Invariant, and Hyperinvariant Subspaces for Upper Triangular operator Matrices*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 41 (2018), 1085–1104.
- [17] M. PUTINAR, *Hyponormal operators are subscalar*, J. Operator Theory 12 (1984) 385–395.
- [18] M. RADJABALIPOUR, *On majorization and Normality of Operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1977), 105–110.
- [19] M. A. ROSENBLUM, *On the operator equation $BX - XA = Q$* , Duke Math. J. **23** (1956), 263–269.

(Received February 11, 2020)

Salah Mecheri
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
Mohamed El Bachir El Ibrahimi University
Bordj Bou Arreridj, Algeria
e-mail: mecherisalah@hotmail.com