

ON m -QUASI-TOTALLY- (α, β) -NORMAL OPERATORS

R. PRADEEP*, P. MAHESWARI NAIK AND SID AHMED OULD AHMED MAHMOUD

(Communicated by F. Kittaneh)

Abstract. An operator \mathcal{S} acting on a Hilbert space is called m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta$) if

$$\alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m \leq \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m$$

for a natural number m and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator is equivalent to the study of mutual majorization between $(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m$ and $(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m$ for a natural number m and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. This article aims to establish various inequalities between the operator norm and the numerical radius of m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operators in Hilbert spaces. Further, this article analyzes spectral and algebraic properties of m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operators.

1. Introduction

One of the current trends in operator theory is studying natural generalization of normal operators. Let \mathcal{H} be a non zero complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Let m be a natural number. An operator $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta$) if

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m &\leq \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m \\ &\leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 \langle \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle &\leq \langle \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle \\ &\leq \beta^2 \langle \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\| &\leq \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| \\ &\leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\| \end{aligned}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 47B15, 47B20, 47A15.

Keywords and phrases: m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operators, mutual majorization, numerical radius, operator norm, single valued extension property.

* Corresponding author.

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

In 1966, R. G. Douglas [12] proved an equivalence of factorization, range inclusion and majorization of operators, known as Douglas lemma. Inspired by the Douglas lemma, V. Manuilov, M. S. Moslehian, and Q. Xu [21] investigated the solvability of the operator equation $AX = C$ for operators on Hilbert C^* -modules. M.S. Moslehian, M. Kian, and Q. Xu [23] characterized the positivity of 2×2 block matrices of operators on Hilbert space. Note that m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator is equivalent to the study of mutual majorization between $(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m$ and $(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*\mathcal{S}^m$. It can be said that both $(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m$ majorizes $(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*\mathcal{S}^m$ and $(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*\mathcal{S}^m$ majorizes $(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m$ for a natural number m . Using Douglas' result, it is observed that \mathcal{S} is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal if and only if $ran((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m) = ran((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*\mathcal{S}^m)$ or equivalently $ker((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m) = ker((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*\mathcal{S}^m)$. In particular (choose $\lambda = 0$), an operator \mathcal{S} is called m -quasi- (α, β) -normal ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta$) if

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} &\leq \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \\ &\leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}x\| &\leq \|\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| \\ &\leq \beta \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}x\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

The numerical radius $\omega(\mathcal{S})$ of an operator \mathcal{S} on $(\mathcal{H}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is given as

$$\omega(\mathcal{S}) = \sup\{|\langle \mathcal{S}x, x \rangle| : \|x\| = 1\}.$$

S. S. Dragomir and M. S. Moslehian [10] studied various inequalities between the operator norm and the numerical radius of (α, β) -normal operators in Hilbert space. According to them, an operator \mathcal{S} is called (α, β) -normal ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta$) if $\alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}$. It is true from the definition that, m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator coincides with (α, β) -normal operator if $m = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$. A. Benali and O. A. M. Sid Ahmed [4] studied structural properties of (α, β) - A -normal operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces.

EXAMPLE 1. It is obvious that m -quasi-hyponormal operators are m -quasi- (α, β) -normal for some appropriate values of α and β . The following operator \mathcal{S} in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is 2-quasi- (α, β) -normal for $\alpha = \sqrt{(15 - \sqrt{221})}/2$ and $\beta = \sqrt{(15 + \sqrt{221})}/2$, which is not normal, quasi-normal, hyponormal and quasi-hyponormal.

$$\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. Inequalities involving numerical radius and operator norm

In this section, the study of some inequalities concerning the numerical radius and norm of m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operators form the substance. It is followed by several inequalities refer the articles [6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14].

THEOREM 1. If $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \frac{\alpha^{2r}}{\beta^{2r}}\right) \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m\|^2 \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\beta} \omega [\mathcal{S}^{m*}(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m] + \frac{r^2}{\beta^2} \|\beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^2 & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ \frac{2}{\beta} \omega [\mathcal{S}^{m*}(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m] + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^2 & \text{if } r < 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\left(1 + \frac{\alpha^{2r}}{\beta^{2r}}\right) \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}\|^2 \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\beta} \omega [\mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S}^{m+2}] + \frac{r^2}{\beta^2} \|\beta \mathcal{S}^{m+1} - \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^2 & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ \frac{2}{\beta} \omega [\mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S}^{m+2}] + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\beta \mathcal{S}^{m+1} - \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^2 & \text{if } r < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We use the following inequality [14],

$$\|a\|^{2r} + \|b\|^{2r} - 2\|a\|^{r-1}\|b\|^{r-1} \cdot \text{Re} \langle a, b \rangle \leq \begin{cases} r^2 \|a\|^{2r-2} \|a - b\|^2 & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ \|b\|^{2r-2} \|a - b\|^2 & \text{if } r < 1, \end{cases}$$

provided $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|a\| \geq \|b\|$.

Assume that $r \geq 1$. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Applying the above inequality for the choices $a = \beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x$, $b = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^{2r} + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^{2r} \\ & \leq r^2 \|\beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^{2r-2} \|\beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\|\beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^{r-1} \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^{r-1} \\ & \quad \times \text{Re} \langle \beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x, (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and $r \geq 1$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha^{2r} + \beta^{2r}) \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^{2r} \\ & \leq r^2 \beta^{2r-2} \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^{2r-2} \|\beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\beta^{2r-1} \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^{2r-2} \left| \langle \mathcal{S}^{m*}(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|x\| = 1$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha^{2r} + \beta^{2r}) \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m\|^{2r} \\ & \leq r^2 \beta^{2r-2} \|\beta(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^2 + 2\beta^{2r-1} \omega \left[\mathcal{S}^{m*}(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m \right], \end{aligned}$$

which is the first inequality.

If $r < 1$, then similar substitution yields the second inequality. \square

THEOREM 2. *If $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator and if $k \in \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$\alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\|^2 \leq \omega \left[\mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m \right] + \frac{2\beta \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m - k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^2}{(1 + |k|\alpha)^2}.$$

In particular,

$$\alpha \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}\|^2 \leq \omega \left[\mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S}^{m+2} \right] + \frac{2\beta \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1} - k\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^2}{(1 + |k|\alpha)^2}.$$

Proof. We use the following inequality [13],

$$\|a\| \|b\| \leq |\langle a, b \rangle| + \frac{2\|a\| \|b\| \|a - b\|^2}{(\|a\| + \|b\|)^2} \text{ for } a, b \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Take $a = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x$ and $b = k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\| \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| \\ & \leq |\langle (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x, k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x \rangle| \\ & \quad + \frac{2\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\| \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x - k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2}{(\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\| + \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 \\ & \leq \left| \left\langle \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m x, x \right\rangle \right| + \frac{2\beta \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x - k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2}{(1 + |k|\alpha)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|x\| = 1$, we get the desired result. \square

THEOREM 3. *If $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator and if $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then*

$$\left[\alpha^2 - \left(\frac{1}{|k|} + \beta \right)^2 \right] \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\|^4 \leq \omega \left[\mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m \right]^2.$$

In particular,

$$\left[\alpha^2 - \left(\frac{1}{|k|} + \beta \right)^2 \right] \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}\|^4 \leq \omega \left[\mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S}^{m+2} \right]^2.$$

Proof. We use the following inequality [9],

$$\|a\|^2\|b\|^2 \leq |\langle a, b \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{|k|^2} \|a\|^2 \|a - kb\|^2,$$

provided $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Choose $a = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x, b = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 \\ & \leq |\langle (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x, (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x \rangle|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{|k|^2} \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x - k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^2 \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^4 - \frac{1}{|k|^2} \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\|^4 (1 + |k|\beta)^2 \\ & \leq |\langle \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1$, we get the desired result. \square

THEOREM 4. *If $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator, then*

$$2\omega [(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m] \omega [(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m] \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m\|^2 + \omega [\mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m].$$

In particular,

$$2\omega [\mathcal{S}^{m+1}] \omega [\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m] \leq \beta \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}\|^2 + \omega [\mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S}^{m+2}].$$

Proof. We use the following inequality [6],

$$2|\langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle| \leq \|a\| \|b\| + |\langle a, b \rangle|$$

for any $a, b, e \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|e\| = 1$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Put $e = x, a = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x, b = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x$ in the above inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2|\langle (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle \langle x, (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x \rangle| \\ & \leq \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x\| \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| + |\langle (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x, (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & 2|\langle (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle \langle \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)\mathcal{S}^m\|^2 + \left| \langle \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1$, we obtain the required inequality. \square

THEOREM 5. *If $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator and if $p \geq 2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha^p) \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\|^p \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m + (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(1 + \alpha^p) \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}\|^p \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathcal{S}^{m+1} + \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p + \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1} - \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p).$$

Proof. We use the following inequality [11],

$$\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|a + b\|^p + \|a - b\|^p)$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ and $p \geq 2$.

Now, if we choose $a = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x, b = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x + (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p). \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{S} is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha^p) \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x + (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ in this inequality, we get the desired result. \square

THEOREM 6. *If $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator. If $p \geq 2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \left[\frac{\mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m + \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m}{2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} (\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m + (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \left[\frac{\mathcal{S}^{m+1*} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} + \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m}{2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} (\|\mathcal{S}^{m+1} + \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p + \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1} - \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the following elementary inequality,

$$a^q + b^q \geq 2^{1-q} (a + b)^q$$

for $a, b \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$.

Substitute $a = \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2$, $b = \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2$ and $q = \frac{p}{2}$ to get,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} + (\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \geq 2^{1-\frac{p}{2}} (\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p \\ & \geq 2^{1-\frac{p}{2}} (\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 5,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} (\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x + (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p + \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p) \\ & \geq \left| \left\langle \left(\frac{\mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m + \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m}{2} \right) x, x \right\rangle \right|^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|x\| = 1$ gives the desired result. \square

THEOREM 7. Let $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator. If $p \in (1, 2)$ and if $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (|k| + |\beta| |l|)^p \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\|^p + \max\{|k| - |l| |\beta|, \alpha |l| - |k|\} \| \mathcal{S} - \lambda \mathcal{S}^m \|^p \\ & \leq \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m + l(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p + \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m - l(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the following inequality [11],

$$(\|a\| + \|b\|)^p + (\|a\| - \|b\|)^p \leq \|a + b\|^p + \|a - b\|^p$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ and $p \in (1, 2)$.

Put $a = k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x$ and $b = l(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\| + \|l(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|)^p \\ & + (\|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\| - \|l(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|)^p \\ & \leq \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x + l(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p + \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x - l(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{S} is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(|k| + |\beta| |l|)^p \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p + \max\{|k| - |l| |\beta|, \alpha |l| - |k|\} \| \mathcal{S} - \lambda \mathcal{S}^m x \|^p] \\ & \leq \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x + l(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p + \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x - l(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|x\| = 1$ gives the desired result. \square

THEOREM 8. Let $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator, $r \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If

$$\|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\| \leq r$$

and

$$\frac{r}{|k|} \leq \inf\{\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| : \|x\| = 1\},$$

then

$$\alpha^2 \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\|^4 \leq \omega \left[\mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m \right]^2 + \frac{r^2}{|k|^2} \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\|^2.$$

In particular,

$$\alpha^2 \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}\|^4 \leq \omega \left[\mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S}^{m+2} \right]^2 + \frac{r^2}{|k|^2} \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}\|^2.$$

Proof. We use the following inequality [7],

$$\|y\|^2 \|a\|^2 \leq [\operatorname{Re} \langle y, a \rangle]^2 + r^2 \|y\|^2$$

provided $\|y - a\| \leq r \leq \|a\|$.

Setting $a = k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x$ and $y = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x$ to get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 \\ & \leq [\operatorname{Re} \langle (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x, k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x \rangle]^2 + r^2 \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|k|^2 \alpha^2 \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^4 \leq |k|^2 \left[\operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle \right]^2 + r^2 \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\|^2.$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|x\| = 1$, we get the desired result. \square

THEOREM 9. Let $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator, $r \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If $\|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m - (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\| \leq r$, then

$$\alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m\|^2 \leq \omega \left[\mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m \right] + \frac{r^2}{2|k|}.$$

In particular,

$$\alpha \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1}\|^2 \leq \omega \left[\mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S}^{m+2} \right] + \frac{r^2}{2|k|}.$$

Proof. We use the following reverse of the Schwarz inequality [8],

$$\|y\| \|a\| \leq [\operatorname{Re} \langle y, a \rangle] + \frac{r^2}{2}$$

provided $\|y - a\| \leq r$.

Setting $a = k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x$ and $y = (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x$ to get

$$\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\| \|k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| \leq [\operatorname{Re} \langle (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x, k(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x \rangle] + \frac{r^2}{2}.$$

From this we obtain

$$|k|\alpha\|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|^2 \leq |k| \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{S}^{m*} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^2 \mathcal{S}^m x, x \rangle + \frac{r^2}{2}.$$

Taking the supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ in this inequality, we get the desired result. \square

3. Algebraic and spectral properties

The following theorem gives a characterization of m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operators. Using this result we obtained several important properties of this class of operators.

THEOREM 10. *Let $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that \mathcal{S}^m does not have a dense range, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) \mathcal{S} is a m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator.
- (2) $\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\operatorname{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} \oplus \ker(\mathcal{S}^{*m})$, where $A = \mathcal{S}|_{\overline{\operatorname{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)}}$ is a totally (α, β) -normal operator and $C^m = 0$. Furthermore $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \sigma(A) \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Consider the matrix representation of \mathcal{S} with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\operatorname{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} \oplus \ker(\mathcal{S}^{*m})$: $\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$. Let P be the projection onto $\overline{\operatorname{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)}$. Then $\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{S}P = P\mathcal{S}P$. Since \mathcal{S} is an m -quasi totally- (α, β) -normal operator, we have then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 P \left(\mathcal{S}^{*m} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m \right) P &\leq P \left(\mathcal{S}^{*m} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m \right) P \\ &\leq \beta^2 P \left(\mathcal{S}^{*m} (\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* (\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m \right) P. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\alpha^2 (A - \lambda)^* (A - \lambda) \leq (A - \lambda) (A - \lambda)^* \leq \beta^2 \left((A - \lambda)^* (A - \lambda) \right),$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence A is a totally- (α, β) -normal.

On the other hand, let $x = x_1 + x_2 \in \mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} \oplus \text{ker}(\mathcal{S}^{*m})$. A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle C^m x_2, x_2 \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{S}^m(I - P)x, (I - P)x \rangle \\ &= \langle (I - P)x, \mathcal{S}^{*m}(I - P)x \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, $C^m = 0$.

Since $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{T} = \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(C)$, where \mathcal{T} is the union of the holes in $\sigma(\mathcal{S})$ which happen to be subset of $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(C)$ by Corollary 7 of [16], and $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(C)$ has no interior point and C is nilpotent, we have $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \sigma(A) \cup \{0\}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that $\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$ onto $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} \oplus \text{ker}(\mathcal{S}^{*m})$, with

$$\alpha^2(A - \lambda)^*(A - \lambda) \leq (A - \lambda)(A - \lambda)^* \leq \beta^2 \left((A - \lambda)^*(A - \lambda) \right),$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $C^m = 0$.

Since $\mathcal{S}^m = \begin{pmatrix} A^m & \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^j B C^{k-1-j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$

$$(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (A - \lambda)^*(A - \lambda) & (A - \lambda)^*B \\ B^*(A - \lambda) & B^*B + (C - \lambda)^*(C - \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* = \begin{pmatrix} (A - \lambda)(A - \lambda)^* & B(A - \lambda)^* \\ (A - \lambda)B^* & BB^* + (C - \lambda)(C - \lambda)^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} &= \begin{pmatrix} A^k A^{*k} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^j B C^{k-1-j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^j B C^{k-1-j} \right)^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

where $D = A^k A^{*k} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^j B C^{k-1-j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^j B C^{k-1-j} \right)^* = D^*.$

Hence for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} ((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)) \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 D(A - \lambda)^*(A - \lambda) D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leq \begin{pmatrix} D(A - \lambda)(A - \lambda)^* D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} ((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*) \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} \\ &\leq \begin{pmatrix} \beta^2 D(A - \lambda)^*(A - \lambda) D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} ((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)) \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} ((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)) \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} \\ &\leq \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} ((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*) \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} \\ &\leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m} ((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)) \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{S}^{*m}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m} ((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)) \mathcal{S}^m &\leq \mathcal{S}^{*m} ((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*) \mathcal{S}^m \\ &\leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m} ((\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)) \mathcal{S}^m, \end{aligned}$$

on $\mathcal{H} = \text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^{*m}) \oplus \text{ker}(\mathcal{S}^m)$.

Consequently, \mathcal{S} is a m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be an m -quasi totally- (α, β) -normal operator. If $A = \mathcal{S}|_{\overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)}}$ is invertible, then \mathcal{S} is similar to a direct sum of a totally- (α, β) -normal operator and a nilpotent operator.*

Proof. By Theorem 10 we write the matrix representation of \mathcal{S} on $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} \oplus \text{ker}(\mathcal{S}^{*m})$ as follows $\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$ where $A = \mathcal{S}|_{\overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)}}$ is a totally- (α, β) -normal operator and $C^m = 0$. Since A is invertible, we have $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(C) = \emptyset$. Then there exists an operator X such that $AX - XC = B$. Hence

$$\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

We say that an operator \mathcal{S} doubly commutes with \mathcal{T} if \mathcal{S} commutes with \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}^* .

THEOREM 11. *Let $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are doubly commuting. If \mathcal{S}_1 is an m -quasi- (α, β) -normal and \mathcal{S}_2 is an m -quasi- (α', β') -normal, then $\mathcal{S}_1 \mathcal{S}_2$ is an m -quasi- $(\alpha\alpha', \beta\beta')$ -normal*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\alpha' \|(\mathcal{S}_1\mathcal{S}_2)^{m+1}x\| &= \alpha\alpha' \|\mathcal{S}_1^{m+1}\mathcal{S}_2^{m+1}x\| \leq \alpha' \|\mathcal{S}_1^* \mathcal{S}_1^m \mathcal{S}_2^{m+1}x\| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{S}_2^* \mathcal{S}_1^* \mathcal{S}_1^m \mathcal{S}_2^m x\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{S}_2^* \mathcal{S}_2^m \mathcal{S}_1^* \mathcal{S}_1^m x\| &\leq \beta' \|\mathcal{S}_2 \mathcal{S}_2^m \mathcal{S}_1^* \mathcal{S}_1^m x\| = \beta' \|\mathcal{S}_1^* \mathcal{S}_1^m \mathcal{S}_2 \mathcal{S}_2^m x\| \\ &\leq \beta\beta' \|\mathcal{S}_1^{m+1} \mathcal{S}_2^{m+1} x\|. \\ \alpha\alpha' \|(\mathcal{S}_1\mathcal{S}_2)^{m+1}x\| &\leq \|(\mathcal{S}_2\mathcal{S}_1)^* \mathcal{S}_1^m \mathcal{S}_2^m x\| \leq \beta\beta' \|\mathcal{S}_1^{m+1} \mathcal{S}_2^{m+1} x\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 12. *Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $0 < \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta$ and let $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m) = \text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^{*m})$. If \mathcal{S} is an m -quasi- (α, β) -normal, then \mathcal{S}^* is m -quasi- $(\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\alpha})$ -normal.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{S} is m -quasi- (α, β) -normal, it follows that

$$\alpha \|\mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^m x\| \leq \|\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| \leq \beta \|\mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^m x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

This means that

$$\alpha \|\mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^{*m} x\| \leq \|\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^{*m} x\| \leq \beta \|\mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^{*m} x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \|\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^{*m} x\| \leq \|\mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^{*m} x\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^{*m} x\|.$$

So, \mathcal{S}^* is m -quasi- $(\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\alpha})$ -normal. \square

COROLLARY 2. *Under the same conditions of Theorem 12, if $\alpha\beta = 1$ then \mathcal{S} is m -quasi- (α, β) -normal if and only if \mathcal{S}^* is m -quasi- (α, β) -normal.*

THEOREM 13. *Let \mathcal{S} be an m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator. If \mathcal{S}^m has dense range, then \mathcal{S} is totally- (α, β) -normal.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{S}^m has a dense range, it follows that $\overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} = \mathcal{H}$. Let $y \in \mathcal{H}$. Then there exists a sequence (x_n) in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{S}^m(x_n) \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since \mathcal{S} is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator, we have

$$\alpha \|\mathcal{S} - \lambda\| \mathcal{S}^m x\| \leq \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x\|$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

In particular,

$$\alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x_n\| \leq \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m x_n\| \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m x_n\|$$

for all $x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

It follows that

$$\alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)y\| \leq \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^*y\| \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)y\|$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore \mathcal{S} is totally- (α, β) -normal operator. \square

COROLLARY 3. *Let \mathcal{S} be an m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator. If $\mathcal{S}^m \neq 0$ and if \mathcal{S} has no nontrivial \mathcal{S}^m -invariant closed subspace, then \mathcal{S} is totally- (α, β) -normal.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{S}^m has no nontrivial invariant closed subspace, it has no nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace. But $\ker(\mathcal{S}^m)$ and $\overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)}$ are hyperinvariant subspaces, and $\mathcal{S}^m \neq 0$, hence $\ker(\mathcal{S}^m) = 0$ and $\overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} = \mathcal{H}$. Therefore \mathcal{S} is totally- (α, β) -normal operator. \square

EXAMPLE 2. Let \mathcal{S} be the diagonal positive operator define on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^2(\mathbb{C})$ by $\mathcal{S}e_j = \frac{1}{j!}e_j, \forall j \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, where $\{e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ denotes the canonical basis of $\ell_{\mathbb{N}}^2(\mathbb{C})$. It easily to show that \mathcal{S} is an m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator.

By observing that $\mathcal{S}^m e_j - \left(\frac{1}{j!}\right)^m e_j$ and hence, $e_j = (j!)^m \mathcal{S}^m e_j$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, it easily to see that $\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)$ contains the span of $\{e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and

$$\overline{\text{span}\{e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots\}} = \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^2(\mathbb{C}).$$

However $\overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} = \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore \mathcal{S} is totally- (α, β) -normal.

Note that $\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)$ is not closed. In fact, by choosing $u_0 = \left(\frac{1}{j^m}\right)_{j \geq 1} \in \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^2(\mathbb{C})$ and $x = (x_j)_{j \geq 1}$ such that $u_0 = \mathcal{S}^m x$, we obtain $\frac{1}{j^m} = \frac{1}{j^m!} x_j$ and hence $x_j = (j^m - 1)!$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. So $x \notin \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^2(\mathbb{C})$ and therefore \mathcal{S}^m is not surjective. Hence $\overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} \neq \text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)$ i.e, $\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)$ is not closed. Since $\overline{\text{ran}(\mathcal{S}^m)} = \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^2(\mathbb{C})$, it follows that \mathcal{S} has no nontrivial \mathcal{S}^m -invariant closed subspace.

COROLLARY 4. *If \mathcal{S} is such that $a + b\mathcal{S}$ is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator for all scalars a and b , then \mathcal{S} is totally- (α, β) -normal.*

Proof. If \mathcal{S} is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator but not totally- (α, β) -normal operator, then \mathcal{S}^m is not invertible. It is possible to find scalars a and $b \neq 0$

such that $\mathcal{T} = a + b\mathcal{S}$ is invertible m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator. Therefore \mathcal{T} is totally- (α, β) -normal operators.

$$\mathcal{T} = a + b\mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{b}(\mathcal{T} - a).$$

Therefore \mathcal{S} is also totally- (α, β) -normal. \square

EXAMPLE 3. Let $\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^3)$. Then it is 3-quasi- (α, β) -normal and (α, β) -normal for $\alpha = 0.03$ and $\beta = 1.8$.

EXAMPLE 4. Let $\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(l_2 \oplus l_2)$. Then it is 1-quasi- (α, β) -normal but not (α, β) -normal for $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\beta = 1.5$.

PROPOSITION 1. Let \mathcal{S} be an m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator. If a, b are non-zero eigenvalues of \mathcal{S} such that $a \neq b$, then $\ker(\mathcal{S} - a) \perp \ker(\mathcal{S} - b)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \ker(\mathcal{S} - a)$ and $y \in \ker(\mathcal{S} - b)$. Then $\mathcal{S}x = ax$ and $\mathcal{S}y = by$. Therefore $a \langle x, y \rangle = b \langle x, y \rangle$, and so $(a - b) \langle x, y \rangle = 0$. Hence $\ker(\mathcal{S} - a) \perp \ker(\mathcal{S} - b)$. \square

THEOREM 14. Let \mathcal{S} be an m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator. If k is a complex number; then $\ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$ reduces \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} is normal on $\ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$.

Proof. We first prove that $\ker(\mathcal{S} - k) \subseteq \ker(\mathcal{S}^* - \bar{k})$ for each $k \neq 0$. Suppose $\mathcal{S}x = kx$. Since \mathcal{S} is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal,

$$\alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m y\| \leq \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)^* \mathcal{S}^m y\| \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - \lambda) \mathcal{S}^m y\|$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular,

$$\alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - k) \mathcal{S}^m x\| \leq \|(\mathcal{S} - k)^* \mathcal{S}^m x\| \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - k) \mathcal{S}^m x\|.$$

It follows that

$$\alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - k) k^m x\| \leq \|(\mathcal{S} - k)^* k^m x\| \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - k) k^m x\|.$$

Therefore

$$\alpha \|(\mathcal{S} - k)x\| \leq \|(\mathcal{S} - k)^* x\| \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S} - k)x\|.$$

This clearly forces $x \in \ker(\mathcal{S} - k)^*$.

For any $x \in \ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$, we have $(\mathcal{S} - k)(\mathcal{S}x) = 0$, which implies that $\mathcal{S}x \in \ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$.

Therefore $\mathcal{S}(\ker(\mathcal{S} - k)) \subset \ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$.

Also, for $x \in \ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$, we have $(\mathcal{S} - k)(\mathcal{S}^*x) = 0$, which means that $\mathcal{S}^*x \in \ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$.

This gives $\mathcal{S}^*(\ker(\mathcal{S} - k)) \subset \ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$. Hence $\ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$ reduces \mathcal{S} .

For $x \in \ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$, we have $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}^*x = |k|^2x = \mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{S}x$, i.e. \mathcal{S} is normal on $\ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$. \square

Let $\sigma_{jp}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\sigma_p(\mathcal{S})$ denote the joint point spectrum and point spectrum of \mathcal{S} , respectively. It is known that, if \mathcal{S} is normal, then $\sigma_{jp}(\mathcal{S}) = \sigma_p(\mathcal{S})$. Of course, if \mathcal{S} is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator, then $\sigma_{jp}(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_p(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \{0\}$.

COROLLARY 5. *If \mathcal{S} is a pure m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal operator, then $\sigma_p(\mathcal{S}) = \emptyset$*

Proof. An operator \mathcal{S} is pure if it has no reducing subspace on which it is normal. Suppose that $\sigma_p(\mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 14, we have \mathcal{S} is normal on $\ker(\mathcal{S} - k)$, it is a contradiction to pure. \square

We say that an operator \mathcal{S} has the single valued extension property at $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, if $f \equiv 0$ is the only solution to $(\mathcal{S} - \lambda)f(\lambda) = 0$ that is analytic in an open neighborhood of λ_0 . The operator \mathcal{S} is said to have SVEP if it has SVEP at every point λ_0 in the complex plane.

THEOREM 15. *If \mathcal{S} is quasi- (α, β) -normal operator, then \mathcal{S} has SVEP.*

Proof. Suppose $x \in \ker(\mathcal{S}^2)$. Then $\mathcal{S}^2x = 0$. Since \mathcal{S} is quasi- (α, β) -normal operator,

$$\alpha \|\mathcal{S}^2x\| \leq \|\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{S}x\| \leq \beta \|\mathcal{S}^2x\|$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore $\|\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{S}x\| = 0$, and hence $x \in \ker(\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{S}) = \ker(\mathcal{S})$, hence $x \in \ker(\mathcal{S})$. Hence \mathcal{S} has finite ascent. Therefore \mathcal{S} has SVEP by Theorem 3.8 of [1]. \square

THEOREM 16. *If \mathcal{S} is m -quasi- (α, β) -normal such that $\alpha\beta = 1$, then*

$$\alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \leq \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m.$$

Proof. \mathcal{S} is m -quasi-totally- (α, β) -normal if and only if

$$\alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \leq \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1}.$$

Therefore

$$\alpha^4 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \leq \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \leq \alpha^2 \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1}$$

and

$$\beta^2 \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \leq \beta^4 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1}.$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \leq \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m. \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 2. *Direct sum of two m -quasi- (α, β) -normal is also m -quasi- (α, β) -normal but tensor product of two m -quasi- (α, β) -normal is m -quasi- (α^2, β^2) -normal.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 are m -quasi- (α, β) -normal.

Let $x = x_1 \oplus x_2 \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|x\| = 1$. Then

$$\alpha \|(\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2)^{m+1}x\| \leq \|(\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2)^*(\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2)^m x\| \leq \beta \|(\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2)^{m+1}x\|.$$

Let $x = x_1 \times x_2 \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|x\| = 1$. Then

$$\alpha^2 \|(\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_2)^{m+1}x\| \leq \|(\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_2)^*(\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_2)^m x\| \leq \beta^2 \|(\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_2)^{m+1}x\|. \quad \square$$

Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} have mutually majorized each other if $\alpha^2 \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \leq \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}^* \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta)$.

THEOREM 17. *If \mathcal{S}^2 and $\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}$ have mutually majorized each other and if \mathcal{T} is an unitary operator such that $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}^*$, then $(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^2$ and $(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^*(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})$ have mutually majorized each other.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{T} is unitary operator, $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}^* = I$. Therefore

$$\alpha^2 (\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^{*2} (\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^2 = \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{*2} \mathcal{T}^{*2} \mathcal{T}^2 \mathcal{S}^2 = \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{*2} \mathcal{S}^2$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^*(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}) (\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^*(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}.$$

Hence $(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^2$ and $(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^*(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})$ have mutually majorized each other. \square

THEOREM 18. *If \mathcal{S}^{m+1} and $\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m$ have mutually majorized each other and if \mathcal{T} is self-adjoint such that $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}$, then $(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^{m+1}$ and $(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^*(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^m$ have mutually majorized each other for a natural number m .*

Proof. Since \mathcal{S}^{m+1} and $\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m$ have mutually majorized each other, we have for $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\alpha \| \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \mathcal{T}^{m+1} x \| \leq \| \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{T}^{m+1} x \| \leq \beta \| \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \mathcal{T}^{m+1} x \|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{T}^{m+1} x \|^2 &= \langle \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{T}^{m+1} x, \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{T}^{m+1} x \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{S}^* (\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^m x, \mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{S}^* (\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^m x \rangle \\ &= \| (\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^*(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^m x \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\alpha \| (\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^{m+1} x \| \leq \| (\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^*(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^m x \| \leq \beta \| (\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T})^{m+1} x \|$. \square

THEOREM 19. *If \mathcal{S}^{m+1} and $\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m$ have mutually majorized each other and if \mathcal{T} is unitary equivalent to \mathcal{S} , then \mathcal{T}^{m+1} and $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{T}^m$ have mutually majorized each other for a natural number m .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{T} be an operator unitary equivalent to \mathcal{S} . Then $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S} \mathcal{U}$ for some unitary operator \mathcal{U} . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|\mathcal{T}^{m+1}x\| &= \alpha \|(\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S} \mathcal{U})^{m+1}x\| = \alpha \|\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \mathcal{U}x\| = \alpha \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1} \mathcal{U}x\| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{U}x\| = \|\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{U}x\| = \|(\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S} \mathcal{U})^* (\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S} \mathcal{U})^m x\| \\ &= \|\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{T}^m x\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{T}^m x\| &= \|(\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S} \mathcal{U})^* (\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S} \mathcal{U})^m x\| = \|\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{U}x\| = \|\mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \mathcal{U}x\| \\ &\leq \beta \|\mathcal{S}^{m+1} \mathcal{U}x\| = \beta \|\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \mathcal{U}x\| = \beta \|(\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{S} \mathcal{U})^{m+1}x\| \\ &= \beta \|\mathcal{T}^{m+1}x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{T}^{m+1} and $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{T}^m$ have mutually majorized each other for a natural number m . \square

THEOREM 20. *The set $\{\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \leq \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \text{ and } (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta)\}$ is arcwise connected for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $k\mathcal{S}$ is m -quasi- (α, β) -normal operator for every non zero complex number k . Now for $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha^2 (k\mathcal{S})^{*m+1} (k\mathcal{S})^{m+1} x, x \rangle &\leq \langle (k\mathcal{S})^{m*} (k\mathcal{S}) (k\mathcal{S})^* (k\mathcal{S})^m x, x \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \beta^2 (k\mathcal{S})^{*m+1} (k\mathcal{S})^{m+1} x, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\alpha^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1} \leq \mathcal{S}^{m*} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^* \mathcal{S}^m \leq \beta^2 \mathcal{S}^{*m+1} \mathcal{S}^{m+1}$.

This implies that the class of m -quasi- (α, β) -normal operator is arcwise connected. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. AIENA, *Fredholm and local spectral theory with applications to multipliers*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2004.
- [2] S. C. ARORA AND R. KUMAR, *M-hyponormal operators*, Yokohama Math. J. **28** (1980), 41–44.
- [3] B. A. BARNES, *Majorization, range inclusion, and factorization for bounded linear operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 155–162.
- [4] A. BENALI, *(α, β) -A-Normal operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Afrika Matematika, **30** (2019), 903–920.
- [5] M. BERKANI, *Index of B-Fredholm operators and generalization of a Weyl theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 1717–1723.
- [6] M. L. BUZANO, *Generalizzazione della disuguaglianza di Cauchy-Schwaz*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. E Politech. Torino **31** (1974), 405–409.

- [7] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Reverse of Schwarz, triangle and Bessel inequalities in inner product spaces*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. **5** Article 76(2004).
- [8] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Advances in inequalities of the Schwarz, Gruss and Bessel Type in Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers (2005), Newyork.
- [9] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *A potpourri of Schwarz related inequalities in inner product spaces (II)*, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. **7** (2006), Art 14.
- [10] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND M. S. MOSLEHIAN, *Some Inequalities for (α, β) -normal Operators in Hilbert Spaces*, Ser. Math. Inform. **23** (2008), 39–47.
- [11] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND J. SANDOR, *Some inequalities in pre-Hilbertian spaces*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai-Mathematic, **32** (1987), 71–78.
- [12] R. G. DUGLUS, *On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 413–415.
- [13] C. F. DUNKL AND K. S. WILLIAMS, *A simple norm inequality*, Amer. Math. Monthly **71** (1964), 43–44.
- [14] A. GOLDSTEIN, J. V. RYFF AND L. E. CLARKE, *Problem 5473*, Amer. Math. Monthly **75** (1968), 309.
- [15] K. E. GUSTAFSON AND D. K. M. RAO, *Numerical range*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997).
- [16] J. K. HAN, H. Y. LEE AND W. Y. LEE, *Invertible completions of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 119–123.
- [17] Y. M. HAN AND J. H. SON, *On quasi-M-hyponormal operators*, Filomat **25** (2011), 37–52.
- [18] M. M. KUTKUT, *On the class of parahyponormal operators*, Jour of Mathe Sc., **4** (1993), 73–88.
- [19] K. B. LAURSEN, *Operators with finite ascent*, Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), 323–336.
- [20] K. B. LAURSEN AND M. M. NEUMANN, *An introduction to Local spectral theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 20. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [21] V. MANUILOV, M. S. MOSLEHIAN AND Q. XU, *Solvability of the equation $Ax = C$ for operators on Hilbert C^* -modules*, Journal: Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2020), 1139–1151.
- [22] M. S. MOSLEHIAN, *On (α, β) -normal operators in Hilbert spaces*, IMAGE, **39** (2007).
- [23] M. S. MOSLEHIAN, M. KIAN AND Q. XU, *Positivity of 2×2 block matrices of operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **13** (2019), 726–743.
- [24] D. SENTHILKUMAR AND P. MAHESWARI NAIK, *Weyl's theorem for algebraically absolute (p, r) -paranormal operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal., **5** (2011), 29–37.
- [25] B. SID AHMED AND O. A. M. SID AHMED, *On the class of n -power $D - m$ -quasi-normal operators on Hilbert spaces*, Operators and Matrices **14** (2020), 159–174.

(Received May 23, 2020)

R. Pradeep
 Department of Mathematics
 PSG College of Arts and Science
 Coimbatore-641 014, Tamil Nadu, India
 e-mail: pradeeph123@gmail.com

P. Maheswari Naik
 Department of Mathematics
 Sri Ramakrishna Engineering College
 Coimbatore-641 022, Tamil Nadu, India
 e-mail: maheswarinaik21@gmail.com

Sid Ahmed Ould Ahmed Mahmoud
 Mathematics Department, College of Science
 Jouf University
 Sakaka P. O. Box 2014, Saudi Arabia
 e-mail: sidahmed@ju.edu.sa, sidahmed.sidha@gmail.com