

DETERMINANTAL POLYNOMIALS OF A WEIGHTED SHIFT MATRIX WITH PALINDROMIC GEOMETRIC WEIGHTS

UNDRAKH BATZORIG

Dedicated to Professor Vandanjav Adiyasuren on the occasion of his retirement from National University of Mongolia.

(Communicated by I. M. Spitkovsky)

Abstract. We find an explicit expression of the determinantal polynomials of a weighted shift matrix with palindromic geometric weights.

1. Introduction

Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix. The numerical radius $w(A)$ of A is the maximum of the modulus of its numerical range defined by

$$W(A) = \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

The numerical range of A is a nonempty compact convex subset of the complex plane \mathbb{C} , which contains all the eigenvalues of A and therefore its convex hull [9]. For references on the theory of numerical range, see, for instance, [8, 10].

We consider a weighted shift matrix with weights a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} is an $n \times n$ matrix of the following form

$$S = S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & a_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The numerical range $W(S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}))$ of this weighted shift matrix is a circular disc with centered at the origin and the radius

$$w(S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})) = \max \{ z \in \mathbb{R} : \det(zI_n - \operatorname{Re}(S(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}))) = 0 \}.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 15A60, 47A12; Secondary 12D10, 30C15.

Keywords and phrases: Numerical range, weighted shift matrices, palindromic geometric weights.

A general formula of the characteristic polynomial

$$P_n(z : a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \det(zI_n - \operatorname{Re}(S(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})))$$

given in [13, Lemma 1] in terms of circularly symmetric functions of $|a_1|^2, |a_2|^2, \dots, |a_{n-1}|^2$. Namely,

$$P_n(z : a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = z^n + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \right)^k S_k(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) z^{n-2k},$$

where the circularly symmetric functions

$$S_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1} a_{i_1}^2 a_{i_2}^2 \cdots a_{i_k}^2, \quad (1)$$

the sum is taken over

$$1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k < n-1, \quad i_2 - i_1 \geq 2, i_3 - i_2 \geq 2, \dots, i_k - i_{k-1} \geq 2.$$

The subject of weighted shift matrices has attracted many authors, and they produce number of interesting papers [5, 7, 12, 13, 17]. For instance, in the case $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 1$, we have $w(S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})) = \cos(\pi/(n+1))$. The numerical radius of the weighted shift matrices $S(1, \dots, 1, a, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $S(1, \dots, a, a, 1, \dots, 1)$ were computed respectively in [5] and [15]. From (1) it is clear that the polynomial $P_n(z : a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ satisfies the equation

$$P_n(z : a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = P_n(z : |a_1|, \dots, |a_{n-1}|).$$

Hence we may assume the weights a_j are non-negative real numbers. We call the characteristic polynomial $P_n(z : a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ the *determinantal polynomial* of the weighted shift matrix $S(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. A weighted shift matrix $S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is called a *palindromic geometric weighted shift matrix* if the following hold:

- (i) the weights a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} satisfy the palindromic property: $a_j = a_{n-j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, that is, $a_1 = a_{n-1}, a_2 = a_{n-2}, \dots$ and
- (ii) the sequence (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) is geometric, in the sense that $a_1 = 1, a_2 = r, a_3 = r^2, \dots, a_m = r^{m-1}$ for odd $n = 2m+1$ (for even $n = 2m$).

In a previous joint work, [14] the author of the current paper and Adiyasuren provided the explicit expression of the determinantal polynomial

$$P_n(z : 1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{n-2})$$

for the weighted shift matrix with geometric weights $S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{n-2})$. The expression is given as the following

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(\zeta, r) &= \det(\zeta I_n - 2\operatorname{Re}(S(1, r, \dots, r^{n-2}))) \\ &= \zeta^n + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j (r^2)^{j(j-1)} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1 - (r^2)^{n-2j+i}}{1 - (r^2)^i} \zeta^{n-2j}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for $r \neq 1$. The determinantal polynomial $P_n(\zeta : 1, r, \dots, r^{n-2})$ is abbreviated to $P_n(\zeta, r)$. We take $P_2(\zeta, r) = \zeta^2 - 1$, $P_1(\zeta, r) = \zeta$, $P_0(\zeta, r) = 1$. The polynomial in (2) satisfy the following recurrence relation

$$P_n(\zeta, r) = \zeta P_{n-1}(\zeta, r) - (r^2)^{n-2} P_{n-2}(\zeta, r). \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we obtain the explicit expression of the determinantal polynomial $Q_n(z, r)$ of the weighted shift matrix $S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^2, r, 1)$ with palindromic geometric weights in Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 in terms of the polynomial $P_n(\zeta, r)$ in (2).

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let r be a real number and n be a positive integer. Then*

$$r^{n^2-2n} P_n\left(\frac{z}{r^{n-2}}, \frac{1}{r}\right) = P_n(z, r). \quad (4)$$

Proof. By a straightforward computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{n^2-2n} P_n\left(\frac{z}{r^{n-2}}, \frac{1}{r}\right) \\ &= r^{n^2-2n} \left(\left(\frac{z}{r^{n-2}}\right)^n + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \left(\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^2\right)^{j(j-1)} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1 - \left(\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^2\right)^{n-2j+i}}{1 - \left(\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^2\right)^i} \left(\frac{z}{r^{n-2}}\right)^{n-2j} \right) \\ &= z^n + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j (r)^{-2j(j-1)} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1 - \left(\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^2\right)^{n-2j+i}}{1 - \left(\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^2\right)^i} z^{n-2j} \cdot r^{n^2-2n-(n-2)(n-2j)} \\ &= z^n + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j (r)^{-2j(j-1)} \frac{(-1)^j}{(-1)^j} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1 - (r^2)^{n-2j+i}}{r^{2(n-2j+i)}} \frac{r^{2i}}{1 - (r^2)^i} z^{n-2j} \cdot r^{2nj-4j} \\ &= z^n + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \frac{(r)^{2nj-2j^2-2j}}{r^{2(n-2j)j}} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1 - (r^2)^{n-2j+i}}{1 - (r^2)^i} z^{n-2j} \\ &= z^n + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j (r)^{2j^2-2j} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1 - (r^2)^{n-2j+i}}{1 - (r^2)^i} z^{n-2j} \\ &= P_n(z, r). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

The main result is an explicit expression of the determinantal polynomials of the palindromic geometric weighted shift matrix. If we replace the shift matrix

$$S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{m-2}, r^{m-1}, r^{m-2}, \dots, r^2, r, 1)$$

by its scalar multiple

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r^{m-1}} S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{m-2}, r^{m-1}, r^{m-2}, \dots, r^2, r, 1) \\ &= S\left(\frac{1}{r^{m-1}}, \frac{1}{r^{m-2}}, \dots, \frac{1}{r}, 1, \frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r^{m-2}}, \frac{1}{r^{m-1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $r > 1$, $n = 2m+1$ is odd. So we could take its limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The limit S would be a weighted shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and the operator S satisfy $\text{tr}(|S|) < \infty$. We believe that the results of this paper helps to develop for the further study of related topics.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let m be a positive greater than 1. Then*

- a) *If $n = 2m$ is even with $S_n = S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{m-2}, r^{m-1}, r^{m-2}, \dots, r^2, r, 1)$, then the determinantal polynomial of S_n is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(z, r) &= P_m(z, r)^2 - r^{2m-2} P_{m-1}(z, r)^2 \\ &= (P_m(z, r) - r^{m-1} P_{m-1}(z, r)) (P_m(z, r) + r^{m-1} P_{m-1}(z, r)). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

- b) *If $n = 2m+1$ is odd with $S_n = S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{m-1}, r^{m-1}, \dots, r^2, r, 1)$, then the determinantal polynomial of S_n is given by*

$$Q_n(z, r) = P_m(z, r) (P_{m+1}(z, r) - r^{2m-2} P_{m-1}(z, r)). \quad (6)$$

Proof. First of all, for $n = 2m$ we expand the following $2m \times 2m$ determinant by $(m+1)$ -th column

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(zI_n - (S_n + S_n^*)) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z & -r^{m-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-1} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ = & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z & -r^{m-3} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-4} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & z \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^{2(m+1)} z \cdot \begin{array}{|c c c c c|c c c c c|} \hline & z & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ & -1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ & 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & -r^{m-2} & z & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & z & -r^{m-3} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-4} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & 0 & -r^{m-4} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & z \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccccc}
 z-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
 -1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-1} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-1} & -r^{m-2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & z & -r^{m-3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-4} & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots \vdots \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots \vdots \\
 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 z -r 0 \\
 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 -r z -1 \\
 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 0 -1 z
 \end{array} \right) \\
 + (-r^{m-1})(-1)^{2m+1} \cdot (8)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc|ccccc}
 z & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
 -1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r^{m-1} & -r^{m-1} & -r^{m-1} & r^{m-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-4} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & -r^{m-4} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\
 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & z
 \end{array} + (-r^{m-2})(-1)^{2m+3} \cdot (9)$$

The determinant (7) is a block diagonal, so which is equal to

$$(-1)^{2(m+1)} z P_m(z, r) r^{(m-3)(m-1)} P_{m-1} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r} \right) \quad (10)$$

The (8) determinant is block upper triangular which is equal to

$$(-r^{m-1})(-1)^{2m+1} \begin{vmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-1} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} z & -r^{m-3} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-4} & 0 & 0 & \cdots 0 \\ \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -r^2 & z & -r \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r & z \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ z & & & & & z \end{vmatrix}.$$

Expanding the last row of first determinant the last product is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-r^{m-1})(-1)^{2m+1} (-1)^{m+m} (-r^{m-1}) P_{m-1}(z, r) r^{(m-3)(m-1)} P_{m-1} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r} \right) \\ & = -r^{(m-1)^2} P_{m-1}(z, r) P_{m-1} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The (9) determinant is block lower triangular which is equal to

$$(-r^{m-2})(-1)^{2m+3} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -r^{m-2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-4} & 0 & 0 & \cdots 0 \\ 0 & -r^{m-4} & z & -r^{m-5} & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -r^2 & z & -r \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r & z \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ z & & & & & z \end{vmatrix}.$$

Expanding the last row of first determinant the last product is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-r^{m-2})(-1)^{2m+3} \cdot (-1)^{1+1} (-r^{m-2}) P_m(z, r) r^{(m-4)(m-2)} P_{m-2} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-4}}, \frac{1}{r} \right) \\ & = -r^{(m-2)^2} P_m(z, r) P_{m-2} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-4}}, \frac{1}{r} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus by (10), (11) and (12) we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(z, r) & = r^{m^2-4m+3} z P_m(z, r) P_{m-1} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r} \right) \\ & \quad -r^{(m-1)^2} P_{m-1}(z, r) P_{m-1} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r} \right) \\ & \quad -r^{(m-2)^2} P_m(z, r) P_{m-2} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-4}}, \frac{1}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the recurrence relation (3) and the identity (4) we can simplify the last equation further to

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_n(z, r) &= zP_m(z, r) \left[r^{m^2-4m+3} P_{m-1} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - r^{2m-2} P_{m-1}(z, r) \left[r^{(m-1)(m-3)} P_{m-1} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - r^{2m-4} P_m(z, r) \left[r^{(m-2)(m-4)} P_{m-2} \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-4}}, \frac{1}{r} \right) \right] \\
&= zP_m(z, r) [P_{m-1}(z, r)] - r^{2m-2} P_{m-1}(z, r) [P_{m-1}(z, r)] \\
&\quad - r^{2m-4} P_m(z, r) [P_{m-2}(z, r)] \\
&= zP_m(z, r) P_{m-1}(z, r) - r^{2m-2} [P_{m-1}(z, r)]^2 - r^{2m-4} P_m(z, r) P_{m-2}(z, r) \\
&= P_m(z, r) (zP_{m-1}(z, r) - r^{2m-4} P_{m-2}) - r^{2m-2} (P_{m-1}(z, r))^2 \\
&= (P_m(z, r))^2 - r^{2m-2} (P_{m-1}(z, r))^2 \quad (\text{by (3)}).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (5).

Second, for $n = 2m + 1$ we expand the following $(2m+1) \times (2m+1)$ determinant by $(m+1)$ -th column

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det(zI_n - (S_n + S_n^*)) \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z & -r^{m-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-1} & z & -r^{m-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-1} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z & -r^{m-3} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & z \end{vmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc|cccccc}
& z & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
& -1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
& 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\hline
= (-1)^{2(m+1)} z \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0
\end{array} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\left| \begin{array}{cccc|ccccc}
z-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
-1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
& 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \cdots & 0 \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-1} & -r^{m-1} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{z} & -r^{m-2} & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z & -r^{m-3} \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & 0 & -r^{m-3} & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & z
\end{array} \right| \\
+ (-1)^{2m+1} (-r^{m-1}) \cdot
\end{array} \tag{14}$$

$$+ (-1)^{(2m+3)}(-r^{m-1}) \cdot \left| \begin{array}{cccc|cccccc} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & -r^{m-3} & z & -r^{m-2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-1} & -r^{m-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^{m-2} & z & -r^{m-3} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & 0 & -r^{m-3} & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & z \end{array} \right| . \quad (15)$$

The determinant (13) is a block diagonal, so which is equal to

$$(-1)^{2(m+1)} z P_m(z, r) (r^{m-2})^m P_m \left(\frac{z}{r^{m-2}}, \frac{1}{r} \right). \quad (16)$$

The determinant (14) is a upper block diagonal, so which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{(m+1)+m}(-r^{m-1})(-1)^{m+m}(-r^{m-1})P_{m-1}(z, r)(r^{m-2})^m P_m\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-2}}, \frac{1}{r}\right) \\ &= -r^{m^2-2}P_{m-1}(z, r)P_m\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-2}}, \frac{1}{r}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The determinant (15) is a lower block diagonal, so which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{2m+3}P_m(z, r)(-r^{m-1})(-1)^{1+1}(-r^{m-1})(r^{m-3})^{m-1}P_{m-1}\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r}\right) \\ &= -r^{(m-1)^2}P_m(z, r)P_{m-1}\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Combining (16), (17) and (18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(z, r) &= r^{m^2-2m}zP_m(z, r)P_m\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-2}}, \frac{1}{r}\right) \\ &\quad -r^{m^2-2}P_{m-1}(z, r)P_m\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-2}}, \frac{1}{r}\right) - r^{(m-1)^2}P_m(z, r)P_{m-1}\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Further, by the recurrence relation (3) and the identity (4) we can simplify the last equation as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(z, r) &= zP_m(z, r)\left[r^{m^2-2m}P_m\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-2}}, \frac{1}{r}\right)\right] - r^{2m-2}P_{m-1}(z, r)\left[r^{m^2-2m}P_m\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-2}}, \frac{1}{r}\right)\right] \\ &\quad - r^{2m-2}P_m(z, r)\left[r^{(m-1)(m-3)}P_{m-1}\left(\frac{z}{r^{m-3}}, \frac{1}{r}\right)\right] \\ &= zP_m(z, r)[P_m(z, r)] - r^{2m-2}P_{m-1}(z, r)[P_m(z, r)] - r^{2m-2}P_m(z, r)[P_{m-1}(z, r)] \\ &= P_m(z, r)(zP_m(z, r) - 2r^{2m-2}P_{m-1}(z, r)) \\ &= P_m(z, r)(P_{m+1}(z, r) - r^{2m-2}P_{m-1}(z, r)) \quad (\text{by (3)}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

In a consequence of Theorem 1.2 we have the following result.

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let $n = 2m + 1$. If λ be the largest positive root of the polynomial $P_{m+1}(z, r) - (r^2)^{m-1}P_{m-1}(z, r)$, then*

$$w(S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{m-1}, r^{m-1}, \dots, r^2, r, 1)) = \lambda.$$

Proof. Recall the fact that the numerical radius of a weighted shift matrix S is the maximum of modulus the determinantal polynomial $\det(zI_n - (S + S^*)/2)$. It is clear that the matrix $S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{m-2})$ is the compression of the matrix $S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{m-1}, r^{m-1}, \dots, r^2, r, 1)$. So we must have that

$$w(S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{m-2})) < w(S(1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{m-1}, r^{m-1}, \dots, r^2, r, 1)).$$

Combining this with the formula (6) of Theorem 1.2 we conclude that the largest positive root of the polynomial $P_m(z, r)$ is less than the largest positive root of the polynomial $P_{m+1}(z, r) - (r^2)^{m-1}P_{m-1}(z, r)$. Now the assertion is immediate from the assumption. \square

To illustrate Corollary 1.3, by Theorem 1.2 we find the numerical radius of $S(1, r, r, 1)$, $S(1, r, r^2, r^2, r, 1)$ and $S(1, r, r^2, r^3, r^3, r^2, r, 1)$.

EXAMPLE 1.4. For $n = 5$, we apply the formula (6) for $m = 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_5(z, r) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= P_2(z, r) (P_3(z, r) - r^2 P_1(z, r)) \\ &= (z^2 - 1)(z^3 - (1 + 2r^2)z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the largest root of $Q_5(z, r)$ is $\frac{\sqrt{1+2r^2}}{2}$. On the other hand, Corollary 1.3 confirms that

$$w(S(1, r, r, 1)) = \frac{\sqrt{1+2r^2}}{2}.$$

EXAMPLE 1.5. For $n = 7$, we find the numerical radius of $S(1, r, r^2, r^2, r, 1)$ as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_7(z, r) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= P_3(z, r) [P_4(z, r) - r^4 P_2(z, r)] \\ &= (z^3 - (1 + r^2)z)(z^4 - (1 + r^2 + 2r^4)z^2 + 2r^4). \end{aligned}$$

In the above product, the largest positive root of the first term $P_3(z, r)$ is $\sqrt{1+r^2}$. And the largest positive root of the second term $z^4 - (1 + r^2 + 2r^4)z^2 + 2r^4$ is

$$\sqrt{\frac{1+r^2+2r^4+\sqrt{(1+r^2+2r^4)^2-8r^4}}{2}}.$$

A direct computation gives that

$$\sqrt{1+r^2} < \sqrt{\frac{1+r^2+2r^4+\sqrt{(1+r^2+2r^4)^2-8r^4}}{2}}.$$

So the latter is the largest positive root of $Q_7(z, r)$. On the other hand, Corollary 1.3 confirms that

$$w(S(1, r, r^2, r^2, r, 1)) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1+r^2+2r^4+\sqrt{(1+r^2+2r^4)^2-8r^4}}{2}}.$$

EXAMPLE 1.6. For $n=9$, we find the numerical radius of $S(1, r, r^2, r^3, r^3, r^2, r, 1)$ as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_9(z, r) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^3 & z & -r^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^3 & z & -r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= P_4(z, r) [P_5(z, r) - r^6 P_3(z, r)] \\ &= (z^4 - (1 + r^2 + r^4)z^2 + r^4) [z^5 - (1 + r^2 + r^4 + 2r^6)z^3 + (r^4 + 2r^6 + 2r^8)z] \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Corollary 1.3 we have

$$w(S(1, r, r^2, r^3, r^3, r^2, r, 1)) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1+r^2+r^4+2r^6+\sqrt{1+r^2+r^4+2r^6-4(r^4+r^6+2r^8)^2}}{2}}.$$

There is an another interesting consequence of Theorem 1.2. That is, for $n=2m$, the polynomial $Q_n(z, r)$ has two factors

$$Q_{n/1}(z, r) = P_m(z, r) + r^{m-1} P_{m-1}(z, r) \text{ and } Q_{n/2}(z, r) = P_m(z, r) - r^{m-1} P_{m-1}(z, r).$$

According to $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, these two factors related as the following.

COROLLARY 1.7. Let $n=2m$. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then the polynomials $Q_{n/1}(z, r)$ and $Q_{n/2}(z, r)$ satisfies

$$Q_{n/1}(z, r) = Q_{n/2}(-z, r). \quad (19)$$

If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then the polynomials $Q_{n/1}(z, r)$ and $Q_{n/2}(z, r)$ satisfies

$$Q_{n/1}(z, r) = -Q_{n/2}(-z, r). \quad (20)$$

Proof. The proof is immediate from the expression (2). \square

We assume that $r > 0, r \neq 1$. It would be natural to ask the question:

PROBLEM. Which factor $Q_{n,1}(z,r)$ and $Q_{n,2}(z,r)$ has the greatest positive root of the polynomial $Q_n(z,r)$?

The author unable to give a answer to this problem. We are able to find the largest positive root of the determinantal polynomial of the palindromic geometric weighted shift matrix $S(1,r,1)$.

EXAMPLE 1.8. For $n = 4$, by the formula (5) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_4(z,r) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (P_2(z,r))^2 - r^2 (P_1(z,r))^2 \\ &= (P_2(z,r) - rP_1(z,r)) (P_2(z,r) + rP_1(z,r)) \\ &= (z^2 - rz - 1) (z^2 + rz - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the largest root of $Q_4(z,r)$ is $\frac{r + \sqrt{r^2 + 4}}{2}$. This implies that

$$w(S(1,r,1)) = \frac{r + \sqrt{r^2 + 4}}{4}.$$

REMARK 1.9. It is interesting to note that if we calculate directly the determinant $Q_4(z,r)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_4(z,r) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= z^4 - (r^2 + 2)z^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then its the largest root is $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{r^2 + 2 + \sqrt{r^4 + 4r^2}}{2}}$. But we can observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{r^2 + 2 + \sqrt{r^4 + 4r^2}}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{r^2 + 2r\sqrt{r^2 + 4} + (r^2 + 4)}{4}} \\ &= \frac{r + \sqrt{r^2 + 4}}{4} \end{aligned}$$

To illustrate Corollary 1.7 and the proposed problem, by Theorem 1.2 we find the determinantal polynomials of $S(1,r,r^2,r,1)$ and $S(1,r,r^2,r^3,r^2,r,1)$.

EXAMPLE 1.10. For $n = 6$, we find the determinantal polynomial of $S(1, r, r^2, r, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_6(z, r) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (P_3(z, r))^2 - r^4 (P_2(z, r))^2 \\ &= (P_3(z, r) - r^2 P_2(z, r)) (P_3(z, r) + r^2 P_2(z, r)) \\ &= (z^3 - r^2 z^2 - (1+r^2)z + r^2) (z^3 + r^2 z^2 - (1+r^2)z - r^2). \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 1.11. For $n = 8$, we find the determinantal polynomial of $S(1, r, r^2, r^3, r^2, r, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_8(z, r) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & z & -r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r & z & -r^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^3 & z & -r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 & z & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0 & -1 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (P_4(z, r))^2 - r^6 (P_3(z, r))^2 \\ &= (P_4(z, r) - r^3 P_3(z, r)) (P_4(z, r) + r^3 P_3(z, r)) \\ &= (z^4 - r^3 z^3 - (1+r^2+r^4)z^2 + r^3(1+r^2)z + r^4) \\ &\quad \cdot (z^4 + r^3 z^3 - (1+r^2+r^4)z^2 - r^3(1+r^2)z + r^4). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements. The author is indebted to the anonymous reviewer for carefully reading the manuscript and giving many insightful comments and suggestions. Corollary 1.7 is due to the referee's observation. The author was supported by Mongolian Science and Technology Foundation grant 3281.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. BERGER AND J. G. STAMPFLI, *Mapping theorems for the numerical range*, American Journal of Mathematics 89: 1047–1055, 1967.
- [2] X. J. CHEN AND T. YAMAMOTO, *Numerical Analysis*, Corona Publishing, Tokyo, 2002.
- [3] M. T. CHIEN, H. NAKAZATO, *The numerical radius of a weighted shift operators with geometric weights*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 18 (2009) 58–63.
- [4] M. T. CHIEN, H. NAKAZATO, B. UNDRAKH, A. VANDANJAV, *Determinantal polynomials of a weighted shift operator*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 64: 1 (2016) 2–13.
- [5] M. T. CHIEN AND H. A. SHEU, *The numerical radii of weighted shift matrices and operators*, Operators and Matrices 7: 197–204, 2013.

- [6] M. FIEDLER, *A property of eigenvectors of nonnegative symmetric matrices and its application to graph theory*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 25: 619–633, 1975.
- [7] H. L. GAU, M. C. TSAI, H. C. WANG, *Weighted shift matrices: Unitary equivalence, reducibility and numerical ranges*, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 498–513.
- [8] K. GUSTAFSON AND D. K. RAO, *Numerical Range*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997).
- [9] F. HAUSDORFF, *Das wertevorrat einer bilinearform*, Math. Z. 3 (1919) 314–316.
- [10] R. A. HORN AND C. R. JOHNSON, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991).
- [11] W. RIDGE, *Numerical range of a weighted shift with periodic weights*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 55: 107–110, 1976.
- [12] A. L. SHIELDS, *Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory*, Topics in Operator Theory, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 13, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 49–128, 1974.
- [13] Q. T. STOUT, *The numerical range of a weighted shift*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 88: 495–502, 1983.
- [14] A. VANDANJAV, B. UNDRAKH, *On the numerical range of the weighted shift operators with geometric and harmonic weights*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 23 (2012) 578–585.
- [15] A. VANDANJAV, B. UNDRAKH, *On the numerical range of some weighted shift matrices and operators*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 449: 76–88, 2014.
- [16] B. UNDRAKH, H. NAKAZATO, A. VANDANJAV, M. T. CHIEN, *The numerical radius of a weighted shift operator*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 30 (2015) 944–963.
- [17] K.-Z. WANG AND P. Y. WU, *Numerical ranges of weighted shifts*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 381: 897–909, 2011.

(Received September 21, 2021)

Undrakh Batzorig

Department of Mathematics
National University of Mongolia
Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

e-mail: undrakhbatzorig@gmail.com,
batzorig_u@num.edu.mn