

APPROXIMATE EQUIVALENCE IN VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

QIHUI LI, DON HADWIN AND WENJING LIU

(Communicated by I. Klep)

Abstract. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable unital ASH C^* -algebra, \mathcal{M} is a sigma-finite II_∞ factor von Neumann algebra, and $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the range projections of $\pi(a)$ and $\rho(a)$ are Murray von Neuman equivalent in \mathcal{M} . We prove that π and ρ are approximately unitarily equivalent modulo $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$, where $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the norm closed ideal generated by the finite projections in \mathcal{M} . We also prove a very general result concerning approximate equivalence in arbitrary finite von Neumann algebras.

1. Introduction

In 1977 D. Voiculescu [15] proved a remarkable theorem concerning approximate (unitary) equivalence for representations of a separable unital C^* -algebra on a separable Hilbert space. The beauty of the theorem is that the characterization was in purely algebraic terms. This was made explicit in the reformulation of Voiculescu's theorem [7] in terms of rank.

THEOREM 1. [15] *Suppose $B(H)$ is the set of operators on a separable Hilbert space H and $\mathcal{K}(H)$ is the ideal of compact operators. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable unital C^* -algebra, and $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms. The following are equivalent:*

1. *There is a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of unitary operators in $B(H)$ such that*

$$(a) \ U_n \pi(a) U_n^* - \rho(a) \in \mathcal{K}(H) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and every } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

$$(b) \ \|U_n \pi(a) U_n^* - \rho(a)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for every } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

2. *There is a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of unitary operators in $B(H)$ such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$\|U_n \pi(a) U_n^* - \rho(a)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 47C15 (46L10).

Keywords and phrases: Approximate equivalence, semifinite von Neumann algebra, ASH C^* -algebra, center-valued trace, \mathcal{M} -rank.

The first author was partially supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11871021). The second author was supported by a Collaboration Grant from the Simons Foundation. The third author is supported by a grant from the Eric Nordgren Research Fellowship Fund.

3. For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\text{rank}(\pi(a)) = \text{rank}(\rho(a)).$$

4. $\ker \pi = \ker \rho$, and $\pi|_{\text{span}^{-\|\cdot\|}(\cup\{\text{ran}\pi(a): \pi(a) \in \mathcal{K}(H)\})}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\rho|_{\text{span}^{-\|\cdot\|}(\cup\{\text{ran}\rho(a): \rho(a) \in \mathcal{K}(H)\})}$.

If $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism, we will write $\pi \sim_a \rho$ in $B(H)$ to mean that statement (2) in the preceding theorem holds and we will write $\pi \sim_a \rho$ ($\mathcal{K}(H)$) in $B(H)$ to indicate statements (1) and (2) hold. When the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is not separable, $\pi \sim_a \rho$ means that there is a net of unitaries $\{U_\lambda\}$ such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|U_\lambda \pi(a) U_\lambda^* - \rho(a)\| \rightarrow 0$. It was shown in [7] that $\pi \sim_a \rho$ if and only if $\text{rank}(\pi(a)) = \text{rank}(\rho(a))$ always holds even when \mathcal{A} or H is not separable, where, for $T \in B(H)$, $\text{rank}(T)$ is the Hilbert-space dimension of the projection $\mathfrak{R}(T)$ onto the closure of the range of T .

Later Huiru Ding and the second author [4] extended the notion of rank to operators in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , i.e., if $T \in \mathcal{M}$, then \mathcal{M} -rank(T) is the Murray von Neumann equivalence class of the projection $\mathfrak{R}(T)$ onto the closure of the range of T . If p and q are projections in a C^* -algebra \mathcal{W} , we say that p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in \mathcal{W} , written $p \sim q$, if there is a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $v^*v = p$ and $vv^* = q$. Thus \mathcal{M} -rank(T) = \mathcal{M} -rank(S) if and only if $\mathfrak{R}(S) \sim \mathfrak{R}(T)$. In [4] they extended Voiculescu's theorem for representations of a separable AH C^* -algebra into a von Neumann algebra on a separable Hilbert space, i.e., $\pi \sim_a \rho$ in \mathcal{M} if and only if, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\pi(a)) = \mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\rho(a)).$$

When the algebra \mathcal{A} is ASH, their characterization works when the von Neumann algebra is a II_1 factor [4]. (See Theorem 4.) In [2] A. Ciuperca, T. Giordano, P. W. Ng, and Z. Niu found a limit for the results in [4]. We say that two representations $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are *weak*-approximately equivalent* if and only if, there are nets $\{U_\lambda\}$ and $\{V_\lambda\}$ of unitary operators in \mathcal{M} such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\text{weak}^*\text{-}\lim U_\lambda^* \pi(a) U_\lambda = \rho(a) \text{ and } \text{weak}^*\text{-}\lim V_\lambda^* \rho(a) V_\lambda = \pi(a).$$

They proved that a separable unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is nuclear if and only if, for every von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , and all representations $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, we have that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, \mathcal{M} -rank($\pi(a)$) = \mathcal{M} -rank($\rho(a)$), implies that π and ρ are weak*-approximately equivalent.

Therefore the central questions in this subject are:

QUESTION 1. Are the results in [4] true whenever \mathcal{A} is nuclear?

Another important question involves the analogue of part 1 (a) of Theorem 1 holds when \mathcal{M} is a semifinite and $\mathcal{K}(H)$ is replaced with the norm closed ideal $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ generated by the finite projections in \mathcal{M} .

QUESTION 2. If $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are approximately equivalent representations from a separable unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} into a semifinite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} acting on a separable Hilbert space, does there exist a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of unitary operators in \mathcal{M} such that

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U_n^* \pi(a) U_n - \rho(a)\| = 0$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and
2. $U_n \pi(a) U_n^* - \rho(a) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $a \in \mathcal{A}$?

If these two conditions hold, we write $\pi \sim_a \rho$ ($\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$).

When \mathcal{A} is abelian the second author and Rui Shi [9] proved that Question 2 has an affirmative answer when \mathcal{M} is a sigma-finite II_{∞} factor. This was extended to the case of AF C^* -algebras by Shilin Wen, Junsheng Fang and Rui Shi [5], and to the case when \mathcal{A} is an AH C^* -algebra, and by Junhao Shen and Rui Shi [14].

In this paper we show (Theorem 5) that Question 1 has an affirmative answer when \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra and \mathcal{A} satisfies the property that, for every finite subset F of \mathcal{A} and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a type I von Neumann algebra \mathcal{B} contained in the second dual $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ such that, for every $x \in F$,

$$\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{B}) < \varepsilon.$$

If this happens we say that \mathcal{A} is *approximately type I* in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$. This class of C^* -algebras contains the ASH algebras and algebras that are direct limits of GCR C^* -algebras. For these theorems there are no assumptions on \mathcal{A} being separable or \mathcal{M} acting on a separable Hilbert space. We say that \mathcal{A} is *approximately finite type I* in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ if the type I algebra \mathcal{B} can always be chosen to be a finite type I von Neumann algebra. It is clear that this latter property implies that \mathcal{A} is strongly quasidiagonal. We do not know if this property is equivalent to strong quasidiagonality.

In [7] the second author extended Voiculescu's theorem (Theorem 1) in another way:

THEOREM 2. [7] *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable unital C^* -algebra, H is a separable Hilbert space, and $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ are unital representations. The following are equivalent:*

1. For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\text{rank} \pi(a) \leq \text{rank}(\rho(a))$$

2. There is a representation σ such that

$$\rho \sim_a \pi \oplus \sigma.$$

An analogue of this result was proved in [9] when \mathcal{M} is a II_1 factor and \mathcal{A} is abelian. This result was further extended to the case when \mathcal{A} is AF by Shilin Wen, Junsheng Fang and Rui Shi [5]. We extend this result to the case when there is an LF C^* -algebra \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$. This class of algebras includes the ASH C^* -algebras.

The proof of Voiculescu's theorem (Theorem 1) have two parts.

The "easy part" involves the compact operators. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable unital C^* -algebra and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\ell^2)$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism. Then $\sup\{\mathfrak{R}(\pi(a)) : \pi(a) \in \mathcal{K}(\ell^2)\}$ reduces π and leads to a decomposition

$$\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{K}(H)} \oplus \pi_1.$$

The “easy part” says that if $\pi \sim_a \rho$, then $\pi_{\mathcal{K}(H)}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{K}(H)}$ must be unitarily equivalent. Using descriptions of C^* -algebras of compact operators and their representations (see [1]), and it is not too hard to show that the equality of rank conditions imply that $\pi_{\mathcal{K}(H)}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{K}(H)}$ are unitarily equivalent. When $B(H)$ is replaced with a sigma-finite type II_∞ factor von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{K}(H)$ is replaced with the closed ideal $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ generated by the finite projections, the hard part is harder (and unsolved) and the easy part is not true. For example, if \mathcal{M} is the set of all bounded operator matrices (A_{ij}) with each A_{ij} in the free group factor $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \subset B(\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_2))$, and U, V are the unitary generators of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$, then $A = \text{diag}(U, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $B = \text{diag}(V, 0, 0, \dots)$ are in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and are approximately equivalent, but not unitarily equivalent. If $\mathcal{A} = C^*(A)$, $\pi(A) = A$ and $\rho(A) = B$, then $\pi \sim_a \rho$ in \mathcal{M} , but $\pi_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ are not unitarily equivalent in \mathcal{M} . However, $\pi_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ are approximately equivalent. So the analogue of the “easy” part must look something like

$$\pi_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}} \sim_a \rho_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

In Theorem 7 we prove that this holds in a very general setting when \mathcal{A} is a separable unital ASH algebra. One of our main results (Theorem 8) gives an affirmative answer to both Questions 1 and 2 when \mathcal{A} is a separable ASH C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a semifinite von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space.

The “hard” part of the proof of Voiculescu’s theorem is showing that if $\mathcal{A} \subset B(\ell^2)$ is a separable unital C^* -algebra, $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\ell^2)$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism such that $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2) \cap \mathcal{A} \subset \ker \pi$, then

$$id_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \pi \sim_a id_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{K}(\ell^2)),$$

where $id_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the identity representation on \mathcal{A} .

In a deep and beautiful paper [12], Qihui Li, Junhao Shen, and Rui Shi proved the best-to-date version of the “hard” part.

THEOREM 3. [12] *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable nuclear C^* -algebra, \mathcal{M} is a sigma-finite type II_∞ factor von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the closed ideal generated by the finite projections in \mathcal{M} . If $\pi, \sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms such that*

$$\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}) \subset \ker \rho,$$

then

$$\pi \sim_a \pi \oplus \sigma(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

2. Finite von Neumann algebras

A separable C^* -algebra is AF if it is a direct limit of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras. A separable C^* -algebra is *homogeneous* if it is a finite direct sum of algebras of the form $\mathbb{M}_n(C(X))$, where X is a compact Hausdorff space. A unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is *subhomogeneous* if there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that every irreducible representation is on a Hilbert space of dimension at most n ; equivalently, if $x^n = 0$ for every nilpotent $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Every subhomogeneous algebra is a subalgebra of a homogeneous one. Every

subhomogeneous von Neumann algebra is homogeneous; in particular, if \mathcal{A} is subhomogeneous, then $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is homogeneous, i.e., $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is a finite direct sum of algebras of the form $\mathbb{M}_n(L^\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu))$ with (X, Σ, μ) a measure space. A C^* -algebra is approximately subhomogeneous (ASH) if it is a direct limit of subhomogeneous C^* -algebras. A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is GCR (Type I) if for every irreducible representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ we have $\mathcal{K}(H) \subset \pi(\mathcal{A})$. Thus every subhomogeneous C^* -algebra is GCR and every ASH C^* -algebra is a direct limit of GCR C^* -algebras. It was proved by Glimm [6] that a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is GCR if and only if, for every representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$, $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is a type I von Neumann algebra. This is equivalent to saying $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is a type I von Neumann algebra.

There has been a lot of work determining which separable C^* -algebras are AF-embeddable. A (possibly nonseparable) C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} is LF if, for every finite subset $F \subset \mathcal{B}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{B} such that, for every $b \in F$, $\text{dist}(b, \mathcal{D}) < \varepsilon$. Every separable unital C^* -subalgebra of a LF C^* -algebra is contained in a separable AF subalgebra [3]. A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is AL if, for every finite subset $F \subset \mathcal{A}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{A} such that, for every $x \in F$, $\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{D}) < \varepsilon$. We say that a unital C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{B} of a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{E} is *relatively LF in \mathcal{E}* if and only if, for every finite subset $F \subset \mathcal{B}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{E} such that, for every $b \in F$, $\text{dist}(b, \mathcal{D}) < \varepsilon$.

We are interested in the property that a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is relatively LF in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$. If \mathcal{A} is subhomogeneous, then $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is a finite direct sum of algebras of the form $\mathbb{M}_n(L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu))$ with (Ω, Σ, μ) a measure space. If $\{E_1, \dots, E_s\}$ is a measurable partition of Ω , then the set of matrices of the form (f_{ij}) with each f_{ij} in the linear span of $\{\chi_{E_1}, \dots, \chi_{E_s}\}$ is an sn^2 -dimensional C^* -subalgebra of $\mathbb{M}_n(L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu))$. Since the set of $n \times n$ matrices of simple functions is dense in $\mathbb{M}_n(L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu))$, we see that $\mathbb{M}_n(L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu))$ is LF. If \mathcal{A} is ASH, then there is a sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$ of subhomogeneous C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \dots \text{ and } \mathcal{A} = (\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n)^{-\|\!\|}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A} \subset (\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})^{-\|\!\|} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ and $(\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n)^{-\|\!\|}$ is LF. Thus every subhomogeneous C^* -algebra is relatively LF in its second dual.

For LF C^* -algebras we can prove an approximate equivalence theorem for representation into an arbitrary unital C^* -algebra.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose \mathcal{B} is a unital LF C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{M}_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathcal{W} is a unital C^* -algebra.*

1. *If $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms and $\pi(e_{11,s}) \sim \rho(e_{11,s})$ for $1 \leq s \leq k$, where $\{e_{ij,s}\}$ is the system of matrix units for $\mathbb{M}_{n_s}(\mathbb{C})$, then π and ρ are unitarily equivalent in \mathcal{W} .*
2. *If $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms such that $\pi(p) \sim \rho(p)$ in \mathcal{W} for every projection $p \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\pi \sim_a \rho$ in \mathcal{W} .*

Proof. (1) Since $e_{ii,s} \sim e_{11,s}$ in \mathcal{D} for $1 \leq i \leq n_s$ and $1 \leq s \leq k$, we see that $\pi(e_{ii,s}) \sim \rho(e_{ii,s})$ in \mathcal{W} for $1 \leq i \leq n_s$ and $1 \leq s \leq k$. It follows from [4, Theorem 2] that π and ρ are unitarily equivalent in \mathcal{W} .

(2) Suppose Λ is the set of all pairs $\lambda = (F_\lambda, \varepsilon_\lambda)$ with F_λ a finite subset of \mathcal{B} and $\varepsilon_\lambda > 0$. Clearly Λ is directed by (\subset, \geq) . For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we can choose a finite-dimensional algebra $\mathcal{D}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that, for every $x \in F_\lambda$, $\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{D}_\lambda) < \varepsilon_\lambda$. It follows from part (1) that there is a unitary operator $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{W}$ such that, for every $x \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$, $U_\lambda \pi(x) U_\lambda^* = \rho(x)$. For each $a \in F_\lambda$, we can choose $x_a \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$ such that $\|a - x_a\| < \varepsilon_\lambda$. Hence, for every $a \in F_\lambda$

$$\|U_\lambda \pi(a) U_\lambda^* - \rho(a)\| = \|U_\lambda \pi(a - x_a) U_\lambda^* - \rho(a - x_a)\| < 2\varepsilon_\lambda.$$

It follows that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\lim_\lambda \|U_\lambda \pi(a) U_\lambda^* - \rho(a)\| = 0. \quad \square$$

A key property of a finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is that there is a faithful normal tracial conditional expectation $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ from \mathcal{M} to its center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$, and that for projections p and q in \mathcal{M} , we have p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent if and only if $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(p) = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(q)$. (See [11].) The map $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is called the *center-valued trace* on \mathcal{M} . Note that in the next lemma and the theorem that follows, there is no separability assumption on the C*-algebra \mathcal{A} or the dimension of the Hilbert space on which \mathcal{M} acts. This lemma appears in [2] and [8].

LEMMA 2. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a (possibly nonunital) C*-algebra, \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra. If $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are *-homomorphisms, the following are equivalent:*

1. For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\pi(a)) = \mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\rho(a)),$$

2. $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \rho$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). We can extend π and ρ to weak*-weak* continuous *-homomorphisms $\hat{\pi}, \hat{\rho} : \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Suppose $0 < \alpha < 1$ and define $f_\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$f(t) = \text{dist}(t, [0, \alpha]).$$

Since $f(0) = 0$, we see that $f(x) \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\chi_{(\alpha, 1]}(x) = \text{weak}^*\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x)^{1/n} \in \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$, so

$$\Re(f(x)) = \chi_{(\alpha, 1]}(x).$$

It follows that

$$\hat{\pi}(\chi_{(\alpha, 1]}(x)) = \Re(\pi(f_\alpha(x))) = \chi_{(\alpha, 1]}(\pi(x))$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}(\chi_{(\alpha,1]}(x)) = \Re(\rho(f_\alpha(x))) = \chi_{(\alpha,1]}(\rho(x)).$$

Hence

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{\pi}(\chi_{(\alpha,1]}(x))) = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{\rho}(\chi_{(\alpha,1]}(x))).$$

Suppose $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Since $\chi_{(\alpha,\beta]} = \chi_{(\alpha,1]} - \chi_{(\beta,1]}$, we see that

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{\pi}(\chi_{(\alpha,\beta]}(x))) = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{\rho}(\chi_{(\alpha,\beta]}(x))).$$

Thus, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\hat{\pi}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k}{n} \chi_{(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]}(x)\right)\right) = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\hat{\rho}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k}{n} \chi_{(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]}(x)\right)\right).$$

Since, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\|x - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k}{n} \chi_{(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]}(x)\right\| \leq 1/n,$$

it follows that

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\pi(x)) = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{\pi}(x)) = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\hat{\rho}(x)) = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\rho(x)).$$

Since \mathcal{A} is the linear span of its positive contractions, $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \rho$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). This is contained in [4]. \square

THEOREM 4. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is relatively LF in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ and \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra. If $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms, then the following are equivalent:*

1. $\pi \sim_a \rho$ in \mathcal{M} .
2. \mathcal{M} -rank($\pi(a)$) = \mathcal{M} -rank($\rho(a)$) for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
3. $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \rho$.

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (1). We can extend π and ρ to weak $*$ -weak $*$ continuous $*$ -homomorphisms $\hat{\pi}, \hat{\rho} : \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Since $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is weak $*$ -weak $*$ continuous, it follows that $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \hat{\pi} = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \hat{\rho}$.

Let

$$\Lambda = \{(F, \varepsilon) : F \subset \mathcal{A}, F \text{ is finite}, \varepsilon > 0\},$$

ordered by the relation (\subset, \geq) . Suppose $\lambda = (F, \varepsilon) \in \Lambda$. Since \mathcal{A} is relatively LF in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$, there is a finite-dimensional algebra $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ such that, for every $x \in F$,

$$\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{B}) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, for each $x \in F$ there is a $b_x \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\|x - b_x\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

We know from Lemma 1 that $\hat{\pi}|_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\hat{\rho}|_{\mathcal{B}}$ are unitarily equivalent in \mathcal{M} . Hence, there is a unitary $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$ such that, for every $b \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$U_\lambda^* \hat{\pi}(B) U_\lambda = \hat{\rho}(b).$$

Thus, for every $x \in F$,

$$\|U_\lambda^* \pi(x) U_\lambda - \rho(x)\| \leq \|U_\lambda^* \hat{\pi}(x - b_x) U_\lambda\| + \|\hat{\rho}(b_x - x)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\lim_{\lambda} \|U_\lambda^* \pi(x) U_\lambda - \rho(x)\| = 0.$$

Thus $\pi \sim_a \rho$ (\mathcal{M}).

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose $\{U_\lambda\}$ is a net of unitaries in \mathcal{M} such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\|U_\lambda \pi(a) U_\lambda^* - \rho(a)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, since $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is tracial and continuous,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\rho(a)) = \lim_{\lambda} \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(U_\lambda \pi(a) U_\lambda^*) = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\pi(a)).$$

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Assume (3). Then, for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\Re(\pi(a))) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\pi\left((aa^*)^{1/n}\right)\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\rho\left((aa^*)^{1/n}\right)\right) \\ &= \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(\Re(\rho(a))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Re(\pi(a)) \sim \Re(\rho(a))$. Thus $\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\pi(a)) = \mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\rho(a))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). This is Lemma 2. \square

REMARK 1. It is important to note that the proof of (2) \Rightarrow (3) in Theorem 4 holds even when \mathcal{A} is not unital.

Here is our main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 5. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra that is approximately type I in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$, \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra, and $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms such that*

$$(\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}) \circ \pi = (\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}) \circ \rho.$$

Then $\pi \sim_a \rho$ in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Let $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ be the center-valued trace on \mathcal{M} . Let $\hat{\pi}, \hat{\rho} : \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the weak*-continuous extensions of π and ρ . Then $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \hat{\pi} = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \hat{\rho}$, or

$$(\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}) \circ \hat{\pi} = (\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}) \circ \hat{\rho}.$$

In particular, $\ker \hat{\pi} = \ker \hat{\rho}$ is a weak*-closed ideal in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$, so there is a projection $Q \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}^{\#\#})$ such that

$$\ker \hat{\pi} = \ker \hat{\rho} = (1 - Q)\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}.$$

Thus $\hat{\pi}, \hat{\rho} : Q\mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is an embedding. Since $Q\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of \mathcal{M} , we know that $Q\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra and a summand of $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$. Suppose \mathcal{N} is a type I von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$. Then $Q\mathcal{N}$ is a type I von Neumann subalgebra of $Q\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$. Since $Q\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ is finite, $Q\mathcal{N}$ is a finite type I von Neumann algebra. Thus there is an orthogonal sequence $\{e_n\}$ of projections in the center of $Q\mathcal{N}$ whose sum is Q such that

$$Q\mathcal{N} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}^{\oplus} e_k Q\mathcal{N}$$

and each $e_k Q\mathcal{N}$ is a type I_k von Neumann algebra and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_k(L^\infty(\mu_k))$ acting on

$$L^2(\mu_k)^{(n)} = L^2(\mu_k) \oplus \cdots \oplus L^2(\mu_k)$$

for some measure space (X_k, Σ_k, μ_k) . Clearly, $e_k Q\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{M}_k(L^\infty(\mu_k))$ is an AL C^* -algebra. Since $\hat{\pi}(Q) = \hat{\rho}(Q) = 1$, it follows that

$$1 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{\pi}(e_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{\rho}(e_n).$$

Since, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}) \circ \hat{\pi}(e_n) = (\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}) \circ \hat{\rho}(e_n)$ we see that the projections $\hat{\pi}(e_n)$ and $\hat{\rho}(e_n)$ are unitarily equivalent in \mathcal{M} . Thus there is a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$U \hat{\pi}(e_n) U^* = \hat{\rho}(e_n).$$

By replacing π with $U\pi(\cdot)U^*$, we can assume, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that

$$\hat{\pi}(e_n) = \hat{\rho}(e_n).$$

We now have $\hat{\pi}|_{e_n Q\mathcal{N}}, \hat{\rho}|_{e_n Q\mathcal{N}} : e_n Q\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \hat{\pi}(e_n)\mathcal{M}\hat{\pi}(e_n)$. Since $e_n Q\mathcal{N}$ is AL and $\hat{\pi}(e_n)\mathcal{M}\hat{\pi}(e_n)$ is a finite von Neumann algebra, it follows from Theorem 4 that $\hat{\pi}|_{e_n Q\mathcal{N}}$ and $\hat{\rho}|_{e_n Q\mathcal{N}}$ are approximately equivalent in $\hat{\pi}(e_n)\mathcal{M}\hat{\pi}(e_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\hat{\pi}|_{Q\mathcal{N}}, \hat{\rho}|_{Q\mathcal{N}} : Q\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\oplus} \hat{\pi}(e_n)\mathcal{M}\hat{\pi}(e_n)$ and

$$\hat{\pi}|_{Q\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\oplus} \hat{\pi}|_{e_n Q\mathcal{N}} \text{ and } \hat{\rho}|_{Q\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\oplus} \hat{\rho}|_{e_n Q\mathcal{N}},$$

we easily see that $\hat{\pi}|_{Q\mathcal{N}}$ and $\hat{\rho}|_{Q\mathcal{N}}$ are approximately equivalent in \mathcal{M} . Since $\hat{\pi}|_{(1-Q)\mathcal{N}} = \hat{\rho}|_{(1-Q)\mathcal{N}} = 0$, we see that $\hat{\pi}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\hat{\rho}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ are approximately equivalent in \mathcal{M} .

Let $\Lambda = \{(F, \varepsilon) : F \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ is finite, } \varepsilon > 0\}$ directed by the partial order $(\subset, >)$. Suppose $\lambda = (F, \varepsilon) \in \Lambda$. Since \mathcal{A} approximately type I in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$, we know that there is a type I von Neumann subalgebra \mathcal{N} of $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ such that, for every $T \in F$,

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{N}) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Thus, for each $T \in F$, there is an $x_T \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\|T - x_T\| < \varepsilon/37$.

Thus $\|\hat{\pi}(x_T) - \pi(T)\| < \varepsilon/37$ and $\|\hat{\rho}(x_T) - \rho(T)\| < \varepsilon/37$ whenever $T \in F$. Since $\{x_T : T \in F\}$ is finite and $\hat{\pi}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\hat{\rho}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ are approximately equivalent in \mathcal{M} , there is a unitary $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\|U_\lambda \hat{\pi}(x_T) U_\lambda^* - \hat{\rho}(x_T)\| < \varepsilon/37$$

for every $T \in F$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U_\lambda \pi(T) U_\lambda^* - \rho(T)\| \\ & \leq \|U_\lambda \hat{\pi}(x_T) U_\lambda^* - \hat{\rho}(x_T)\| + \|U_\lambda \hat{\pi}(T - x_T) U_\lambda^*\| + \|\hat{\rho}(T - x_T)\| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $T \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\lim_{\lambda} \|U_\lambda \pi(T) U_\lambda^* - \rho(T)\| = 0.$$

Hence π and ρ are approximately equivalent in \mathcal{M} . \square

In [7] it was shown that if \mathcal{A} is a separable unital C^* -algebra and π and ρ are representations on a separable Hilbert space such that, for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\text{rank} \pi(x) \leq \text{rank} \rho(x),$$

then there is a representation σ such that

$$\pi \oplus \sigma \sim_a \rho.$$

In [9], Rui Shi and the first author proved an analogue for representations of separable abelian C^* -algebras into II_1 factor von Neumann algebras. This result was extended by Shilin Wen, Junsheng Fang and Rui Shi [5] to separable AF C^* -algebras. We extend this result further, including separable ASH C^* -algebras.

THEOREM 6. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable C^* -algebra and there is an LF C^* -algebra \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$. Suppose also that \mathcal{M} is a II_1 factor von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ . Suppose P is a projection in \mathcal{M} and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow PMP$ and $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\pi(a)) \leq \mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\rho(a)).$$

Then there is a unital $$ -homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow P^\perp \mathcal{M} P^\perp$ such that*

$$\pi \oplus \sigma \sim_a \rho(\mathcal{M}).$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4 choose a separable AF C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{D}$, and extend π and ρ to unital weak*-weak* continuous $*$ -homomorphisms $\hat{\pi}$ and $\hat{\rho}$ with domain $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$. It was shown in [4] that the condition on π and ρ is equivalent to: for every $a \in \mathcal{M}$ with $0 \leq a$, $\tau(\pi(a)) \leq \tau(\rho(a))$. It follows from weak* continuity that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ with $0 \leq a$, $\tau(\hat{\pi}(a)) \leq \tau(\hat{\rho}(a))$. In particular this holds for $0 \leq a \in \mathcal{B}$. However, since \mathcal{B} is AF, it follows from [5] that there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\gamma: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow P^\perp \mathcal{A} P^\perp$ such that

$$(\hat{\pi}|_{\mathcal{B}}) \oplus \gamma \sim_a \hat{\rho}|_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{M}).$$

If we let $\sigma = \gamma|_{\mathcal{A}}$, we see $\pi \oplus \sigma \sim_a \rho$ (\mathcal{M}). \square

3. Representations of ASH algebras relative to ideals

In this section we prove (Theorem 8) a version of Voiculescu's theorem for representations of a separable ASH C^* -algebra into a semifinite von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space.

We first prove a more general result. If \mathcal{J} is a norm closed two-sided ideal in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , we let \mathcal{J}_0 denote the ideal in \mathcal{M} generated by the projections in \mathcal{J} . We begin with a probably well-known lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose \mathcal{J} is a norm closed two-sided ideal in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and $\pi, \rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms. Then*

1. \mathcal{J} is the norm closed linear span of the set of projections in \mathcal{J} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{J}_0^{-\|\!\!\|} = \mathcal{J},$$

2. $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{T \in \mathcal{M} : T = PTP \text{ for some projection } P \in \mathcal{J}\}$,
3. $T \in \mathcal{J}_0$ if and only if $\chi_{(0,\infty)}(|T|) = \Re(T) \in \mathcal{J}_0$,
4. If P and Q are projections in \mathcal{J}_0 then $P \vee Q = \Re(P + Q) \in \mathcal{J}_0$,
5. $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0)^{-\|\!\!\|} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$,
6. If $\{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in I\}$ is an increasingly directed family of unital C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A} = [\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i]^{-\|\!\!\|}$, then

$$[\cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0)]^{-\|\!\!\|} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}).$$

Proof. (1), (2), (3) can be found in [11].

(4). Suppose $a \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$. Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ and define $g_\varepsilon: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$g_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} t/\varepsilon & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 < t \end{cases}.$$

Then $\pi(a) \in \mathcal{J}$, so

$$\pi(g_\varepsilon(|a|)) = g_\varepsilon(|\pi(a)|)\chi_{(\varepsilon, \infty)}(|\pi(a)|) \in \mathcal{J}_0,$$

and

$$\|a - ag_\varepsilon(|a|)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

(5). Let $\eta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$ be the quotient map. Suppose $a \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is an $i \in I$ and a $b \in \mathcal{A}_i$ such that $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$. Thus

$$\|(\eta \circ (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_i}))(b)\| = \|(\eta \circ \pi)(b)\| = \|(\eta \circ \pi)(b - a)\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

so there is a $w \in \mathcal{A}_i$ so that

$$\|w\| = \|(\eta \circ (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_i}))(w)\| = \|(\eta \circ (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_i}))(b)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

$z = b - w \in \ker(\eta \circ (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_i})) = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) \cap \mathcal{A}_i$, and $\|b - z\| = \|w\| < \varepsilon$. It follows from part (2) that there is a $v \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_i$ such that $\|z - v\| \leq \varepsilon$. Hence $\|a - v\| \leq \|a - b\| + \|b - z\| + \|z - v\| \leq 3\varepsilon$.

(6). Let $\eta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$ be the quotient map. Suppose $a \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is an $i \in I$ and a $b \in \mathcal{A}_i$ such that $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$. Thus

$$\|(\eta \circ (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_i}))(b)\| = \|(\eta \circ \pi)(b)\| = \|(\eta \circ \pi)(b - a)\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

so there is a $w \in \mathcal{A}_i$ so that

$$\|w\| = \|(\eta \circ (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_i}))(w)\| = \|(\eta \circ (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_i}))(b)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

$z = b - w \in \ker(\eta \circ (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_i})) = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) \cap \mathcal{A}_i$, and $\|b - z\| = \|w\| < \varepsilon$. It follows from part (5) that there is a $v \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_i$ such that $\|z - v\| \leq \varepsilon$. Hence $\|a - v\| \leq \|a - b\| + \|b - z\| + \|z - v\| \leq 3\varepsilon$. \square

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra, $\mathcal{M} \subset B(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra with a norm-closed ideal \mathcal{J} and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism. We define

$$H_{\pi, \mathcal{J}} = \text{sp}^{-\|\cdot\|}(\cup\{\text{ran}\pi(a) : a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \pi(a) \in \mathcal{J}\}).$$

It is clear that $H_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}$ is a reducing subspace for π and we call the summand $\pi(\cdot)|_{H_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}} = \pi_{\mathcal{J}}$.

The following is a fairly general version of the analogue of the ‘‘easy part’’ of the proof of Voiculescu’s theorem when the C^* -algebra is ASH. In particular, there is no assumption that the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is sigma-finite (e.g., acts on a separable Hilbert space).

THEOREM 7. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable unital ASH C^* -algebra, $\mathcal{M} \subset B(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra with a norm closed two-sided ideal \mathcal{J} . Suppose $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms such that*

1. Every projection in \mathcal{J} is finite,

2. \mathcal{M} -rank($\pi(a)$) = \mathcal{M} -rank($\rho(a)$) for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Then there is a sequence $\{W_n\}$ of partial isometries in \mathcal{M} such that

- (3) $W_n^*W_n$ is the projection onto $H_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}$ and $W_nW_n^*$ is the projection onto $H_{\rho, \mathcal{J}}$,
- (4) $W_n\pi_{\mathcal{J}}(a)W_n^* - \rho_{\mathcal{J}}(a) \in \mathcal{J}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (5) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|W_n\pi_{\mathcal{J}}(a)W_n^* - \rho_{\mathcal{J}}(a)\| = 0$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. First, suppose $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x = x^*$. It follows from [4] that there is a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of unitary operators in \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|U_n\pi(x)U_n^* - \rho(x)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $\pi(x) \in \mathcal{J}$ if and only if $\rho(x) \in \mathcal{J}$ when $x = x^*$. However, for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we get $\pi(a) \in \mathcal{J}$ if and only if $\pi(|a|) \in \mathcal{J}$. Hence $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) = \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$. Also, $\pi(a) \in \mathcal{J}_0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{R}(\pi(a)) \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Since $\mathfrak{R}(\pi(a))$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\rho(a))$ are Murray von Neumann equivalent (from (2)), we see that $\pi(a) \in \mathcal{J}_0$ if and only if $\rho(a) \in \mathcal{J}_0$. It follows that $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_n = \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and, from Lemma 3,

$$\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_n \right]^{-\|\cdot\|} = \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_n \right]^{-\|\cdot\|} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) = \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{J}).$$

Since \mathcal{A} is an ASH algebra, we can assume that there is a sequence

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \dots$$

of subalgebras of \mathcal{A} such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$ is norm dense in \mathcal{A} such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#} = \mathcal{M}_{k(n,1)}(C(X_{n,1})) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k(n,s_n)}(C(X_{n,s_n}))$$

with $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,s_n}$ compact Hausdorff spaces.

Suppose $T = (f_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_k(C(X))$ is a $k \times k$ matrix of functions. We define $T^{\boxtimes} = \text{diag}(f, f, \dots, f)$ where $f = \sum_{i,j=1}^k |f_{ij}|^2$. If $\{e_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}$ is the system of matrix units for $\mathbb{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$, then $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^k f_{ij}e_{ij}$. It is clear that if $T \geq 0$, then $\mathfrak{R}(T) \leq \mathfrak{R}(T^{\boxtimes})$. Since $f_{ij}e_{ss} = e_{si}Te_{js}$, we have

$$|f_{ij}|^2 e_{ss} = (e_{si}Te_{js})^* (e_{si}Te_{js}) = e_{sj}T^*e_{is}e_{si}Te_{js} = e_{js}^*T^*e_{ii}Te_{js}.$$

Thus

$$T^{\boxtimes} = \sum_{s=1}^g \sum_{i,j=1}^k |f_{ij}|^2 e_{ss} = \sum_{s=1}^g \sum_{i,j=1}^k e_{js}^* T^* e_{ii} Te_{js}.$$

Suppose $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_{s_n} \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$, with each $A_j \in \mathcal{M}_{k(n,j)}(C(X_{n,j}))$. We define $\Delta_n : \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ by

$$\Delta_n(A) = A_1^{\boxtimes} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{s_n}^{\boxtimes}.$$

Thus if $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$, then $\Delta_n(A)$ has the form

$$\Delta_n(A) = \sum_{k=1}^m B_k A C_k,$$

with $B_1, C_1, \dots, B_m, C_m \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$.

It is clear that

- a. $\Delta_n(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ is contained in the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$, and
- b. If $A \geq 0$, then $\mathfrak{R}(A) \leq \mathfrak{R}(\Delta_n(A)) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$.

We call a projection $Q \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$ *good* if

- c. $\hat{\pi}(Q), \hat{\rho}(Q) \in \mathcal{J}_0$
- d. $Q \in [\mathcal{A}_n \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0)]^{-\text{weak}^*}$
- e. For all $T \in Q\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}Q$, $\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\hat{\pi}(T)) = \mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\hat{\rho}(T))$.

Our proof is based on four claims.

CLAIM 0. Suppose $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$ are good projections and $Q_1 \perp Q_2$. Then $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$ is a good projection.

Proof of Claim 0. It is clear that Q satisfies (c) and (d). Let $P = \hat{\pi}(Q) \vee \hat{\rho}(Q) \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Thus P is a finite projection in \mathcal{M} , so $P\mathcal{M}P$ is a finite von Neumann algebra. Let $\Phi_P : P\mathcal{M}P \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(P\mathcal{M}P)$ be the center-valued trace. Since Q_1 and Q_2 are good, we know from Lemma 2 that

$$\Phi_P \circ \hat{\pi}|_{Q_k \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#} Q_k} = \Phi_P \circ \hat{\rho}|_{Q_k \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#} Q_k}$$

for $k = 1, 2$. Since $Q_1 \perp Q_2$, we know $\hat{\pi}(Q_1) \perp \hat{\pi}(Q_2)$ and $\hat{\rho}(Q_1) \perp \hat{\rho}(Q_2)$. Since Φ_P is tracial, we know that if $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_P(\hat{\pi}(Q_i A Q_j)) &= \Phi_P(\hat{\pi}(Q_i) \hat{\pi}(A) \hat{\pi}(Q_j)^2) \\ &= \Phi_P(\hat{\pi}(Q_j) \hat{\pi}(Q_i) \hat{\pi}(A) \hat{\pi}(Q_j)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\Phi_P(\hat{\rho}(Q_i A Q_j)) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_P(\hat{\pi}(Q A Q)) &= \Phi_P(\hat{\pi}(Q_1 A Q_1)) + \Phi_P(\hat{\pi}(Q_2 A Q_2)) \\ &= \Phi_P(\hat{\rho}(Q_1 A Q_1)) + \Phi_P(\hat{\rho}(Q_2 A Q_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2, Q satisfies (e). Hence Q is a good projection. This proves the claim. A simple induction proof implies that the sum of a finite family of pairwise orthogonal good projections is good. \square

CLAIM 1. If $Q \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$ is a good projection, then there is a good projection $P \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ such that $Q \leq P$.

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose $Q \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$ is a good projection. Choose $B_1, C_1, \dots, B_k, C_k$ in $\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$ such that

$$E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^m B_k Q C_k = \Delta_n(Q) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{R}(E) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ and $E \geq 0$, we see that

$$E = \mathfrak{R}(E) E \mathfrak{R}(E) = \sum_{k=1}^m [\mathfrak{R}(E) B_k \mathfrak{R}(E)] Q [\mathfrak{R}(E) C_k \mathfrak{R}(E)].$$

Hence we can assume, for $1 \leq k \leq m$, that $B_k, C_k \in \mathfrak{R}(E) \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \mathfrak{R}(E)$.

Since $\hat{\pi}(Q), \hat{\rho}(Q) \in \mathcal{J}_0$, we see that $\hat{\pi}(E)$ and $\hat{\rho}(E) \in \mathcal{J}_0$, which, in turn, implies $\hat{\pi}(\mathfrak{R}(E))$ and $\hat{\rho}(\mathfrak{R}(E)) \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Then $F = \hat{\pi}(\mathfrak{R}(E)) \vee \hat{\rho}(\mathfrak{R}(E)) \in \mathcal{J}_0$ is a finite projection. Thus $F \mathcal{M} F$ is a finite von Neumann algebra. Also, since, for $1 \leq k \leq m$, $B_k, C_k \in \mathfrak{R}(E) \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \mathfrak{R}(E)$, we see that $\hat{\pi}(B_k Q C_k), \hat{\rho}(B_k Q C_k) \in F \mathcal{M} F$. Let Φ_F be the center-valued trace on $F \mathcal{M} F$. Since Q is a good projection and in $E \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} E$, we know from Lemma 2, that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$,

$$\Phi_F(\hat{\pi}(Q A Q)) = \Phi_F(\hat{\rho}(Q A Q)).$$

Now $\hat{\pi}, \hat{\rho} : E \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} E \rightarrow F \mathcal{M} F$ are $*$ -homomorphisms, and, since Φ_F is tracial, we see for $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_F(\hat{\pi}(E A E)) = \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^m \Phi_F([\hat{\pi}(B_k) \hat{\pi}(Q)] [\hat{\pi}(Q) \hat{\pi}(C_k) \hat{\pi}(A) \hat{\pi}(B_j) \hat{\pi}(Q) \hat{\pi}(C_j)]) \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^m \Phi_F([\hat{\pi}(Q) \hat{\pi}(C_k) \hat{\pi}(A) \hat{\pi}(B_j) \hat{\pi}(Q) \hat{\pi}(C_j)] [\hat{\pi}(B_k) \hat{\pi}(Q)]) \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^m \Phi_F(\hat{\pi}(Q C_k A B_j Q C_j B_k Q)) = \sum_{j,k=1}^m \Phi_F(\hat{\rho}(Q C_k A B_j Q C_j B_k Q)) \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^m \Phi_F([\hat{\rho}(Q) \hat{\rho}(C_k) \hat{\rho}(A) \hat{\rho}(B_j) \hat{\rho}(Q) \hat{\rho}(C_j)] [\hat{\rho}(B_k) \hat{\rho}(Q)]) \\ &= \Phi_F(\hat{\rho}(E A E)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Phi_F \circ \hat{\pi} = \Phi_F \circ \hat{\rho}$ on $E \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} E$, and since $\hat{\pi}, \hat{\rho}$, and Φ_F are weak* continuous, we have $\Phi_F \circ \hat{\pi} = \Phi_F \circ \hat{\rho}$ on $(E \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} E)^{-\text{weak}^*} = \mathfrak{R}(E) \mathcal{A}^{\#\#} \mathfrak{R}(E)$.

Finally, since $[\mathcal{A}_n \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0)]^{-\text{weak}^*}$ is a weak* closed $*$ -algebra, and an ideal for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$, we see that

$$E = \Delta_n(Q) = \sum_{k=1}^m B_k Q C_k \in [\mathcal{A}_n \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0)]^{-\text{weak}^*},$$

so $P = \mathfrak{R}(E) \in [\mathcal{A}_n \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0)]^{-\text{weak}^*}$. Thus $P = \mathfrak{R}(E) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ is a good projection and $Q \leq P$. This proves Claim 1. \square

CLAIM 2. If $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$ are good projections, then there is a good projection $Q \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ such that $Q_1, Q_2 \leq Q$.

Proof of Claim 2. By Claim 1 we can choose good projections $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ such that $Q_1 \leq P_1$ and $Q_2 \leq P_2$. Since P_1 and P_2 commute and $P_1(1 - P_2) \leq P_1$, $P_1P_2 \leq P_1$ and $(1 - P_1)P_2 \leq P_2$, we see that $\{P_1(1 - P_2), P_1P_2, (1 - P_1)P_2\}$ is an orthogonal family of good projections. Thus, by Case 0,

$$Q = P_1 \vee P_2 = P_1(1 - P_2) + P_1P_2 + (1 - P_1)P_2$$

is a good projection in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$. Thus Claim 2 is proved. \square

CLAIM 3. If $0 \leq x \in \mathcal{A}_n \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0)$, then $\mathfrak{R}(\Delta_n(x)) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ is good.

Proof of Claim 3. We know that $\hat{\pi}(\mathfrak{R}(x))$ and $\hat{\rho}(\mathfrak{R}(x))$ are Murray von Neumann equivalent and \mathcal{M} -rank($\pi(x)$) and \mathcal{M} -rank($\rho(x)$) are equal. Since $\pi(x) \in \mathcal{J}_0$, we know $\hat{\pi}(\mathfrak{R}(x)), \hat{\rho}(\mathfrak{R}(x)) \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Arguing as in the proof of Claim 1, we see that $F = \hat{\pi}(\mathfrak{R}(x)) \vee \hat{\rho}(\mathfrak{R}(x)) \in \mathcal{J}_0$ and that

$$\hat{\pi}, \hat{\rho} : [xAx]^{-\text{|||}} \rightarrow F\mathcal{M}F$$

satisfy $\Phi_{F\mathcal{M}F} \circ \hat{\pi} = \Phi_{F\mathcal{M}F} \circ \hat{\rho}$. Thus $\Phi_{F\mathcal{M}F} \circ \hat{\pi} = \Phi_{F\mathcal{M}F} \circ \hat{\rho}$ on $[xAx]^{-\text{weak}^*} = \mathfrak{R}(x)\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}\mathfrak{R}(x)$. Thus $\mathfrak{R}(x)$ is a good projection. This proves Claim 3. \square

We can choose a countable dense set $\{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ of $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0))$ whose closure is $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$.

We now want to define a sequence $0 = P_0 \leq P_1 \leq P_2 \leq \dots$ of good projections such that

1. $P_n \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
2. If $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $b_k \in \mathcal{A}_n$, then $\mathfrak{R}(b_k) \leq P_n$, i.e.,

$$b_k = P_n b_k$$

Define $P_0 = 0$. Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and P_k has been defined for $0 \leq k \leq n$. We let $x_n = \sum_{k \leq n+1, b_k \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}} b_k b_k^* \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0)$. Thus, by Claim 3, P_n and $\mathfrak{R}(\Delta_{n+1}(x_n))$ are good projections in $\mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$, and they commute since $\mathfrak{R}(\Delta_{n+1}(x_n)) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^{\#\#})$. By Claim 2, there is a good projection $P_{n+1} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^{\#\#})$ such that $P_n \leq P_{n+1}$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\Delta_{n+1}(x_n)) \leq P_{n+1}$. Clearly, if $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $b_k \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we have $\mathfrak{R}(b_k) = \mathfrak{R}(b_k b_k^*) \leq \mathfrak{R}(x_n) \leq P_{n+1}$.

Since P_n is a good projection, $P_n \in [\mathcal{A}_n \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0)]^{-\text{weak}^*}$. Thus

$$P_n \leq \sup \{ \mathfrak{R}(x) : x \in \mathcal{A}_n \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_0) \} \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}.$$

Thus $\hat{\pi}(P_n) \leq P_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}$ (the projection onto $H_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}$) and $\hat{\rho}(P_n) \leq P_{\rho, \mathcal{J}}$ (the projection onto $H_{\rho, \mathcal{J}}$). Let $P_e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n$ (weak*). Thus $\hat{\pi}(P_e) \leq P_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}$ and $\hat{\rho}(P_e) \leq P_{\rho, \mathcal{J}}$. On the other hand, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_k - P_n b_k\| = 0.$$

This implies

$$P_e b = b \text{ for every } b \in [\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J})]^{-\|\cdot\|}.$$

Thus $\hat{\pi}(P_e) = P_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}$ and $\hat{\rho}(P_e) = P_{\rho, \mathcal{J}}$. Thus $P_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}$ and $P_{\rho, \mathcal{J}}$ are Murray von Neumann equivalent.

Since $P_n \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have of every $A \in \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_k$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|AP_n - P_n A\| = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|AP_n - P_n A\| = 0$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Choose a dense subset $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ of \mathcal{A} . Suppose and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that we can choose a subsequence $\{P_{n_k}\}$ of $\{P_n\}$ such that, for all $1 \leq n < \infty$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_n P_{n_k} - P_{n_k} A_n\| < \infty,$$

and, for $1 \leq n \leq m$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_n P_{n_k} - P_{n_k} A_n\| < \frac{1}{8m}.$$

Define $e_k = P_{n_k} - P_{n_{k-1}}$ (with $P_{n_0} = 0$) and define $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq k < \infty}^{\oplus} e_k \mathcal{A} e_k$ by

$$\varphi(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k T e_k.$$

It follows from [10, page 903] that the above conditions on $\|A_n P_{n_k} - P_{n_k} A_n\|$ that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$A_k - \varphi(A_k) \in \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) \cap \hat{\rho}^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$$

and

$$\|P_e A_n - \varphi(A_n)\| < \frac{1}{4m}.$$

for $1 \leq n \leq m$.

Suppose $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \geq n_k$, $e_k \mathcal{A}_n e_k \subset \mathcal{A}_n^{\#\#}$, which is homogeneous. Hence $C^*(e_k \mathcal{A}_n e_k)$ is subhomogeneous. Thus $C^*(e_k \mathcal{A} e_k)$ is ASH. If we let $E_k = \hat{\pi}(e_k) \vee \hat{\rho}(e_k)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have E_k is a finite projection, $E_k \mathcal{M} E_k$ is a finite von Neumann algebra,

$$\hat{\pi}, \hat{\rho} : C^*(e_k \mathcal{A} e_k) \rightarrow E_k \mathcal{M} E_k,$$

and, if Φ_{E_k} is the center-valued trace on $E_k \mathcal{M} E_k$, then

$$\Phi_{E_k} \circ (\hat{\pi}|_{C^*(e_k \mathcal{A} e_k)}) = \Phi_{E_k} \circ (\hat{\rho}|_{C^*(e_k \mathcal{A} e_k)}),$$

and $C^*(e_k \mathcal{A} e_k)$ is ASH, it follows from Theorem 4 that

$$\hat{\pi}|_{C^*(e_k \mathcal{A} e_k)} \sim_a \hat{\rho}|_{C^*(e_k \mathcal{A} e_k)} (E_k \mathcal{M} E_k).$$

Since $\hat{\pi}(e_k)$ and $\hat{\rho}(e_k)$ are projections, then by [16, Proposition 5.2.6], any unitary that conjugates $\hat{\pi}(e_k)$ to a projection that is really close to $\hat{\rho}(e_k)$ is close to a unitary that conjugates $\hat{\pi}(e_k)$ exactly to $\hat{\rho}(e_k)$. We can therefore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a unitary $U_k \in E_k \mathcal{M} E_k$ such that

$$\|U_k \hat{\pi}(e_k a_n e_k) U_k^* - \hat{\rho}(e_k a_n e_k)\| < \frac{1}{4km}$$

when $1 \leq n \leq k+m < \infty$, and such that

$$U_k \hat{\pi}(e_k) U_k^* = \rho(e_k).$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $V_k = U_k \hat{\pi}(e_k)$. Then V_k is a partial isometry whose initial projection is $\hat{\pi}(e_k) = V_k^* V_k$ and final projection is $\hat{\rho}(e_k) = V_k V_k^*$. Also

$$\|V_k \hat{\pi}(e_k) \pi(a_n) \hat{\pi}(e_k) V_k^* - \hat{\rho}(e_k) \rho(a_n) \hat{\rho}(e_k)\| < \frac{1}{4km}$$

for $1 \leq n \leq k+m < \infty$. Then $W_m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k$ is a partial isometry in \mathcal{M} with initial projection $\hat{\pi}(P_e) = P_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}$ and final projection $\hat{\rho}(P_e) = P_{\rho, \mathcal{J}}$. Moreover,

$$W_m \hat{\pi}(\varphi(a_n)) W_m^* = \sum_{1 \leq k < \infty}^{\oplus} V_k \hat{\pi}(e_k a_n e_k) V_k^*,$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}(\varphi(a_n)) = \sum_{1 \leq k < \infty}^{\oplus} \hat{\rho}(e_k a_n e_k).$$

Since $V_k \hat{\pi}(e_k a_n e_k) V_k^*, \hat{\rho}(e_k a_n e_k) \in \mathcal{J}$ for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|V_k \hat{\pi}(e_k a_n e_k) V_k^* - \hat{\rho}(e_k a_n e_k)\| = 0,$$

we see that

$$W_m \hat{\pi}(\varphi(a_n)) W_m^* - \hat{\rho}(\varphi(a_n)) \in \mathcal{J}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also,

$$\|W_m \hat{\pi}(\varphi(a_n)) W_m^* - \hat{\rho}(\varphi(a_n))\| < \frac{1}{4m}$$

for $1 \leq n \leq m$.

Also

$$\hat{\pi}(\varphi(a_n)) - \pi(a_n) = \hat{\pi}(\varphi(a_n) - a_n) \in \mathcal{J}$$

and

$$\hat{\pi}(\varphi(a_n)) - \rho(a_n) = \hat{\rho}(\varphi(a_n) - a_n) \in \mathcal{J}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|\hat{\pi}(\varphi(a_n)) - \pi(a_n)\| < \frac{1}{4m} \text{ and } \|\hat{\rho}(\varphi(a_n)) - \rho(a_n)\| < \frac{1}{4m}$$

for $1 \leq n \leq m$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & W_m \pi(a_n) W_m^* - \rho(a_n) \\ &= [W_m(\pi(a_n) - \hat{\pi}(\varphi(a_n))) W_m^*] + [W_m \hat{\pi}(\varphi(a_n)) W_m^* - \hat{\rho}(\varphi(a_n))] \\ & \quad + \hat{\rho}(\varphi(a_n)) - \rho(a_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$W_m \pi(a_n) W_m^* - \rho(a_n) \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Also, for $1 \leq n \leq m$,

$$\|W_m \pi(a_n) W_m^* - \rho(a_n)\| < \frac{1}{m}.$$

It follows, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, that

$$W_m \hat{\pi}(\varphi(a)) W_m^* - \hat{\rho}(\varphi(a)) \in \mathcal{J}$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|W_m \pi(a) W_m^* - \rho(a)\| = 0. \quad \square$$

REMARK 2. In two cases, namely, when $H_{\pi, \mathcal{J}} = H_{\rho, \mathcal{J}} = H$, or when $\pi(\cdot)|_{H_{\pi, \mathcal{J}}^\perp}$ and $\rho(\cdot)|_{H_{\rho, \mathcal{J}}^\perp}$ are unitarily equivalent, the conclusion in Theorem 7 becomes

$$\pi \sim_a \rho(\mathcal{J}).$$

When \mathcal{A} is a separable ASH C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a sigma-finite II_∞ factor von Neumann algebra, we can use Theorems 7 and 3 to have both parts of Voiculescu's theorem, including an extension of results in [4]. If σ is a representation of a C^* -algebra, we let $\sigma^{(\infty)}$ denote $\sigma \oplus \sigma \oplus \dots$.

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable ASH C^* -algebra, \mathcal{M} is a sigma-finite type II_∞ factor von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space H . Suppose $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$*

$$\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\pi(a)) = \mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\rho(a)).$$

Then $\pi \sim_a \rho(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}})$.

Proof. We can write $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}} \oplus \pi_1$ and $\rho = \rho_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}} \oplus \rho_1$. It follows from Theorem 3 that

$$\pi \sim_a \pi_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}} \oplus \pi_1^{(\infty)} \oplus \rho_1^{(\infty)} (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}) \text{ and } \rho \sim_a \rho_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}} \oplus \pi_1^{(\infty)} \oplus \rho_1^{(\infty)} (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

It follows from Theorem 7 that

$$\pi_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}} \oplus \pi_1^{(\infty)} \oplus \rho_1^{(\infty)} \sim_a \rho_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}} \oplus \pi_1^{(\infty)} \oplus \rho_1^{(\infty)} (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

Thus $\pi \sim_a \rho (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}})$. \square

We have now arrived at our main result concerning semifinite von Neumann algebras.

THEOREM 8. *Suppose $\mathcal{M} \subset B(H)$ is a semifinite von Neumann algebra, H is separable, and \mathcal{A} is a separable unital ASH C^* -algebra. Also suppose $\pi, \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$*

$$\mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\pi(a)) = \mathcal{M}\text{-rank}(\rho(a)) .$$

Then $\pi \sim_a \rho (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}})$.

Proof. We can write $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ where \mathcal{F} is a finite von Neumann algebra and \mathcal{N} has no finite direct summands, and \mathcal{N} is a type II_∞ von Neumann algebra. Correspondingly, we can write $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \oplus \pi_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\rho = \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \oplus \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$. It is clear that $(\mathcal{F}\text{-rank}) \circ \pi_{\mathcal{F}} = (\mathcal{F}\text{-rank}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $(\mathcal{N}\text{-rank}) \circ \pi_{\mathcal{N}} = (\mathcal{N}\text{-rank}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{N}}$. Since $\mathcal{F} \oplus 0 \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_a \rho_{\mathcal{F}}$, by Theorem 5, there is a sequence $\{W_n\}$ of unitary operators in \mathcal{F} such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\|W_n \pi(a) W_n - \rho(a)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Clearly, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$W_n \pi(a) W_n - \rho(a) \in \mathcal{F} \oplus 0 \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Hence we can assume that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ and $\pi = \pi_{\mathcal{N}}$. From the central decomposition for \mathcal{M} there is a complete probability measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) so that we can write

$$H = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} \ell^2 d\mu(\omega)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M} = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} \mathcal{M}_{\omega} d\mu(\omega)$$

where each \mathcal{M}_{ω} is either a type I_∞ factor or a type II_∞ factor. Also there are families $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ and $\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots\}$ of $*$ SOT-measurable functions from Ω into the closed unit ball \mathcal{B} of $B(\ell^2)$ such that, for every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\{\varphi_1(\omega), \varphi_2(\omega), \dots\}^{-SOT} = \text{ball}(\mathcal{M}_{\omega}), \text{ and}$$

$$\{\psi_1(\omega), \psi_2(\omega), \dots\}^{-SOT} = \text{ball}(\mathcal{M}'_\omega).$$

Let \mathcal{C} be the set of trace class operator $K \in B(\ell^2)$ such that $K \geq 0$ and $\text{Trace}(K) = 1$.

1. With the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$, \mathcal{C} is a complete separable metric space. Let $\mathcal{C}^\& = \prod_{(n,j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}$ with the product topology. Let $\mathcal{B}^\& = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}$ with the product $*$ -SOT topology, let \mathcal{P} be the set of projections in $B(\ell^2)$ equipped with the $*$ -SOT and let $\mathcal{P}^\& = \prod_{(n,j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}$ with the product topology. Let \mathcal{U} be the set of unitary operators in $B(\ell^2)$ with the $*$ -SOT and let $\mathcal{U}^\& = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}$ with the product topology.

We now let X be the set of all (U, A, B, P, K, C, D) in $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{B}^\& \times \mathcal{P}^\& \times \mathcal{C}^\& \times \mathcal{B}^\& \times \mathcal{B}^\&$, with $U = \{U_n\}$, $A = \{A_n\}$, $P = \{P_{n,j,k}\}$, $K = \{K_{n,j,k}\}$, $C = \{C_n\}$, $D = \{D_n\}$, such that

1. $\|U_n^* A_k U_n - B_k\| \leq 1/n$ for $1 \leq k \leq n < \infty$
2. $\|(U_n^* A_k U_n - B_k)(1 - P_{n,j,k})\| \leq 1/j$ for $(n, j, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$,
3. $K_{n,j,k} = P_{n,j,k} K_{n,j,k} P_{n,j,k}$ for $(n, j, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$,
4. $U_n D_j = D_j U_n$ for $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$
5. $\text{Tr}(K_{n,j,k} C_s P_{n,j,k} C_t P_j) = \text{Tr}(K_{n,j,k} C_t P_{n,j,k} C_s P_{n,j,k})$ for $n, j, k, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is not hard to show that X is closed in $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{B}^\& \times \mathcal{P}^\& \times \mathcal{C}^\& \times \mathcal{B}^\& \times \mathcal{B}^\&$. Thus X is a complete separable metric space. Define

$$\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\& \times \mathcal{B}^\& \times \mathcal{B}^\& \times \mathcal{B}^\&$$

by

$$\Phi((U, A, B, P, K, C, D)) = (A, B, C, D).$$

Then Φ is continuous and it follows from [1, Theorem 3.4.3] that $\Phi(X)$ is an absolutely measurable set and there is an absolutely measurable function $\gamma : \Phi(X) \rightarrow X$ such that $\Phi \circ \gamma = \text{id}_{\Phi(X)}$.

We can write $\pi = \int_\Omega^\oplus \pi_\omega d\mu(\omega)$ and $\rho = \int_\Omega^\oplus \rho_\omega d\mu(\omega)$ so that, for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, $\pi_\omega, \rho_\omega : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\omega$ and, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\pi(a) = \int_\Omega^\oplus \pi_\omega(a) d\mu(\omega) \text{ and } \rho(a) = \int_\Omega^\oplus \rho_\omega(a) d\mu(\omega).$$

We know from [4, Theorem 4 (3)], that, for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\mathcal{M}_\omega\text{-rank}(\pi_\omega(a)) = \mathcal{M}_\omega\text{-rank}(\rho_\omega(a)).$$

By throwing away a subset of Ω of measure 0, we can assume that all of the preceding statements that were true for almost every ω are now true for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

Let $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ be norm dense in the closed unit ball of \mathcal{A} . We now define a measurable map $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow B^\& \times B^\& \times B^\& \times B^\&$ by

$$\Gamma(\omega) = (\{\pi_\omega(a_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\rho_\omega(a_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\varphi_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\psi_\omega(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}).$$

Suppose $\omega \in \Omega$. Since \mathcal{M}_ω is a semifinite factor, it follows from Corollary 1 that $\pi_\omega \sim \rho_\omega$ ($\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}_\omega}$). Thus there is a sequence $\{W_n\}$ of unitary operators in \mathcal{M}_ω such that

- (6) $\|W_n^* \pi_\omega(a_k) W_n - \rho_\omega(a_k)\| \leq 1/n$ for $1 \leq k \leq n < \infty$, and
- (7) $W_n^* \pi_\omega(a_k) W_n - \rho_\omega(a_k) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}_\omega}$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since each $W_n^* \pi_\omega(a_k) W_n - \rho_\omega(a_k) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}_\omega}$, there are projections $P_{n,j,k} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}_\omega}$ such that, for $n, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|(W_n^* \pi_\omega(a_k) W_n - \rho_\omega(a_k))(1 - P_{n,j,k})\| < 1/n.$$

Since $P_{n,j,k} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$, $P_{n,j,k}$ must be a finite projection, and since \mathcal{M}_ω is a semifinite factor in $B(\ell^2)$, $P_{n,j,k} \mathcal{M}_\omega P_{n,j,k}$ is a finite factor. Thus $P_{n,j,k} \mathcal{M}_\omega P_{n,j,k}$ has a faithful normal tracial state $\tau_{n,j,k}$. Thus there is a $K_{n,j,k} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $P_{n,j,k} K_{n,j,k} P_{n,j,k} = K_{n,j,k}$ and, for every $S \in P_{n,j,k} \mathcal{M}_\omega P_{n,j,k}$,

$$\tau_{n,j,k}(S) = \text{Tr}(K_{n,j,k})$$

Hence,

$$(\{W_n\}, \{\pi_\omega(a_n)\}, \{\rho_\omega(a_n)\}, \{P_{n,j,k}\}, \{\varphi_n(\omega)\}, \{\psi_n(\omega)\}) \in X,$$

and thus

$$\Gamma(\omega) \in \Phi(X).$$

Then

$$(\gamma \circ \Gamma)(\omega) = (\{U_n(\omega)\}, \{\pi_\omega(a_n)\}, \{\rho_\omega(a_n)\}, \{P_{n,j,k}(\omega)\}, \{\varphi_n(\omega)\}, \{\psi_n(\omega)\})$$

is a measurable function from Ω to X . For $n, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$U_n = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} U_n(\omega) d\mu(\omega) \text{ and } P_{n,j,k} = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} P_{n,j,k}(\omega) d\mu(\omega).$$

Then each U_n is unitary in \mathcal{M} , and each $P_{n,j,k}$ is a finite projection in \mathcal{M} and

- (8) $\|U_n^* \pi(a_k) U_n - \rho(a_k)\| \leq 1/n$ for $1 \leq k \leq n < \infty$, and
- (9) $\|(U_n^* \pi(a_k) U_n - \rho(a_k))(1 - P_{n,j,k})\| \leq 1/j$ for $n, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ is dense in the closed unit ball of \mathcal{A} , we see that (8) and (9) hold when a_k is replaced with any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$. It follows from (9) that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$ that $U_n^* \pi(a) U_n - \rho(a) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Therefore,

$$\pi \sim_a \rho (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}). \quad \square$$

Acknowledgement. The referee would like to thank the referee for extremely careful readings and many helpful suggestions for improving the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. ARVESON, *An invitation to C^* -algebras*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 39, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [2] A. CIUPERCA, T. GIORDANO, P. W. NG, AND Z. NIU, *Amenability and uniqueness*, Adv. Math. 240 (2013) 325–345.
- [3] K. R. DAVIDSON, *C^* -algebras by example*, Fields Institute Monographs, 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [4] H. DING AND D. HADWIN, *Approximate equivalence in von Neumann algebras*, Sci. China Ser. A 48 (2005), no. 2, 239–247.
- [5] S. WEN, J. FANG AND R. SHI, *Approximate equivalence of representations of AF algebras into semifinite von Neumann algebras*, Oper. Matrices 13 (2019), no. 3, 777–795.
- [6] J. GLIMM, *Type I C^* -algebras*, Ann. Math. 73 (1961) 572–612.
- [7] D. HADWIN, *Nonseparable approximate equivalence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 266 (1981), no. 1, 203–231.
- [8] D. HADWIN, W. LI, W. LIU, AND J. SHEN, *A characterisation of tracially nuclear C^* -algebras*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 100 (2019), no. 1, 119–128.
- [9] D. HADWIN AND RUI SHI, *A note on representations of commutative C^* -algebras in semifinite von Neumann algebras*, Oper. Matrices 12 (2018), no. 4, 1129–1144.
- [10] P. R. HALMOS, *Ten problems in Hilbert space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970) 887–933.
- [11] R. V. KADISON AND J. RINGROSE, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, Vol. 2: Advanced Theory (Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 16), Academic Press, 1983.
- [12] Q. LI, J. SHEN, R. SHI, *A generalization of Voiculescu’s theorem for normal operators to semifinite von Neumann algebras*, Adv. Math. 375 (2020) 107347.
- [13] D. SHERMAN, *Unitary orbits of normal operators in von Neumann algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 605 (2007), 95–132.
- [14] R. SHI AND JUNHAO SHEN, *Approximate equivalence of representations of AH algebras into semifinite von Neumann algebras*, arXiv:1805.07236, 2018.
- [15] D. V. VOICULESCU, *A non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann theorem*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 21 (1976), no. 1, 97–113.
- [16] N. E. WEGGE-OLSEN, *K-theory and C^* -algebras, A friendly approach*, Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.

(Received June 22, 2020)

Qihui Li
East China University of Science and Technology
Shanghai, China
e-mail: lqh991978@gmail.com

Don Hadwin
Mathematics Department
University of New Hampshire
e-mail: operatorguy@gmail.com

Wenjing Liu
Mathematics Department
University of New Hampshire
e-mail: wenjingtwins87@gmail.com