

INEQUALITIES FOR THE WEIGHTED A -NUMERICAL RADIUS OF SEMI-HILBERTIAN SPACE OPERATORS

FUGEN GAO AND XIANQIN LIU

(Communicated by F. Kittaneh)

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the weighted A -numerical radius $\omega_{(A,v)}(\cdot)$ for semi-Hilbertian space operators. Further we obtain some basic properties and inequalities for $\omega_{(A,v)}(\cdot)$, which will be matched with earlier results about $\omega_A(\cdot)$. Moreover, we provide a refinement and generalization for inequalities obtained in [6, 16].

1. Introduction

In this article, we introduce the weighted A -numerical radius $\omega_{(A,v)}(\cdot)$, which generalizes the A -numerical radius and numerical radius. We present some interesting properties of $\omega_{(A,v)}(\cdot)$. Meanwhile, we derive upper and lower bounds for this numerical radius. Some inequalities obtained for $\omega_{(A,v)}(\cdot)$ will be matched with known inequalities for $\omega_A(\cdot)$. We first introduce the notions and terminologies.

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . We assume A is a positive operator on \mathcal{H} . The positive operator A induces semi-inner product $\langle x, y \rangle_A = \langle Ax, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_A$ denote seminorm on \mathcal{H} , that is, $\|x\|_A = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_A}$. About more, we refer readers to see [8, 11, 16].

For $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, the null space of every operator T is denoted by $N(T)$, its range by $R(T)$. By $\overline{R(T)}$ we denote the norm closure of $R(T)$ in \mathcal{H} . For $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, A -operator seminorm of T , denoted by $\|T\|_A$, is defined as

$$\|T\|_A = \sup_{x \in \overline{R(A)}, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|_A}{\|x\|_A}.$$

Here, given $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, if there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|Tx\|_A \leq c\|x\|_A$ for all $x \in \overline{R(A)}$, then $\|T\|_A < \infty$. We set $B^A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \|T\|_A < \infty\}$. Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, an operator $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called an A -adjoint of T if $\langle Tx, y \rangle_A = \langle x, Ry \rangle_A$ for every $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, that is $AR = T^*A$. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be A -selfadjoint if AT is selfadjoint, that is $AT = T^*A$, where T^* is the adjoint of T .

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 47A05; Secondary 47B65, 47A12.

Keywords and phrases: Weighted A -numerical radius, positive operator, semi-Hilbertian space, inequality.

The existence of an A -adjoint of T is not guaranteed. In fact, an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ may admit none, one or many A -adjoints. The set of all operators that admit A -adjoints is denoted by $B_A(\mathcal{H})$. By Douglas theorem [7], it follows that

$$B_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}) : R(T^*A) \subseteq R(A)\}.$$

If $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, then the operator equation $AX = T^*A$ has a unique solution, denoted by T^{\sharp_A} , satisfying $R(T^{\sharp_A}) \subseteq \overline{R(A)}$. Note that $T^{\sharp_A} = A^\dagger T^*A$, where A^\dagger is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A and the A -adjoint operator T^{\sharp_A} verifies

$$AT^{\sharp_A} = T^*A, R(T^{\sharp_A}) \subseteq \overline{R(A)} \text{ and } N(T^{\sharp_A}) = N(T^*A).$$

Notice that if $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, then $T^{\sharp_A} \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, $(T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} = PTP$ and $((T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} = T^{\sharp_A}$, the P is the orthogonal projection onto $R(A)$. For $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, TT^{\sharp_A} and $T^{\sharp_A}T$ are A -selfadjoint and A -positive, so we have

$$\|TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A = \|T^{\sharp_A}T\|_A = \|T\|_A^2 = \|T^{\sharp_A}\|_A^2.$$

For more about this class of operators, we refer the interested readers to see [11, 16].

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ and $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. The weighted real and imaginary parts of T are defined as

$$\mathfrak{R}_{(A,\nu)}(T) = \nu T + (1 - \nu)T^{\sharp_A} \text{ and } \mathfrak{S}_{(A,\nu)}(T) = \nu(-iT) + (1 - \nu)iT^{\sharp_A},$$

respectively. When $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, we can see that $\mathfrak{R}_{(A,\nu)}(T) = \mathfrak{R}_A(T)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{(A,\nu)}(T) = \mathfrak{S}_A(T)$.

Some interesting relationships about $\mathfrak{R}_{(A,\nu)}(T)$, $\mathfrak{S}_{(A,\nu)}(T)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_A(T)$, $\mathfrak{S}_A(T)$ are as follows.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ and $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$\mathfrak{R}_{(A,\nu)}(T) = \mathfrak{R}_A(T) + i(2\nu - 1)\mathfrak{S}_A(T), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{(A,\nu)}(T) = \mathfrak{S}_A(T) - i(2\nu - 1)\mathfrak{R}_A(T) \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{R}_{(A,\nu)}(T) + i\mathfrak{S}_{(A,\nu)}(T) = 2\nu T. \tag{1.3}$$

The A -numerical radius and the A -Crawford number of $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are defined by

$$\omega_A(T) = \sup\{|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1\}$$

and

$$c_A(T) = \inf\{|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1\}.$$

For $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, it is well-known that A -numerical radius of T is equivalent to A -operator seminorm of T , (see [16]), satisfying the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A \leq \omega_A(T) \leq \|T\|_A. \tag{1.4}$$

In [16], Zamani proved that

$$\omega_A(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A. \tag{1.5}$$

Recently, this identity (1.5) has been widely used, some novel A -numerical radius inequalities improved were found. There are some refinements of the inequalities (1.4) in references [6, 8, 11, 12, 16]. For example, in [16], let $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, Zamani proved that

$$\omega_A(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|TT^{\sharp_A} + T^{\sharp_A}T\|_A + 2\omega_A(T^2)} \leq \|T\|_A. \tag{1.6}$$

Another refinement of the inequalities (1.4) has been established in [6], Bhunia computed some inequalities for \mathbb{A} -numerical radius of 2×2 operator matrices, where $\mathbb{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & A \end{pmatrix}$. Let $X, Y \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, some results are as follows,

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \geq \frac{1}{4} \max\{\|XX^{\sharp_A} + Y^{\sharp_A}Y\|_A, \|X^{\sharp_A}X + YY^{\sharp_A}\|_A\} \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\|XX^{\sharp_A} + Y^{\sharp_A}Y\|_A, \|X^{\sharp_A}X + YY^{\sharp_A}\|_A\}. \tag{1.8}$$

It should be remarked that many mathematicians have developed various inequalities about A -numerical radius and other results on numerical radius inequalities of 2×2 operator matrices, see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 15, 17].

In this paper, motivated by [14], we define the weighted A -numerical radius, develop and generalize inequalities for the A -numerical radius of operators in $B_A(\mathcal{H})$. We obtain a generalization for inequality (1.6). Further, we derive the weighted \mathbb{A} -numerical radius inequalities of 2×2 operator matrices that refine the inequalities (1.7) and (1.8).

2. Weighted A -numerical radius inequalities

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ and $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. The weighted A -numerical radius of T denoted by $\omega_{(A,\nu)}(T)$, is defined as

$$\omega_{(A,\nu)}(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\Re_{(A,\nu)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A.$$

For $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, obviously, $\omega_{(A,\frac{1}{2})}(T) = \omega_A(T)$, and $\omega_{(A,0)}(T) = \omega_{A(1)}(T) = \|T\|_A$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\mathfrak{S}_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A.$$

Proof. To prove this, we first prove that $\omega_{(A,v)}(\lambda T) = |\lambda| \omega_{(A,v)}(T)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For every nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda = |\lambda| e^{i\varphi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(A,v)}(\lambda T) &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|v(e^{i\theta}\lambda T) + (1-v)(e^{-i\theta})\overline{\lambda}T^{\sharp_A}\|_A \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|ve^{i\theta}|\lambda|e^{i\varphi}T + (1-v)e^{-i\theta}|\lambda|e^{-i\varphi}T^{\sharp_A}\|_A \\ &= |\lambda| \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|v(e^{i(\theta+\varphi)}T) + (1-v)(e^{-i(\theta+\varphi)})T^{\sharp_A}\|_A \\ &= |\lambda| \omega_{(A,v)}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Then by replacing T by iT in $\omega_{(A,v)}(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\mathfrak{X}_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(A,v)}(T) &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|ve^{i\theta}iT - (1-v)e^{-i\theta}iT^{\sharp_A}\|_A \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\mathfrak{S}_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) = \sup_{\alpha^2+\beta^2=1} \|\alpha\mathfrak{X}_{(A,v)}(T) + \beta\mathfrak{S}_{(A,v)}(T)\|_A.$$

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Put $\alpha = \cos \theta$ and $\beta = \sin \theta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ve^{i\theta}T + (1-v)e^{-i\theta}T^{\sharp_A} &= v(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)T + (1-v)(\cos \theta - i \sin \theta)T^{\sharp_A} \\ &= \cos \theta(vT + (1-v)T^{\sharp_A}) - \sin \theta(-viT + (1-v)iT^{\sharp_A}) \\ &= \cos \theta\mathfrak{X}_{(A,v)}(T) - \sin \theta\mathfrak{S}_{(A,v)}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|ve^{i\theta}T + (1-v)e^{-i\theta}T^{\sharp_A}\|_A = \sup_{\alpha^2+\beta^2=1} \|\alpha\mathfrak{X}_{(A,v)}(T) + \beta\mathfrak{S}_{(A,v)}(T)\|_A.$$

Hence, we obtain the desired result by Definition 2.1. \square

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and $\gamma = \max\{v, 1-v\}$. Then*

- (a) $\omega_{(A,v)}(T) = \omega_{(A,v)}(T^{\sharp_A})$,
- (b) $\omega_{(A,v)}(T) = \omega_{(A,1-v)}(T)$,
- (c) $\gamma \|T\|_A \leq \omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq \|T\|_A$,
- (d) $\omega_A(T) \leq \omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq 2\gamma \omega_A(T)$.

Proof. (a) and (b) can be easily obtained by definition and the properties of the A -seminorm.

Next, we prove (c), by Definition 2.1 and the triangle inequality, then $\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq \|T\|_A$. Another, we have

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) = \sup_{\alpha^2+\beta^2=1} \|\alpha\mathfrak{R}_{(A,v)}(T) + \beta\mathfrak{S}_{(A,v)}(T)\|_A.$$

Letting $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$ and $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$, we get that

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \geq \|\mathfrak{R}_{(A,v)}(T)\|_A \text{ and } \omega_{(A,v)}(T) \geq \|\mathfrak{S}_{(A,v)}(T)\|_A.$$

By adding two inequalities, and the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$2\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \geq \|\mathfrak{R}_{(A,v)}(T)\|_A + \|\mathfrak{S}_{(A,v)}(T)\|_A \geq 2\nu\|T\|_A.$$

Then by replacing ν by $(1 - \nu)$, we get

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \geq (1 - \nu)\|T\|_A.$$

We start proving (d), for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \nu \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}T) + i(2\nu - 1)\mathfrak{S}_A(e^{i\theta}T))x\|_A^2 \\ &= \|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}T)x\|_A^2 + (2\nu - 1)^2\|\mathfrak{S}_A(e^{i\theta}T)x\|_A^2 + 2(2\nu - 1)\Re(\langle \mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}T)x, i\mathfrak{S}_A(e^{i\theta}T)x \rangle_A) \\ &\leq \|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}T)x\|_A^2 + (2\nu - 1)^2\|\mathfrak{S}_A(e^{i\theta}T)x\|_A^2 + 2(2\nu - 1)|\langle \mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}T)x, \mathfrak{S}_A(e^{i\theta}T)x \rangle_A| \\ &\leq \|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + (2\nu - 1)^2\|\mathfrak{S}_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + 2(2\nu - 1)\|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A\|\mathfrak{S}_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A \\ &\leq (2\nu\omega_A(T))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all $\|x\|_A = 1$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, together with (1.1), we get

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq 2\nu\omega_A(T).$$

If $0 \leq \nu \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then, $\frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \nu \leq 1$, we can get $\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq 2(1 - \nu)\omega_A(T)$. Therefore, $\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq 2\gamma\omega_A(T)$.

On the other hand, similar to the method in the literature [14], first we prove that $f(\nu) = \omega_{(A,v)}(T)$ is a convex continuous function on $[0, 1]$. For $0 \leq \nu_1, \nu_2, \lambda \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda\nu_1 + (1 - \lambda)\nu_2) &= \omega_{(A,\lambda\nu_1+(1-\lambda)\nu_2)}(T) \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|(\lambda\nu_1 + (1 - \lambda)\nu_2)e^{i\theta}T + (1 - \lambda\nu_1 - \nu_2 + \lambda\nu_2)e^{-i\theta}T\|_A \\ &\leq \lambda \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\nu_1e^{i\theta}T + (1 - \nu_1)e^{-i\theta}T\|_A + (1 - \lambda) \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\nu_2e^{i\theta}T + (1 - \nu_2)e^{-i\theta}T\|_A \\ &= \lambda\omega_{(A,\nu_1)}(T) + (1 - \lambda)\omega_{(A,\nu_2)}(T) \\ &= \lambda f(\nu_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(\nu_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f is convex on $[0, 1]$. By the property of convex function, f is continuous on $(0, 1)$. According to (c), we have

$$0 \leq \|T\|_A - \omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq \|T\|_A(1 - \gamma).$$

Hence f is continuous at $v = 0$ and $v = 1$, i.e. it is continuous on $[0, 1]$. Also we know $f(v) = f(1 - v)$, f is symmetric about $v = \frac{1}{2}$. It means that f is decreasing on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and increasing on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Therefore, we get that the minimum of f is $f(\frac{1}{2})$ and the maximum of f is $f(1)$ and $f(0)$. It shows that $\omega_A(T) \leq \omega_{(A,v)}(T)$. This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and $\gamma = \max\{v, 1 - v\}$. Then we have*

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \geq \gamma \|T\|_A + \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A - \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A|}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(A,v)}(T) &\geq \max\{\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A, \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A\} \\ &= \frac{\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A + \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A}{2} + \frac{|\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A - \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A|}{2} \\ &\geq v \|T\|_A + \frac{|\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A - \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A|}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by replacing v by $(1 - v)$, we get

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \geq (1 - v) \|T\|_A + \frac{|\|\Re_{A(1-v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A - \|\Im_{A(1-v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A|}{2}.$$

We also have

$$\|\Re_{(A,1-v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A = \|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Im_{(A,1-v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A = \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A.$$

Therefore,

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \geq \max\{v, (1 - v)\} \|T\|_A + \frac{|\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A - \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A|}{2}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$, $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and $\gamma = \max\{v, 1 - v\}$. Then $\omega_{(A,v)}(T) = \gamma \|T\|_A$ if and only if $\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A = \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A = \gamma \|T\|_A$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. According to Definition 2.1, the sufficient part is trivial, we only prove the necessary part. For $v \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, by Theorem 2.5, $\omega_{(A,v)}(T) = v\|T\|_A$, we get $\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A = \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A$, also

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A &\leq \omega_{(A,v)}(T) = v\|T\|_A = \|ve^{i\theta}T\|_A \\ &= \left\| \frac{\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T) + i\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)}{2} \right\|_A \leq \|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A \end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. For $v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $1 - v \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, similarly, we can prove the conclusion. \square

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$. Then we have*

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq \inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2}.$$

Proof. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, replacing T by $e^{i\theta}T$ in Theorem 2.3, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(A,v)}(T) &= \sup_{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1} \|\alpha \Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T) + \beta \Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A \\ &\leq \sup_{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1} (|\alpha| \|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A + |\beta| \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A). \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get that

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq \sup_{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1} \left(\sqrt{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2} \sqrt{\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2} \right).$$

Thus,

$$\omega_{(A,v)}(T) \leq \inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2}. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2.8. *Let $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_{(A,v)}^2(T) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A + \frac{(2v-1)^2 [c_A^2(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)) + c_A^2(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T))]}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 - \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + (2v-1)^2 [c_A^2(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)) - c_A^2(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T))]}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we first prove the following two inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(A,v)}^2(T) &\geq \|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + (2v-1)^2 c_A^2(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)), \\ \omega_{(A,v)}^2(T) &\geq \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + (2v-1)^2 c_A^2(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\Re_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 &\geq \| (Re_A(e^{i\theta}T) + i(2\nu - 1)\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T))x \|_A^2 \\ &\geq \left| \left\langle \left(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T) + i(2\nu - 1)\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T) \right) x, x \right\rangle_A \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \left\langle \Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)x, x \right\rangle_A \right|^2 + (2\nu - 1)^2 \left| \left\langle \Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)x, x \right\rangle_A \right|^2 \\ &\geq \left| \left\langle \Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)x, x \right\rangle_A \right|^2 + (2\nu - 1)^2 c_A^2(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$, implies that

$$\omega_{(A,v)}^2(T) \geq \|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + (2\nu - 1)^2 c_A^2(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)) = a.$$

Similarly, by using (1.2), we get that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\Im_{(A,v)}(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A \geq \| (\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T) - i(2\nu - 1)\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T))x \|_A.$$

Then

$$\omega_{(A,v)}^2(T) \geq \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + (2\nu - 1)^2 c_A^2(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)) = b.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_{(A,v)}^2(T) \\ &\geq \max\{a, b\} \\ &= \frac{\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2}{2} + \frac{(2\nu - 1)^2 [c_A^2(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)) + c_A^2(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T))]}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\left| \|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 - \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + (2\nu - 1)^2 [c_A^2(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)) - c_A^2(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T))] \right|}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A + \frac{(2\nu - 1)^2 [c_A^2(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)) + c_A^2(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T))]}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\left| \|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 - \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + (2\nu - 1)^2 [c_A^2(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)) - c_A^2(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T))] \right|}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let $T \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\omega_A^2(T) = \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A$ if and only if $\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 = \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 = \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The sufficient part is trivial, we only prove the necessary part. Taking $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 2.8, if $\omega_A^2(T) = \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A$, then $\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 = \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, we have $(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T))^2 + (\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T))^2 = \frac{T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A &= \frac{1}{2} \|(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T))^2 + (\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T))^2\|_A \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 + \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\omega_A^2(T) + \omega_A^2(T)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 = \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 = \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

REMARK 2.10. Very recently, as our work in progress, for an arbitrary norm $N(\cdot)$ on $B(H)$ and $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, Zamani [18] defined the $w_{(N,\nu)}(\cdot)$ as a generalization of the weighted numerical radius. Mabrouk and Zamani [10] introduced an extension of the a -numerical radius on C^* -algebra. Theorem 2.5 (i) in [10] is an extension of Theorem 2.3. Theorem 2.6 in [18] and [10] are extensions of Proposition 2.4, respectively. Our approach here is different from theirs.

3. Weighted A -numerical radius inequalities for 2×2 operator matrices

To prove our results, we begin with the following results.

LEMMA 3.1. [5, 6] *Let $T, S, X, Y \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

- (i) $\begin{pmatrix} T & X \\ Y & S \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_A} = \begin{pmatrix} T^{\sharp_A} & Y^{\sharp_A} \\ X^{\sharp_A} & S^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}.$
- (ii) $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} T & O \\ O & S \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} O & T \\ S & O \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \max\{\|T\|_A, \|S\|_A\}.$

LEMMA 3.2. [13] *Let $X, Y \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

- (i) $\omega_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} = \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & Y \\ X & O \end{pmatrix}.$
- (ii) $\omega_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y & X \end{pmatrix} = \max\{\omega_A(X+Y), \omega_A(X-Y)\}.$

In particular $\omega_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & Y \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} = \omega_A(Y).$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $X, Y \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(\mathbb{A},\nu)}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} &\leq \max \{ \| \nu^2 X X^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 Y^{\sharp_A} Y \|_A + 2\nu(1-\nu)\omega_A(XY), \\ &\quad \| \nu^2 Y Y^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 X^{\sharp_A} X \|_A + 2\nu(1-\nu)\omega_A(YX) \}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \|XX^{\sharp_A} + Y^{\sharp_A}Y\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A(XY), \right. \quad (3.1)$$

$$\left. \frac{1}{4} \|X^{\sharp_A}X + YY^{\sharp_A}\|_A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A(YX) \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(\mathbb{A}, \nu)}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} O & \nu e^{i\theta} X + (1-\nu)e^{-i\theta} Y^{\sharp_A} \\ \nu e^{i\theta} Y + (1-\nu)e^{-i\theta} X^{\sharp_A} & O \end{pmatrix} \right\|_A^2 \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} P & O \\ O & Q \end{pmatrix} \right\|_A, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \nu^2 XX^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 Y^{\sharp_A} (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} + 2\nu(1-\nu) \mathfrak{R}_A(e^{2i\theta} X (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}), \\ Q &= \nu^2 YY^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 X^{\sharp_A} (X^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} + 2\nu(1-\nu) \mathfrak{R}_A(e^{2i\theta} Y (X^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_A &\leq \|\nu^2 XX^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 Y^{\sharp_A} Y\|_A + 2\nu(1-\nu) \|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{2i\theta} X (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A})\|_A \\ &\leq \|\nu^2 XX^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 Y^{\sharp_A} Y\|_A + 2\nu(1-\nu) \omega_A(XY). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q\|_A &\leq \|\nu^2 YY^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 X^{\sharp_A} X\|_A + 2\nu(1-\nu) \|\mathfrak{R}_A(e^{2i\theta} Y (X^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A})\|_A \\ &\leq \|\nu^2 YY^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 X^{\sharp_A} X\|_A + 2\nu(1-\nu) \omega_A(YX). \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\omega_{(\mathbb{A}, \nu)}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \max \{ \|P\|_A, \|Q\|_A \}.$$

In conclusion, we obtain the desired inequality. \square

REMARK 3.4. Letting $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ and $X = Y = T$ in Theorem 3.3, and by Lemma 3.2, we get the inequality (1.6) proved by Zamani in [16]. Together with (3.1) and (3.2), we can see that the bound provided in Theorem 3.3 is sharper than (1.8) given in [6].

THEOREM 3.5. Let $X, Y \in B_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{(\mathbb{A}, \nu)}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} &\geq \max \left\{ \|\nu^2 XX^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 Y^{\sharp_A} Y\|_A + 2\nu(1-\nu) c_A(XY), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|\nu^2 YY^{\sharp_A} + (1-\nu)^2 X^{\sharp_A} X\|_A + 2\nu(1-\nu) c_A(YX) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\omega_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \geq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \|XX^{\sharp_A} + Y^{\sharp_A}Y\|_A + \frac{1}{2}c_A(XY), \right. \tag{3.3}$$

$$\left. \frac{1}{4} \|X^{\sharp_A}X + YY^{\sharp_A}\|_A + \frac{1}{2}c_A(YX) \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 3.3 we know that

$$\omega_{(\mathbb{A}, \nu)}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \max \{ \|P\|_A, \|Q\|_A \}.$$

Here, P and Q are the same as Theorem 3.3.

Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_A &\geq | \langle Px, x \rangle_A | \\ &= \left| \left\langle \left(\nu^2 XX^{\sharp_A} + (1 - \nu)^2 Y^{\sharp_A} (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} + 2\nu(1 - \nu) \Re_A(e^{2i\theta} X (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}) \right) x, x \right\rangle_A \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that

$$\left\langle e^{2i\theta_0} X (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} x, x \right\rangle_A = \left| \left\langle X (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} x, x \right\rangle_A \right|.$$

Thus, by replacing θ by θ_0 in the above formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_A &\geq \left| \left\langle \nu^2 XX^{\sharp_A} + (1 - \nu)^2 Y^{\sharp_A} (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} x, x \right\rangle_A \right| + 2\nu(1 - \nu) \left| \left\langle X (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} x, x \right\rangle_A \right| \\ &\geq \left| \left\langle \nu^2 XX^{\sharp_A} + (1 - \nu)^2 Y^{\sharp_A} (Y^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} x, x \right\rangle_A \right| + 2\nu(1 - \nu)c_A(YX). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$ in the above inequality, we get

$$\|P\|_A \geq \|\nu^2 XX^{\sharp_A} + (1 - \nu)^2 Y^{\sharp_A} Y\|_A + 2\nu(1 - \nu)c_A(XY).$$

In a similar way, we can get

$$\|Q\|_A \geq \|\nu^2 Y Y^{\sharp_A} + (1 - \nu)^2 X^{\sharp_A} X\|_A + 2\nu(1 - \nu)c_A(YX).$$

Thus, we complete the proof. \square

REMARK 3.6. Taking $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.5, the inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) improve the inequality (1.7) obtained in [6].

Funding. This research is supported by the Project of Science and Technology of Henan Province (232102210066), Postgraduate Education Reform and Quality Improvement Project of Henan Province (YJS2022ZX33), the Scientific Research Project for Postgraduate of Henan Normal University (YL202121).

Conflicts of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and material Not applicable.

Authors' contributions All authors contributed to each part of this work equally, and they all read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AL-DOLAT, I. JARADAT, B. AL-HUSBAN, *A novel numerical radius upper bound for 2×2 operator matrices*, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **70** (6) (2022), 1173–1184.
- [2] M. W. ALOMARI, *Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **15** (2021), 111.
- [3] W. BANI-DOMI, F. KITTANEH, *Norm and numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **69** (5) (2021), 934–945.
- [4] W. BANI-DOMI, F. KITTANEH, *Refined and generalized numerical radius inequalities for 2×2 operator matrices*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **624** (2021), 364–386.
- [5] P. BHUNIA, K. FEKI, K. PAUL, *A-Numerical radius orthogonality and parallelism of semi-Hilbertian space operators and their applications*, *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* **47** (2021), 435–457.
- [6] P. BHUNIA, K. PAUL, R. K. NAYAK, *On inequalities for A-numerical radius of operators*, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* **36** (2020), 143–157.
- [7] R. G. DOUGLAS, *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert space*, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **17** (1966), 413–416.
- [8] K. FEKI, *A note on the A-numerical radius of operators in semi-Hilbert spaces*, *Arch. Math.* **115** (2020), 535–544.
- [9] O. HIRZALLAH, F. KITTANEH, K. SHEBRAWI, *Numerical Radius Inequalities for Certain 2×2 Operator Matrices*, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **71** (2011), 129–147.
- [10] M. MABROUK, A. ZAMANI, *An extension of the a-numerical radius on C^* -algebras*, arXiv:2210.16781v1 [math.OA], 2022.
- [11] M. S. MOSLEHIAN, Q. XU, A. ZAMANI, *Semimorm and numerical radius inequalities of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **591** (2020), 299–321.
- [12] N. C. ROUT, D. MISHRA, *Further results on A-numerical radius inequalities*, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2022), 13.
- [13] N. C. ROUT, S. SAHOO, D. MISHRA, *On A-numerical radius inequalities for 2×2 operator matrices*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2020.1810201>.
- [14] A. SHEIKHHOSSEINI, M. KHOSRAVI, M. SABABHEH, *The weighted numerical radius*, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2022), 3.
- [15] Q. XU, Z. YE, A. ZAMANI, *Some upper bounds for the A-numerical radius of 2×2 block matrices*, *Adv. Oper. Theory* **6** (2021) 1.
- [16] A. ZAMANI, *A-numerical radius inequalities for semi-Hilbertian space operators*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **578** (2019), 159–183.
- [17] A. ZAMANI, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, Q. XU, et al., *Numerical radius inequalities concerning with algebra norms*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **18** (2021), 38.
- [18] A. ZAMANI, *The weighted Hilbert-Schmidt numerical radius*, arXiv:2111.14222v1 [math.FA], 2021.

(Received July 11, 2022)

Fugen Gao
 College of Mathematics and Information Science
 Henan Normal University
 Xinxiang, 453007, Henan, P. R. China
 e-mail: gaofugen08@126.com

Xianqin Liu
 College of Mathematics and Information Science
 Henan Normal University
 Xinxiang, 453007, Henan, P. R. China
 e-mail: liuxianqin98@126.com