

STRONG COMMUTATIVITY PRESERVING ADDITIVE MAPS ON INVERTIBLE TRIANGULAR MATRICES OVER \mathbb{F}_2

WAI LEONG CHOOI, LI YIN TAN AND YEAN NEE TAN*

(Communicated by E. Poon)

Abstract. Let $T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the ring of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over the Galois field \mathbb{F}_2 of two elements. In this paper we characterize strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on invertible matrices for $n = 2$ and $n \geq 5$. This result completes a recent result obtained by Chooi et al. in [14] and yields a comprehensive structural characterization of strong commutativity preserving additive maps on rank k upper triangular matrices over division rings. Some irregular forms are included to exemplify the complexity in structure of strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on invertible matrices for $n = 3$ and 4.

1. Introduction and results

Let \mathcal{R} be a ring and let \mathcal{S} be a nonempty subset of \mathcal{R} . For any $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$, we denote by $[x, y] = xy - yx$ the commutator of x and y . A map $\psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is called *commutativity preserving* on \mathcal{S} if $[\psi(x), \psi(y)] = 0$ whenever $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$. Watkins' pioneering result on commutativity preserving linear maps [33] inspired several analogous results for rings, matrix spaces and operator algebras; see [4, 5, 9, 12, 28, 32] and references therein. In particular, Bell and Mason [3] introduced the notion of strong commutativity preserving maps in 1992. A map $\psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is said to be *strong commutativity preserving* on \mathcal{S} if $[\psi(x), \psi(y)] = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$. A strong commutativity preserving map is commutativity preserving, but the converse is not true in general. Subsequently, Bell and Daif [2] proved that a semiprime ring \mathcal{R} admitting a strong commutativity preserving derivation on \mathcal{R} must necessarily be commutative. Following this, Brešar and Miers [8] characterized strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ on semiprime rings \mathcal{R} and proved that ψ is of the form

$$\psi(x) = \lambda x + \mu(x) \quad (1)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$, where λ is an element in the extended centroid \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{R} satisfying $\lambda^2 = 1$ and $\mu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an additive map. The significance of this structural result has attracted considerable attention and there has been remarkable progress in the study

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 15A03, 15A04, 15A27, 15A86, 16R60.

Keywords and phrases: Strong commutativity preserving map, upper triangular matrix, the Galois field of two elements, functional identity, linear preserver problem.

* Corresponding author.

of strong commutativity preserving maps on various rings and algebras, as evidenced in [1, 10, 11, 15, 18, 20–23, 25, 27, 30, 31, 34].

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ be the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over a field \mathbb{F} . Motivated by the elegant and astounding results obtained in addressing linear preserver problems [19, 29] on matrices, Franca [16, 17] initiated the study of functional identities [6, 7] on matrices, in particular, commuting additive maps on subsets of $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ that are not closed under addition such as invertible matrices, singular matrices and rank k matrices. In light of this, Liu [24] characterized strong commutativity preserving maps on the subset of invertible (respectively, singular) matrices of $M_n(\mathbb{D})$ over division rings \mathbb{D} and showed that these maps conform to the standard form (1). In a later development, Liu et al. [26] extended this result to the set of all rank k matrices of $M_n(\mathbb{D})$ for some fixed integer $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Let $T_n(\mathbb{D})$ denote the ring of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over a division ring \mathbb{D} . Most recently, Chooi et al. [14] obtained a complete structural characterization of strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{D})$ on rank k matrices, where $1 \leq k \leq n$ is a fixed integer such that $k \neq n$ when \mathbb{D} is the Galois field \mathbb{F}_2 of two elements. They showed that such additive maps ψ are of the standard form (1) when \mathbb{D} is a noncommutative division ring, but there are some irregular nonstandard forms when \mathbb{D} is a field. Inspired by these results, in this paper, we complete the study in [14] by addressing a characterization of strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on invertible matrices, i.e., additive maps ψ satisfying $[\psi(A), \psi(B)] = [A, B]$ for all invertible matrices $A, B \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, in Theorem 1.1 for $n \geq 5$ and Theorem 1.2 for $n = 2$. It is worth pointing out that the structural result of these maps is quite different from the result in [14]. For instance, the following additive map

$$A \mapsto A + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} X_i$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $X_1, \dots, X_n \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are some fixed matrices such that $X_1 + \dots + X_n = 0$, is strong commutativity preserving on invertible triangular matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 . Furthermore, there are many irregular forms of strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on invertible matrices for both $n = 3$ and $n = 4$. We include a selection of irregular forms for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ in Examples 2.2 and 2.3 below. Because of the complexity of these mappings, a full characterization of strong commutativity preserving maps on invertible matrices may be an intractable problem for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$.

Here and subsequently, \mathbb{F}_2 is the Galois field of two elements, I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $E_{ij} \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ denotes the standard matrix unit whose (i, j) th entry is one and zero elsewhere. One sees immediately that $E_{ij}E_{st} = \delta_{js}E_{it}$ for $E_{ij}, E_{st} \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where δ_{js} is the Kronecker delta, and $[A, B] = [B, A]$ for all $A, B \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

We are now ready to present the main results in the study.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer. Then $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is a strong commutativity preserving additive map on invertible matrices if and only if there exist scalars $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$, additive maps $\mu, \eta : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ and matrices $X_1, \dots, X_n \in$*

$T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfying $X_1 + \cdots + X_n = 0$ such that

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_n + \eta(A)E_{1n} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}X_i + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A)$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is the additive map defined by

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A) = (\gamma a_{12} + \alpha a_{n-1,n})E_{1,n-1} + (\beta a_{12} + \gamma a_{n-1,n})E_{2n}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

THEOREM 1.2. *An additive map $\psi : T_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is strong commutativity preserving on invertible matrices if and only if there exists an additive map $\mu : T_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ and matrices $X_1, X_2 \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfying $X_1 + X_2 = 0$ such that either*

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_2 + a_{11}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha a_{11} + a_{12} \\ 0 & \beta a_{11} + (\alpha + 1)\gamma a_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$ are some fixed scalars, or

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_2 + a_{11}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha a_{11} \\ 0 & \beta(\alpha a_{11} + a_{12}) \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_2$ are some fixed scalars.

2. Examples

In this section, we address some examples of strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on invertible matrices.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the additive map defined by

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_n + \eta(A)E_{1n} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}X_i + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A)$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\mu, \eta : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ are additive maps, $X_1, \dots, X_n \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are matrices satisfying $X_1 + \cdots + X_n = 0$, and $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is the additive map defined by

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A) = (\gamma a_{12} + \alpha a_{n-1,n})E_{1,n-1} + (\beta a_{12} + \gamma a_{n-1,n})E_{2n}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$ are fixed scalars in which $\alpha\beta = \gamma^2$ when $n = 3$. We will show that ψ is a strong commutativity preserving additive map on

invertible matrices. Let $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be invertible. Then $a_{ii} = 1 = b_{ii}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and thus $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}X_i = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ii}X_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi(A), \psi(B)] &= [A + \mu(A)I_n + \eta(A)E_{1n} + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A), \\ &\quad B + \mu(B)I_n + \eta(B)E_{1n} + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(B)] \\ &= [A, B] + [\mu(A)I_n, \mu(B)I_n] + [\eta(A)E_{1n}, \eta(B)E_{1n}] \\ &\quad + [\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A), \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(B)]. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $[\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A), \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(B)] = (\alpha\beta + \gamma^2)(a_{12}b_{23} + b_{12}a_{23})E_{13} = 0$ when $n = 3$, and $[\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A), \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(B)] = 0$ when $n \geq 4$. Also, one easily sees that

$$[A, \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(B)] = (a_{12}(\gamma b_{n-1,n} + \beta b_{12}) + (\gamma b_{12} + \alpha b_{n-1,n})a_{n-1,n})E_{1n},$$

$$[\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A), B] = ((\gamma a_{12} + \alpha a_{n-1,n})b_{n-1,n} + b_{12}(\gamma a_{n-1,n} + \beta a_{12}))E_{1n}.$$

It follows that $[\psi(A), \psi(B)] = [A, B]$ for all invertible matrices $A, B \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ as claimed.

We now list a selection of some irregular forms of strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_4(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on invertible matrices.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $\mu : T_4(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be an additive map. Let $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^4 X_i = 0$. Consider the additive map $\psi : T_4(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$ defined by

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_4 + \sum_{i=1}^4 a_{ii}X_i$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$. In view of Example 2.1, we see that ψ is a strong commutativity preserving additive map on invertible matrices. Let $\eta : T_4(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be an additive map and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$. For each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, let $\psi_i : T_4(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the additive map defined by

$$\psi_1(A) = \psi(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \gamma a_{12} + \alpha a_{34} & \eta(A) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta a_{12} + \gamma a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$\psi_2(A) = \psi(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{13} & 0 & a_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{23} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$\psi_3(A) = \psi_2(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{12} + a_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} + a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$\psi_4(A) = \psi_2(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_{12} + a_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} + a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$\psi_5(A) = \psi_2(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Firstly, by Example 2.1, ψ_1 is a strong commutativity preserving additive map on invertible matrices. Next, we claim that ψ_2 is strong commutativity preserving on invertible matrices. We set

$$\phi(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{13} & 0 & x_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{23} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } X = (x_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Let $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be invertible. Then $a_{ii} = 1 = b_{ii}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$. We see that $[\phi(A), \phi(B)] = (a_{14}b_{23} + b_{14}a_{23})E_{14}$, and

$$[A, \phi(B)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_{13}b_{23} + b_{13}a_{23} & a_{13}b_{24} + b_{13}a_{24} + a_{14}b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23}b_{23} & a_{23}b_{24} + a_{24}b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$[\phi(A), B] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_{13}b_{23} + b_{13}a_{23} & a_{13}b_{24} + b_{13}a_{24} + b_{14}a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{23}a_{23} & b_{23}a_{24} + b_{24}a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $[\psi_2(A), \psi_2(B)] = [\psi(A) + \phi(A), \psi(B) + \phi(B)] = [A, B] + [A, \phi(B)] + [\phi(A), B] + [\phi(A), \phi(B)] = [A, B]$ for all invertible matrices $A, B \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

We show that ψ_3 is a strong commutativity preserving additive map on invertible matrices. Set

$$\varphi(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} + x_{13} & x_{12} + x_{13} & x_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{23} & x_{24} + x_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{23} & x_{24} + x_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $X = (x_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij}) \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be invertible matrices. We see that $[\varphi(A), \varphi(B)] = (a_{14}b_{23} + b_{14}a_{23})E_{14}$, and

$$[A, \varphi(B)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (a_{12} + a_{13})b_{23} & (a_{12} + a_{13})(b_{24} + b_{34}) + (b_{12} + b_{13})a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23}b_{23} & a_{23}(b_{24} + b_{34}) + b_{23}(a_{24} + a_{34}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$[\varphi(A), B] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (b_{12} + b_{13})a_{23} & (b_{12} + b_{13})(a_{24} + a_{34}) + (a_{12} + a_{13})b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{23}a_{23} & b_{23}(a_{24} + a_{34}) + a_{23}(b_{24} + b_{34}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $[\psi_3(A), \psi_3(B)] = [\psi(A) + \varphi(A), \psi(B) + \varphi(B)] = [A, B]$ for all invertible matrices $A, B \in T_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Likewise, it can be verified by direct computations that ψ_4 and ψ_5 are strong commutativity preserving maps on invertible matrices.

The following example provides a selection of some irregular forms of strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_3(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on invertible matrices.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let $\mu : T_3(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be an additive map and let $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be such that $X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = 0$. We define the additive map $\psi : T_3(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ by

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_3 + \sum_{i=1}^3 a_{ii}X_i$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$. For each $i = 1, \dots, 6$, let $\psi_i : T_3(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the additive map defined by

$$\psi_1(A) = \psi(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma a_{12} + \alpha a_{23} & \eta(A) \\ 0 & 0 & \beta a_{12} + \gamma a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

where $\eta : T_3(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is an additive map and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$ are scalars satisfying $\alpha\beta = \gamma^2$, and

$$\psi_2(A) = \psi(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$\psi_3(A) = \psi(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{12} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$\psi_4(A) = \psi(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_{13} + a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{12} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$\psi_5(A) = \psi(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{23} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{12} + a_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{12} + a_{23} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2),$$

$$\psi_6(A) = \psi(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} + a_{13} & a_{13} + a_{23} \\ 0 & a_{13} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{12} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } A = (a_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Since $\alpha\beta = \gamma^2$, it follows from Example 2.1 that ψ_1 is a strong commutativity preserving map on invertible matrices. We now claim that ψ_2 is strong commutativity preserving on invertible matrices. We set

$$\phi(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

for every $X = (x_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be invertible. We see that $[\psi(A), \psi(B)] = [A, B]$, $[\phi(A), \phi(B)] = (a_{13}b_{23} + b_{13}a_{23})E_{13}$,

$$[A, \phi(B)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_{13}b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23}b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$[\phi(A), B] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_{13}a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{23}a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $[\psi_2(A), \psi_2(B)] = [\psi(A) + \phi(A), \psi(B) + \phi(B)] = [A, B] + [A, \phi(B)] + [\phi(A), B] + [\phi(A), \phi(B)] = [A, B]$ for all invertible matrices $A, B \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Next, we show that ψ_6 is a strong commutativity preserving map on invertible matrices. Set

$$\varphi(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} + x_{13} & x_{13} + x_{23} \\ 0 & x_{13} & x_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $X = (x_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij}) \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be invertible. A direct verification gives

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi(A), \varphi(B)] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12}b_{13} + b_{12}a_{13} & a_{13}(b_{12} + b_{23}) + b_{13}(a_{12} + a_{23}) \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23}(b_{12} + b_{13}) + b_{23}(a_{12} + a_{13}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= [A, \varphi(B)] + [\varphi(A), B]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $[\psi_6(A), \psi_6(B)] = [\psi(A) + \varphi(A), \psi(B) + \varphi(B)] = [A, B]$ for all invertible matrices $A, B \in T_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$. In the same manner we can verify that ψ_3 , ψ_4 and ψ_5 are strong commutativity preserving maps on invertible matrices.

3. Proofs

We begin with the following observation.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is an additive map such that $\psi(A) = A$ for all invertible matrices $A \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ if and only if there exist $X_1, \dots, X_n \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfying $X_1 + \dots + X_n = 0$ such that*

$$\psi(A) = A + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}X_i$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proof. For the sufficiency, let $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Then

$$\psi(A+B) = (A+B) + \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} + b_{ii})X_i = \psi(A) + \psi(B).$$

Hence ψ is an additive map. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be invertible. Then $a_{ii} = 1$ for all i , and thus $\psi(A) = A + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = A$ as desired.

We consider the necessity. Let $\phi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the additive map defined by

$$\phi(A) = \psi(A) + A$$

for all $A \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Let $B \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be invertible. Then $\phi(B) = 0$, and so $[\phi(B), B] = 0$. We infer that ϕ is a commuting additive map on invertible matrices that vanishes on invertible matrices. By [13, Lemma 2.6], there exist $X_1, \dots, X_n \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfying $X_1 + \dots + X_n = 0$ such that $\phi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} X_i$ for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, which completes the proof. \square

We first characterize strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on invertible matrices.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that if $H, K \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are invertible, then $H = I_2 + aE_{12}$ and $K = I_2 + bE_{12}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Thus $[H, K] = 0$. We prove the sufficiency. Let $\mu : T_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be an additive map and let $X_1, X_2 \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be matrices satisfying $X_1 + X_2 = 0$. We first consider the additive map $\psi : T_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of the form

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_2 + a_{11}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha a_{11} + a_{12} \\ 0 & \beta a_{11} + (\alpha + 1)\gamma a_{12} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$ are some fixed scalars. Let $A, B \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be invertible. Then $A = I_2 + aE_{12}$ and $B = I_2 + bE_{12}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$. It follows that $\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_2 + (\alpha + a)E_{12} + (\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma a)E_{22}$ by (2). Since $[xE_{12}, X] = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and invertible matrix $X \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi(A), \psi(B)] &= [A + (\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma a)E_{22}, B + (\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma b)E_{22}] \\ &\quad + [(\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma a)E_{22}, (\alpha + b)E_{12}] \\ &\quad + [(\alpha + a)E_{12}, (\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma b)E_{22}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[A, B] = 0$, $[(\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma a)E_{22}, (\alpha + b)E_{12}] + [(\alpha + a)E_{12}, (\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma b)E_{22}] = \beta(a + b)E_{12}$, $[A, (\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma b)E_{22}] = a(\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma b)E_{12}$ and $[(\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma a)E_{22}, B] = b(\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma a)E_{12}$, it follows that

$$[\psi(A), \psi(B)] = \beta(a + b)E_{12} + a(\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma b)E_{12} + b(\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma a)E_{12} = 0.$$

Then $[\psi(A), \psi(B)] = 0 = [A, B]$ for all invertible matrices $A \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ when ψ is of form (2).

We consider the additive map $\psi : T_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of the form

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_2 + a_{11}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha a_{11} \\ 0 & \beta(\alpha a_{11} + a_{12}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_2$ are some fixed scalars. Likewise, if $A = I_2 + aE_{12}$ and $B = I_2 + bE_{12}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$, then

$$[\psi(A), \psi(B)] = [A + (\alpha\beta + \beta a)E_{22}, B + (\alpha\beta + \beta b)E_{22}] + \alpha\beta(a + b)E_{12}.$$

Since $[A, (\alpha\beta + \beta b)E_{22}] = a(\alpha\beta + \beta b)E_{12}$ and $[(\alpha\beta + \beta a)E_{22}, B] = b(\alpha\beta + \beta a)E_{12}$, we have

$$[\psi(A), \psi(B)] = a(\alpha\beta + \beta b)E_{12} + b(\alpha\beta + \beta a)E_{12} + \alpha\beta(a + b)E_{12} = 0 = [A, B].$$

Hence ψ is strong commutativity preserving on invertible matrices when ψ is of form (3).

For the necessity, we denote

$$\psi(I_2) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ 0 & f_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

$$\psi(E_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ 0 & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

for some fixed scalars $f_{ij}, g_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2$. Since ψ is strong commutativity preserving on invertible matrices, it follows that $[\psi(I_2), \psi(I_2 + E_{12})] = [I_2, I_2 + E_{12}] = 0$, which in turn gives $[\psi(I_2), \psi(E_{12})] = 0$. By (4) and (5),

$$(f_{11} + f_{22})g_{12} = f_{12}(g_{11} + g_{22}). \quad (6)$$

We argue in the following two cases:

Case 1: $g_{12} = 0$. We first consider $f_{12} = 1$. Then $g_{11} = g_{22}$ by (6). Let $\beta_1 = f_{11} + f_{22} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. By (4) and (5), $\psi(I_2) = f_{11}I_2 + \beta_1 E_{22} + E_{12}$ and $\psi(E_{12}) = g_{11}I_2$. So, for $A = (a_{ij}) \in \{I_2, E_{12}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(A) &= a_{11}(f_{11}I_2 + \beta_1 E_{22} + E_{12}) + a_{12}g_{11}I_2 \\ &= A + (a_{11}f_{11} + a_{12}g_{11} + a_{11})I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{11} + a_{12} \\ 0 & \beta_1 a_{11} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu_1 : T_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be the additive map defined by $\mu_1(A) = a_{11}f_{11} + a_{12}g_{11} + a_{11}$ for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Then, by the additivity of ψ , we have

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu_1(A)I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{11} + a_{12} \\ 0 & \beta_1 a_{11} \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

for all invertible matrices $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Consider now $f_{12} = 0$. Let $\gamma_1 = g_{11} + g_{22} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. By (4) and (5), we get $\psi(E_{12}) = g_{11}I_2 + \gamma_1 E_{22}$ and $\psi(I_2) = f_{11}I_2 + \beta_1 E_{22}$, with $\beta_1 = f_{11} + f_{22}$. For $A = (a_{ij}) \in \{I_2, E_{12}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(A) &= a_{11}(f_{11}I_2 + \beta_1 E_{22}) + a_{12}(g_{11}I_2 + \gamma_1 E_{22}) \\ &= A + (a_{11}f_{11} + a_{12}g_{11} + a_{11})I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} \\ 0 & \beta_1 a_{11} + \gamma_1 a_{12} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By the additivity of ψ , we have

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu_1(A)I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} \\ 0 & \beta_1 a_{11} + \gamma_1 a_{12} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

for all invertible matrices $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Together with (7) and (8), there exists $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2$ such that

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu_1(A)I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 a_{11} + a_{12} \\ 0 & \beta_1 a_{11} + (\alpha_1 + 1)\gamma_1 a_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

for all invertible matrices $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Let $\varphi : T_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the additive map defined by

$$\varphi(A) = \psi(A) + \mu_1(A)I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 a_{11} + a_{12} \\ 0 & \beta_1 a_{11} + (\alpha_1 + 1)\gamma_1 a_{12} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Then $\varphi(A) = A$ for all invertible matrices $A \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. It follows from Lemma 3.1, there exist $X_1, X_2 \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, with $X_1 + X_2 = 0$, such that $\varphi(A) = A + a_{11}X_1 + a_{22}X_2$ for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. By (9), we thus obtain

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu_1(A)I_2 + a_{11}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 a_{11} + a_{12} \\ 0 & \beta_1 a_{11} + (\alpha_1 + 1)\gamma_1 a_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Case 2: $g_{12} = 1$. Let $\alpha_2 = f_{12} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\beta_2 = g_{11} + g_{22} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Then $f_{11} = f_{22} + \alpha_2\beta_2$ by (6). In view of (4) and (5), $\psi(I_2) = (f_{22} + \alpha_2\beta_2)I_2 + \alpha_2E_{12} + \alpha_2\beta_2E_{22}$ and $\psi(E_{12}) = g_{11}I_2 + E_{12} + \beta_2E_{22}$. For $A = (a_{ij}) \in \{I_2, E_{12}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(A) &= a_{11}((f_{22} + \alpha_2\beta_2)I_2 + \alpha_2E_{12} + \alpha_2\beta_2E_{22}) + a_{12}(g_{11}I_2 + E_{12} + \beta_2E_{22}) \\ &= A + (a_{11}(1 + f_{22} + \alpha_2\beta_2) + a_{12}g_{11})I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_2 a_{11} \\ 0 & \beta_2(\alpha_2 a_{11} + a_{12}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu_2 : T_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be the additive map defined by $\mu_2(A) = a_{11}(1 + f_{22} + \alpha_2\beta_2) + a_{12}g_{11}$ for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. By the additivity of ψ , we have

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu_2(A)I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_2 a_{11} \\ 0 & \beta_2(\alpha_2 a_{11} + a_{12}) \end{pmatrix}$$

for all invertible matrices $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We now apply a similar argument as in Case 1 and use Lemma 3.1 to obtain matrices $Y_1, Y_2 \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, with $Y_1 + Y_2 = 0$, such that

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu_2(A)I_2 + a_{11}Y_1 + a_{22}Y_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_2 a_{11} \\ 0 & \beta_2(\alpha_2 a_{11} + a_{12}) \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. This completes the proof. \square

We move on to obtain a complete description of strong commutativity preserving additive maps $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on invertible matrices for $n \geq 5$. For any integer $n \geq 2$, it follows immediately from the Jacobi identity that if $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is an additive map satisfying $[\psi(A), \psi(B)] = [A, B]$ for all invertible matrices $A, B \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, then

$$[\psi(A), [B, C]] + [\psi(B), [C, A]] + [\psi(C), [A, B]] = 0 \quad (10)$$

for all invertible matrices $A, B, C \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Our study starts with some preliminary results.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and let $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be a strong commutativity preserving additive map on invertible matrices. Then*

- (i) $[\psi(I_n), \psi(E_{pq})] = 0$ for all integers $1 \leq p < q \leq n$.
- (ii) $[\psi(I_n), E_{pq}] = 0$ for all integers $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ and $p+2 \leq q \leq n$.
- (iii) $[\psi(E_{pq}), \psi(E_{st})] = \delta_{qs}E_{pt}$ for all integers $1 \leq p < q \leq n$ and $1 \leq s < t \leq n$ with $p \leq s$.

Proof. (i) Let $1 \leq p < q \leq n$ be integers. Since $[\psi(I_n), \psi(I_n)] = 0 = [\psi(I_n), \psi(I_n + E_{pq})]$, it follows that $[\psi(I_n), \psi(E_{pq})] = [\psi(I_n), \psi(I_n + I_n + E_{pq})] = [\psi(I_n), \psi(I_n)] + [\psi(I_n), \psi(I_n + E_{pq})] = 0$.

(ii) Let p and q be integers such that $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ and $p+2 \leq q \leq n$. Setting $A = I_n$, $B = I_n + E_{p,p+1}$ and $C = I_n + E_{p+1,q}$ in (10), we get

$$0 = [\psi(I_n), [I_n + E_{p,p+1}, I_n + E_{p+1,q}]] = [\psi(I_n), [E_{p,p+1}, E_{p+1,q}]] = [\psi(I_n), E_{pq}].$$

(iii) Consider integers $1 \leq p < q \leq n$ and $1 \leq s < t \leq n$ with $p \leq s$. By (i), we obtain $[\psi(I_n) + \psi(E_{pq}), \psi(I_n) + \psi(E_{st})] = [\psi(E_{pq}), \psi(E_{st})]$. Then

$$[\psi(E_{pq}), \psi(E_{st})] = [\psi(I_n + E_{pq}), \psi(I_n + E_{st})] = [I_n + E_{pq}, I_n + E_{st}] = \delta_{qs}E_{pt}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer and let $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be a strong commutativity preserving additive map on invertible matrices. Then the following hold.*

- (i) For each pair of integers $1 \leq s < t \leq n$ satisfying $(s, t) \neq (1, 2)$ and $s \neq 2$, $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{1k}] = 0$ for all integers $3 \leq k \leq n$ with $k \neq s$.
- (ii) For each pair of integers $1 \leq s < t \leq n$ satisfying $(s, t) \neq (n-1, n)$ and $t \neq n-1$, $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{kn}] = 0$ for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ with $k \neq t$.
- (iii) For each integer $3 \leq t \leq n$, $[\psi(E_{2t}), E_{1k}] + \delta_{3t}[\psi(E_{13}), E_{2k}] = 0$ for all integers $4 \leq k \leq n$.
- (iv) For each integer $1 \leq s \leq n-2$, $[\psi(E_{s,n-1}), E_{kn}] + \delta_{s,n-2}[\psi(E_{n-2,n}), E_{k,n-1}] = 0$ for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n-3$.
- (v) $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{1q}] + [\psi(E_{pq}), E_{sp}] = 0$ for all integers $1 \leq s < t < p < q \leq n$.

Proof. (i) Let $1 \leq s < t \leq n$ and $3 \leq k \leq n$ be integers such that $(s, t) \neq (1, 2)$, $s \neq 2$ and $k \neq s$. Setting $A = I_n + E_{st}$, $B = I_n + E_{12}$, $C = I_n + E_{2k}$ in (10) gives $[\psi(I_n + E_{st}), E_{1k}] = 0$. Since $[\psi(I_n), E_{1k}] = 0$ by Lemma 3.2 (ii), we have $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{1k}] = 0$.

(ii) Let $1 \leq s < t \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ be integers such that $(s, t) \neq (n-1, n)$, $t \neq n-1$ and $k \neq t$. Taking $A = I_n + E_{st}$, $B = I_n + E_{k,n-1}$, $C = I_n + E_{n-1,n}$ in (10), we get $[\psi(I_n + E_{st}), E_{kn}] = 0$. By Lemma 3.2 (ii), $[\psi(I_n), E_{kn}] = 0$, and thus $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{kn}] = 0$.

(iii) Let $3 \leq t \leq n$ and $4 \leq k \leq n$ be integers. Set $A = I_n + E_{2t}$, $B = I_n + E_{13}$, $C = I_n + E_{3k}$ in (10). We obtain $[\psi(I_n + E_{2t}), E_{1k}] + [\psi(I_n + E_{13}), \delta_{3t}E_{2k}] = 0$. The desired result follows from Lemma 3.2 (ii).

(iv) Let $1 \leq s \leq n-2$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-3$ be integers. Setting $A = I_n + E_{s,n-1}$, $B = I_n + E_{k,n-2}$, $C = I_n + E_{n-2,n}$ in (10) yields $[\psi(I_n + E_{s,n-1}), E_{kn}] + [\psi(I_n + E_{n-2,n}), \delta_{s,n-2}E_{k,n-1}] = 0$. The result follows from Lemma 3.2 (ii).

(v) Let s, t, p, q be integers with $1 \leq s < t < p < q \leq n$. Taking $A = I_n + E_{st}$, $B = I_n + E_{tp}$, $C = I_n + E_{pq}$ in (10), we get $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{tq}] + [\psi(E_{pq}), E_{sp}] = 0$ by Lemma 3.2 (ii). \square

The following technical lemma gives a structural result of strong commutativity preserving additive maps on the identity matrix and strictly upper triangular matrices.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer and let $\psi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be a strong commutativity preserving additive map on invertible matrices. Then there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and additive maps $\mu, \eta : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ such that*

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_n + \eta(A)E_{1n} + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A)$$

for all strictly upper triangular matrices $A \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $A = I_n$, where $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is the additive map defined by

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A) = (\gamma a_{12} + \alpha a_{n-1,n})E_{1,n-1} + (\beta a_{12} + \gamma a_{n-1,n})E_{2n}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proof. For each pair of integers $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, we denote

$$\psi(E_{st}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij}^{(st)} E_{ij}, \quad (11)$$

$$\psi(I_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij} E_{ij} \quad (12)$$

for some fixed scalars $\alpha_{ij}^{(st)}, \alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Our first claim is that

$$\psi(I_n) = \alpha_{11}I_n + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij} E_{ij}. \quad (13)$$

Let $3 \leq p \leq n$ be an integer. By Lemma 3.2 (ii), $[\psi(I_n), E_{1p}] = 0$. It follows from (12) that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij} E_{ij} E_{1p} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij} E_{1p} E_{ij} = 0.$$

This yields $(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{pp})E_{1p} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \alpha_{pj} E_{1j} = 0$. It is understood that $\sum_{j=p+1}^n \alpha_{pj} E_{1j}$ vanishes when $p = n$. Then for every $3 \leq p \leq n$,

$$\alpha_{pp} = \alpha_{11}, \quad (14)$$

$$\alpha_{pj} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = p+1, \dots, n. \quad (15)$$

Likewise, for each integer $1 \leq p \leq n-2$, we get $[\psi(I_n), E_{pn}] = 0$ by Lemma 3.2(ii). By (12), we have $(\alpha_{pp} + \alpha_{nn})E_{pn} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ip}E_{in} = 0$. Then for every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$,

$$\alpha_{pp} = \alpha_{nn}, \quad (16)$$

$$\alpha_{ip} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p-1. \quad (17)$$

Substituting (14)–(17) into (12) yields $\psi(I_n) = \alpha_{11}I_n + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}E_{ij}$ as claimed.

We now study the structure of $\psi(E_{st})$ for every $1 \leq s < t \leq n$. The argument will be divided into three cases.

Case I-1: $s = 1$. Let $3 \leq p, q \leq n$ be integers. By Lemma 3.3(i), $[\psi(E_{1q}), E_{1p}] = 0$. We thus obtain from (11) that $(\alpha_{11}^{(1q)} + \alpha_{pp}^{(1q)})E_{1p} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \alpha_{pj}^{(1q)}E_{1j} = 0$. Then for every $3 \leq p, q \leq n$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(1q)} = \alpha_{11}^{(1q)}, \quad (18)$$

$$\alpha_{pj}^{(1q)} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = p+1, \dots, n. \quad (19)$$

Next consider integers $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ and $2 \leq q \leq n$, with $p \neq q$ and $q \neq n-1$. By Lemma 3.3(ii), we see that $[\psi(E_{1q}), E_{pn}] = 0$. It follows from (11) that $(\alpha_{pp}^{(1q)} + \alpha_{nn}^{(1q)})E_{pn} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ip}^{(1q)}E_{in} = 0$. Then for every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ and $2 \leq q \leq n$, with $p \neq q$ and $q \neq n-1$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(1q)} = \alpha_{nn}^{(1q)}, \quad (20)$$

$$\alpha_{ip}^{(1q)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p-1. \quad (21)$$

Substituting (18)–(21) into (11), we obtain

$$\psi(E_{1n}) = \alpha_{11}^{(1n)}I_n + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(1n)}E_{ij}. \quad (22)$$

Let $3 \leq p < q \leq n$ be integers. By Lemma 3.3(v), we get $[\psi(E_{12}), E_{2q}] + [\psi(E_{pq}), E_{1p}] = 0$. It follows from (11) that $\sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_{i2}^{(12)}E_{iq} + \sum_{j=q}^n \alpha_{qj}^{(12)}E_{2j} + \alpha_{11}^{(pq)}E_{1p} + \sum_{j=p}^n \alpha_{pj}^{(pq)}E_{1j} = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha_{11}^{(pq)} + \alpha_{pp}^{(pq)})E_{1p} + (\alpha_{pq}^{(pq)} + \alpha_{12}^{(12)})E_{1q} + (\alpha_{qq}^{(12)} + \alpha_{22}^{(12)})E_{2q} \\ & + \sum_{j=q+1}^n \alpha_{qj}^{(12)}E_{2j} + \sum_{j=p+1, j \neq q}^n \alpha_{pj}^{(pq)}E_{1j} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for every $3 \leq p < q \leq n$,

$$\alpha_{pq}^{(pq)} = \alpha_{12}^{(12)}, \quad (23)$$

and for every $4 \leq p \leq n$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(12)} = \alpha_{22}^{(12)}, \quad (24)$$

$$\alpha_{pj}^{(12)} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = p+1, \dots, n. \quad (25)$$

Substituting (20), (21), (24) and (25) into (11), we get

$$\psi(E_{12}) = \alpha_{11}^{(12)} I_n + \alpha_{12}^{(12)} E_{12} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(12)} E_{ij}. \quad (26)$$

Now consider integers $3 \leq t \leq n-2$ and $t < p < q \leq n$. Then $[\psi(E_{1t}), E_{tq}] + [\psi(E_{pq}), E_{1p}] = 0$ by Lemma 3.3 (v), and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha_{pq}^{(pq)} + \alpha_{1t}^{(1t)}) E_{1q} + (\alpha_{11}^{(pq)} + \alpha_{pp}^{(pq)}) E_{1p} + (\alpha_{qt}^{(1t)} + \alpha_{qq}^{(1t)}) E_{tq} \\ & + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} \alpha_{it}^{(1t)} E_{iq} + \sum_{j=q+1}^n \alpha_{qj}^{(1t)} E_{tj} + \sum_{j=p+1, j \neq q}^n \alpha_{pj}^{(pq)} E_{1j} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for every $3 \leq t \leq n-2$ and $t < p < q \leq n$,

$$\alpha_{it}^{(1t)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, t-1, \quad (27)$$

$$\alpha_{pq}^{(pq)} = \alpha_{1t}^{(1t)}. \quad (28)$$

Taking $(p, q) = (n-1, n)$ in (28), together with (23), we obtain

$$\alpha_{1t}^{(1t)} = \alpha_{n-1, n}^{(n-1, n)} = \alpha_{12}^{(12)} \quad \text{for } t = 3, \dots, n-2. \quad (29)$$

Substituting (18)–(21), (27) and (29) into (11), we get

$$\psi(E_{1t}) = \alpha_{11}^{(1t)} I_n + \alpha_{12}^{(12)} E_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(1t)} E_{ij} \quad (30)$$

for $t = 3, \dots, n-2$. Finally, we claim that

$$\psi(E_{1, n-1}) = \alpha_{11}^{(1, n-1)} I_n + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-2}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(1, n-1)} E_{ij}. \quad (31)$$

Let $1 \leq p \leq n-3$ be an integer. Since $\delta_{1, n-2} = 0$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 (iv) that $[\psi(E_{1, n-1}), E_{pn}] = 0$. By (11), $(\alpha_{pp}^{(1, n-1)} + \alpha_{nn}^{(1, n-1)}) E_{pn} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ip}^{(1, n-1)} E_{in} = 0$. Then for every $1 \leq p \leq n-3$, we get

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(1, n-1)} = \alpha_{nn}^{(1, n-1)}, \quad (32)$$

$$\alpha_{ip}^{(1, n-1)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p-1. \quad (33)$$

Substituting (18), (19), (32) and (33) into (11), we prove (31) as desired.

Case I-2: $s = 2$. Then $3 \leq t \leq n$. Consider integer $4 \leq p \leq n$. By Lemma 3.3 (iii), we see that $[\psi(E_{2t}), E_{1p}] + \delta_{3t} [\psi(E_{13}), E_{2p}] = 0$. Since $\alpha_{12}^{(13)} = 0$ by (21), it follows from (11) that

$$(\alpha_{11}^{(2t)} + \alpha_{pp}^{(2t)}) E_{1p} + \delta_{3t} (\alpha_{22}^{(13)} + \alpha_{pp}^{(13)}) E_{2p} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \alpha_{pj}^{(2t)} E_{1j} + \delta_{3t} \sum_{j=p+1}^n \alpha_{pj}^{(13)} E_{2j} = 0.$$

Then for every $3 \leq t \leq n$ and $4 \leq p \leq n$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(2t)} = \alpha_{11}^{(2t)}, \quad (34)$$

$$\alpha_{pj}^{(2t)} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = p+1, \dots, n. \quad (35)$$

We now consider integers $3 \leq t \leq n$ and $1 \leq p \leq n-2$, with $t \neq n-1$ and $p \neq t$. It follows from Lemma 3.3(ii) that $[\psi(E_{2t}), E_{pn}] = 0$. By (11), $(\alpha_{pp}^{(2t)} + \alpha_{nn}^{(2t)})E_{pn} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ip}^{(2t)}E_{in} = 0$. Then for every $3 \leq t \leq n$ and $1 \leq p \leq n-2$, with $t \neq n-1$ and $p \neq t$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(2t)} = \alpha_{nn}^{(2t)}, \quad (36)$$

$$\alpha_{ip}^{(2t)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p-1. \quad (37)$$

Next, consider integers $3 \leq t \leq n-2$ and $t < p < q \leq n$. Then $[\psi(E_{2t}), E_{tq}] + [\psi(E_{pq}), E_{2p}] = 0$ by Lemma 3.3(v). We infer from (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha_{tt}^{(2t)} + \alpha_{qq}^{(2t)})E_{tq} + (\alpha_{2t}^{(2t)} + \alpha_{pq}^{(pq)})E_{2q} + \alpha_{12}^{(pq)}E_{1p} + (\alpha_{22}^{(pq)} + \alpha_{pp}^{(pq)})E_{2p} \\ & + \sum_{i=1, i \neq 2}^{t-1} \alpha_{it}^{(2t)}E_{iq} + \sum_{j=q+1}^n \alpha_{qj}^{(2t)}E_{tj} + \sum_{j=p+1, j \neq q}^n \alpha_{pj}^{(pq)}E_{2j} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for every $3 \leq t \leq n-2$ and $t < p < q \leq n$,

$$\alpha_{pq}^{(pq)} = \alpha_{2t}^{(2t)}, \quad (38)$$

$$\alpha_{qq}^{(2t)} = \alpha_{tt}^{(2t)}, \quad (39)$$

$$\alpha_{it}^{(2t)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 3, \dots, t-1. \quad (40)$$

Taking $(p, q) = (n-1, n)$ in (38), together with (23), we get

$$\alpha_{2t}^{(2t)} = \alpha_{n-1, n}^{(n-1, n)} = \alpha_{12}^{(12)} \quad \text{for } t = 3, \dots, n-2. \quad (41)$$

Substituting (34)–(37) and (39)–(41) into (11), we obtain

$$\psi(E_{2t}) = \alpha_{11}^{(2t)}I_n + \alpha_{12}^{(12)}E_{2t} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(2t)}E_{ij} \quad (42)$$

for $t = 3, \dots, n-2$.

We next consider $t = n-1$. Let $1 \leq p \leq n-3$ be an integer. Note that $\delta_{2, n-2} = 0$. Then $[\psi(E_{2, n-1}), E_{pn}] = 0$ by Lemma 3.3(iv). By (11), $(\alpha_{pp}^{(2, n-1)} + \alpha_{nn}^{(2, n-1)})E_{pn} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ip}^{(2, n-1)}E_{in} = 0$. Then for every $1 \leq p \leq n-3$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(2, n-1)} = \alpha_{nn}^{(2, n-1)}, \quad (43)$$

$$\alpha_{ip}^{(2, n-1)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p-1. \quad (44)$$

Next, setting $A = I_n + E_{2,n-1}$, $B = I_n + E_{12}$, $C = I_n + E_{23}$ in (10), together with Lemma 3.2 (ii), we obtain $[\psi(E_{2,n-1}), E_{13}] + [\psi(E_{23}), E_{1,n-1}] = 0$. It follows from (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha_{11}^{(2,n-1)} + \alpha_{33}^{(2,n-1)})E_{13} + \left(\alpha_{11}^{(23)} + \alpha_{n-1,n-1}^{(23)} + \alpha_{3,n-1}^{(2,n-1)} \right)E_{1,n-1} \\ & + \left(\alpha_{n-1,n}^{(23)} + \alpha_{3n}^{(2,n-1)} \right)E_{1n} + \sum_{j=4}^{n-2} \alpha_{3j}^{(2,n-1)}E_{1j} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\alpha_{33}^{(2,n-1)} = \alpha_{11}^{(2,n-1)}, \quad (45)$$

$$\alpha_{3j}^{(2,n-1)} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 4, \dots, n-2, \quad (46)$$

and $\alpha_{11}^{(23)} + \alpha_{n-1,n-1}^{(23)} + \alpha_{3,n-1}^{(2,n-1)} = 0$ and $\alpha_{n-1,n}^{(23)} + \alpha_{3n}^{(2,n-1)} = 0$. Since $\alpha_{11}^{(23)} = \alpha_{n-1,n-1}^{(23)}$ by (34), and $\alpha_{n-1,n}^{(23)} = 0$ by (35), it follows that

$$\alpha_{3,n-1}^{(2,n-1)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{3n}^{(2,n-1)} = 0. \quad (47)$$

Substituting (34), (35) and (43)–(47) into (11) yields

$$\psi(E_{2,n-1}) = \alpha_{11}^{(2,n-1)}I_n + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-2}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(2,n-1)}E_{ij}. \quad (48)$$

Now, letting $A = I_n + E_{2n}$, $B = I_n + E_{12}$, $C = I_n + E_{23}$ in (10), together with Lemma 3.2 (ii), we get $[\psi(E_{2n}), E_{13}] + [\psi(E_{23}), E_{1n}] = 0$. It follows from (11) that

$$\left(\alpha_{11}^{(2n)} + \alpha_{33}^{(2n)} \right)E_{13} + \left(\alpha_{11}^{(23)} + \alpha_{nn}^{(23)} + \alpha_{3n}^{(2n)} \right)E_{1n} + \sum_{j=4}^{n-1} \alpha_{3j}^{(2n)}E_{1j} = 0.$$

Then

$$\alpha_{3j}^{(2n)} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 4, \dots, n-1, \quad (49)$$

and $\alpha_{11}^{(23)} = \alpha_{nn}^{(23)} + \alpha_{3n}^{(2n)}$. Since $\alpha_{11}^{(23)} = \alpha_{nn}^{(23)}$ by (34), we get

$$\alpha_{3n}^{(2n)} = 0. \quad (50)$$

Substituting (34)–(37), (49) and (50) into (11), we obtain

$$\psi(E_{2n}) = \alpha_{11}^{(2n)}I_n + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(2n)}E_{ij}. \quad (51)$$

Case I-3: $3 \leq s \leq n-1$. Recall that $s < t \leq n$. Let p be an integer such that $3 \leq p \leq n$, with $p \neq s$. Then $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{1p}] = 0$ by Lemma 3.3 (i). From (11), we get $(\alpha_{11}^{(st)} + \alpha_{pp}^{(st)})E_{1p} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \alpha_{pj}^{(st)}E_{1j} = 0$. Then for every $3 \leq s < t \leq n$ and $3 \leq p \leq n$, with $p \neq s$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(st)} = \alpha_{11}^{(st)}, \quad (52)$$

$$\alpha_{pj}^{(st)} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = p+1, \dots, n. \quad (53)$$

Next, consider integers p and q with $1 \leq p < q < s < t \leq n$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 (v) that $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{ps}] + [\psi(E_{pq}), E_{qt}] = 0$. By (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha_{pp}^{(st)} + \alpha_{ss}^{(st)})E_{ps} + (\alpha_{pq}^{(pq)} + \alpha_{st}^{(st)})E_{pt} + (\alpha_{qq}^{(pq)} + \alpha_{tt}^{(pq)})E_{qt} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ip}^{(st)} E_{is} \\ & + \sum_{i=1, i \neq p}^{q-1} \alpha_{iq}^{(pq)} E_{it} + \sum_{j=s+1, j \neq t}^n \alpha_{sj}^{(st)} E_{pj} + \sum_{j=t+1}^n \alpha_{tj}^{(pq)} E_{qj} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for every $3 \leq s < t \leq n$ and $1 \leq p \leq s-2$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(st)} = \alpha_{ss}^{(st)}, \quad (54)$$

$$\alpha_{sj}^{(st)} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = s+1, \dots, n, \text{ with } j \neq t, \quad (55)$$

$$\alpha_{ip}^{(st)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p-1, \quad (56)$$

and $\alpha_{pq}^{(pq)} + \alpha_{st}^{(st)} = 0$ for all $1 \leq p < q < s$. Taking $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ gives

$$\alpha_{st}^{(st)} = \alpha_{12}^{(12)}. \quad (57)$$

Taking $(s, t) = (n-1, n)$ and substituting (52)–(54), (56) and (57) into (11), we obtain

$$\psi(E_{n-1, n}) = \alpha_{11}^{(n-1, n)} I_n + \alpha_{12}^{(12)} E_{n-1, n} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-2}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(n-1, n)} E_{ij}. \quad (58)$$

We now consider $s < t \leq n$, with $t \neq n-1$ and $(s, t) \neq (n-1, n)$. By Lemma 3.3 (ii), we have $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{pn}] = 0$ for all $1 \leq p \leq n-2$, with $p \neq t$. By (11), $(\alpha_{pp}^{(st)} + \alpha_{nn}^{(st)})E_{pn} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ip}^{(st)} E_{in} = 0$. Then for every $3 \leq s < t \leq n$ and $1 \leq p \leq n-2$, with $(s, t) \neq (n-1, n)$, $t \neq n-1$ and $p \neq t$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(st)} = \alpha_{nn}^{(st)}, \quad (59)$$

$$\alpha_{ip}^{(st)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p-1. \quad (60)$$

In view of Lemma 3.3 (v) that $[\psi(E_{st}), E_{tq}] + [\psi(E_{pq}), E_{sp}] = 0$ for all $t < p < q \leq n$. By (11),

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha_{tt}^{(st)} + \alpha_{qq}^{(st)})E_{tq} + (\alpha_{st}^{(st)} + \alpha_{pq}^{(pq)})E_{sq} + (\alpha_{ss}^{(pq)} + \alpha_{pp}^{(pq)})E_{sp} + \sum_{i=1, i \neq s}^{t-1} \alpha_{it}^{(st)} E_{iq} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \alpha_{is}^{(pq)} E_{ip} + \sum_{j=q+1}^n \alpha_{qj}^{(st)} E_{tj} + \sum_{j=p+1, j \neq q}^n \alpha_{pj}^{(pq)} E_{sj} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for every $3 \leq s < t \leq n-2$,

$$\alpha_{it}^{(st)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, t-1, \text{ with } i \neq s. \quad (61)$$

Substituting (52), (53), (55), (57) and (59)–(61) into (11), we have

$$\psi(E_{st}) = \alpha_{11}^{(st)} I_n + \alpha_{12}^{(12)} E_{st} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(st)} E_{ij} \quad (62)$$

for every $3 \leq s < t \leq n$, with $(s, t) \neq (n-1, n)$ and $t \neq n-1$.

Next consider $t = n-1$. Then $3 \leq s \leq n-2$. By Lemma 3.3 (iv), we obtain $[\psi(E_{s,n-1}), E_{pn}] + \delta_{s,n-2}[\psi(E_{n-2,n}), E_{p,n-1}] = 0$ for all $1 \leq p \leq n-3$. It follows from (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\alpha_{pp}^{(s,n-1)} + \alpha_{nn}^{(s,n-1)} + \delta_{s,n-2} \alpha_{n-1,n}^{(n-2,n)} \right) E_{pn} + \delta_{s,n-2} \left(\alpha_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-2,n)} + \alpha_{pp}^{(n-2,n)} \right) E_{p,n-1} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ip}^{(s,n-1)} E_{in} + \delta_{s,n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{ip}^{(n-2,n)} E_{i,n-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\alpha_{n-1,n}^{(n-2,n)} = 0$ by (53). Then for every $3 \leq s \leq n-2$ and $1 \leq p \leq n-3$,

$$\alpha_{pp}^{(s,n-1)} = \alpha_{nn}^{(s,n-1)}, \quad (63)$$

$$\alpha_{ip}^{(s,n-1)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p-1. \quad (64)$$

Substituting (52)–(55), (57), (63) and (64) into (11), we obtain

$$\psi(E_{s,n-1}) = \alpha_{11}^{(s,n-1)} I_n + \alpha_{12}^{(12)} E_{s,n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-2}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(s,n-1)} E_{ij} \quad (65)$$

for $s = 3, \dots, n-2$.

Denote $\lambda = \alpha_{12}^{(12)} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. We claim that

$$\lambda = 1. \quad (66)$$

To see this, let $3 \leq p \leq n-2$ be an integer. Then $[\psi(E_{12}), \psi(E_{2p})] = E_{1p}$ by Lemma 3.2 (iii). By (26) and (42), $[\lambda E_{12} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(12)} E_{ij}, \lambda E_{2p} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(2p)} E_{ij}] = E_{1p}$. Thus

$$(\lambda^2 + 1)E_{1p} + \lambda \left(\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(2p)} E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{2n}^{(2p)} E_{1n} + \delta_{3p} \alpha_{3,n-1}^{(12)} E_{2,n-1} + \delta_{3p} \alpha_{3n}^{(12)} E_{2n} \right) = 0 \quad (67)$$

for all $3 \leq p \leq n-2$. Then $\lambda^2 = 1$, and hence $\lambda = 1$ as claimed.

We now further simplify the structure of $\psi(E_{12})$ and $\psi(E_{n-1,n})$ in (26) and (58), respectively. Let $3 \leq p \leq n-2$ be an integer. Lemma 3.2 (iii) gives $[\psi(E_{p,n-1}), \psi(E_{n-1,n})] = E_{pn}$. It follows from (58), (65) and (66) that

$$\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(p,n-1)} E_{1n} + \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(p,n-1)} E_{2n} + \delta_{p,n-2} \left(\alpha_{1,n-2}^{(n-1,n)} E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{2,n-2}^{(n-1,n)} E_{2,n-1} \right) = 0.$$

By taking $p = n - 2$, we have

$$\alpha_{1,n-2}^{(n-1,n)} = \alpha_{2,n-2}^{(n-1,n)} = 0, \quad (68)$$

and for every $3 \leq p \leq n - 2$,

$$\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(p,n-1)} = \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(p,n-1)} = 0. \quad (69)$$

Next, $[\psi(E_{12}), \psi(E_{n-1,n})] = 0$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). It follows from (26), (58), (66) and (68) that $\left[E_{12} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(12)} E_{ij}, E_{n-1,n} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(n-1,n)} E_{ij} \right] = 0$. Then

$$\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(n-1,n)} E_{1,n-1} + (\alpha_{2n}^{(n-1,n)} + \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(12)}) E_{1n} + \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(12)} E_{2n} + \alpha_{3,n-1}^{(12)} E_{3n} = 0.$$

Consequently, $\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(n-1,n)} = \alpha_{2n}^{(12)} = \alpha_{3,n-1}^{(12)} = 0$ and $\alpha_{2n}^{(n-1,n)} = \alpha_{1n}^{(12)}$. Taking $p = 3$ in (67) gives $\alpha_{3n}^{(12)} = 0$. Combining these results with (26), (58), (66) and (68), we thus conclude that

$$\psi(E_{12}) = \alpha_{11}^{(12)} I_n + E_{12} + \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(12)} E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{1n}^{(12)} E_{1n} + \alpha_{2n}^{(12)} E_{2n}, \quad (70)$$

$$\psi(E_{n-1,n}) = \alpha_{11}^{(n-1,n)} I_n + E_{n-1,n} + \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(n-1,n)} E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{1n}^{(n-1,n)} E_{1n} + \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(12)} E_{2n}. \quad (71)$$

Denote $\mathcal{X} = \{(1, n-1), (1, n), (2, n-1), (2, n)\}$. We next show that for every $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, with $(s, t) \notin \mathcal{X} \cup \{(1, 2), (n-1, n)\}$,

$$\psi(E_{st}) = \alpha_{11}^{(st)} I_n + E_{st} + \alpha_{1n}^{(st)} E_{1n}. \quad (72)$$

The proof will be divided into three cases.

Case II-1: $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, with $(s, t) \notin \mathcal{X} \cup \{(1, 2), (n-1, n)\}$, $s \neq 2$ and $t \neq n-1$. It follows from (30), (62) and (66) that

$$\psi(E_{st}) = \alpha_{11}^{(st)} I_n + E_{st} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(st)} E_{ij}. \quad (73)$$

By Lemma 3.2(iii), we have $[\psi(E_{12}), \psi(E_{st})] = 0$. Together with (70), we obtain

$$\left[E_{12} + \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(12)} E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{1n}^{(12)} E_{1n} + \alpha_{2n}^{(12)} E_{2n}, E_{st} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(st)} E_{ij} \right] = 0.$$

Since $s, t \notin \{2, n-1\}$, we have $\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(st)} E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{2n}^{(st)} E_{1n} = 0$. Then for every $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, with $(s, t) \notin \mathcal{X} \cup \{(1, 2), (n-1, n)\}$, $s \neq 2$ and $t \neq n-1$,

$$\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(st)} = \alpha_{2n}^{(st)} = 0. \quad (74)$$

On the other hand, we have $[\psi(E_{st}), \psi(E_{n-1,n})] = 0$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). Using a similar argument and applying (71), we obtain $\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(st)} E_{1n} + \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(st)} E_{2n} = 0$. Then for every $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, with $(s, t) \notin \mathcal{X} \cup \{(1, 2), (n-1, n)\}$, $s \neq 2$ and $t \neq n-1$,

$$\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(st)} = 0. \quad (75)$$

Substituting (74) and (75) into (73) yields $\psi(E_{st}) = \alpha_{11}^{(st)} I_n + E_{st} + \alpha_{1n}^{(st)} E_{1n}$ as claimed.

Case II-2: $s = 2$ and $3 \leq t \leq n-2$. By (42) and (66), we have

$$\psi(E_{2t}) = \alpha_{11}^{(2t)} I_n + E_{2t} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(2t)} E_{ij} \quad (76)$$

By Lemma 3.2 (iii), we see that $[\psi(E_{13}), \psi(E_{2t})] = 0$. It follows from (30), (66) and (76) that $\alpha_{3,n-1}^{(2t)} E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{3n}^{(2t)} E_{1n} = 0$. Then for every $3 \leq t \leq n-2$,

$$\alpha_{3,n-1}^{(2t)} = \alpha_{3n}^{(2t)} = 0. \quad (77)$$

Next, we see that $[\psi(E_{2t}), \psi(E_{n-1,n})] = 0$ by Lemma 3.2 (iii). Applying (71) and (76), we obtain $\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(2t)} E_{1n} + \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(2t)} E_{2n} + \alpha_{3,n-1}^{(2t)} E_{3n} = 0$. Then for every $3 \leq t \leq n-2$,

$$\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(2t)} = \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(2t)} = 0. \quad (78)$$

Moreover, in view of (67), we see that for every $3 \leq t \leq n-2$,

$$\alpha_{2n}^{(2t)} = 0. \quad (79)$$

Substituting (77), (78) and (79) into (76) yields $\psi(E_{2t}) = \alpha_{11}^{(2t)} I_n + E_{2t} + \alpha_{1n}^{(2t)} E_{1n}$ as desired.

Case II-3: $3 \leq s \leq n-2$ and $t = n-1$. By (65) and (66), we get

$$\psi(E_{s,n-1}) = \alpha_{11}^{(s,n-1)} I_n + E_{s,n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=n-2}^n \alpha_{ij}^{(s,n-1)} E_{ij}. \quad (80)$$

Note that Lemma 3.2 (iii) gives $[\psi(E_{s,n-1}), \psi(E_{n-2,n})] = 0$. Together with (62), (66) and (80), we obtain $\alpha_{1,n-2}^{(s,n-1)} E_{1n} + \alpha_{2,n-2}^{(s,n-1)} E_{2n} = 0$. Then for every $3 \leq s \leq n-2$,

$$\alpha_{1,n-2}^{(s,n-1)} = \alpha_{2,n-2}^{(s,n-1)} = 0. \quad (81)$$

Likewise, by Lemma 3.2 (iii), we get $[\psi(E_{12}), \psi(E_{s,n-1})] = 0$. It follows from (70), (80) and (81) that $\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(s,n-1)} E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{2n}^{(s,n-1)} E_{1n} = 0$. Then for every $3 \leq s \leq n-2$,

$$\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(s,n-1)} = \alpha_{2n}^{(s,n-1)} = 0. \quad (82)$$

Moreover, in view of (69), we see that $\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(s,n-1)} = 0$ for $3 \leq s \leq n-2$. Combining this result with (80), (81) and (82) gives $\psi(E_{s,n-1}) = \alpha_{11}^{(s,n-1)} I_n + E_{s,n-1} + \alpha_{1n}^{(s,n-1)} E_{1n}$, which completes the proof of (72).

We continue to refine the structure of $\psi(I_n)$ in (13) as well as $\psi(E_{st})$, $(s, t) \in \mathcal{X}$, in (22), (31), (48) and (51). To do this, we first note that $[\psi(I_n), \psi(E_{12})] = 0$ by Lemma 3.2 (i). It follows from (13) and (70) that $\alpha_{2,n-1} E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{2n} E_{1n} = 0$. Then

$$\alpha_{2,n-1} = \alpha_{2n} = 0. \quad (83)$$

By Lemma 3.2(i), $[\psi(I_n), \psi(E_{n-1,n})] = 0$. It follows from (13), (71) and (83) that $\alpha_{1,n-1}E_{1n} = 0$, and so $\alpha_{1,n-1} = 0$. Together with (13) and (83), we obtain

$$\psi(I_n) = \alpha_{11}I_n + \alpha_{1n}E_{1n}. \quad (84)$$

Next, by Lemma 3.2(iii), we get $[\psi(E_{1i}), \psi(E_{12})] = 0$, $i = n-1, n$. It follows from (22), (31) and (70) that $\alpha_{2,n-2}^{(1,n-1)}E_{1,n-2} + \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(1,n-1)}E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{2n}^{(1,n-1)}E_{1n} = 0$ and $\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(1n)}E_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{2n}^{(1n)}E_{1n} = 0$. Consequently,

$$\alpha_{2,n-2}^{(1,n-1)} = \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(1,n-1)} = \alpha_{2n}^{(1,n-1)} = 0, \quad (85)$$

$$\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(1n)} = \alpha_{2n}^{(1n)} = 0. \quad (86)$$

By Lemma 3.2(iii), we have $[\psi(E_{in}), \psi(E_{n-1,n})] = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. It follows from (22), (51) and (71) that $\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(in)}E_{1n} + \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(in)}E_{2n} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(sn)} = \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(sn)} = 0 \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2. \quad (87)$$

One sees immediately from (22), (86) and (87) that

$$\psi(E_{1n}) = \alpha_{11}^{(1n)}I_n + \alpha_{1n}^{(1n)}E_{1n}. \quad (88)$$

In view of Lemma 3.2(iii), we see that $[\psi(E_{12}), \psi(E_{2i})] = E_{1i}$, $i = n-1, n$. It follows from (48), (51) and (70) that $E_{1,n-1} + \sum_{j=n-2}^n \alpha_{2j}^{(2,n-1)}E_{1j} = 0$ and $E_{1n} + \sum_{j=n-1}^n \alpha_{2j}^{(2n)}E_{1j} = 0$. Then

$$\alpha_{2,n-1}^{(2,n-1)} = \alpha_{2n}^{(2n)} = 1, \quad (89)$$

$$\alpha_{2,n-2}^{(2,n-1)} = \alpha_{2n}^{(2,n-1)} = 0. \quad (90)$$

We infer from (51), (87) and (89) that

$$\psi(E_{2n}) = \alpha_{11}^{(2n)}I_n + E_{2n} + \alpha_{1n}^{(2n)}E_{1n}. \quad (91)$$

Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ be an integer. Setting $A = I_n + E_{p,n-1}$, $B = I_n + E_{n-2,n-1}$, $C = I_n + E_{n-1,n}$ in (10), together with Lemma 3.2(ii), we obtain $[\psi(E_{p,n-1}), E_{n-2,n}] + [\psi(E_{n-2,n-1}), E_{pn}] = 0$. It follows from (31), (48) and (72) that $\alpha_{1,n-2}^{(p,n-1)}E_{1n} + \alpha_{2,n-2}^{(p,n-1)}E_{2n} = 0$. Then

$$\alpha_{1,n-2}^{(s,n-1)} = \alpha_{2,n-2}^{(s,n-1)} = 0 \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2. \quad (92)$$

In addition, we have $[\psi(E_{i,n-1}), \psi(E_{n-1,n})] = E_{in}$, $i = 1, 2$, by Lemma 3.2(iii). We then see from (31), (48) and (71) that $\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(i,n-1)}E_{1n} + \alpha_{2,n-1}^{(i,n-1)}E_{2n} = E_{in}$, $i = 1, 2$, which in turn gives $\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(1,n-1)} = 1$ and $\alpha_{1,n-1}^{(2,n-1)} = 0$. Combining these results with (31), (48), (85), (89), (90) and (92), we conclude that

$$\psi(E_{s,n-1}) = \alpha_{11}^{(s,n-1)}I_n + E_{s,n-1} + \alpha_{1n}^{(s,n-1)}E_{1n} \quad (93)$$

for $s = 1, 2$. We are done.

Let $\mu, \eta : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be the additive maps defined by

$$\mu(A) = a_{11}(\alpha_{11} + 1) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} \alpha_{11}^{(ij)},$$

$$\eta(A) = a_{11} \alpha_{1n} + a_{1n} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} \alpha_{1n}^{(ij)}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We denote $\gamma = \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(12)} \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $\alpha = \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(n-1,n)} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\beta = \alpha_{2n}^{(12)} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Let $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the additive map defined by

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A) = (\gamma a_{12} + \alpha a_{n-1,n}) E_{1,n-1} + (\beta a_{12} + \gamma a_{n-1,n}) E_{2n}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Note that $\mu(I_n) = \alpha_{11} + 1$, $\eta(I_n) = \alpha_{1n}$ and $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(I_n) = 0$. By (84),

$$\psi(I_n) = I_n + \mu(I_n)I_n + \eta(I_n)E_{1n} + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(I_n).$$

Moreover, in view of (70)–(72), (88), (91) and (93), together with the additivity of ψ , we see that for every strictly upper triangular matrix $A = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} E_{ij} \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(A) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \psi(a_{ij} E_{ij}) \\ &= a_{12} \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(12)} E_{1,n-1} + a_{12} \alpha_{2n}^{(12)} E_{2n} + a_{n-1,n} \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(n-1,n)} E_{1,n-1} \\ &\quad + a_{n-1,n} \alpha_{1,n-1}^{(12)} E_{2n} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, (i,j) \neq (1,n)} a_{ij} E_{ij} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} \alpha_{11}^{(ij)} I_n \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} \alpha_{1n}^{(ij)} E_{1n} \\ &= \gamma a_{12} E_{1,n-1} + \beta a_{12} E_{2n} + \alpha a_{n-1,n} E_{1,n-1} + \gamma a_{n-1,n} E_{2n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} E_{ij} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} \alpha_{11}^{(ij)} I_n + \left(a_{1n} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} \alpha_{1n}^{(ij)} \right) E_{1n} \\ &= A + \mu(A)I_n + \eta(A)E_{1n} + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_n + \eta(A)E_{1n} + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A)$ for every strictly upper triangular matrix $A \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $A = I_n$. This proves the lemma. \square

We are in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The sufficiency follows immediately from Example 2.1. We now prove the necessity. By Lemma 3.4, there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$, additive maps $\mu, \eta : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ such that

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_n + \eta(A)E_{1n} + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A)$$

for all strictly upper triangular matrices $A \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $A = I_n$. Let $\varphi : T_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the additive map defined by

$$\varphi(A) = \psi(A) + \mu(A)I_n + \eta(A)E_{1n} + \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(A)$$

for all $A \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$. It is easily verified that $\varphi(A) = A$ for all invertible matrices $A \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$. By Lemma 3.1, there exist matrices $X_1, \dots, X_n \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfying $X_1 + \dots + X_n = 0$ such that $\varphi(A) = A + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}X_i$ for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We thus obtain

$$\psi(A) = A + \mu(A)I_n + \eta(A)E_{1n} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}X_i + \Phi_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(A)$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in T_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referees for their thorough reading of the manuscript and the constructive comments and suggestions. The research work is financially supported by the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (MOHE) via Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/1/2022/STG06/UM/02/7).

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. BAI AND S. DU, *Strong commutativity preserving maps on rings*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **44**, 3 (2014), 733–742.
- [2] H. E. BELL AND M. N. DAIF, *On commutativity and strong commutativity-preserving maps*, Canad. Math. Bull. **37**, 4 (1994), 443–447.
- [3] H. E. BELL AND G. MASON, *On derivations in near rings and rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **34**, 1 (1992), 135–144.
- [4] D. BENKOVIČ AND D. EREMITA, *Commuting traces and commutativity preserving maps on triangular algebras*, J. Algebra **280**, 2 (2004), 797–824.
- [5] M. BREŠAR, *Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, commutativity-preserving mappings and Lie mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **335**, 2 (1993), 525–546.
- [6] M. BREŠAR, *Functional identities and their applications*, Bull. Math. Sci. **13**, 3 (2023), 2330002.
- [7] M. BREŠAR, M. A. CHEBOTAR AND W. S. MARTINDALE, *Functional Identities*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [8] M. BREŠAR AND C. R. MIERS, *Strong commutativity preserving maps of semiprime rings*, Canad. Math. Bull. **37**, 4 (1994), 457–460.
- [9] G. H. CHAN AND M. H. LIM, *Linear transformations on symmetric matrices that preserve commutativity*, Linear Algebra Appl. **47** (1982), 11–22.
- [10] Z. CHEN AND H. LIU, *Strong commutativity preserving maps of strictly triangular matrix Lie algebras*, J. Algebra Appl. **18**, 7 (2019), 1950134.
- [11] Z. CHEN AND Y. E. ZHAO, *Strong commutativity preserving maps of upper triangular matrix Lie algebras over a commutative ring*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **58**, 4 (2021), 973–981.
- [12] M. D. CHOI, A. A. JAFARIAN AND H. RADJAVI, *Linear maps preserving commutativity*, Linear Algebra Appl. **87** (1987), 227–241.
- [13] W. L. CHOOI, K. H. KWA AND L. Y. TAN, *Commuting maps on invertible triangular matrices over \mathbb{F}_2* , Linear Algebra Appl. **583** (2019), 77–101.
- [14] W. L. CHOOI, L. Y. TAN AND Y. N. TAN, *Strong commutativity preserving additive maps on rank k triangular matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **72**, 1 (2024), 1–24.
- [15] V. DE FILIPPIS AND G. SCUDO, *Strong commutativity and Engel condition preserving maps in prime and semiprime rings*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **61**, 7 (2013), 917–938.
- [16] W. FRANCA, *Commuting maps on some subsets of matrices that are not closed under addition*, Linear Algebra Appl. **437**, 1 (2012), 388–391.
- [17] W. FRANCA, *Commuting maps on rank- k matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **438**, 6 (2013), 2813–2815.
- [18] T.-K. LEE AND T.-L. WONG, *Nonadditive strong commutativity preserving maps*, Comm. Algebra **40**, 6 (2012), 2213–2218.
- [19] C. K. LI AND S. PIERCE, *Linear preserver problems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **108**, 7 (2001), 591–605.

- [20] P.-K. LIAU, W.-L. HUANG AND C.-K. LIU, *Nonlinear strong commutativity preserving maps on skew elements of prime rings with involution*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436**, 9 (2012), 3099–3108.
- [21] J.-S. LIN AND C.-K. LIU, *Strong commutativity preserving maps on Lie ideals*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428**, 7 (2008), 1601–1609.
- [22] J.-S. LIN AND C.-K. LIU, *Strong commutativity preserving maps in prime rings with involution*, Linear Algebra Appl. **432**, 1 (2010), 14–23.
- [23] C.-K. LIU, *Strong commutativity preserving generalized derivations on right ideals*, Monatsh. Math. **166**, 3–4 (2012), 453–465.
- [24] C.-K. LIU, *Strong commutativity preserving maps on subsets of matrices that are not closed under addition*, Linear Algebra Appl. **458** (2014), 280–290.
- [25] C.-K. LIU AND P.-K. LIAU, *Strong commutativity preserving generalized derivations on Lie ideals*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **59**, 8 (2011), 905–915.
- [26] C.-K. LIU, P.-K. LIAU AND Y.-T. TSAI, *Nonadditive strong commutativity preserving maps on rank- k matrices over division rings*, Oper. Matrices **12**, 2 (2018), 563–578.
- [27] L. LIU, *Strong commutativity preserving maps on von Neumann algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **63**, 3 (2015), 490–496.
- [28] M. OMLADIĆ, *On operators preserving commutativity*, J. Funct. Anal. **66**, 1 (1986), 105–122.
- [29] S. PIERCE et al., *A survey of linear preserver problems*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **33**, 1–2 (1992), 1–129.
- [30] X. F. QI AND J. C. HOU, *Nonlinear strong commutativity preserving maps on prime rings*, Comm. Algebra **38**, 8 (2010), 2790–2796.
- [31] X. F. QI AND J. C. HOU, *Strong commutativity preserving maps on triangular rings*, Oper. Matrices **6**, 1 (2012), 147–158.
- [32] P. ŠEMRL, *Commutativity preserving maps*, Linear Algebra Appl. **429**, 5–6 (2008), 1051–1070.
- [33] W. WATKINS, *Linear maps that preserve commuting pairs of matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **14**, 1 (1976), 29–35.
- [34] H. YUAN et al., *Strong commutativity preserving generalized derivations on triangular rings*, Oper. Matrices **8**, 3 (2014), 773–783.

(Received May 27, 2024)

Wai Leong Chooi
Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Universiti Malaysia
50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
e-mail: wlchooi@um.edu.my

Li Yin Tan
Department of Mathematical and Data Science
Tunku Abdul Rahman University of Management and Technology
53300 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
e-mail: tanly@taru.edu.my

Yean Nee Tan
School of Mathematical Sciences
Sunway University
47500 Selangor, Malaysia
e-mail: yeanneet@sunway.edu.my