

## ANISOTROPIC PARABOLIC PROBLEMS WITH MEASURES DATA

FARES MOKHTARI

(Communicated by J. -M. Rakotoson)

*Abstract.* In this work, we prove the existence of a weak solution of an anisotropic parabolic problem with measure data  $u_t + Au + F(u, Du) = \mu$  and  $u(0) = \mu_0$  with  $\mu$  and  $\mu_0$  two Radon bounded measures. The operator  $A$  is a Leray-Lions operator with anisotropic growth conditions. Our approach is based on the anisotropic Sobolev inequality, a regularity result, a compactness result, and an integration by parts formula.

### 1. Introduction

Let us consider the following anisotropic parabolic problem:

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + Au + F(t, x, u, Du) = \mu & \text{in } Q = \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(0, x) = \mu_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ),  $T > 0$  a real number,  $\mu$  is a Radon's bounded measure on  $Q$ ,  $\mu_0$  a Radon's bounded measure on  $\Omega$ , and  $A$  is the operator given by  $Au = -\operatorname{div}(\widehat{a}(x, t, u, Du))$ . Here, we suppose that  $\widehat{a}(x, t, u, \xi)$  and  $F(t, x, u, \xi)$  are functions verifying the following conditions.

$\widehat{a}.1$ ) There exist two constants  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that for a.e.  $(t, x) \in Q$ , all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , the function  $\widehat{a}: Q \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  satisfies the following growths:

$$\widehat{a}(t, x, u, \xi) \xi \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N |\xi_i|^{p_i}, \quad \widehat{a}(t, x, u, \xi) = (a_1(t, x, u, \xi), \dots, a_N(t, x, u, \xi)),$$

$$|a_i(t, x, u, \xi)| \leq \beta \left( |g| + |u|^{\overline{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |\xi_j|^{p_j} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p_i}}, \quad g \in L^1(Q), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Here  $p_i$  are real positive numbers so that:

$$1 + \frac{N}{N+1} < p_i < \frac{\overline{p}(N+1)}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\overline{p}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 28C05, 35K10, 35K20, 35K55.

*Keywords and phrases:* parabolic equation, Radon measure, anisotropic problem.

$\hat{a}.2)$  The mapping  $\hat{a}$  is a Carathéodory function, that is to say, the function  $(t, x, u, \xi) \mapsto \hat{a}(t, x, u, \xi)$  is measurable in  $(t, x)$  for all  $(u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$  and continuous in  $(u, \xi)$  for a.e.  $(t, x) \in Q$ .

$\hat{a}.3)$  For a.e.  $(t, x) \in Q$ , for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $\xi \neq \xi'$ , we have

$$(\hat{a}(t, x, u, \xi) - \hat{a}(t, x, u, \xi'))(\xi - \xi') > 0.$$

We shall assume the following growth for  $F = \sum_{i=1}^N F_i$   
 $F)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, N$  the function  $F_i$  is a Carathéodory function and satisfies the properties:

$$uF(t, x, u, \xi) \geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. } (t, x) \in Q, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$$|F_i(t, x, u, \xi)| \leq C(|h| + |u|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |\xi_j|^{p_j})^{1-\frac{1}{\bar{p}_i}}, \quad h \in L^1(Q), \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $C$  is a nonnegative constant.

This work is a generalization of some results on isotropic or anisotropic problems given in [2], [3], [6], [9], and [16]. None of them treat the fully parabolic anisotropic quasilinear case as we are dealing here. If  $\hat{a}$  is a non-uniformly elliptic operator, the existence of solution for isotropic parabolic equation that involved measure data is studied in [11]. If  $\hat{a}$  does not depend on  $(t, x)$  and  $u$ , namely  $\hat{a}(t, x, u, \xi) = \hat{a}(\xi)$ ,  $\hat{a}(\xi)$  is the vector field whose components are  $|\xi_i|^{p_i-2}\xi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $p_i > 1$ , then it has been proved in [5] that there exists a weak solution  $u \in X_0^{1, \vec{r}}(\Omega)$ ,  $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N)$ , for an anisotropic elliptic problem with (one) Radon's bounded measure data on  $\Omega$  with  $r_i \in [1, \frac{p_i(\bar{p}-1)N}{\bar{p}(N-1)})$ . Fengquan Li in [12] introduced a definition of relaxed solutions defined by J. M. Rakotoson in [17] and new type of the functional sets for anisotropic parabolic equations. In this paper we treated the anisotropic parabolic case within a different framework of that of [10] for the formulation but we remain in the framework of Sobolev's space. A major difficulty in the parabolic case is the regularity of the time derivative. With the anisotropic conditions, we also deal with two Radon bounded measures  $\mu$  and  $\mu_0$  as an initial data and  $1 + \frac{N}{N+1} < p_i < \frac{\bar{p}(N+1)}{N}$ . We think that our estimates on the gradients are new compared to the isotropic case. To describe briefly the tools we use, first we have the anisotropic Sobolev inequality to overcome the difficulties of getting the regularity in the Lemmas 2.4, secondly we introduce two Lemmas 2.2 and 2.14 to facilitate the control of the term  $\partial_t u_n$  of the regularized problem. Nevertheless, to show that the assumptions of these Lemmas are verified, we prove some regularity results derived from [4] and [20]. In this paper, we adopt mainly Rakotoson's method [16] for proving the existence of the weak solution.

*Notations.*

Let  $1 + \frac{N}{N+1} < p_i < \frac{\bar{p}(N+1)}{N}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$ , and

$$p_- = \min\{p_i, 1 \leq i \leq N\}, \quad p_+ = \max\{p_i, 1 \leq i \leq N\},$$

$$\mathbb{L}^{\vec{p}}(\Omega) = \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(\Omega), \quad X^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) = \{v \in L^{p_+}(\Omega) \mid Dv \in \mathbb{L}^{\vec{p}}(\Omega)\},$$

with the norm  $|v|_{X^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( |v|_{L^{p_i}} + |D_i v|_{L^{p_i}} \right)$ ,  $D_i v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  be the space of real indefinitely differentiable functions of compact support in  $\Omega$ . We introduce the closure of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  with respect to the above norm:

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)} = X_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in X^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \mid v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\} \subset W_0^{1,p^-}(\Omega).$$

The norm on this space is  $|v|_{X_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_i v|^{p_i} dx \right)^{1/p_i}$  and its dual is denoted by  $\left( X_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \right)'$ . The overline on a space shall denote the closure with respect to a given norm. We define also

$$X = \mathbb{L}^{\vec{p}}(0, T; X_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)) = \left\{ v : [0, T] \rightarrow X_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \text{ measurable and } \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |D_i v|^{p_i} dx dt \right)^{1/p_i} < \infty \right\}.$$

The norm on this space is  $|v|_X = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |D_i v|^{p_i} dx dt \right)^{1/p_i}$ .

We note that

$$\mathbb{L}^{\vec{p}}(0, T; X_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)) \subset L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p^-}(\Omega)).$$

The above norms  $|\cdot|_{X^{1,\vec{p}}}$ ,  $|\cdot|_{X_0^{1,\vec{p}}}$  are equivalent on  $X_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ . Next we define the following norm on  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , for  $i$ ,

$$\|v\|_i = |v|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} + |D_i v|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)},$$

we set

$$W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega) = \overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_i}.$$

Then one has the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.1. *There is  $c_i > 0$  such that:*

$$|\varphi|_{L^{p_i}} \leq c_i |D_i \varphi|_{L^{p_i}}, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega).$$

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  and  $x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)$ , then

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_i} D_i \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_N) dt.$$

Let  $\hat{x}_i(t) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_N)$ , and  $a > 0$  be such that  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset [-a, a]^N = R_a$ , we have

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{-a}^{x_i} D_i \varphi(\hat{x}_i(t)) dt,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x)| &\leq \int_{-a}^{x_i} |D_i \varphi(\hat{x}_i(t))| dt \leq \int_{-a}^a |D_i \varphi(\hat{x}_i(t))| dt \\ &\leq (2a)^{1-\frac{1}{p_i}} \left( \int_{-a}^a |D_i \varphi(\hat{x}_i(t))|^{p_i} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|\varphi(x)|^{p_i} \leq C_i \int_{-a}^a |D_i \varphi(\hat{x}_i(t))|^{p_i} dt.$$

We integrate on  $R_a$  to get

$$\int_{R_a} |\varphi(x)|^{p_i} dx \leq c_i \int_{R_a} |D_i \varphi|^{p_i} dx,$$

so that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x)|^{p_i} dx \leq c_i \int_{\Omega} |D_i \varphi|^{p_i} dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

With the density of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  in  $W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x)|^{p_i} dx \leq c_i \int_{\Omega} |D_i \varphi|^{p_i} dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega),$$

from which we derive

$$\int_Q |\varphi(x)|^{p_i} dx \leq c_i \int_Q |D_i \varphi|^{p_i} dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega). \quad (1)$$

Such inequality has been already used in a frame of elliptic anisotropic problem (see for instance [13]). We shall denote by  $C$  or  $c_j$  various constants depending only on the structure of  $\hat{a}$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\mu_0$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $T$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . If necessary, the index might specify the dependence with respect to a variable.

**COROLLARY 1.1.** *There hold true:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}^{\vec{p}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)) &= \bigcap_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(0, T; W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega)), \\ X_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) &= \bigcap_{i=1}^N W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

## 2. The case $1 + \frac{N}{N+1} < p_i < \frac{\bar{p}(N+1)}{N}$ and $F = 0$

In this section we precise the notion of weak solution of problem  $(P)$ . We use a sequence of problems  $(P_n)$  and we show the existence of solutions  $(u_n)$  of  $(P_n)$ .

## 2.1. Notations and hypotheses

Let  $T$  be a real positive number,  $\Omega$  an open bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and let the cylinder  $Q = (0, T) \times \Omega$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$  the set of bounded Radon measures on  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{M}(Q)$  the space of bounded Radon measures on  $Q$ . We suppose that  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Q)$ , and the real numbers  $p_i$  satisfy

$$\frac{\bar{p}(N+1)}{N} > p_i > 2 - \frac{1}{N+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

We define the sign function as:

$$\text{sign}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \sigma = 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } \sigma < 0. \end{cases}$$

Putting  $K = ((0, T) \times \partial\Omega) \cup (\Omega \times \{T\})$ , we denote  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, K)$  the set of functions defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, K) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \mid \exists \text{ a neighborhood } V \text{ of } K \text{ s. t. } \varphi = 0 \text{ in } V\}.$$

For convenience for the reader, we start with the case  $F = 0$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A function  $u$  is a *weak solution* of problem (P) if:

$$\begin{aligned} u \in L^1(0, T; W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)), \hat{a}(t, x, u, Du) \in (L^1(Q))^N \text{ and} \\ - \int_Q u \partial_t \varphi \, dx dt - \int_\Omega \varphi(0, x) \, d\mu_0 + \int_Q \hat{a}(t, x, u, Du) D\varphi \, dx dt \\ = \int_Q \varphi(t, x) \, d\mu, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, K). \end{aligned}$$

Our main result is the following.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let*

$$1 + \frac{N}{N+1} < p_i < \frac{\bar{p}(N+1)}{N}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

with  $\bar{p} \leq N + \frac{N}{N+1}$ , and  $\hat{a}$  an operator which verifies  $(\hat{a}.1)$ - $(\hat{a}.3)$ . Then the problem (P) has at least one weak solution  $u \in \mathbb{L}^{\vec{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \vec{q}}(\Omega))$  for all  $q_i \in [1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}}(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1})]$ , with  $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N)$ .

Notice that the regularity of  $u$  given in the previous Theorem 2.1 guarantees that  $\hat{a}(t, x, u, Du) \in (L^1(Q))^N$ .

The proof of this Theorem needs several steps: First, we approximate the problem (P) with sequence of problems  $(P_n)$  having smooth solutions  $(u_n)$ . Then, after deriving uniform estimates on  $u_n$ , we pass to the limit using a compactness results as in [16].

**2.2. Approximation of  $(P)$**

Let  $(\mu_{0n})$  (resp.  $(\mu_n)$ ) be a sequence of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ ) which converges to  $\mu_0$  (resp.  $\mu$ ) in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}'(Q)$ ) and which verifies the inequalities

$$\|\mu_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\mu_0\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mu_n\|_{L^1(Q)} \leq \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}(Q)}, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

We define the problems  $(P_n)$  by:

$$(P_n) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_n - \operatorname{div}(\widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)) = \mu_n & \text{in } Q, \\ u_n(0, x) = \mu_{0n}(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Omega. \end{cases}$$

The existence of a solution  $u_n$  in  $X \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  of the problem  $(P_n)$  is classical, see for instance [4] and [14]. The regularity of  $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  can be justified using approximation argument as below in Lemma 2.2.

*Remarks.*

1. Since  $p_i > 1 + \frac{N}{N+1}$ , then

$$1 + \frac{N}{N+1} - \frac{2N}{N+2} = \frac{3N+2}{(N+1)(N+2)} > 0,$$

which implies  $W_0^{1, p_i}(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega), \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . With the definition of  $X_0^{1, \bar{p}}(\Omega)$ , we also have

$$X_0^{1, \bar{p}}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1, p^-}(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega),$$

with continuous and dense imbedding.

2. The solution  $u_n$  of problem  $(P_n)$  is in  $L^{\bar{p}}(Q)$ , this because, there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  so that  $p_j \geq \bar{p}$ . Then  $u_n \in L^{\bar{p}}(Q)$ .

3. The operator  $A$  maps  $X$  into  $\sum_{i=1}^N L^{p'_i}(0, T; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))')$ ,  $p'_i = p_i / (p_i - 1)$ . In fact, if for  $u \in X$ , we put

$$Au = -\operatorname{div}(\widehat{a}(x, t, u, Du)),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au\|_{X'} &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X \\ \|\varphi\| \leq 1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \int_Q a_i(t, x, u, Du) D_i \varphi \, dxdt \right| \\ &\leq \beta \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X \\ \|\varphi\| \leq 1}} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_Q \left( |g| + |u|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u|^{p_j} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p_i}} |D_i \varphi| \, dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

And, by using Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au\|_{X'} &\leq \beta \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X \\ \|\varphi\| \leq 1}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_Q \left( |g| + |u|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u|^{p_j} \right) dxdt \right)^{1/p'_i} \left( \int_Q |D_i \varphi|^{p_i} dxdt \right)^{1/p_i} \\ &\leq \beta \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_Q \left( |g| + |u|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u|^{p_j} \right) dxdt \right)^{1/p'_i}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce, in particular, that the solution  $u_n \in X$  of  $(P_n)$  satisfies

$$\partial_t u_n \in \sum_{i=1}^N L^{p'_i}(0, T; (W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega))') + L^1(Q),$$

where  $L^{p'_i}(0, T; (W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega))')$  denotes the dual of  $L^{p_i}(0, T; W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega))$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *We have:*

$$Au \in \sum_{i=1}^N L^{p'_i}(0, T; (W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega))') \text{ for } u \in X.$$

We shall use the following integration by parts to derive uniform estimates on  $u_n$ .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $v \in X$  with  $\partial_t v \in \sum_{i=1}^N L^{p'_i}(0, T; (W_{x_i,0}^{1,p_i}(\Omega))') + L^1(Q)$  and  $v \in C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$ . Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a Lipschitz bounded function, with  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Then, we have in  $\mathcal{D}'(0, T)$*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \langle v'(\sigma), \phi(v(\sigma)) \rangle d\sigma &= \int_{\Omega} dx \int_0^{v(t,x)} \phi(\sigma) d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} dx \int_0^{v(0,x)} \phi(\sigma) d\sigma, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

where the brackets  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote a duality product between  $(X_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega))' + L^1(\Omega)$  and  $X_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $p'_i = p_i/(p_i - 1)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

Before giving the proof of this Lemma, we note that it extends a result given in [16] and recall that if  $f$  is a function in  $L^\gamma(0, T; L^\gamma(\Omega))$  for  $\gamma \geq 1$ , the sequence  $(f_n)$  defined by

$$f_n(t, x) = n \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} f(s, x) ds, \quad t \in \left[0, T - \frac{1}{n}\right]$$

verifies, for all  $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq T - \frac{1}{n}$ , the inequality

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} |f_n(t, x)|^\gamma dx dt \leq \int_{t_0}^T \int_{\Omega} |f(t, x)|^\gamma dx dt, \quad \forall \gamma \geq 1,$$

which implies strong convergence of the sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $L^\gamma(0, T - \eta; L^\gamma(\Omega))$  to  $f$  for all  $\gamma \geq 1$  and  $\eta \geq \frac{1}{n}$ .

*Proof.* [Proof of Lemma 2.2] Fix  $t' \in [0, T]$ . Since the function

$$[0, T] \ni t \longmapsto \int_{t'}^t \langle v'(\sigma), \Phi(v(\sigma)) \rangle d\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$$

is in  $W^{1,1}(0, T) \subset C(0, T)$ , it is enough to show that for all  $\eta > 0$ , we have in  $\mathcal{D}'(0, T - \eta)$ ,

$$\int_{t'}^t \langle v'(\sigma), \phi(v(\sigma)) \rangle d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} dx \int_0^{v(t,x)} \phi(\sigma) d\sigma - \int_{\Omega} dx \int_0^{v(t',x)} \phi(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

For a function  $v \in X$  such that  $v' \in L^1(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$  the result is classical. Let  $v \in X \cap C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$ , with

$$v' = \sum_{i=1}^N w^i + h, \quad w^i \in L^{p_i'}(0, T; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))'), \quad \text{and} \quad h \in L^1(Q).$$

Consider the sequence  $(v_n)$  defined by

$$v_n(t) = n \int_t^{t+1/n} v(s) ds, \quad v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(0, T - \eta, W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega)), \quad \eta \geq \frac{1}{n}.$$

We see that the sequence  $(v_n)$  converges to  $v$  in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(0, T - \eta, W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))$  strongly,  $v'_n \in L^1(0, T - \eta, L^1(\Omega))$  with

$$v'_n = \sum_{i=1}^N w_n^i + h_n, \quad w_n^i(t) = n \int_t^{t+1/n} w^i(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(t) = n \int_t^{t+1/n} h(s) ds.$$

Moreover, for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w_n^i &\rightarrow w^i \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p_i'}(0, T - \eta; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))'), \\ h_n &\rightarrow h \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(0, T - \eta, L^1(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we have

$$|D_i v_n(t) - D_i v(t)| \leq n \int_t^{t+1/n} |D_i v(s) - D_i v(t)| ds,$$

then, with the Hölder inequality, we get

$$|D_i v_n(t) - D_i v(t)|^{p_i} \leq n^{p_i} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{p_i-1} \int_t^{t+1/n} |D_i v(s) - D_i v(t)|^{p_i} ds.$$

Integrating first on  $\Omega$  and after on the interval  $[0, T - \eta]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{T-\eta} \int_{\Omega} |D_i v_n(t) - D_i v(t)|^{p_i} dx dt \\ &\leq n \int_0^{T-\eta} \int_t^{t+1/n} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_i v(s) - D_i v(t)|^{p_i} dx \right) ds dt. \end{aligned}$$



Remark that the sequence of functions

$$[0, T - \eta] \ni t \mapsto n \int_t^{t+1/n} \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_i v(s) - D_i v(t)|^{p_i} dx \right) ds$$

are in  $L^1(0, T - \eta)$  and converges strongly in this space to 0 for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Therefore, we have

$$\|v_n - v\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

For the convergence of  $w_n^i$  in  $L^{p_i}(0, T - \eta; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))')$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n^i(t) - w^i(t)\|_{(W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))'} &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega) \\ \|\varphi\|_i \leq 1}} |\langle w_n^i(t) - w^i(t), \varphi(t) \rangle| \\ &\leq n \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega) \\ \|\varphi\|_i \leq 1}} \int_t^{t+1/n} |\langle w^i(s) - w^i(t), \varphi(t) \rangle| ds \\ &\leq n \int_t^{t+1/n} \|w^i(s) - w^i(t)\|_{(W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))'} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $w^i \in L^{p_i}(0, T - \eta; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))')$ , arguing as before, we deduce that

$$w_n^i \rightarrow w^i \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p_i}(0, T - \eta; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))'), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

For the convergence of  $(h_n)$  to  $h$ , we use the following inequality and conclude as before

$$\int_0^{T-\eta} \int_{\Omega} |h_n(t) - h(t)| dx dt \leq n \int_0^{T-\eta} \int_t^{t+1/n} \int_{\Omega} |h(s) - h(t)| dx ds dt.$$

To end, writing for all  $t, t' \in [0, T - \eta]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{t'}^t \langle w_n^i(\sigma), \Phi(v_n(\sigma)) \rangle d\sigma + \int_{t'}^t d\sigma \int_{\Omega} h_n(\sigma, x) \Phi(v_n(\sigma, x)) dx \\ &= \int_{t'}^t \langle v_n'(\sigma), \Phi(v_n(\sigma)) \rangle d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} dx \int_0^{v_n(t, x)} \Phi(\sigma) d\sigma - \int_{\Omega} dx \int_0^{v_n(t', x)} \Phi(\sigma) d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

for subsequence of  $(v_n)$ , denoted in the same way, which converges to  $v$  a.e. in  $(0, T - \eta) \times \Omega$ , and using a standard argument, we get the desired result using the fact that  $v \in C([0, T], L^1(\Omega))$ .

### 2.3. Uniform estimates

LEMMA 2.3. *If  $p_i > 1 + \frac{N}{N+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , the sequence  $(u_n)$  of solutions of problems  $(P_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$ .*

*Proof.* For fixed  $\nu > 0$ , we define the function  $S_\nu$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  by

$$S_\nu(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{sign } \sigma, & \text{if } |\sigma| > \nu, \\ \frac{\sigma}{\nu}, & \text{if } |\sigma| \leq \nu. \end{cases}$$

Taking  $S_\nu(u_n)$  as a test function in  $(P_n)$  and integrating over the interval  $[0, t] \subset [0, T]$ , we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \langle \partial_t u_n, S_\nu(u_n) \rangle dt + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n) Du_n S'_\nu(u_n) dx dt \\ = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \mu_n S_\nu(u_n) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, the fact that  $S'_\nu \geq 0$  and  $|S_\nu| \leq 1$ , we obtain, after dropping the nonnegative term,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \int_0^{u_n(t,x)} S_\nu(\sigma) d\sigma dx \leq \|\mu_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \int_\Omega \int_0^{u_n(0,x)} S_\nu(\sigma) d\sigma dx \\ \leq \|\mu_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\mu_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

To go further, we notice that for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_0^\alpha S_\nu(\sigma) d\sigma = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^2}{2\nu}, & \text{if } |\alpha| \leq \nu, \\ -\frac{\nu}{2} + |\alpha|, & \text{if } |\alpha| > \nu, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\alpha S_\nu(\sigma) d\sigma = |\alpha|.$$

Now, letting  $\nu$  goes to 0 in relation (2) and using the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\int_\Omega |u_n(t, x)| dx \leq \|\mu_n\|_{L^1} + \|\mu_{0n}\|_{L^1} \leq \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}(Q)} + \|\mu_0\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} = c_0.$$

This finishes the proof of the Lemma.

In the sequel, if  $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N)$ , we write  $\vec{r} > 1$  to mean that  $r_i > 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  be such that*

$$1 + \frac{N}{N+1} < p_i < \frac{\bar{p}(N+1)}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad q_i \in \left[1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}} \left(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1}\right)\right], \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

with  $\bar{p} \leq N + \frac{N}{N+1}$ , where  $\frac{1}{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i}$ . Then:

- $(u_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^{\bar{q}}(Q)$ , where  $\frac{1}{\bar{q}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i}$ ,
- $(D_i u_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^{q_i}(Q)$ .

*Proof.* We can assume that  $\frac{q_i}{p_i} = \frac{\bar{q}}{\bar{p}}$ . If not, we set  $\theta = \max\{\frac{q_i}{p_i}, i = 1, \dots, N\}$  and replace  $q_i$  by  $\theta p_i$ . Observe that, since  $\theta p_i \geq q_i$ , the fact that  $(D_i u_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^{\theta p_i}(Q)$  implies the result.

From now on, we set  $q_i = \theta p_i$ ,  $\theta = \frac{\bar{q}}{\bar{p}}$  and  $\theta \in \left[\frac{1}{p_+}, \frac{1}{\bar{p}} \left(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1}\right)\right)$ .

To carry on the proof, we need the following Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, ..., 2.10.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $\bar{q}$  be the harmonic of  $q_i$ , i.e.,  $\frac{1}{\bar{q}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i}$ . Then:

1.  $\bar{q} < N$  provided that  $\bar{p} \leq N + \frac{N}{N+1}$ ,
2. setting  $\bar{q}^* = \frac{N\bar{q}}{N-\bar{q}}$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_Q |u_n(x,t)|^d dxdt \leq C \int_0^T \|u_n(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\bar{q}^*}(\Omega)}^{\bar{q}} dt,$$

where  $d = \bar{q} \frac{N+1}{N}$ .

*Proof.* [Proof of Lemma 2.5] First, note that  $\bar{q}^* = \frac{N\bar{q}}{N-\bar{q}} > 1$ . Second, using the interpolation inequality and the Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n(\cdot,t)\|_{L^d(\Omega)} &\leq \|u_n(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{1-\tau} \|u_n(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\bar{q}^*}(\Omega)}^{\tau} \\ &\leq C \|u_n(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\bar{q}^*}(\Omega)}^{\tau}, \quad \tau = \frac{1-d}{1-\bar{q}^*} \frac{\bar{q}^*}{d}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Choosing  $d = \bar{q} \frac{N+1}{N}$ , we see that

$$\tau = \frac{N}{N+1} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\bar{q}^*(1-d)}{1-\bar{q}^*} = \bar{q}.$$

Now, integrating (3) on  $[0, T]$ , we obtain

$$\int_Q |u_n(x,t)|^d dxdt \leq C \int_0^T \|u_n(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\bar{q}^*}(\Omega)}^{d\tau} dt = C \int_0^T \|u_n(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\bar{q}^*}(\Omega)}^{\bar{q}} dt. \tag{4}$$

LEMMA 2.6. There exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only  $\vec{q}$  and  $N$  such that for all  $v \in \mathbb{L}^{\vec{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \vec{q}}(\Omega))$  there holds true:

$$\|v\|_{L^{\vec{q}}(0, T; L^{\vec{q}^*}(\Omega))} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |D_i v|^{q_i} dxdt \right)^{\frac{1}{q_i N}}.$$

*Proof.* [Proof of Lemma 2.6] The proof needs the following anisotropic Sobolev inequality.

LEMMA 2.7. (see [21].) Let  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \geq 1$  and  $u \in X_0^{1, \vec{\alpha}}(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\|u\|_{L^s(\Omega)} \leq C \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \|D_i u\|_{L^{\alpha_i}(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}, \tag{5}$$

where  $s = \bar{\alpha}^* = \frac{N\bar{\alpha}}{N-\bar{\alpha}}$  if  $\bar{\alpha} < N$  with  $\bar{\alpha}$  given by  $\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$ . The constant  $C$  depends on  $N$  and  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Furthermore, if  $\bar{\alpha} \geq N$ , the inequality (5) is true for all  $s \geq 1$  and  $C$  depends on  $s$  and  $|\Omega|$ .

Let us apply this last Lemma with  $\vec{\alpha} = \vec{q}$ . We get

$$\int_0^T \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^{\vec{q}^*} dx \right)^{\vec{q}/\vec{q}^*} dt \leq C \int_0^T \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_i v|^{q_i} dx \right)^{\frac{\vec{q}}{q_i^N}} dt.$$

The fact that  $\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\vec{q}}{q_i^N} = \vec{q} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q_i} = 1$  and the generalized Hölder inequality, lead to

$$\|v\|_{L^{\vec{q}}(0,T;L^{\vec{q}^*}(\Omega))} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |D_i v|^{q_i} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q_i^N}}.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

LEMMA 2.8. *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  (independent of  $n$ ) such that*

$$y_{ni} = \int_Q |D_i u_n|^{q_i} dx dt \leq C \left( 1 + \int_Q |u_n|^d dx dt \right)^{1-\theta},$$

with  $d = \vec{q} \frac{N+1}{N}$ ,  $\theta = \frac{\vec{q}}{N}$ .

*Proof.* [Proof of Lemma 2.8] Since  $\bar{p} > \vec{q} + \frac{N}{N+1}$ , we have  $\eta = d \left( \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \right) > 1$ . Let us choose as a test function in  $(P_n)$ :

$$\Phi_n(u_n) = \int_0^{u_n} \frac{d\sigma}{(1+|\sigma|)^{\eta}}.$$

Then, using Lemma 2.3 and the fact that

$$|\Phi_{\eta}(u_n)|_{L^{\infty}(Q)} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\sigma}{(1+|\sigma|)^{\eta}} < +\infty,$$

we deduce

$$\int_Q \frac{|D_i u_n|^{p_i}}{(1+|u_n|)^{\eta}} dx dt \leq c_{\eta}, \quad c_{\eta} = \text{constant independent of } n. \tag{6}$$

Next, writing

$$y_{ni} = \int_Q \frac{|D_i u_n|^{q_i}}{(1+|u_n|)^{\eta\theta}} (1+|u_n|)^{\eta\theta}$$

and using Hölder inequality, the inequality (6) gives

$$y_{ni} \leq C_{\eta}^{\theta} \left( \int_Q (1+|u_n|)^{\eta \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} \right)^{1-\theta^*}.$$

Since  $\eta \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} = d$ , we get the Lemma.

Next, we set  $\mathbb{T}_n = \prod_{i=1}^N y_{ni}^{\frac{1}{q_i}}$ . Then from Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, we have

LEMMA 2.9. *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  (independent of  $n$ ) such that*

$$\int_Q |u_n|^d dxdt \leq C \mathbb{T}_n^{\frac{\bar{q}}{q}}.$$

*Proof.* [Proof of Lemma 2.9] From Lemma 2.5,

$$\int_Q |u_n|^d dxdt \leq C \|u_n\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(0,T;L^{\bar{q}^*}(\Omega))}^{\bar{q}} \tag{7}$$

and Lemma 2.6, we get that

$$\|u_n\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(0,T;L^{\bar{q}^*}(\Omega))}^{\bar{q}} \leq C \mathbb{T}_n^{\frac{\bar{q}}{q}}. \tag{8}$$

The combination of these two relations, it gives the result.

LEMMA 2.10. *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  (independent of  $n$ ) such that*

$$\mathbb{T}_n \leq C, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* [Proof of Lemma 2.10] From Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\mathbb{T}_n = \prod_{i=1}^N y_{ni}^{\frac{1}{q_i}} \leq C^{\frac{N}{q}} \left( 1 + \int_Q |u_n|^d dxdt \right)^{\frac{(1-\theta)N}{q}}. \tag{9}$$

Using Lemma 2.9, we have from (9)

$$\mathbb{T}_n \leq C \left( 1 + \mathbb{T}_n^{\frac{\bar{q}}{q}} \right)^{\frac{(1-\theta)N}{q}} \leq c(1 + \mathbb{T}_n^{1-\theta}). \tag{10}$$

Since  $1 - \theta < 1$ , Lemma 2.10 follows from (10).

COROLLARY 2.1. (of Lemma 2.10) *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  (independent of  $n$ ) such that*

$$\|u_n\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(0,T;L^{\bar{q}^*}(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Now, Lemmas 2.8, 2.9, 2.10 and its corollary imply the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Next we show that  $(u'_n)$  is in a bounded set of  $L^{-}(0, T; (X_0^{1, \bar{r}'})'(\Omega))' + L^1(Q)$  for some  $\bar{r}' > 1$ .

LEMMA 2.11. *Let*

$$r_i \in \left[ \frac{1}{p_i - 1}, \frac{p_i}{(p_i - 1)\bar{p}} \left( \bar{p} - \frac{N}{N + 1} \right) \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

*We can choose  $r_i > 1$ , for*

$$1 + \frac{N}{N + 1} < p_i < \frac{\bar{p}(N + 1)}{N}.$$

*The sequence  $(u'_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^{-}(0, T; (X_0^{1, \bar{r}'})'(\Omega))' + L^1(Q)$ ,  $\bar{r}' = (r'_1, r'_2, \dots, r'_N)$ ,  $r'_i$  is the conjugate  $r_i$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $n$  we have

$$u'_n = \operatorname{div}(\widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)) + \mu_n,$$

knowing that  $(\mu_n)$  is in a bounded set of  $L^1(Q)$ , we have to show that

$$v_n = \operatorname{div}(\widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n))$$

belongs to a bounded set of  $L^{r^-}(0, T; (X_0^{1, \bar{r}'}(\Omega))')$ , with  $\bar{r}' > 1$ . Indeed, setting for  $t \in (0, T)$ ,  $v_n(t) = v_n$ , we have for  $r_i > 1$  (given as in Lemma 2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n\|_{(X_0^{1, \bar{r}'}(\Omega))'} &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X_0^{1, \bar{r}'}(\Omega) \\ \|\varphi\| \leq 1}} \left| \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i(t, x, u_n, Du_n) D_i \varphi dx \right| \\ &\leq \beta \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X_0^{1, \bar{r}'}(\Omega) \\ \|\varphi\| \leq 1}} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left( |g| + |u_n|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u_n|^{p_j} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p_i}} |D_i \varphi| dx. \end{aligned}$$

By using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n\|_{(X_0^{1, \bar{r}'}(\Omega))'} &\leq \beta \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in X_0^{1, \bar{r}'}(\Omega) \\ \|\varphi\| \leq 1}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\Omega} |D_i \varphi|^{r'_i} \right)^{1/r'_i} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |g| + |u_n|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u_n|^{p_j} \right)^{(1 - \frac{1}{p_i})r_i} \right)^{1/r_i} \\ &\leq \beta \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |g| + |u_n|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u_n|^{p_j} \right)^{(1 - \frac{1}{p_i})r_i} dx \right)^{1/r_i}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|v_n\|_{(X_0^{1, \bar{r}'}(\Omega))'}^{r^-} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |g| + |u_n|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u_n|^{p_j} \right)^{(1 - \frac{1}{p_i})r_i} dx \right)^{r^-/r_i}. \quad (11)$$

We set

$$G_i(x, t) = \left( |g| + |u_n|^{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u_n|^{p_j} \right)^{(1 - \frac{1}{p_i})r_i}(x, t).$$

Let  $\sigma$  be such that

$$\frac{r_i(p_i - 1)}{p_i} < \sigma < 1 - \frac{1}{\bar{p}} \frac{N}{N + 1} < 1.$$

This is possible since we have

$$1 < r_i < \frac{p_i}{(p_i - 1)\bar{p}} \left( \bar{p} - \frac{N}{N + 1} \right).$$

Then

$$\sigma p_i \in \left[ 1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}} \left( \bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1} \right) \right), \quad \frac{(p_i - 1)r_i}{\sigma p_i} < 1 \text{ and } \sigma < 1,$$

we integrate relation (11) on  $[0, T]$  and we apply Hölder inequality to derive

$$\int_0^T \|v_n\|_{(X_0^{1, \bar{r}'})'(\Omega)}^{r_-} dt \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_Q G_i(x, t) dx dt \right)^{\frac{r_-}{r_i}}. \tag{12}$$

Using the inequality that

$$\left( \sum a_i \right)^\sigma \leq \sum a_i^\sigma \text{ for } \sigma \in [0, 1]$$

and writing  $G_i = G_i^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}}$ , from (12) and the Hölder inequality we deduce

$$\int_0^T \|v_n\|_{(X_0^{1, \bar{r}'})'(\Omega)}^{r_-} dt \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_Q (|g|^\sigma + |u_n|^{\bar{p}\sigma} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u_n|^{\sigma p_j}) dx dt \right)^{\frac{(p_i - 1)r_-}{\sigma p_i}}. \tag{13}$$

Using the inequality (13) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\int_0^T \|v_n\|_{(X_0^{1, \bar{r}'})'(\Omega)}^{r_-} dt \leq C.$$

LEMMA 2.12. *There exists a subsequence (still denoted by  $(u_n)$ ) which converges a.e. to a function  $u \in L^1(Q)$ , and weakly in  $\mathbb{L}^{\vec{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \vec{q}}(\Omega))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N)$ , the sequence  $(u_n)$  is in a bounded set of the space  $\mathbb{L}^{\vec{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \vec{q}}(\Omega))$  for all  $q_i \in [1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}}(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1})]$  and  $(u'_n)$  remains in a bounded set of the space  $L^{r_-}(0, T; (X_0^{1, \bar{r}'})'(\Omega))' + L^1(Q)$ . As

$$W_0^{1, r'_-}(\Omega) \subset X_0^{1, \bar{r}'_-(\Omega)}, \quad (r'_- \text{ the Hölder conjugate of } r_- \text{ and } \vec{r}' = (r'_1, \dots, r'_N))$$

and the fact that the imbedding is continuous and dense, we see that

$$L^{r_-}(0, T; (X_0^{1, \bar{r}'_-(\Omega))}' + L^1(Q)) \subset L^{r_-}(0, T; W^{-1, r_-}(\Omega)) + L^1(Q), \quad r_- > 1.$$

As  $(u_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $\mathbb{L}^{\vec{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \vec{q}}(\Omega))$  and the sequence  $(\partial_i u_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^{r_-}(0, T; W^{-1, r_-}(\Omega)) + L^1(Q)$ , a result given in [19] shows that the sequence  $(u_n)$  converges strongly to a function  $u$  in  $L^1(Q)$ , it shows the existence of a subsequence  $(u_n)$  converging a.e. to  $u$  in  $Q$ .

Now we consider the following family of functions  $(\Phi_k)_{k>0}$ :

- $\Phi_k$  is a twice differentiable function,  $\Phi'_k, \Phi''_k$  are bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $\Phi_k(\sigma) = \sigma$  if  $|\sigma| \leq k$ , and  $\Phi'_k(\sigma) = 0$  if  $|\sigma| \geq k + (1/k)$ ,  $0 < \Phi'_k < 1$  on the interval  $(k, k + (1/k)) \cup (-(k + (1/k)), -k)$ .

The construction of this family  $(\Phi_k)_{k>0}$  can be made explicitly. For example we have:

- for  $\sigma \in [0, k]$ ,  $\Phi_k(\sigma) = \sigma$ ;
- for  $\sigma \in (k, k + 1/(2k))$ ,  $\Phi_k(\sigma) = l_1(\sigma) + l_2(\sigma) + l_3(\sigma)$ , with

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_1(\sigma) &= 1/2(k + 1/(2k) - \sigma) - 2k^3(k + 1/k)(\sigma - k) - 1/(2k), \\
 l_2(\sigma) &= 2k^2(k + 1/k)[(\sigma + 1/(2k))^2 - (k + 1/(2k))^2] + k[(k + 1/(2k))^2 - \sigma^2], \\
 l_3(\sigma) &= -2k^2/3[(\sigma + 1/(2k))^3 - (k + 1/(2k))^3];
 \end{aligned}$$

- for  $\sigma \in [k + 1/(2k), k + 1/k]$ ,  $\Phi_k(\sigma) = L_1(\sigma) + L_2(\sigma) + L_3(\sigma)$ , with

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_1(\sigma) &= 2k(k + 1/k)(\sigma - k - 1/(2k)) - 2k^3(k + 1/k)(k + 1/k - \sigma) - 1/(2k), \\
 L_2(\sigma) &= 2k^2(k + 1/k)[(k + 1/k)^2 - \sigma^2], \\
 L_3(\sigma) &= -2k^2/3[(k + 1/k)^3 - \sigma^3];
 \end{aligned}$$

- for  $\sigma \in [k + 1/k, +\infty)$ ,

$$\Phi_k(\sigma) = k + 1/(2k);$$

• for  $\sigma < 0$ , we take  $\Phi_k(\sigma) = -\Phi_k(-\sigma)$ . Another construction of  $\Phi_k$  can be also made by using a suitable convolution (personal communication by J. M. Rakotonson).

Taking  $u_n^k = \Phi_k(u_n)$  and  $u^k = \Phi_k(u)$ , we obtain the following result.

LEMMA 2.13.  $(u_n^k)$  remains in a bounded set of  $X$  and  $(u_n^k)$  converges a.e. to  $u^k$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and weakly in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Consider as a test function  $\Psi(u_n(t, x)) = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^{u_n(t, x)} |\Phi'_k(\sigma)|^{p_j} d\sigma$ . Using the Lemma 2.2, and the coercivity of  $A$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_Q |D_i u_n|^{p_i} |\Phi'_k(u_n)|^{p_j} dx dt \\
 \leq (\|u_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|u_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Phi'_k(\sigma)^{p_i} d\sigma \leq c_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

So that the sequence  $(u_n^k)$  is in a bounded set of  $X$ , because

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_Q |D_i(\Phi_k(u_n))|^{p_i} dx dt \leq \frac{c_k}{\alpha}, \quad (c_k = \text{constant depending of } k).$$

Since the space  $X$  is reflexive ( $\bar{p} > 1$  and finite), and  $u_n$  converges to  $u$  a.e. thus  $u_n^k$  converges to  $u^k$  a.e. and weakly in  $X$ . In particular we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} Du_n^k = Du^k \quad \text{weakly in } \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(Q).$$



LEMMA 2.14. Let  $\Phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\Phi$ ,  $\Phi'$  and  $\Phi''$  are bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $v \in X$  so that  $\partial_t v \in \sum_{i=1}^N L^{p_i'}(0, T; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))') + L^1(Q)$ . Then

$$\langle \partial_t \Phi(v), \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(Q), \mathcal{D}(Q)} = \langle \partial_t v, \varphi \Phi'(v) \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Q),$$

where the last bracket of duality is between  $\sum_{i=1}^N L^{p_i'}(0, T; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))') + L^1(Q)$  and  $X$ .

The proof of this Lemma can be like the proof of Lemma 2.2, or see [16]. As an application of the preceding Lemma 2.14, we have:

LEMMA 2.15. For all  $k > 0$ , all  $n$ ,  $(u_n^k)'$  is in  $\sum_{i=1}^N L^{p_i'}(0, T; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))') + L^1(Q)$  and we have the following equality in  $\mathcal{D}'(Q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (u_n^k)' &= \operatorname{div}((\Phi_k'(u_n) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n))) \\ &\quad - \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n) Du_n \Phi_k''(u_n) + \mu_n \Phi_k'(u_n). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$ . With Lemma 2.14 for  $(\Phi_k)$  and  $u_n$  we have

$$\langle \partial_t \Phi_k(u_n), \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(Q), \mathcal{D}(Q)} = \langle \partial_t u_n, \varphi \Phi_k'(u_n) \rangle.$$

So we take  $v = \varphi \Phi_k'(u_n)$  as test function in  $(P_n)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_t \Phi_k(u_n), \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(Q), \mathcal{D}(Q)} &= - \int_Q \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n) D\varphi \Phi_k'(u_n) dxdt \\ &\quad - \int_Q \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n) Du_n \varphi \Phi_k''(u_n) dxdt + \int_Q \mu_n \varphi \Phi_k'(u_n) dxdt \end{aligned}$$

this is a relation with (14). We deduce from (14), the regularity of  $(u_n^k)'$ .

LEMMA 2.16. For all  $k > 0$ , there exists a function  $\theta_k$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\limsup_n \int_{\{|u_n - u^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n) (Du_n - Du^k) dxdt \leq \theta_k(\varepsilon)$$

with  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \theta_k(\varepsilon) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  fixed. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $T_\varepsilon$  be the truncation at level  $-\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon$ . This Lipschitz function satisfies  $T_\varepsilon(0) = 0$  and

$$T_\varepsilon'(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\sigma| \leq \varepsilon, \\ 0, & |\sigma| > \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

For all  $m, n$ , we choose  $v_{n,m}^k = T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k)$  as a test function in  $(P_n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \langle u_n', T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k) \rangle dt \\ &\quad + \int_{|u_n - u_m^k| \leq \varepsilon} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n) (Du_n - Du_m^k) dxdt \leq \varepsilon \| \mu \|_{\mathcal{M}(Q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We write,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle u'_n, T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k) \rangle dt &= \int_0^T \langle u'_n - (u_m^k)', T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k) \rangle dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \langle (u_m^k)', T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k) \rangle dt \equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The fact that  $u_m$  is in  $X \cap C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$  implies that the function  $u_m^k$  is in the same space. From Lemma 2.15,  $(u_m^k)'$  is in  $\sum_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(0, T; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))') + L^1(Q)$ , with use of Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$I_1 = \int_\Omega dx \int_0^{(u_n - u_m^k)(T, x)} T_\varepsilon(\sigma) d\sigma - \int_\Omega dx \int_0^{(u_n - u_m^k)(0, x)} T_\varepsilon(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

As  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T, L^1(\Omega))$ , we have

$$|I_1| \leq \varepsilon \int_\Omega (|u_n(0, x)| + |u_m^k(0, x)|) dx + \varepsilon \int_\Omega (|u_n(T, x)| + |u_m^k(T, x)|) dx \leq c\varepsilon. \quad (17)$$

For  $I_2$ , using (14) we can write:  $I_2 \equiv J_{1n}^m - J_{2n}^m - J_{3n}^m$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1n}^m &= \int_Q \Phi'_k(u_m) \mu_m T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k) dx dt, \\ J_{2n}^m &= \int_Q \Phi''_k(u_m) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m, Du_m) Du_m T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k) dx dt, \\ J_{3n}^m &= \int_Q \Phi'_k(u_m) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m, Du_m) D(T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k)) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

] • For estimating  $J_{1n}^m$ , we use the fact that the sequence  $(\mu_m)$  is bounded in  $L^1(Q)$ ,  $\Phi'_k$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $|T_\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon$ , so that we have

$$|J_{1n}^m| \leq c_k \varepsilon. \quad (18)$$

• For  $J_{2n}^m$ , taking  $b = k + 1/k$ . With the definition of  $\Phi_k$  and  $u_m^k$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2n}^m &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_Q \Phi''_k(u_m^b) a_i(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) D_i u_m^b T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k) dx dt \\ &\leq c_k \varepsilon \beta \sum_{i=1}^N \int_Q \left( |g| + |u_m^b|^{\overline{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u_m^b|^{p_j} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p_i}} |D_i u_m^b| dx dt \\ &\leq c_k \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_Q |D_i u_m^b|^{p_i} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \left( \int_Q \left( |g| + |u_m^b|^{\overline{p}} + \sum_{j=1}^N |D_j u_m^b|^{p_j} \right) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

With Lemma 2.13, we get

$$|J_{2n}^m| \leq c_k \varepsilon. \quad (19)$$

- For  $J_{3n}^m$ , we consider the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n\varepsilon} &= \{(t, x) \mid |u_n - u^k| \neq \varepsilon\}, \quad E_{n\varepsilon}^c = \{(t, x) \mid |u_n - u^k| = \varepsilon\}, \\ E_{nm} &= \{(t, x) \mid |u_n - u_m^k| < \varepsilon\}, \quad E_n = \{(t, x) \mid |u_n - u^k| < \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $J_{3n}^m$  like  $J_{3n}^m = J_{3n}^{m1} + J_{3n}^{m2}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{3n}^{m1} &= \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}} \Phi'_k(u_m) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m, Du_m) (Du_n - Du_m^k) dx dt, \\ J_{3n}^{m2} &= \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}^c} \Phi'_k(u_m) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m, Du_m) (Du_n - Du_m^k) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

We begin with  $J_{3n}^{m1}$ , that we write as  $J_{3n}^{m1} = J_{3n}^{m11} - J_{3n}^{m12}$ , where:

$$J_{3n}^{m11} = \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}} \Phi'_k(u_m) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m, Du_m) Du_n dx dt$$

and

$$J_{3n}^{m12} = \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}} \Phi'_k(u_m) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m, Du_m) Du_m^k dx dt.$$

By using the properties of the functions  $\Phi_k$ , we see that

$$J_{3n}^{m11} = \int_Q \Phi'_k(u_m) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) Du_n \chi_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}}(t, x) dx dt,$$

where  $\chi_E$  denotes the characteristic function of a set  $E$ . With the Lemma 2.13, the sequence  $(\widehat{a}(u_m^b, Du_m^b))_m$  remains in a bounded set of the space  $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(Q)$ .

We can extract a subsequence, still denoted  $(\widehat{a}(u_m^b, Du_m^b))_m$  which converges weakly to a limit denoted  $M_k$  and  $(a_i(u_m^b, Du_m^b))_m$  converges weakly to  $M_k^i$  in  $L^{p_i}(Q)$ ,  $M_k = (M_k^1, \dots, M_k^N)$ . The sequence  $(u_m)$  converges a.e. to  $u$ , we deduce that the sequence  $(\Phi'_k(u_m) \chi_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}})_m$  converges a.e. on  $Q$  to  $\Phi'_k(u) \chi_{E_n}$ . So,  $(Du_n \Phi'_k(u_m) \chi_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}})_m$  converges strongly in  $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(Q)$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ . So we can write

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} J_{3n}^{m11} = \int_{E_n} \Phi'_k(u) M_k Du_n dx dt. \quad (20)$$

- For  $J_{3n}^{m12}$ , we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.17. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_m \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}} \Phi'_k(u_m)^2 \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) Du_m^b dx dt \\ \geq \int_{E_n} \Phi'_k(u)^2 M_k Du dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Consider the following non-negative quantity:

$$\Delta(u_m^b, u^b) = \Phi'_k(u_m)^2(\widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) - \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du^b))(Du_m^b - Du^b).$$

This term is in  $L^1(Q)$ , because  $u_m^b$  and  $u^b$  are in  $X$ , (for that we use Young's inequality). We develop  $\Delta(u_m^b, u^b)$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'_k(u_m)^2 \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) Du_m^b &\geq \Phi'_k(u_m)^2 \left\{ \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) Du^b \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du^b) Du_m^b - \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du^b) Du^b \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating over  $E_{nm} \cap E_{n\epsilon}$  and taking the limsup as  $m$  goes to infinity, we derive easily

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_m \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\epsilon}} \Phi'_k(u_m)^2 \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) Du_m^b dx dt \\ \geq \int_{E_n} \Phi'_k(u)^2 M_k Du^b dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the convergence a.e. of  $(u_m)$  to  $u$  in  $Q$ , the weak convergence of  $(\widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b))_m$  to  $M_k$  in  $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(Q)$  and the weak convergence of  $(Du_m^b)$  to  $Du^b$  in  $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(Q)$ . So we have the result.

For  $J_{3n}^{m12}$ , we can remark that  $Du_m^k = \Phi'_k(u_m) Du_m^b$ ,  $J_{3n}^{m12}$  can be written as

$$J_{3n}^{m12} = \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\epsilon}} \Phi'_k(u_m)^2 \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) Du_m^b dx dt.$$

With Lemma 2.17, we have

$$\limsup_m J_{3n}^{m12} \geq \int_{E_n} \Phi'_k(u)^2 M_k Du dx dt.$$

As  $\liminf(-\cdot) = -\limsup(\cdot)$ , the equality (20) and the last inequality give

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_m J_{3n}^{m1} &= \liminf_m (J_{3n}^{m11} - J_{3n}^{m12}) \\ &\leq \liminf_m J_{3n}^{m11} - \limsup_m J_{3n}^{m12} \\ &\leq \int_{E_n} \Phi'_k(u) M_k Du_n dx dt - \int_{E_n} \Phi'_k(u)^2 M_k Du dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

• For  $J_{3n}^{m2}$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} J_{3n}^{m2} &= \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\epsilon}^c} \Phi'_k(u_m) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m, Du_m) (Du_n - Du_m^k) dx dt \\ &= \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\epsilon}^c} \Phi'_k(u_m) \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) Du_n dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\epsilon}^c} \Phi'_k(u_m)^2 \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m, Du_m) Du_m dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

We drop the non negative term and as  $Du_n = Du^k$  a.e. on the set  $E_{n\varepsilon}^c$ , we obtain

$$J_{3n}^{m2} \leq \int_{E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}^c} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b) \Phi'_k(u_m) Du^k dxdt.$$

Since the sequence  $(a_i(t, x, u_m^b, Du_m^b))_m$  is in a bounded set of  $L^{p_i}(Q)$ , and  $E_{nm} \cap E_{n\varepsilon}^c \subseteq E_{n\varepsilon}^c$ , the Hölder inequality yields

$$J_{3n}^{m2} \leq c_k \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \int_{E_{n\varepsilon}^c} \Phi'_k(u_m)^{p_i} |D_i u^k|^{p_i} dxdt \right]^{1/p_i}.$$

As the function  $\Phi'_k$  is bounded, the pointwise convergence of  $(u_m)$  to  $u$  on  $Q$  and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem imply

$$\liminf_m J_{3n}^{m2} \leq c_k \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \int_{E_{n\varepsilon}^c} \Phi'_k(u)^{p_i} |D_i u^k|^{p_i} dxdt \right]^{1/p_i}.$$

Now we can split  $J_{3n}^m$  as  $J_{3n}^m = J_{3n}^{m1} + J_{3n}^{m2}$ , so we have from (21) and the last inequality

$$\liminf_m J_{3n}^m \leq S_n^1 + S_n^2, \tag{22}$$

where

$$S_n^1 = \int_{E_n} \Phi'_k(u) M_k Du_n dxdt - \int_{E_n} \Phi'_k(u)^2 M_k Du dxdt,$$

$$S_n^2 = c_k \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \int_{E_{n\varepsilon}^c} \Phi'_k(u)^{p_i} |D_i u^k|^{p_i} dxdt \right]^{1/p_i}.$$

We want show that  $\limsup_n (\liminf_m J_{3n}^m) \leq \theta_k(\varepsilon)$  with  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \theta_k(\varepsilon) = 0$ . For that we write

$$S_n^1 = \int_{\{|u| \leq k\} \cap E_n} M_k (Du_n - Du^{b+1}) dxdt$$

$$+ \int_{\{|u| > k\} \cap E_n} \Phi'_k(u) M_k Du_n dxdt - \int_{\{|u| > k\} \cap E_n} \Phi'_k(u)^2 M_k Du^{b+1} dxdt,$$

since  $\Phi'_k \equiv 1$  on the interval  $[-k, k]$ ,  $\Phi'_k(\sigma) = 0$  for  $|\sigma| \geq b$ . So we have

$$S_n^1 = \int_{\{|u| \leq k\} \cap E_n} M_k (Du_n - Du^{b+1}) dxdt$$

$$+ \int_{\{|u| > k\} \cap E_n} \Phi'_k(u) M_k (Du_n - Du^{b+1}) dxdt$$

$$+ \int_{\{|u| > k\} \cap E_n} M_k Du^{b+1} (1 - \Phi'_k(u)) \Phi'_k(u) dxdt.$$

As  $u_n$  converges a.e. to  $u$  on  $Q$  and  $Du_n^{b+1}$ , ( $u_n = u_n^{b+1}$  on  $E_n$ ,  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ ), converge weakly to  $Du^{b+1}$  in  $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(Q)$ , the two first integrals in the expression of  $S_n^1$  converge to 0 when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . While for the third integral we note that

$$S_n^{11} = \int_{\{k < |u| < b\} \cap E_n} M_k Du^{b+1} (1 - \Phi'_k(u)) \Phi'_k(u) dxdt, \quad \text{with } b = k + 1/k.$$

Using Lemma 2.13 and Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n^{11}| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\{k < |u| < b\} \cap E_n} |M_k^i|^{p_i'} dxdt \right)^{1/p_i'} \cdot \left( \int_{\{k < |u| < b\} \cap E_n} |D_i u^{b+1}|^{p_i} dxdt \right)^{1/p_i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\{k < |u| < b\} \cap E_n} |M_k^i|^{p_i'} dxdt \right)^{1/p_i'} \cdot \left( \int_Q |D_i u^{b+1}|^{p_i} dxdt \right)^{1/p_i} \\ &\leq c_k \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\{k < |u| < b\} \cap E_n} |M_k^i|^{p_i'} dxdt \right)^{1/p_i'} \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\limsup_n |S_n^{11}| \leq c_k \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\{k < |u| < b\} \cap \{|u - u^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} |M_k^i|^{p_i'} dxdt \right)^{1/p_i'} = \theta_k^{11}(\varepsilon). \tag{23}$$

Since one has

$$|\{k < |u| < b\} \cap \{|u - u^k| \leq \varepsilon\}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \theta_k^{11}(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

For the term  $S_n^2$ , we have

$$S_n^2 = c_k \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \int_{\{|u_n - u^k| = \varepsilon\}} \Phi_k'(u)^{p_i} |D_i u^k|^{p_i} dxdt \right]^{1/p_i}.$$

Since  $u_n$  converge to  $u$  a.e. in  $Q$ , we get

$$\lim_n S_n^2 = \theta_k^{12}(\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \theta_k^{12}(\varepsilon) = 0. \tag{24}$$

We combine the inequalities (20) to (24), we obtain

$$\limsup_n \liminf_m J_{3n}^m \leq \theta_k^{11}(\varepsilon) + \theta_k^{12}(\varepsilon), \tag{25}$$

where  $\theta_k^{11}(\varepsilon)$  and  $\theta_k^{12}(\varepsilon)$  are given by relation (23) and (24) respectively.

End of the proof of Lemma 2.16. By using (15) and (16), (17), (18), and (19), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|u_n - u_m^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)(Du_n - Du_m^k) dxdt \\ \leq \varepsilon \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}(Q)} - I_1 - I_2 \leq c_k \cdot \varepsilon + J_{3n}^m. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Let us begin the computation of the limit with respect to  $m$  on the left hand side of this last inequality. We recall that on the set  $|u_n - u_m^k| \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ , we have  $u_n = u_n^{b+1}$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|u_n - u_m^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)(Du_n - Du_m^k) dxdt \\ = \int_Q \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n^{b+1}, Du_n^{b+1}) D(T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k)) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence  $(T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k))_m$  is strongly convergent in  $L^{p_i}(Q)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$  to  $T_\varepsilon(u_n - u^k)$  (we use Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem). The function  $T_\varepsilon(u_n - u^k)$  is in  $X$ . To see that, we consider the sequences  $(D_i(T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k)))_m$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , where

$$D_i(T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k)) = \begin{cases} D_i u_n^{b+1} - D_i u_m^k, & |u_n - u_m^k| \leq \varepsilon \leq 1, \\ 0, & |u_n - u_m^k| > \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

which remains bounded with the Lemma 2.13, in the reflexive set  $L^{p_i}(Q)$  so that it exists a weakly convergent subsequence in  $L^{p_i}(Q)$  whose limit is necessarily  $D_i(T_\varepsilon(u_n - u^k))$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{|u_n - u_m^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)(Du_n - Du_m^k) dxdt \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_Q \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n^{b+1}, Du_n^{b+1}) D(T_\varepsilon(u_n - u_m^k)) dxdt \\ &= \int_{\{|u_n - u^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)(Du_n - Du^k) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

With the inequalities (25) and (26), we obtain

$$\limsup_n \liminf_m \int_{\{|u_n - u_m^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)(Du_n - Du_m^k) dxdt \leq \theta_k(\varepsilon),$$

where  $\theta_k(\varepsilon) = c_k \varepsilon + \theta_k^{11}(\varepsilon) + \theta_k^{12}(\varepsilon)$  and  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \theta_k(\varepsilon) = 0$ . So that we have the proof of Lemma 2.16.

#### 2.4. An important Lemma of compactness

LEMMA 2.18. *Let  $(u_n)$  a sequence of  $X$  with following properties.*

(i) *There exists  $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N)$ ,  $q_i \in [1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}}(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1})]$ , such that  $(u_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $\mathbb{L}^{\vec{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \vec{q}}(\Omega))$ ,  $(u_n)$  converges weakly and pointwise to  $u$ .*

(ii) *For all  $k > k_0 \geq 0$ ,  $(u_n^k) = (\Phi_k(u_n))$  remains in a bounded set of  $X$  as  $n$  goes to infinity.*

(iii) *For all  $k > k_0 \geq 0$ , there exists a function  $\theta_k$  so that for all  $\varepsilon \in ]0, \varepsilon_0)$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , we have*

$$\limsup_n \int_{\{|u_n - u^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)(Du_n - Du^k) dxdt \leq \theta_k(\varepsilon),$$

with  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \theta_k(\varepsilon) = 0$ .

Then, there exists a subsequence (still denoted  $(u_n)$ ) so that

$$Du_n \rightharpoonup Du \quad \text{a.e. on } Q,$$

and for all sequence, we have:

$$D_i u_n \rightarrow D_i u \quad \text{strongly in } L^s(Q), \quad \forall s \in [1, q_i], \quad \forall q_i \in \left[1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}} \left(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1}\right)\right).$$

*Proof.* The proof of this Lemma can be make like the proof of Lemma 3 in [16].

*Remark.* The compactness results on anisotropic problem can be found in [8].

**2.5. Passage to the limit for the approximate problems**

Let  $q_i \in [1, \frac{p_i}{p}(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1})]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . On one hand, with Lemma 2.4, the sequence  $(u_n)$  is in a bounded set of  $\mathbb{L}^{\bar{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \bar{q}}(\Omega))$  and we have  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $Q$  and weakly to  $u$  in  $\mathbb{L}^{\bar{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \bar{q}}(\Omega))$ . On the other hand, with Lemma 2.13,  $u_n^k$  remains in a bounded of  $X$  and with Lemma 2.16, we have for all  $k > 0$ ,

$$\limsup_n \int_{\{|u_n - u^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)(Du_n - Du^k) dxdt \leq \theta_k(\varepsilon), \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

This shows that we have all the hypotheses of Lemma 2.18 for  $(u_n)$  and  $u$ . We can have a subsequence  $(u_n)$  such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{and} \quad Du_n \rightarrow Du \quad \text{a.e. in } Q.$$

Now, let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, K)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_Q u_n \partial_t \varphi dxdt - \int_{\Omega} \varphi(0, x) \mu_{0n} dx + \int_Q \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n) D\varphi dxdt \\ = \int_Q \varphi(t, x) \mu_n(t, x) dxdt. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

As  $Du_n \rightarrow Du$  a.e. in  $Q$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $Q$  and by the assumption  $(\widehat{a}.2)$ , we have

$$a_i(t, x, u_n, Du_n) \rightarrow a_i(t, x, u, Du) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \tag{28}$$

By the assumption  $(\widehat{a}.1)$ , from (28), Lemma 2.4 and the Vitali’s theorem, we derive for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$a_i(t, x, u_n, Du_n) \rightarrow a_i(t, x, u, Du), \quad \forall r_i \in \left[1, \frac{p_i}{(p_i - 1)\bar{p}} \left(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1}\right)\right],$$

strongly in  $L^{r_i}(Q)$ . We can easily pass to the limit in (27). The Theorem 2.1 is so proved.

**3. The case  $1 + \frac{N}{N+1} < p_i < \frac{\bar{p}(N+1)}{N}$  and  $F \neq 0$**

In this section, we add the nonlinear term  $F$  and we consider the following problem

$$(P') \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\widehat{a}(x, t, u, Du)) + F(t, x, u, Du) = \mu \quad \text{in } Q, \\ u(0, x) = \mu_0(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu$  is in  $\mathcal{M}(Q)$  and  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ .



DEFINITION 3.1. A function  $u$  is a weak solution of problem  $(P')$  if:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u \in L^1(0, T; W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)), \widehat{a}(t, x, u, Du) \in (L^1(Q))^N \text{ and} \\
 - \int_Q u \partial_t \varphi \, dx dt - \int_\Omega \varphi(0, x) \, d\mu_0 + \int_Q \widehat{a}(t, x, u, Du) D\varphi \, dx dt \\
 + \int_Q F(t, x, u, Du) \varphi \, dx dt = \int_Q \varphi(t, x) \, d\mu, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, K).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar to the preceding case so we sketch it.

**3.1. Approximation of problem  $(P')$**

Let  $(\mu_{0n})$  (resp.  $(\mu_n)$ ) be a sequence of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ ) which converges to  $\mu_0$  (resp.  $\mu$ ) in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}'(Q)$ ) and which verifies the inequality

$$\|\mu_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\mu_0\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mu_n\|_{L^1(Q)} \leq \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}(Q)}, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

We approach the problem  $(P')$  by the sequence of problems  $(P'_n)$ :

$$\begin{cases}
 \partial_t u_n - \operatorname{div}(\widehat{a}(x, t, u_n, Du_n)) + F(t, x, u_n, Du_n) = \mu_n \text{ in } Q, \\
 u_n(0, x) = \mu_{0n}(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\
 u_n = 0 \text{ on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega.
 \end{cases}$$

For the existence of the solution  $u_n \in X \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  of problem  $(P'_n)$  is classical, see [14] for instance.

We can establish all the estimations that we have done for the sequence of solutions of problems  $(P_n)$ , using the following remark.

REMARK 3.1. Let  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing function from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Then we have  $\phi(u)F(t, x, u, \xi) \geq 0$ .

A consequence of this remark, is that the following Lemmas can be proved exactly as before

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  be such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 + \frac{N}{N+1} < p_i < \frac{\bar{p}(N+1)}{N}, \text{ where } \bar{p} \leq N + \frac{N}{N+1}, \\
 q_i \in \left[1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}} \left(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1}\right)\right], \quad i = 1, \dots, N.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence  $(u_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^{\vec{q}}(Q)$  and in a bounded set of  $\mathbb{L}^{\vec{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \vec{q}}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$ , with  $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N)$ .

Thanks to Lemma 3.1, we have that  $F_i(u_n, Du_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^{r_i}(Q)$ , for some  $\bar{r} > 1$  (given as in Lemma 2.11). We may also assume that  $u_n$  converges weakly to some function  $u$  in  $\mathbb{L}^{\bar{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \bar{q}}(\Omega))$ , for all  $q_i \in [1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}}(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1})]$ . The sequence  $u'_n$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^{r^-}(0, T; W^{-1, r^-}(\Omega)) + L^1(Q)$ . Using a result given in [19] (see also [18]), we can see  $u_n$  converges strongly to  $u$  in  $L^1(Q)$ , so that we have the existence of a sequence  $(u_n)$  converges to  $u$  almost everywhere.

Now we consider the following family of functions  $(\Phi_k)_{k>0}$ :

- $\Phi_k$  is a twice differentiable function,  $\Phi'_k, \Phi''_k$  are bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,
- $\Phi_k(\sigma) = \sigma$  if  $|\sigma| \leq k$ , and  $\Phi'_k(\sigma) = 0$  if  $|\sigma| \geq k + (1/k)$ ,  $0 < \Phi'_k < 1$  on the set  $(k, k + (1/k)) \cup (-(k + (1/k)), -k)$ .

Let  $u_n^k = \Phi_k(u_n)$  and  $u^k = \Phi_k(u)$ . So, with Lemma 2.13, we have the following result.

LEMMA 3.2.  $(u_n^k)$  remains in a bounded set of  $X$ , and if  $(u_n^k)$  converges to  $u^k$ , we have  $u^k \in X$ . Furthermore,  $(u_n^k)'$  is in  $\sum_{i=1}^N L^{p_i}(0, T; (W_{x_i, 0}^{1, p_i}(\Omega))) + L^1(Q)$ , for all  $k > 0$ , and we have the following equality in  $\mathcal{D}'(Q)$ :

$$(u_n^k)' = \operatorname{div}((\Phi'_k(u_n)\widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n))) - \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)Du_n\Phi''_k(u_n) - F(t, x, u_n, Du_n)\Phi'_k(u_n) + \mu_n\Phi'_k(u_n).$$

Using Lemmas 2.4 to 2.15, the following result can be proved exactly as before.

LEMMA 3.3. For all  $k > 0$ , there exists a function  $\theta_k$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\limsup_n \int_{\{|u_n - u^k| \leq \varepsilon\}} \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)(Du_n - Du^k) dx dt \leq \theta_k(\varepsilon),$$

with  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \theta_k(\varepsilon) = 0$ .

Using the compactness result we deduce that  $u_n$  converges strongly to  $u$  in the space  $\mathbb{L}^{\bar{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \bar{q}}(\Omega))$ , for all  $q_i \in [1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}}(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1})]$ , so  $\widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n)$  converges to  $\widehat{a}(t, x, u, Du)$  strongly in  $L^1(Q)^N$ .

With the growth condition on  $F_i$ ,  $F_i(u_n, Du_n)$  remains in a bounded set of  $L^{r_i}(Q)$ , for some  $\bar{r} > 0$ , and it converges a.e to  $F_i(u, Du)$ , we derive from Vitali's theorem that  $F_i(u_n, Du_n)$  converges strongly to  $F_i(u, Du)$  in  $L^1(Q)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

Since  $u_n$  satisfies the condition for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, K)$ , we have

$$- \int_Q u_n \partial_t \varphi dx dt - \int_\Omega \varphi(0, x) \mu_{0n} dx + \int_Q \widehat{a}(t, x, u_n, Du_n) D\varphi dx dt + \int_Q F(t, x, u_n, Du_n) \varphi dx dt = \int_Q \varphi(t, x) \mu_n dx dt,$$

we can easily pass to the limit in this relation. That shows the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $p_i$  be such that*

$$1 + \frac{N}{N+1} < p_i < \frac{\bar{p}(N+1)}{N}, \text{ where } \bar{p} \leq N + \frac{N}{N+1}.$$

*Let  $\hat{a}$  be an operator satisfying  $(\hat{a}.1)$ – $(\hat{a}.3)$  and let  $F$  satisfy  $(F)$ . Then the problem  $(P')$  has at least one weak solution  $u \in \mathbb{L}^{\vec{q}}(0, T; X_0^{1, \vec{q}}(\Omega))$  for all  $q_i \in [1, \frac{p_i}{\bar{p}}(\bar{p} - \frac{N}{N+1})]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , with  $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N)$ .*

*Acknowledgements.* This paper were completed during a visit of the author at the University of Poitiers. He thanks to Prof. J. M. Rakotoson and all the staff of Mathematic department for their support and warm hospitality. Special thank to Prof. Y. Atik at the E. N. S., Kouba, Algiers. Algeria for his great efforts for reading this manuscript. Finally, he address a grateful thank to Mrs Catherine Bougant for taping partly this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. ATIK, *T-ensembles locaux et problèmes quasi-linéaires dégénérés à donnée mesure sur un ouvert quelconque*, Thèse de Doctorat, Université de Poitiers, 1993.
- [2] M. BENDAHDANE, M. LANGLAIS, M. SAAD, *On some anisotropic reaction-diffusion systems with  $L^1$ -data modeling the propagation of an epidemic disease*, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **54** (2003), 617–636.
- [3] M. BENDAHDANE, KENNETH H. KARLSEN, *Renormalized entropy solutions for quasi-linear anisotropic degenerate parabolic equations*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **36**, 2 (2004), 405–422.
- [4] H. BRÉZIS, *Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert*, North-Holland Publishing company- Amsterdam-London, 1973.
- [5] L. BOCCARDO, T. GALLOUËT, P. MARCELLINI, *Anisotropic Equations in  $L^1$* , *Differential and Integral Equations*, **9** (1996), 209–212.
- [6] L. BOCCARDO, A. DALL'AGLIO, T. GALLOUËT, AND L. ORSINA, *Nonlinear Parabolic Equations With Measure Data*, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **147** (1997), 237–258.
- [7] H. BRÉZIS, *Analyse Fonctionnelle, théorie et applications*, Masson, Paris, 1987.
- [8] A. EL HAMIDI, J. M. RAKOTOSON, *On a perturbed anisotropic equation with a critical exponent*, *Ricerche di Matematica*, **55** (2006), 55–69.
- [9] F. LI, *Anisotropic Elliptic Equations in  $L^{m^*}$* , *Journal of Convex Analysis*, **8** (2001), 417–422.
- [10] F. LI AND H. ZHAO, *Anisotropic parabolic equations with measure data*, *J. Partial Differ. Equations*, **14**, 1 (2001), 21–30.
- [11] F. LI, *Nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations with measure data*, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **61** (2005), 1269–1282.
- [12] F. LI, *Anisotropic parabolic equations with measure data II*, *Math. Nachr.*, **279**, 13-14 (2006), 1585–1596.
- [13] I. FRAGALA, F. GAZZOLA, B. KAWOHL, *Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic quasi-linear elliptic equations*, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré*, **21** (2004), 715–734.
- [14] J. L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites*, Dunod, Paris, 1969.
- [15] F. MOKHTARI, *Etude d'un problème non linéaire du type elliptique-parabolique à données mesures: existence, régularité et comportement de solutions au voisinage des points singuliers*, Mémoire de Magister, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Vieux Kouba, Alger, Algérie, 2002.
- [16] J. M. RAKOTOSON, *A compactness lemma for quasilinear problems: application to parabolic equations*, *J. Funct. Analysis*, **106** (1992), 358–374.
- [17] J. M. RAKOTOSON, *T-sets and relaxed solutions for parabolic equations*, *J. Differ. Equations*, **111**, 2 (1994), 458–471.
- [18] J. SIMON, *Compact sets in the space  $L^p(0, T; B)$* , *Ann. Mat. Pura. App.*, **146** (1987), 65–96.

- [19] R. TEMAM, *Navier Stokes equations, theory and numerical analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [20] R. TEMAM, *Infinite Dimensional Dynamical Sysytems in Mechanics and Physics*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [21] M. TROISI, *Theoremi di inclusione per Spazi di Sobolev non isotropi*, *Ricerche Mat.*, **18** (1969), 3–24.

(Received January 11, 2010)

*F. Mokhtari*  
*Ecole Supérieure de Commerce*  
*1, Rampe Salah Gharbi, Agha, Alger*  
*Algérie*  
*e-mail: fares\_maths@yahoo.fr*