

## STABILITY OF FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH OSCILLATING COEFFICIENTS AND DISTRIBUTED DELAYS

MICHAEL I. GIL'

(Communicated by J. Yan)

*Abstract.* We consider the scalar equation

$$\dot{x}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(t) \int_0^h x(t-s) dr_j(s) = 0 \quad (h = \text{const} > 0, \dot{x} = dx/dt),$$

where  $r_j(s)$  are nondecreasing functions. Besides, we do not require that  $a_j(t)$  are positive for all  $t \geq 0$ . So the function

$$z + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(t) \int_0^h e^{-zs} dr_j(s)$$

can have zeros in the right-hand plane for some  $t \geq 0$ . It is proved that the considered equation is exponentially stable, provided  $a_j(t) = b_j + c_j(t)$ , where  $b_j$  are positive constants, such that all the zeros of the function  $z + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \int_0^h e^{-zs} dr_j(s)$  are in the open left-hand plane, and the integrals  $\int_0^t c_j(s) ds$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) are sufficiently small for all  $t > 0$ .

### 1. Introduction and statement of the main result

The present paper deals with the equation

$$\dot{x}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(t) \int_0^h x(t-s) dr_j(s) = 0 \quad (t > 0, h = \text{const} > 0, \dot{x} = dx/dt), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $r_j(s)$  are nondecreasing functions having finite variations  $\text{var}(r_j)$ , and  $a_j(t)$  are piece-wise continuous real functions bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ .

The literature on the first order linear functional differential equations is very rich, cf. [1, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15] and references therein, but mainly, the coefficients in (1.1) are assumed to be positive. The papers [2, 3, 16] are devoted to stability properties of differential equations with several (not distributed) delays and an arbitrary number of positive and negative coefficients. In particular, the papers [2, 3] give us explicit stability tests in the iterative and limit forms. Besides the main tool is the comparison method based on the Bohl-Perron type theorem. The sharp stability condition for the first order functional-differential equation with one variable delay was established by A.D. Myshkis (the so called 3/2-stability theorem) in his celebrated paper [10] (see also

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 34K20.

*Keywords and phrases:* functional differential equation, linear equation, exponential stability.

[6]). The similar result was established by J. Lillo [8]. The 3/2-stability theorem was generalized to nonlinear equations and equations with unbounded delays in the papers [13, 14, 15].

In the present paper we do not require that  $a_j(t)$  are positive for all  $t \geq 0$  and therefore the function

$$z + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(t) \int_0^h e^{-zs} dr_j(s)$$

can have zeros in the right-hand plane for some  $t \geq 0$ . In addition, we improve the 3/2-stability theorem in the case of the constant delay.

Let  $a_j(t) = b_j + c_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ), where  $b_j$  are positive constants, such that all the zeros of the function

$$K(z) := z + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \int_0^h e^{-zs} dr_j(s)$$

are in the open left-hand plane, and functions  $c_j(t)$  have the property

$$w_j := \sup_{t \geq 0} \left| \int_0^t c_j(t) dt \right| < \infty \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

The function

$$W(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{e^{zt} dz}{K(z)}$$

is the fundamental solution to the equation

$$\dot{y}(t) = - \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \int_0^h y(t-s) dr_j(s). \quad (1.2)$$

Without loss of the generality assume that

$$\text{var}(r_j) = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

Furthermore, for a function  $f$  defined and bounded on  $[0, \infty)$  (not necessarily continuous) introduce the norm  $\|f\|_C = \sup_{t \geq 0} |f(t)|$ . So  $\|a_k\|_C = \sup_{t \geq 0} |a_k(t)|$ . In addition, put

$$\|W\|_{L^1} = \int_0^\infty |W(t)| dt.$$

Now we are in a position to formulate our main result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let*

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m w_j \right) \left[ 1 + \|W\|_{L^1} \sum_{k=1}^m (b_k + \|a_k\|_C) \right] < 1. \quad (1.3)$$

*Then equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.*

This theorem is proved in the next section. It is sharp. Namely, if  $a_j(t) \equiv b_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ), then  $w_j = 0$  and condition (1.3) is automatically fulfilled.

Put

$$\hat{r}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j r_j(s).$$

Then (1.2) takes the form

$$\dot{y}(t) = - \int_0^h y(t-s) d\hat{r}(s). \tag{1.4}$$

Besides,

$$\text{var}(\hat{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \text{var}(r_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j.$$

For instance, let

$$eh \sum_{j=1}^m b_j = eh \text{var}(\hat{r}) < 1. \tag{1.5}$$

Then  $W(t) \geq 0$  and equation (1.2) is exponentially stable, cf. [4]. Now, integrating (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = W(0) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^h W(t-s) d\hat{r}(s) dt \\ &= \int_0^h \int_0^\infty W(t-s) dt d\hat{r}(s) \\ &= \int_0^h \int_{-s}^\infty W(t) dt d\hat{r}(s) \\ &= \int_0^h \int_0^\infty W(t) dt d\hat{r}(s) = \text{var}(\hat{r}) \|W\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|W\|_{L^1} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^m b_k}. \tag{1.6}$$

Thus, Theorem 1.1 implies

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Let the conditions (1.5) and*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m w_j < \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m b_k}{\sum_{k=1}^m (2b_k + \|a_k\|_C)} \tag{1.7}$$

*hold. Then equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.*

Furthermore, let

$$a_j(t) = b_j + u_j(\omega_j t) \quad (\omega_j > 0; j = 1, \dots, m) \tag{1.8}$$

with a piece-wise continuous functions  $u_j(t)$ , such that

$$v_j := \sup_t \left| \int_0^t u_j(s) ds \right| < \infty.$$

Then we have  $\|a_j\|_C \leq b_j + \|u_j\|_C$  and

$$w_j = \sup_t \left| \int_0^t u_j(\omega_j s) ds \right| = v_j / \omega_j.$$

For example if  $u_j(t) = \sin(t)$ , then  $v_j = 2$ . Now Theorem 1.1 and (1.7) imply our next result.

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Let the conditions (1.5), (1.8) and*

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{v_j}{\omega_j} < \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m b_k}{\sum_{k=1}^m (3b_k + \|u_k\|_C)} \quad (1.9)$$

*hold. Then equation (1.1) is exponentially stable.*

**EXAMPLE 1.4.** Consider the equation

$$\dot{x} = - \sum_{j=1}^m (b_j + \tau_j \sin(\omega_j t)) \int_0^1 x(t-s) d_j(s) ds \quad (\tau_j = \text{const} > 0), \quad (1.10)$$

where  $d_j(s)$  are positive and bounded on  $[0, 1]$  functions, satisfying the condition

$$\int_0^1 d_j(s) ds = 1.$$

Assume that (1.5) holds with  $h = 1$ . Then  $v_j = 2\tau_j$  and condition (1.9) takes the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2\tau_j}{\omega_j} < \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m b_k}{\sum_{k=1}^m (3b_k + \tau_k)}.$$

So for arbitrary  $\tau_j$ , there are  $\omega_j$ , such that equation (1.10) is exponentially stable. In particular, consider the equation

$$\dot{x} = -(b + \tau_0 \sin(\omega t))x(t-1) \quad (b < e^{-1}; \tau_0, \omega = \text{const} > 0). \quad (1.11)$$

Then according to condition (1.9) for any  $\tau_0$ , there is an  $\omega$ , such that equation (1.11) is exponentially stable. At the same time the 3/2-stability theorem requires the condition  $h(\tau_0 + b) < 3/2$  for all  $\omega$ .

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Due to the Variation of Constants Formula the equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = - \sum_{k=1}^m b_j \int_0^h x(t-s) dr_j(s) + f(t) \quad (t > 0)$$

with a given function  $f$  and the zero initial condition

$$x(t) = 0 \quad (t \leq 0)$$

is equivalent to the equation

$$x(t) = \int_0^t W(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau. \tag{2.1}$$

Recall that a differentiable in  $t$  function  $G(t, s)$  ( $t \geq s \geq 0$ ) is the fundamental solution to (1.1) if it satisfies that equation in  $t$  and the initial conditions

$$G(s, s) = 1, \quad G(t, s) = 0 \quad (t < s, s \geq 0).$$

Put  $G(t, 0) = G(t)$ . Subtracting (1.2) from (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}(G(t) - W(t)) \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^m b_j \int_0^h (G(t-s) - W(t-s)) dr_j(s) - \sum_{j=1}^m c_j(t) \int_0^h G(t-s) dr_j(s). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Now (2.1) implies

$$G(t) = W(t) - \int_0^t W(t-\tau) \sum_{j=1}^m c_j(\tau) \int_0^h G(\tau-s) dr_j(s) d\tau. \tag{2.3}$$

We need the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $f(t), u(t)$  and  $v(t)$  be scalar functions defined on a finite segment  $[a, b]$  of the real axis. Assume that  $f(t)$  and  $v(t)$  are boundedly differentiable and  $u(t)$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ . Then with the notation*

$$j_u(t) = \int_a^t u(s) ds \quad (a < t \leq b),$$

the equality

$$\int_a^t f(s) u(s) v(s) ds = f(t) j_u(t) v(t) - \int_a^t [f'(s) j_u(s) v(s) + f(s) j_u(s) v'(s)] ds$$

is valid.

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t)j_u(t)v(t) = f'(t)j_u(t)v(t) + f(t)u(t)v'(t) + f(t)j_u'(t)v(t).$$

Integrating this equality and taking into account that  $j_u(a) = 0$ , we arrive at the required result.  $\square$

Put

$$J_j(t) := \int_0^t c_j(s)ds.$$

By the previous lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t W(t-\tau)c_j(\tau)G(\tau-s)d\tau &= W(0)J_j(t)G(t-s) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \left[ \frac{dW(t-\tau)}{d\tau}J_j(\tau)G(\tau-s) + W(t-\tau)J_j(\tau)\frac{dG(\tau-s)}{d\tau} \right] d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

But

$$\frac{dG(\tau-s)}{d\tau} = - \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(\tau-s) \int_0^h G(\tau-s-s_1)dr_k(s_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW(t-\tau)}{d\tau} &= - \frac{dW(t-\tau)}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \int_0^h W(t-\tau-s_1)dr_j(s_1) \\ &= \int_0^h W(t-\tau-s_1)d\hat{r}_j(s_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^t W(t-\tau)c_j(\tau)G(\tau-s)d\tau = Z_j(t,s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_j(t,s) &:= J_j(t)G(t-s) + \int_0^t J_j(\tau) \left[ - \int_0^h W(t-\tau-s_1)d\hat{r}_j(s_1)G(\tau-s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + W(t-\tau) \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(\tau-s) \int_0^h G(\tau-s-s_1)dr_k(s_1) \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now (2.3) implies

LEMMA 2.2. *The equality*

$$G(t) = W(t) - \int_0^h \sum_{j=1}^m Z_j(t,s)dr_j(s)$$

is true.

We have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} |Z_j(t, s)| \leq w_j \|G\|_C \left[ 1 + \int_0^t \int_0^h |W(t - \tau - s_1)| d\hat{r}(s_1) d\tau \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \|a_k\|_C \int_0^t |W(t - \tau)| d\tau \right].$$

But

$$\int_0^h \int_0^t |W(t - \tau - s)| d\tau d\hat{r}(s) = \int_0^h \int_{-s}^{t-s} |W(t - \tau)| d\tau d\hat{r}(s) \\ \leq \text{var}(\hat{r}) \int_0^\infty |W(\tau)| d\tau.$$

Thus,

$$\|Z_j(t, s)\|_C \leq w_j \|G\|_C \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m (b_k + \|a_k\|_C) \|W\|_{L^1} \right).$$

From the previous lemma we get  $\|G\|_C \leq \|W\|_C + \gamma \|G\|_C$ , where

$$\gamma := \left( \sum_{k=1}^m w_j \right) \left[ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m (b_k + \|a_k\|_C) \|W\|_{L^1} \right].$$

Condition (1.3) means that  $\gamma < 1$ . We thus have proved the following result.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let condition (1.3) hold. Then*

$$\|G\|_C \leq \frac{\|W\|_C}{1 - \gamma}. \tag{2.5}$$

The previous lemma implies the stability of (1.1). Substituting

$$x_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-\varepsilon t} x(t) \tag{2.6}$$

with  $\varepsilon > 0$  into (1.1), we have the equation

$$\dot{x}_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon x_\varepsilon(t) - \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(t) \int_0^h e^{\varepsilon s} x_\varepsilon(t-s) dr_k(s). \tag{2.7}$$

If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, then according to (2.5) we easily obtain that  $\|x_\varepsilon\|_C < \infty$  for any solution  $x_\varepsilon$  of (2.7). Hence (2.6) implies  $|x(t)| \leq e^{-\varepsilon t} \|x_\varepsilon\|_C$  for any solution  $x$  of (1.1).  $\square$

### 3. Additional stability results

In this section we suggest a bound for the norm of  $W(t)$  under the condition

$$eh \sum_{j=1}^m b_j < \xi \quad (1 < \xi < 2). \quad (3.1)$$

Due to Theorem 1.1 that bound gives us explicit stability conditions, provided (3.1) holds. Consider the equation

$$d\tilde{y}(t)/dt + \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{b}_j \int_0^h \tilde{y}(t-s) dr_j(s) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\tilde{b}_j = b_j/\xi$ . Let  $\tilde{W}$  be the fundamental solution to the equation (3.2). Subtracting (3.2) from (1.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(W(t) - \tilde{W}(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{b}_j \int_0^h (W(t-s) - \tilde{W}(t-s)) dr_j(s) \\ = - \sum_{j=1}^m (b_j - \tilde{b}_j) \int_0^h W(t-s) dr_j(s). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the Variation of Constants Formula,

$$W(t) - \tilde{W}(t) = - \int_0^t \tilde{W}(t-\tau) \sum_{j=1}^m (b_j - \tilde{b}_j) \int_0^h W(\tau-s) dr_j(s) d\tau.$$

Hence, taking into account that  $\text{var}(r_j) = 1$ , by simple calculations we get

$$\|W - \tilde{W}\|_{L^1} \leq \|\tilde{W}\|_{L^1} \|W\|_{L^1} \sum_{j=1}^m (b_j - \tilde{b}_j).$$

If

$$\psi := \|\tilde{W}\|_{L^1} \sum_{j=1}^m (b_j - \tilde{b}_j) < 1,$$

then  $\|W\|_{L^1} \leq \|\tilde{W}\|_{L^1} (1 - \psi)^{-1}$ . But condition (3.1) implies (1.5) with  $\tilde{b}_k$  instead of  $b_k$ . So according to (1.6) we have

$$\|\tilde{W}\|_{L^1} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{b}_k} = \frac{\xi}{\sum_{k=1}^m b_k}.$$

Consequently,  $\psi = \xi - 1$  and  $\|W\|_{L^1} \leq \|\tilde{W}\|_{L^1} (2 - \xi)^{-1}$ . Thus we have proved the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let conditions (3.1) and  $\text{var}(r_j) = 1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) hold. Then*

$$\|W\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\xi}{(2 - \xi) \sum_{k=1}^m b_k}.$$

Now we can directly apply Theorem 1.1.



## REFERENCES

- [1] N. V. AZBELEV, P.M. SIMONOV, *Stability of Differential Equations with Aftereffect*, Stability Control Theory Methods Appl., **20**, Taylor & Francis, London, 2003.
- [2] L. BEREZANSKY, E. BRAVERMAN, *On exponential stability of linear differential equations with several delays*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **324** (2006), 1336–1355.
- [3] L. BEREZANSKY, E. BRAVERMAN, *On stability of some linear and nonlinear delay differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **314** (2006), 391–411.
- [4] M. I. GIL', *The Aizerman-Myshkis problem for functional-differential equations with causal nonlinearities*, Funct. Differ. Equ., **11**, 1-2 (2005), 175–185.
- [5] A. IVANOV, E. LIZ, AND S. TROFIMCHUK, *Halany inequality, Yorke 3/2 stability criterion, and differential equations with maxima*, Tohoku Math. J., **54**, 2 (2002), 277–295.
- [6] V. KOLMANOVSKII, A. MYSHKIS, *Introduction to the Theory and Applications of Functional Differential Equations*, Math. Appl., **463**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [7] T. KRISZTIN, *On stability properties for one-dimensional functional-differential equations*, Funkcial. Ekvac., **34** (1991), 241–256.
- [8] J. C. LILLO, *Oscillatory solutions of the equation  $y'(x) = m(x)y(x - n(x))$* , J. Differential Equations, **6** (1969), 1–35.
- [9] E. LIZ, V. TKACHENKO, AND S. TROFIMCHUK, *A global stability criterion for scalar functional differential equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **35** (2003), 596–622.
- [10] A. D. MYSHKIS, *On solutions of linear homogeneous differential equations of the first order of stable type with a retarded argument*, Mat. Sbornik N.S., **28** (1951), 15–54.
- [11] J. W. H. SO, J. S. YU, AND M. P. CHEN, *Asymptotic stability for scalar delay differential equations*, Funkcial. Ekvac., **39** (1996), 1–17.
- [12] X. WANG, L. LIAO, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **279** (2003), 326–338.
- [13] T. YONEYAMA, *On the stability for the delay-differential equation  $\dot{x}(t) = -a(t)f(x(t - r(t)))$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **120** (1986), 271–275.
- [14] T. YONEYAMA, *On the 3/2 stability theorem for one-dimensional delay-differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **125** (1987), 161–173.
- [15] T. YONEYAMA, *The 3/2 stability theorem for one-dimensional delay-differential equations with unbounded delay*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **165**, 1 (1992), 133–143.
- [16] Z. ZHANG, Z. WANG, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients*, Ann. Differential Equations, **17**, 3 (2001), 295–305.

(Received June 13, 2010)

M. I. Gil'  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Ben Gurion University of the Negev  
 Beer-Sheva - 84105  
 Israel  
 e-mail: gilmi@cs.bgu.ac.il