

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE ENTIRE SOLUTIONS OF A SEMILINEAR p -LAPLACIAN PROBLEM WITH A GRADIENT TERM

YING SHEN AND JIHUI ZHANG

(Communicated by J. M. Rakotonson)

Abstract. In this paper, we study a semilinear p -Laplacian problem

$$-\Delta_p u + h(x)|\nabla u|^q = b(x)g(u), \quad u > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0,$$

where $q \in (p-1, p]$, $b, h \in C_{loc}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $h(x) \geq 0$, $b(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $g \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ which may be singular at 0. Using a sub-supersolution argument and a perturbed argument, we obtain the existence of entire solutions to the problem. No monotonicity condition is imposed on the functions $g(s)$ and $\frac{g(s)}{s^{p-1}}$.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the existence of entire solutions of the following semilinear p -Laplacian problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + h(x)|\nabla u|^q = b(x)g(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian operator, $q \in (p-1, p]$, $h \in C_{loc}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is non-negative in \mathbb{R}^N , g satisfies the following conditions:

$$(g_1) \quad g \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty)),$$

$$(g_2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(s)}{s^{p-1}} = \infty,$$

$$(g_3) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(s)}{s^{p-1}} = 0,$$

and b satisfies

$$(b_1) \quad b \in C_{loc}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } b(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

(b_2) the p -Laplacian problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = b(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 35J61, 35J91.

Keywords and phrases: semilinear p -Laplacian problem, entire solutions, existence.

This research was supported by the NNSF of China (No.10871096). And the authors would like to thank the referee for the many valuable comments and suggestions which improved the manuscript.

has a unique solution $w \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

In recent years, more and more attention has been paid to the existence of positive entire solutions for semilinear elliptic problems. Results relating to these problems can be found in [1-3, 6-8, 10, 11-14, 16, 18, 19]. For the equation considered over a bounded smooth domain Ω instead of \mathbb{R}^N , the corresponding problem was studied, for instance, in [15, 20] and the references cited therein.

The following model

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = b(x)g(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

arises from many branches of mathematics and applied mathematics.

For $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 0$, if b satisfies (b_1) and the following condition

$$(b_3) \int_0^\infty r\phi(r)dr < \infty, \text{ where } \phi(r) = \max_{|x|=r} b(x),$$

Lair and Shaker [13] showed that problem (1.3) has a unique solution $u \in C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Later, Lair and Shaker [14] and Zhang [19] extended the above results to the more general g which satisfies (g_1) and

$$(g_4) \quad g \text{ is non-increasing on } (0, \infty) \text{ and } \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s) = \infty.$$

Čirstea and Rădulescu [3] proved the above results if g satisfies (g_1) and:

$$(g_5) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(s)}{s} = \infty;$$

$$(g_6) \quad \frac{g(s)}{s+s_0} \text{ is decreasing on } (0, \infty) \text{ for some } s_0 > 0;$$

$$(g_7) \quad g \text{ is bounded in a neighborhood of } \infty.$$

Recently, Goncalves and Santos [11] also generalized the above results to the case that g satisfies (g_1) , (g_5) and

$$(g_8) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} = 0;$$

$$(g_9) \quad \frac{g(s)}{s} \text{ is decreasing on } (0, \infty).$$

Very recently, Covei [4] generalized the problem (1.3) to the following p -laplacian equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = b(x)g(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and showed that the problem (1.4) has a positive solution $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if g satisfies (g_2) , (g_3) and

$$(g_{10}) \quad \frac{g(s)}{s^{p-1}} \text{ is decreasing on } (0, \infty);$$

$$(g_{11}) \quad g \in \text{Lip}_{loc}((0, \infty), (0, \infty)) \text{ with } g \text{ singular at } 0;$$

and b satisfies (b_1) and

$$(b_4) \quad 0 < \int_1^\infty r^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \phi^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dr < \infty \text{ if } 1 < p \leq 2; \quad 0 < \int_1^\infty r^{\frac{(p-2)N+1}{p-1}} \phi dr < \infty \text{ if } 2 \leq p < \infty.$$

Motivated by the results [4] and [17], in this paper, we will extend the results of [17] and continue to consider the existence of entire solutions to problem (1.1) for the functions $g(s)$ and $\frac{g(s)}{s^{p-1}}$ which do not have monotonicity.

Now we state our main result.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $q \in (p - 1, p], h \in C_{loc}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is non negative in \mathbb{R}^N , and b satisfies (b_1) and (b_2) . If g satisfies (g_1) - (g_3) , then problem (1.1) has at least one solution $u \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries that which are going to be used later. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries

We first consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + h(x)|\nabla u|^q = b(x)g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 1)$.

For the convenience, we set $f(x, u, \nabla u) = b(x)g(u) - h(x)|\nabla u|^q$. Now we introduce a sub-supersolution method with the boundary restriction.

DEFINITION 2.1. A function $\underline{u} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ is called a subsolution of problem (2.1) if

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p \underline{u} \leq f(x, \underline{u}, \nabla \underline{u}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \underline{u} > 0, \quad \underline{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

DEFINITION 2.2. A function $\overline{u} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ is called a supersolution of problem (2.1) if

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p \overline{u} \geq f(x, \overline{u}, \nabla \overline{u}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \overline{u} > 0, \quad \overline{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

LEMMA 2.1. ([15, Lemma 2.4]) *Let $f(x, u, \xi)$ satisfies the following two basic conditions:*

(D_1) $f(x, u, \xi)$ is locally Hölder continuous in $\Omega \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and continuously differentiable with respect to the variables u and ξ ;

(D_2) for any $\Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega$ and any $a, b \in (0, \infty) (a < b)$, there exists a corresponding constant $C = C(\Omega_1, a, b) > 0$ such that $|f(x, u, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|^p), \forall x \in \Omega_1, \forall u \in [a, b], \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

If problem (2.1) has a supersolution \overline{u} and a subsolution \underline{u} such that $\underline{u} \leq \overline{u}$ in Ω , then problem (2.1) has at least one solution $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ in the ordered interval $[\underline{u}, \overline{u}]$.

LEMMA 2.2. ([4, Theorem 1.2]) *Let b satisfies (b_1) . If g satisfies (g_1) - (g_3) and (g_{10}) , then the following problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = b(x)g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

has a solution $u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$.

LEMMA 2.3. *If g satisfies (g_1) - (g_3) , then there exists a function \bar{f}_1 such that*

- (i) $\bar{f}_1 \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$,
- (ii) $\frac{g(s)}{s^{p-1}} \leq \bar{f}_1(s), \forall s > 0$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{f}_1(s) = \infty$,
- (iii) \bar{f}_1 is non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$,
- (iv) $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}_1(s) = 0$.

Proof. By (g_1) - (g_3) , we can denote

$$\bar{f}(s) = \sup_{t \geq s > 0} \frac{g(t)}{t^{p-1}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Observe that

$$\bar{f}(s) \geq \frac{g(t)}{t^{p-1}}, \quad \forall s > 0 \text{ and } t \geq s,$$

and \bar{f} is non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{f}(s) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}(s) = 0.$$

Now we can assume $\bar{f} \in C^1(0, \infty)$. If not, we can replace it by

$$\bar{f}_1(s) = \frac{2}{s} \int_{\frac{s}{2}}^s \bar{f}(t) dt, \quad s > 0.$$

Obviously,

$$\bar{f}(s) \leq \bar{f}_1(s) \leq \bar{f}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right), \quad \forall s > 0.$$

And, for $s > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}'_1(s) &= \frac{2}{s}(\bar{f}(s) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{f}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)) - \frac{2}{s^2} \int_{\frac{s}{2}}^s \bar{f}(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{2}{s}(\bar{f}(s) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{f}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)) - \frac{2}{s^2} s \bar{f}(s) = \frac{1}{s}(\bar{f}(s) - \bar{f}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\bar{f}_1 \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$. Hence Lemma 2.3 holds. \square

LEMMA 2.4. Let $q \in (p - 1, p]$, $b, h \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$, $h(x) \geq 0$, $b(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$. If g satisfies (g_1) - (g_3) , then problem (2.1) has at least one solution $u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $\psi_1 \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ be the first eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue λ_1 of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\beta = \frac{q}{q-p+1}$. It follows from (g_2) that there exists a positive constant $\delta_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{g(s)}{s^{p-1}} \geq \frac{\lambda_1 \beta^{p-1} + |h|_\infty \beta^q |\nabla \psi_1|_\infty^q}{\min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} b(x)}, \quad \forall s \in (0, \delta_1).$$

Let $\underline{u} = c_1 \psi_1^\beta$ with $c_1 \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{\delta_1}{|\psi_1|_\infty}\})$. Since $c_1^{q-p+1} < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p \underline{u} + h(x) |\nabla \underline{u}|^q &= \beta^{p-1} \lambda_1 (c_1 \psi_1^\beta)^{p-1} \\ &\quad - (p-1)\beta(\beta-1) c_1^{p-1} |\beta \psi_1^{\beta-1}|^{p-2} \psi_1^{\beta-2} |\nabla \psi_1|^p \\ &\quad + h(x) \beta^q c_1^q \psi_1^{q(\beta-1)} |\nabla \psi_1|^q \\ &\leq \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} b(x) g(c_1 \psi_1^\beta) \leq b(x) g(c_1 \psi_1^\beta) = b(x) g(\underline{u}), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

So $\underline{u} = c_1 \psi_1^\beta$ is a subsolution to problem (2.1).

To construct a supersolution, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain that the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = b(x) u^{p-1} (\overline{f}_1(u) + \frac{1}{u}) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

has a solution $u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$, which is a supersolution to problem (2.1).

Using the same maximum principle argument as the following proof of (3.2) in Section 3, we can get that $\underline{u}(x) \leq \overline{u}(x), x \in \Omega$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that problem (2.1) has at least one solution $u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ in the ordered interval $[\underline{u}, \overline{u}]$. \square

LEMMA 2.5. Let b satisfies (b_1) and (b_2) . If g satisfies (g_1) - (g_3) and \overline{f}_1 is in Lemma 2.3, then there exists a function $v \in C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p v \geq b(x) v^{p-1} (\overline{f}_1(v) + \frac{1}{v}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v > 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. By (g_1) - (g_3) , we define

$$\Gamma(t) = \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{s \overline{f}_1(s) + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

It follows from L'Hôspital's rule that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{t}{t \bar{f}_1(t) + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{f}_1(t) + t^{-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = \infty.$$

Let w be the solution of problem (1.2) and $c_0 = \max_{\mathbb{R}^N} w(x)$. Therefore, there exists a positive constant c_2 (large enough) such that

$$c_0 c_2 \leq \Gamma(c_2) = \int_0^{c_2} \left(\frac{s}{s \bar{f}_1(s) + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ds. \tag{2.9}$$

Now we define a function v by

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{c_2} \int_0^{v(x)} \left(\frac{s}{s \bar{f}_1(s) + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ds, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.10}$$

Hence combining (2.9) with (2.10), we have $0 < v(x) \leq c_2$. Obviously, we obtain $v^{p-1}(x) \leq c_2^{p-1}$. It follows from $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w(x) = 0$ that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = 0$.

Moreover, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_2^{p-1} b(x) &= -c_2^{p-1} \Delta_p w \\ &= \frac{-\Delta_p v}{\bar{f}_1(v(x)) + (v(x))^{-1}} - \frac{d}{dv} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{f}_1(v(x)) + (v(x))^{-1}} \right) |\nabla v(x)|^p \\ &\leq \frac{-\Delta_p v}{\bar{f}_1(v(x)) + (v(x))^{-1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p v &\geq c_2^{p-1} b(x) (\bar{f}_1(v(x)) + (v(x))^{-1}) \\ &\geq b(x) v^{p-1}(x) (\bar{f}_1(v(x)) + (v(x))^{-1}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now consider the perturbed problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_k + h(x) |\nabla u_k|^q = b(x) g(u_k) & \text{in } B(0, k), \\ u_k > 0, \quad u_k|_{\partial B(0, k)} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $B(0, k) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < k\}, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that problem (3.1) has one solution $u_k \in C(\bar{B}(0, k)) \cap C^{1, \alpha}(B(0, k))$.

Set $u_k(x) = 0 \quad \forall |x| > k$. Let v be as in Lemma 2.5, we assert that

$$u_k(x) \leq v(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{3.2}$$

To show (3.2) we need Diaz-Saà's inequality (in [5]).

LEMMA 3.1. For $i = 1, 2$ let $w_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} w_i > 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \text{ and } w_1 = w_2 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ w_i \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and } \Delta_p w_i^{\frac{1}{p}} \in L^\infty(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{-\Delta_p w_1^{\frac{1}{p}}}{w_1^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} - \frac{-\Delta_p w_2^{\frac{1}{p}}}{w_2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} \right) (w_1 - w_2) dx \geq 0,$$

provided $\frac{w_i}{w_j} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for $i \neq j$, where $i, j = 1, 2$.

Verification of (3.2). Consider the open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , namely

$$B_{k,v} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid u_k(x) > v(x)\} \subset\subset B(0, k).$$

Setting $w_1 := (u_k)^p$ and $w_2 := v^p$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{B_{k,v}} \left(\frac{-\Delta_p w_1^{\frac{1}{p}}}{w_1^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} - \frac{-\Delta_p w_2^{\frac{1}{p}}}{w_2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} \right) (w_1 - w_2) dx \\ &= \int_{B_{k,v}} \left(\frac{-\Delta_p u_k}{u_k^{p-1}} - \frac{-\Delta_p v}{v^{p-1}} \right) (u_k^p - v^p) dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_{k,v}} \left(\frac{b(x)g(u_k) - h(x)|\nabla u_k|^q}{u_k^{p-1}} - \frac{b(x)v^{p-1}(\bar{f}_1(v) + \frac{1}{v})}{v^{p-1}} \right) (u_k^p - v^p) dx \\ &= \int_{B_{k,v}} \left(b(x) \left(\frac{g(u_k)}{u_k^{p-1}} - (\bar{f}_1(v) + \frac{1}{v}) \right) - \frac{h(x)|\nabla u_k|^q}{u_k^{p-1}} \right) (u_k^p - v^p) dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_{k,v}} b(x) \left(\frac{g(u_k)}{u_k^{p-1}} - (\bar{f}_1(v) + \frac{1}{v}) \right) (u_k^p - v^p) dx < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. So $B_{k,v} = \emptyset$ and (3.2) holds.

Now, we need to estimate $\{u_k\}$. For any bounded $C^{1,\alpha}$ -smooth domain $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, take Ω_1 and Ω_2 with $C^{1,\alpha}$ -smooth boundaries, and K_1 large enough, such that

$$\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega_2 \subset\subset B(0, k), \quad k \geq K_1.$$

Note that

$$u_k(x) \geq \underline{u}(x) > 0, \quad \forall x \in B(0, K_1), \tag{3.3}$$

when $B(0, K_1)$ is the substitution for Ω in the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Let

$$\rho_k(x) = b(x)g(u_k) - h(x)|\nabla u_k|^q, \quad x \in \bar{B}(0, K_1).$$

Since $-\Delta_p u_k(x) = \rho_k(x), x \in B(0, K_1)$, by the interior estimate theorem of Ladyzen-skaja and Ural'tseva [12, Theorem 3.1, p.266], we get a positive constant C_1 independent of k such that

$$\max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}_2} |\nabla u_k(x)| \leq C_1 \max_{x \in \overline{B}(0, K_1)} u_k(x) \leq C_1 \max_{x \in \overline{B}(0, K_1)} v(x), \quad \forall x \in B(0, K_1), \tag{3.4}$$

i.e., $|\nabla u_k(x)|$ is uniformly bounded on $\overline{\Omega}_2$. It follows that $\{\rho_k\}_{K_1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded on $\overline{\Omega}_2$ and hence $\rho_k \in L^{p_1}(\Omega_2)$ for any $p_1 > 1$. Since

$$-\Delta_p u_k(x) = \rho_k(x), \quad x \in \Omega_2,$$

we see by [9, Theorem 9.11] that there exists a positive constant C_2 independent of k such that

$$\|u_k\|_{W^{1,p_1}(\Omega_1)} \leq C_2 (\|\rho_k\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega_2)} + \|u_k\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega_2)}), \quad \forall k \geq K_1. \tag{3.5}$$

Taking $p_1 > N$ such that $\alpha < 1 - \frac{N}{p_1}$ and applying Sobolev's embedding inequality, we see that $\{\|u_k\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_1)}\}_{K_1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded. Therefore $\rho_k \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}_1)$ and $\{\|\rho_k\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}_1)}\}_{K_1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded. It follows from Schauder's interior estimate theorem (see [19, Chapter 1, p.2]) that there exists a positive constant C_3 independent of k such that

$$\|u_k\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}')} \leq C_3 (\|\rho_k\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}_1)} + \|u_k\|_{C(\overline{\Omega}_1)}), \quad \forall k \geq K_1, \tag{3.6}$$

i.e., $\{\|u_k\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}')} \}_{K_1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded. Using Ascoli-Arzelà's theorem and the diagonal sequential process, we get that $\{u_k\}_{K_1}^\infty$ has a subsequence that converges uniformly in the $C^1(\overline{\Omega}')$ norm to a function $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}')$ and u satisfies

$$-\Delta_p u + h(x)|\nabla u|^q = b(x)g(u), \quad x \in \Omega'.$$

By (3.3), we obtain that

$$u > 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega'.$$

Applying Schauder's regularity theorem we have that $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega')$. Since Ω' is arbitrary, we also have that $u \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It follows from (3.2) that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0$. Hence Theorem 1.1 is proved. \square

REFERENCES

[1] H. BREZIS, S. KAMIN, *Sublinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , Manuscripta Math., **74** (1992), 87–106.
 [2] H. BREZIS, L. OSWALD, *Remarks on sublinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **10** (1986), 55–64.
 [3] F. CÎRSTEĂ, V.D. RĂDULESCU, *Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to a semilinear elliptic problem in \mathbb{R}^N* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **229** (1999), 417–425.
 [4] D.-P. COVEI, *Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solution to a quasilinear elliptic problem in \mathbb{R}^N* , Nonlinear Anal., **69** (2008), 2615–2622.
 [5] J.I. DIAZ, J.E. SAÀ, *Existence et unicite de solutions positives pour certaines equations elliptiques quasilineaires*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **305** (1987), 521–524.

- [6] T.-L. DINU, *Entire solutions of sublinear elliptic equations in anisotropic media*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **322** (2006), 382–392.
- [7] A. L. EDELSON, *Entire solutions of singular elliptic equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **139** (1989), 523–532.
- [8] W. FENG, X. LIU, *Existence of entire solutions of a singular semilinear elliptic problem*, Acta Math. Sinica, **20** (2004) 983–988.
- [9] D. GILBARG, N. S. TRUGINGER, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Berlin, 1998.
- [10] J. V. GONCALVES, C. A. SANTOS, *Positive solutions for a class of quasilinear singular equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **56** (2004), 1–15.
- [11] J. V. GONCALVES, C. A. SANTOS, *Existence and asymptotic behavior of non-radially symmetric ground states of semilinear singular elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **66** (2007), 2078–2090.
- [12] O. A. LADYZENSKAJA, N. N. URAL'TSEVA, *Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations*, Academic Press, 1968.
- [13] A. V. LAIR, A. W. SHAKER, *Entire solutions of a singular elliptic problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **200** (1996), 498–505.
- [14] A. V. LAIR, A. W. SHAKER, *Classical and weak solutions of a singular elliptic problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **211** (1997), 371–385.
- [15] C. L. LIU, Z. D. YANG, *Existence of large solutions for a quasilinear elliptic problem via explosive sub-supersolutions*, Appl. Math. Comput., **199** (2008), 414–424.
- [16] H. MAAGLI, M. ZRIBI, *Existence and estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear elliptic problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **263** (2001), 522–542.
- [17] H. T. XUE, X. G. SHAO, *Existence of positive entire solutions of a semilinear elliptic problem with a gradient term*, Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009), 3113–3118.
- [18] D. YE, F. ZHOU, *Invariant criteria for existence of bounded positive solutions*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **12** (2005), 413–424.
- [19] Z. ZHANG, *A remark on the existence of entire solutions of a singular elliptic problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **215** (1997), 579–582.
- [20] Z. ZHANG, *A remark on the existence of positive entire solutions of a sublinear elliptic problem*, Nonlinear Anal., **67** (2007), 147–153.

(Received June 10, 2010)

(Revised August 9, 2010)

Ying Shen and Jihui Zhang
 Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematics Sciences,
 Nanjing Normal University,
 Jiangsu - 210046
 China
 e-mail: shenyng99@126.com, jihui@jlonline.com