

OSCILLATION OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR IMPULSIVE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH DEVIATING ARGUMENTS

E. THANDAPANI, R. SAKTHIVEL AND E. CHANDRASEKARAN

(Communicated by Jurang Yan)

Abstract. In this paper, we present some new sufficient conditions for the oscillations of all solutions of a second order retarded differential equations with impulses. These results extend the known results for the differential equations without impulses. An example is provided to illustrate our result.

1. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the oscillatory behaviour of solutions of impulsive differential equations with deviating arguments

$$\begin{cases} [r(t)|u'(t)|^{\alpha-1}u'(t)]' + p(t)f(u(\tau(t))) = 0, & t \neq \theta_k, \\ \Delta[r(t)|u'(t)|^{\alpha-1}u'(t)]|_{t=\theta_k} + b_k h(u(\tau(\theta_k))) = 0, & t \in [t_0, \infty), k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Delta[z(t)]|_{t=\theta} = z(\theta^+) - z(\theta^-)$$

in which $z(\theta^\mp) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \theta^\mp} z(t)$. For convenience we define $z(\theta) = z(\theta^-)$.

Through out this paper we assumed the following conditions to hold:

(H₁) $\alpha > 0$, $r \in C([t_0, \infty))$, $r(t) > 0$, $p \in C([t_0, \infty))$, $p(t) > 0$;

(H₂) $R(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{ds}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$;

(H₃) $r \in C'([t_0, \infty))$, $\tau(t) \leq t$, $\tau'(t) > 0$, $\tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$;

(H₄) $\{\theta_k\}$ is a fixed strictly increasing unbounded sequence of positive real numbers and $\{b_k\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers;

(H₅) $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, $h \in C(\mathbb{R})$, $xf(x) > 0$, $f'(x) \geq 0$, $xh(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$, $f \in C'(R_D)$, where $R_D = (-\infty, -D) \cup (D, \infty)$, $D > 0$;

(H₆) for any given $c_1 > 0$ there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that $|h(x)| \geq c_2 |f(x)|$ for all $|x| \geq c_1$.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 34C10, 34A37.

Keywords and phrases: oscillation, second order, nonlinear, impulsive, differential equations.

By a solution of equation (1) on an interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, we mean a continuous function $u(t)$ which is defined on J such that $u'(t), (r(t)|u'(t)|^{\alpha-1}u'(t)) \in PLC(J)$ and which satisfies equation (1), where $PLC(J)$ denotes the set of all real-valued function $g(t)$ defined on J such that $g(t)$ is continuous on (θ_k, θ_{k+1}) , $g(\theta_k^\pm)$ exists and $g(\theta_k) = g(\theta_k^-)$ for each $k \geq k_0$. We consider only those solutions $u(t)$ of equation (1) which satisfy $\sup\{|u(t)| : t \geq T_u\} > 0$ for all $T_u \geq t_0$. It will be assumed that equation (1) has a solutions which are nontrivial for large t . Such a solution of equation (1) is called oscillatory if it has no last zero, and nonoscillatory otherwise. An equation is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

Recently, the theory of impulsive differential equations has been intensively studied by many authors since such equations are mathematical approaches for simulation of process and phenomena observed in control theory, physics, chemistry, population dynamics, biotechnology, economics, etc. There are many papers have devoted to the oscillation criteria of second order differential equations with impulses, see for example [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 13, 16, 17], and the references cited therein.

In this paper our aim is to extend the results established in [22] to the impulsive differential equations (1). An example is provided to illustrate the main result.

2. Main results

In this section, we obtain some new oscillation criteria for the solutions of equation (1).

THEOREM 1. *Let there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{f'(x)}{|f(x)|^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \geq k \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_D. \tag{2}$$

If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_s^\infty p(z) dz \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} ds = \infty, \tag{3}$$

and there exists a differentiable function $\rho : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, such that $\rho'(t) \geq 0$ and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{t_0}^t \left(p(s)\rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right) ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq \theta_k < t} c_2 b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) \right] = \infty, \tag{4}$$

where $\mu = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right)^\alpha$, then the impulsive differential equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof is based on the arguments developed in [22]. Suppose that there exist a nonoscillatory solution $u(t)$ of equation (1). We may assume that $u(t)$ is eventually positive. The case $u(t)$ eventually negative is similar, so that we can omit it.

Since $\tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, there exist a positive real number T such that $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ for all $t > T$. From equation (1), we have

$$[r(t)|u'(t)|^{\alpha-1}u'(t)]' = -p(t)f(u(\tau(t))) \leq 0.$$

Hence the function $r(t)|u'(t)|^{\alpha-1}u'(t)$ is nonincreasing on each interval (θ_k, θ_{k+1}) whenever $\theta_k \geq T$.

If $t = \theta_k$, then

$$r(\theta_k^+)|u'(\theta_k^+)|^{\alpha-1}u'(\theta_k^+) - r(\theta_k)|u'(\theta_k)|^{\alpha-1}u'(\theta_k) = -b_k h(x(\tau(\theta_k))) \leq 0.$$

Thus $r(t)|u'(t)|^{\alpha-1}u'(t)$ is nonincreasing in (T, ∞) . We claim that $u'(t)$ is eventually positive. In fact, if $u'(t^*) \leq 0$ for some $t^* \geq T$, then

$$r(t)|u'(t)|^{\alpha-1}u'(t) \leq r(t^*)|u'(t^*)|^{\alpha-1}u'(t^*) \leq 0 \text{ for } t \geq t^*.$$

Dividing the last inequality by $r(t)$ and integrating the resulting inequality from t^* to t we have

$$u(t) - u(t^*) \leq r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t^*)u'(t) \int_{t^*}^t \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)} ds. \tag{5}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ and using the hypothesis (H_2) in (5), we see that $u(t)$ must be eventually negative, which is a contradiction. Therefore our claim is true.

Define

$$w(t) = r(t) \frac{(\rho(t)u'(t))^\alpha}{f(u(\tau(t)))}, \quad t \neq \theta_k. \tag{6}$$

Then $w(t) > 0$. Differentiating $w(t)$, we get

$$w'(t) = \frac{\rho^\alpha(t)(r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha)'}{f(u(\tau(t)))} + \frac{\alpha r(t)}{\rho(t)} (\rho(t)u'(t))^\alpha \rho'(t) - \frac{r(t)(\rho(t)u'(t))^\alpha}{f^2(u(\tau(t)))} f'(u(\tau(t)))u'(\tau(t))\tau'(t),$$

where $t \neq \theta_k$. Since $r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha$ is decreasing and using equation (1), we have

$$w'(t) = \alpha \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - p(t)\rho^\alpha(t) - \frac{(w(t))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} f'(u(\tau(t)))\tau'(t)}{[f(u(\tau(t)))^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rho(t)[r(\tau(t))]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}]}, \tag{7}$$

$$\Delta w(t) \Big|_{t=\theta_k} = \frac{\rho^\alpha(\theta_k)}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))} [-b_k h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))]. \tag{8}$$

Let us assume that $u(t)$ is bounded. Then there exists positive constants k_1 and k_2 such that for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$k_2 \leq \tau(t) \leq k_1 \text{ and } k_2 \leq u(\tau(t)) \leq k_1.$$

Integrating equation (1) from t to ∞ , we obtain

$$r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \Big|_t^\infty + \int_t^\infty p(s)f(u(\tau(s)))ds = 0.$$

Since $r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha$ is positive and nonincreasing, we have

$$r(t)(u'(t))^\alpha \geq \int_t^\infty p(s)f(u(\tau(s)))ds.$$

Integrating the last inequality from t_0 to t , we obtain

$$k_1 \geq u(t) \geq f_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_s^{\infty} p(z) dz \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} ds,$$

where $f_0 = \min_{u \in [k_1, k_2]} f(u)$. Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, the last inequality contradicts (3). Therefore, we conclude that $u(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $u(\tau(t)) > 0$ for all large t enough. Now from (2), we have

$$\frac{f'(u(\tau(t)))}{[f(u(\tau(t)))]^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \geq k.$$

Equation (7) implies

$$w'(t) \leq \alpha \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - p(t) \rho^\alpha(t) - k \frac{(w(t))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tau'(t)}{(r(\tau(t)))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rho(t)}, \quad t \neq \theta_k. \quad (9)$$

By using the inequality,

$$Ax - Bx^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} A^{\alpha+1} B^{-\alpha}, \quad A \geq 0, B > 0, x \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

we get from (9) that

$$w'(t) \leq - \left[\rho^\alpha(t) p(t) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(t)) (\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{\rho(t) (\tau'(t))^\alpha} \right], \quad t \neq \theta_k. \quad (11)$$

In view of (8) and

$$\int_{t_1}^t w'(s) ds = w(t) - w(t_1) - \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} \Delta w(\theta_k), \quad (12)$$

if we integrate (11) from t_1 to t , then using condition (H_6) , we obtain

$$w(t) \leq w(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t \left[p(s) \rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s)) (\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right] ds - \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} c_2 b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k).$$

Taking \liminf , in the last inequality we obtain that $w(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ in view of (4), which contradicts the fact that $w(t) > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2. Assume that conditions (2) and (3) are satisfied. If there exists a differentiable positive function ρ such that $\rho'(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{r(\tau(s)) (\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq \theta_k < \infty} c_2 b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) = \infty, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho^{\alpha+1}(t) p(t) (\tau'(t))^\alpha}{r(\tau(t)) (\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}} > \mu, \quad (14)$$

then the impulsive delay differential equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. From the assumption (13), it follows that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all large t

$$\frac{\rho^{\alpha+1}(t)p(t)(\tau'(t))^\alpha}{r(\tau(t))(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}} > \mu + \varepsilon.$$

From Theorem 1, we have

$$w'(t) \leq -\frac{r(\tau(t))(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{\rho(t)(\tau'(t))^\alpha} \left[\mu - \frac{\rho^\alpha(t)p(t)\rho(t)(\tau'(t))^\alpha}{r(\tau(t))(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}} \right], \quad t \neq \theta_k, \quad (15)$$

$$\Delta w(t) \Big|_{t=\theta_k} = -\frac{\rho^\alpha(\theta_k)b_k h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}. \quad (16)$$

Integrating (15) from t_1 to t , using (12) and (16), we obtain

$$w(t) \leq w(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{\rho(s)(\tau'(s))^\alpha} \left[\mu - \frac{\rho^\alpha(s)p(s)\rho(s)(\tau'(s))^\alpha}{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}} \right] ds - \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} \frac{b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))},$$

that is,

$$w(t) \leq w(t_1) - \left[\varepsilon \int_{t_1}^t \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{\rho(s)(\tau'(s))^\alpha} ds + \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} c_2 b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) \right],$$

where we have used (H_6) . Taking limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality, we obtain a contradiction with $w(t) > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Next, let us introduce the class of functions P defined as in [4, 18, 19], which will be extensively used in the sequel.

Let

$$D_0 = \{(t, s) : t > s \geq t_0\} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \{(t, s) : t \geq s \geq t_0\}.$$

We say that the function $H \in C(D, \mathbb{R})$ belongs to the class P denoted by $H \in P$, if

$$(F_1) \quad H(t, t) = 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_0 \text{ and } H(t, s) > 0 \text{ on } D_0;$$

$$(F_2) \quad \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} \leq 0 \text{ for all } (t, s) \in D.$$

Suppose that $\lambda : D_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that

$$(F_3) \quad \alpha \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} H(t, s) = -\lambda(t, s) (H(t, s))^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \quad \text{for all } (t, s) \in D_0,$$

where λ is a locally integrable function.

THEOREM 3. *Assume conditions (2) and (3) hold. If there exists a positive continuous differentiable function ρ and $H \in P$ such that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s) \left(p(s) \rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right) ds + \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \sum_{t_0 \leq \theta_k < t} H(t, \theta_k) c_2 b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) \right] = \infty, \quad (17)$$

then the impulsive differential equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $u(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1). Then we may assume that $u(t) > 0$ eventually, since the proof for the case $u(t) < 0$ is similar. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have the following

$$w'(t) \leq - \left[\rho^\alpha(t) p(t) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(t))(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{\rho(t)(\tau'(t))^\alpha} \right], \quad t \neq \theta_k.$$

$$\Delta w(t) \Big|_{t=\theta_k} = - \frac{\rho^\alpha(\theta_k) b_k h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}. \quad (18)$$

Multiplying the last inequality by $H(t, s)$, we obtain

$$H(t, s) w'(t) \leq -H(t, s) \left[\rho^\alpha(t) p(t) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(t))(\rho'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{\rho(t)(\tau'(t))^\alpha} \right], \quad t \neq \theta_k. \quad (19)$$

Integrating the inequality (19) from t_1 to t , using (12) and (18), we obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^t H(t, s) w'(s) ds \leq - \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s) \left[p(s) \rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right] ds - \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} H(t, \theta_k) \frac{b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))},$$

that is,

$$\int_{t_1}^t H(t, s) \left[p(s) \rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right] ds + \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} H(t, \theta_k) \frac{b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))} \leq H(t, t_1) w(t_1) \leq H(t, t_0) w(t_1),$$

where we have used (F_1) and (F_2) . Therefore

$$\int_{t_0}^t H(t, s) \left[p(s) \rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right] ds + \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} H(t, \theta_k) \frac{b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} H(t, s) \left[p(s) \rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right] ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s) \left[p(s)\rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right] ds \\
 & + \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} H(t, \theta_k) \frac{b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))} \\
 & \leq H(t, t_0) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} p(s)\rho^\alpha(s) ds + H(t, t_0) w(t_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s) \left[p(s)\rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right] ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \sum_{t_0 \leq \theta_k < t} H(t, \theta_k) c_2 b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) \\
 & \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_1} p(s)\rho^\alpha(s) ds + w(t_1). \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking \limsup in (20) we obtain a contradiction with (17). This completes the proof.

THEOREM 4. *Assume conditions (2) and (3) hold. If there exists a positive continuous differentiable function $\rho(t)$ satisfying (F_3) and $H \in P$ with*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left(H(t, s) p(s)\rho^\alpha(s) - \mu_1 \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^\alpha}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha} (\lambda(t, s))^{\alpha+1} \right) ds \right. \\
 \left. + \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} H(t, \theta_k) c_2 b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) \right] = \infty, \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right)^\alpha$ hold, then the impulsive differential equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 w'(t) & \leq \alpha \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - p(t)\rho^\alpha(t) - k \frac{(w(t))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tau'(t)}{(r(\tau(t)))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rho(t)}, \quad t \neq \theta_k, \\
 \Delta w(t) \Big|_{t=\theta_k} & = - \frac{\rho^\alpha(\theta_k) b_k h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the last inequality by $H(t, s)$ and integrating from t_1 to t , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s) w'(s) ds & \leq \int_{t_1}^t \alpha H(t, s) \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} w(s) ds - \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s) p(s)\rho^\alpha(s) ds \\
 & - \int_{t_1}^t k H(t, s) \frac{(w(s))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tau'(s)}{(r(\tau(s)))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rho(s)} ds - \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} H(t, \theta_k) \frac{b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now using the inequality (10) and simplifying, we obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^t \left[H(t,s) p(s) \rho^\alpha(s) ds - \mu_1 \rho^\alpha(s) \frac{r(\tau(s))(\lambda(t,s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha} \right] ds + \sum_{t_1 \leq \theta_k < t} H(t, \theta_k) \frac{b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) h(u(\tau(\theta_k)))}{f(u(\tau(\theta_k)))} \leq H(t, t_1) w(t_1).$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2 and hence the details are omitted.

3. Example

In this section, we present an example to illustrate the main result.

EXAMPLE 5. Consider the following second order impulsive type delay differential equation

$$\begin{cases} [|u'(t)|^{\alpha-1} u'(t)]' + \frac{1}{t} |u(t/2)|^{\beta-1} u(t/2) = 0, & t \neq m^\beta, \\ \Delta |u'(t)|^{\alpha-1} u'(t) \Big|_{t=m^\beta} + \frac{1}{m^\beta} |u(m^\beta/2)|^{\beta-1} u(m^\beta/2) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

where α, β are positive constants such that $\beta \geq \alpha$ and $p \in C([1, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$. Here $f(u) = |u|^{\beta-1}u$. Then there exists a $k > 0$ such that $f'(u) \geq k$ for all $u \in R_D, k$ large enough. Hence (2) holds.

By choosing $\rho(t) = t^{\eta/\alpha}$ for $t \geq s \geq 1$ such that $\alpha + 1 < \eta < \alpha^2$. Here

$$r(t) = 1, p(t) = \frac{1}{t}, \tau(t) = \frac{t}{2}, b_k = \frac{1}{m^\beta}, \theta_k = m^\beta, \text{ and } c_2 = 1.$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_s^\infty p(z) dz \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} ds = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^t \left[\int_s^\infty \frac{1}{z} dz \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} ds = \infty.$$

Hence condition (3) is satisfied. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{t_0}^t \left(p(s) \rho^\alpha(s) - \mu \frac{r(\tau(s))(\rho'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\tau'(s))^\alpha \rho(s)} \right) ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq \theta_k < t} c_2 b_k \rho^\alpha(\theta_k) \right] \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{t_0}^t \left(\frac{1}{s} s^\eta - \mu \left(\frac{\eta}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha+1} \left(s^{\frac{\eta-\alpha}{\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^\alpha s^{\frac{\eta}{\alpha}}} \right) ds + \sum_{t_0 \leq \theta_k < t} \frac{1}{m^\beta} \theta_k^\eta \right] \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{\eta} (t^\eta - 1) - \mu \left(\frac{\eta}{2} \right)^{\alpha+1} 2^\alpha \frac{\alpha}{\eta - \alpha^2} \left(t^{\frac{\eta-\alpha}{\alpha}} - 1 \right) + \sum_{t_0 \leq \theta_k < t} \frac{1}{m^\beta} \theta_k^\eta \right] \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore all conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, and hence equation (22) is oscillatory.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for his constructive suggestions which improves the content of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. BEREZANSKY, E. BRAVERMAN, *On oscillation of a second order impulsive delay differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **39** (2000), 217–225.
- [2] E.M. BONOTTO, L.P. GIMENSES, M. FEDERSON, *Oscillation for a second-order neutral differential equation with impulses*, Appl. Math. Comput., **215**, 1 (2009), 1–15.
- [3] J.-F. CHENG, Y.-M. CHU, *Oscillation of second order neutral impulsive differential equations*, J. Inequal. Appl., (2010), 29 pp.
- [4] S.R. GRACE, *Oscillation theorems for nonlinear differential equations of second order*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **171** (1992), 220–241.
- [5] L.P. GIMENSES, M. FEDERSON, *Oscillation by impulses for a second order delay differential equation*, Cad. Mat., **6** (2005), 181–191.
- [6] K. GOPALSAMY AND B.G. ZHANG, *On delay differential equations with impulses*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **139** (1989), 110–122.
- [7] I. GYORI, G. LADAS, *Oscillation theory of delay differential equations. With applications*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
- [8] C. GUO, Z. XU, *On the oscillation of second order linear impulsive differential equations*, Differ. Equ. Appl., **2**, 3 (2010), 319–330.
- [9] Z. HE, W. GE, *Oscillation of impulsive delay differential equations*, Indian. J. Pure. Appl. Math., **31**, 9 (2000), 1089–1101.
- [10] Z. HAN, T. LI, S. SUN, W. CHEN, *On the oscillation of second order neutral delay differential equations*, Advances in Difference Equations, Volume (2010), Article ID 289340, 8 pages.
- [11] T. KUSANO, H. ONOSE, *Oscillation theorems for second order differential equations with retarded argument*, Proc. Japan Acad., **50** (1974), 342–346.
- [12] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, D. BAINOV, P.S. SIMENOV, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, Series in Modern Applied Mathematics, 6. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [13] W. XIU-LI, C. SI-YANG, H. JI, *Oscillation of a class of second order nonlinear ODE with impulses*, Appl. Math. Comput., **138** (2003), 181–188.
- [14] Q. MENG, J. YAN, *Bounded oscillation for second order non-linear neutral delay differential equations in critical and non-critical cases*, Nonlinear Anal., **64**, 7 (2006), 1543–1561.
- [15] M. PENG, *Oscillation caused by impulses*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **255** (2001), 163–176.
- [16] M. PENG, W. GE, *Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear differential equations with impulses*, Comput. Math. Appl., **39** (2000), 217–255.
- [17] M. PENG, R.P. AGARWAL, *Oscillation theorems of second order nonlinear neutral delay differential equations under impulsive perturbations*, Indian. J. Pure. Appl. Math., **33** (2002), 1017–1029.
- [18] CH.G. PHILOS, *Oscillation theorems for linear differential equation of second order*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **53**, 5 (1989), 482–492.
- [19] Y.V. ROGOVCHENKO, *Oscillation criteria for certain nonlinear differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **229** (1999), 399–416.
- [20] Y.V. ROGOVCHENKO, *Oscillation of a second order nonlinear delay differential equations*, Funkcial. Ekvac., **43** (2000), 1–29.
- [21] F.S. SARYAL, A. ZAFER, *Oscillation of second order nonlinear impulsive delay differential equations*, Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal., **16**, 2 (2009), 221–231.
- [22] A. TIRYAKI, *Oscillation criteria for a certain second order nonlinear differential equations with deviating arguments*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., **61** (2009), 11 pp.
- [23] Q. YANG, L. YANG, S. ZHU, *Interval criteria for oscillation of second order nonlinear neutral differential equations*, Comput. Math. Appl., **46** (2003), 903–918.
- [24] J. YAN, *Oscillation properties of a second order impulsive delay differential equation*, Comput. Math. Appl., **47** (2004), 253–258.

- [25] Y. ZHANG, A. ZHAO, J. YAN, *Oscillation criteria for impulsive delay differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **205** (1997), 461–470.
- [26] A. ZHAO, J. YAN, *Existence of positive solutions for delay differential equations with impulses*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **210** (1997), 667–678.

(Received December 23, 2011)

E. Thandapani
Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics
University of Madras
Chennai-600005, India
e-mail: ethandapani@yahoo.co.in

R. Sakthivel and E. Chandrasekaran
Presidency College
Chennai-600 005, India
e-mail: r_s_vel@yahoo.com, e_chandrasekaran@yahoo.com