

SYSTEMS OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS INVOLVING MULTIPLE INVERSE-SQUARE POTENTIALS AND CRITICAL EXPONENTS

DONGSHENG KANG AND XIAOFENG SHEN

(Communicated by Chun-Lei Tang)

Abstract. In this paper, a system of elliptic equations is investigated, which involves multiple critical Sobolev exponents and singular points. The best Sobolev constant related to the system is studied, which is verified to be independent of the location of singular points. By a variant of the concentration compactness principle and the mountain-pass argument, the existence of positive solutions to the system is proved. At last, the existence of sign-changing solutions to the system is also established on the basis of the mountain-pass-type positive solutions.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the following system of elliptic equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i u}{|x - a_i|^2} = \frac{\sigma \alpha}{2^*} |u|^{\alpha-2} |v|^\beta u + |u|^{2^*-2} u + \sigma_1 u + \sigma_2 v, \\ -\Delta v - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\mu_i v}{|x - b_i|^2} = \frac{\sigma \beta}{2^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^{\beta-2} v + |v|^{2^*-2} v + \sigma_2 u + \sigma_3 v, \\ u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$) is a bounded domain with the smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_i, b_i \in \Omega, \lambda_i, \mu_i < \bar{\lambda} := \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2, 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ \sigma \geq 0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha, \beta > 1, \alpha + \beta = 2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}, \end{aligned}$$

$H_0^1(\Omega) =: H$ denotes the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \cdot|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

$\bar{\lambda}$ is the best Hardy constant and 2^* is the critical Sobolev exponent.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 35B33, 35J60.

Keywords and phrases: elliptic system, solution, critical exponent, Hardy inequality, variational method.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(No.10771219).

Define the functional of (1.1) on the product space $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) =: H \times H$ by

$$J(u, v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\lambda_i u^2}{|x - a_i|^2} + \frac{\mu_i v^2}{|x - b_i|^2} \right) \right) dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_1 u^2 + 2\sigma_2 uv + \sigma_3 v^2) dx.$$

Then $J \in C^1(H \times H, \mathbb{R})$ and $(u_0, v_0) \in H \times H$ is said to be a solution of (1.1) if

$$(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0), \quad \langle J'(u_0, v_0), (\varphi, \phi) \rangle = 0, \quad \forall (\varphi, \phi) \in H \times H,$$

where $J'(u_0, v_0)$ denotes the Fréchet derivative of J at (u_0, v_0) . A solution of (1.1) is equivalent to a nonzero critical point of J , and standard elliptic argument shows that

$$u_0, v_0 \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \{a_i, b_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{a_i, b_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}). \tag{1.2}$$

By (1.2), the singularities of u_0 and v_0 may occur at the points a_i and $b_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$.

To study (1.1), the following Hardy inequality is used ([13]):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^2}{|x - a|^2} dx \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), a \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) =: D$ be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \cdot|^2 dx)^{1/2}$. Then the following best constant is well defined by (1.3):

$$S(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda \frac{u^2}{|x - a|^2}) dx}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}, \quad \lambda < \bar{\lambda}, a \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.4}$$

For all $\lambda \in [0, \bar{\lambda})$, $S(\lambda)$ is achieved by the extremal functions ([23]):

$$V_{\lambda, \varepsilon}^\alpha(x) := \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U_\lambda(\varepsilon^{-1}|x - a|), \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, \bar{\lambda}), \varepsilon > 0, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$U_\lambda(x) = \left(\frac{4N(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)}{N - 2} \right)^{\frac{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}}}{2}} \left(|x| \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}} - \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda}}{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}}} + |x| \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}} + \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda}}{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}}} \right) - \sqrt{\bar{\lambda}}.$$

Similarly, for all $\lambda, \mu \in (-\infty, \bar{\lambda})$, $\sigma \in [0, \infty)$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, by the Hardy, Young and Sobolev inequalities, the following best constants are well defined on the space $\mathcal{D} := (D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\})^2$:

$$S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) := \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\lambda u^2}{|x - a|^2} - \frac{\mu v^2}{|x - b|^2} \right) dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\bar{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu) := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\lambda u^2 + \mu v^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}. \tag{1.7}$$

The relations between two constants are verified in Theorem 1 of this paper.

In recent years, much attention has been paid to the singular problems involving the Hardy inequality and critical exponents, and many important conclusions have been established (e.g. [1], [6], [7], [9], [10], [12], [16], [18], [23] and the references therein). On the other hand, the elliptic systems involving the Hardy inequality have been also studied, several results can be found (e.g. [2], [4], [14], [15], [17], [21]), and many challenging topics remain open. Therefore it is necessary for us to investigate the related singular systems deeply.

To continue, we define

$$Q_1(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\lambda_i u^2}{|x - a_i|^2} + \frac{\mu_i v^2}{|x - b_i|^2} \right) \right) dx, \tag{1.8}$$

$$Q_2(u, v) := (u, v)A(u, v)^T = \sigma_1 u^2 + 2\sigma_2 uv + \sigma_3 v^2, \quad A := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\bar{\Lambda}_1 := \inf_{(u,v) \in H \times H \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{Q_1(u, v)}{\int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v^2) dx}.$$

Some assumptions are needed in this paper:

$$(\mathcal{H}_1) \quad N \geq 3, \quad k \geq 2, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k < \bar{\lambda}, \quad \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_k < \bar{\lambda}, \\ a_i, b_i \in \Omega, \quad a_i \neq a_j, \quad b_i \neq b_j, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, \quad i \neq j, \quad \alpha, \beta > 1, \quad \alpha + \beta = 2^*,$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k, \lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i < \bar{\lambda}, \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k, \mu_i > 0} \mu_i < \bar{\lambda}.$$

$$(\mathcal{H}_2) \quad \sigma_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \sigma_1 \sigma_3 - \sigma_2^2 > 0, \quad 0 < \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 < \bar{\Lambda}_1, \quad \text{where } \theta_1 \text{ and } \theta_2 \\ \text{are the eigenvalues of the matrix } A.$$

Under (\mathcal{H}_1) and (\mathcal{H}_2) , $Q_1(u, v)$ and $Q_2(u, v)$ are positive definite. Furthermore,

$$\theta_1(u^2 + v^2) \leq Q_2(u, v) \leq \theta_2(u^2 + v^2), \quad \forall u, v \in H.$$

Define

$$f(\tau) := \frac{1 + \tau^2}{(1 + \sigma \tau^\beta + \tau^{\alpha+\beta})^{\frac{2}{\alpha+\beta}}}, \quad \tau \geq 0, \tag{1.10}$$

$$f(\tau_{\min}) := \min_{\tau \geq 0} f(\tau) > 0, \tag{1.11}$$

where $\tau_{\min} \geq 0$ is the unique minimal point of $f(\tau)$, $\tau \geq 0$. When $\sigma = 0$, we have $\tau_{\min} = 0$ and $f(\tau_{\min}) = 1$.

The main results of this paper are summarized in the following theorems. To the best of our knowledge, the conclusions are new.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $\lambda, \mu < \bar{\lambda}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\sigma \geq 0$, $\alpha, \beta > 1, \alpha + \beta = 2^*$. Then the best constant $S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu)$ defined in (1.6) is independent of the singular points a and b in the sense that,*

- (i) $S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu), \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall \lambda, \mu \in [0, \bar{\lambda});$
- (ii) $S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, 0), \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N, a \neq b, \forall \lambda \in [0, \bar{\lambda}), \mu \in (-\infty, 0],$
 $S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(0, \mu), \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N, a \neq b, \forall \lambda \in (-\infty, 0], \mu \in [0, \bar{\lambda});$
- (iii) $S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu), \forall a = b \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall \lambda, \mu \in (-\infty, \bar{\lambda});$
- (iv) $S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(0, 0), \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall \lambda, \mu \in (-\infty, 0].$

Theorem 1 reveals that $S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu)$ depends closely on λ and μ , and is independent of the singular points a and b . The result is crucial when establishing a local $(PS)_c$ condition of the functional J by the concentration compactness arguments.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that (\mathcal{H}_1) and (\mathcal{H}_2) hold, $N \geq 4, 0 \leq \lambda_k = \mu_k \leq \bar{\lambda} - 1, a_k = b_k$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 > 0$, where*

$$\mathcal{C}_1 := \sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2\tau_{\min} + \sigma_3(\tau_{\min})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{|a_i - a_k|^2} + \frac{(\tau_{\min})^2 \mu_i}{|b_i - b_k|^2} \right).$$

Then the problem (1.1) has a positive solution.

Theorem 2 is verified by the Mountain-Pass theorem and reveals that, the existence of mountain-pass-type positive solutions to (1.1) depends mainly on the location and strength of the singular points $a_i, b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The condition $a_k = b_k$ and $\lambda_k = \mu_k \in [0, \bar{\lambda})$ means that, to ensure the existence results, the singularities of a_k and b_k must be exactly the same. Note that the condition $\mathcal{C}_1 > 0$ can be satisfied easily, and the location and strength of the singular points $a_i, b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, can be chosen arbitrarily under the condition $\mathcal{C}_1 > 0$.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that (\mathcal{H}_1) and (\mathcal{H}_2) hold, $N \geq 7, 0 \leq \lambda_k = \mu_k \leq \bar{\lambda} - 4, \sigma = 0, a_k = b_k$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 > 0$. Furthermore, assume that either $\mathcal{C}_2 > 0$ or $\mathcal{C}_3 > 0$, where*

$$\mathcal{C}_2 := \sigma_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_i}{|a_i - a_k|^2}, \quad \mathcal{C}_3 := \sigma_3 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\mu_i}{|b_i - b_k|^2}.$$

Then the problem (1.1) has a pair of sign-changing solutions.

Theorem 3 shows that, the existence of sign-changing solutions to (1.1) can be ensured when $\sigma = 0$, i.e., when the strongly-coupled terms $|u|^{\alpha-2}|v|^\beta u$ and $|u|^\alpha|v|^{\beta-2}v$ disappear in (1.1). If $\sigma > 0$ and the strongly-coupled terms appear in (1.1), the sign-changing solutions of (1.1) could not be ensured (e.g. [21]).

When $k = 1$ in (1.1), the existence of both positive and sign-changing solutions has been established in [15] under the condition $a_1 = b_1, \lambda_1 = \mu_1$. However, when

$k \geq 2$ and there are multiple singular points in (1.1), some new questions appear, such as the independence of $S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu)$ with respect to the singular points a and b , and the variant of concentration compactness principle for elliptic systems. In this paper, we overcome all these difficulties and establish the existence results. Our ideas and technics are new and can be applied to the related elliptic systems.

This paper is organized as follows: Theorem 1 and some preliminary results are verified in Section 2, Theorem 2 is proved in Section 3 and Theorem 3 is established in Section 4. In the following argument, $\|u\| = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{1/2}$ denotes the norm of the space H , $\|(u, v)\|_{H \times H} = (\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2)^{1/2}$ is the norm of the space $H \times H$, $O(\varepsilon^t)$ denotes the quantity satisfying $|O(\varepsilon^t)|/\varepsilon^t \leq C$, $o(\varepsilon^t)$ means $|o(\varepsilon^t)|/\varepsilon^t \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $o(1)$ is a generic infinitesimal value. In particular, the quantity $O_1(\varepsilon^t)$ means that there exist the constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 \varepsilon^t \leq O_1(\varepsilon^t) \leq C_2 \varepsilon^t$ as ε small. We always denote positive constants as C and omit dx in integrals for convenience.

2. Palais-Smale condition and the best constant

We first verify that the best constant $S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu)$ defined in (1.6) is independent of the singular points a and b , and establish Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We need to consider several cases.

(i) $\lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0, a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

For all $w \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $w \geq 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , let $w^*(x)$ be the Schwarz symmetrization of w , i.e.

$$w^*(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : |\{y \in \mathbb{R}^N, w(y) > t\}| \leq \omega_N |x|^N\}.$$

Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N, u, v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u, v \geq 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . From Corollary 21.7 and Theorem 21.8 in [26] it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^*(x)|^\alpha |v^*(x)|^\beta, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^*(x)|^{2^*}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v^*(x)|^{2^*}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x-a|^2} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^*(x)|^2 \left(\left(\frac{1}{|x-a|} \right)^* \right)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u^*(x)|^2}{|x|^2}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v^2}{|x-a|^2} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v^*(x)|^2 \left(\left(\frac{1}{|x-a|} \right)^* \right)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v^*(x)|^2}{|x|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\left(\frac{1}{|x-\xi|} \right)^* = \frac{1}{|x|}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. From the Pólya-Szegő inequality it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^*|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v^*|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2.$$

Therefore, for all $u, v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u, v \geq 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\lambda u^2}{|x-a|^2} - \frac{\mu v^2}{|x-b|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ & \geq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u^*|^2 + |\nabla v^*|^2 - \frac{\lambda |u^*|^2 + \mu |v^*|^2}{|x|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u^*|^{2^*} + |v^*|^{2^*} + \sigma |u^*|^\alpha |v^*|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \geq \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Note that the Rayleigh quotient above remains unchanged when replacing u and v with $|u|$ and $|v|$ respectively. Then

$$S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}, u, v \geq 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\lambda u^2}{|x-a|^2} - \frac{\mu v^2}{|x-b|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^{2^*} + v^{2^*} + \sigma u^\alpha v^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}},$$

which together with (2.1) implies that

$$S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) \geq \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu). \quad (2.2)$$

For all $u, v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the rescaled functions

$$u_\rho(x) := \rho^{\frac{2-N}{2}} u(x/\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\rho(x) := \rho^{\frac{2-N}{2}} v(x/\rho)$$

satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_\rho(x)|^2 + |\nabla v_\rho(x)|^2 - \frac{\lambda u_\rho(x)^2}{|x-a|^2} - \frac{\mu v_\rho(x)^2}{|x-b|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_\rho(x)|^{2^*} + |v_\rho(x)|^{2^*} + \sigma |u_\rho(x)|^\alpha |v_\rho(x)|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ & = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + |\nabla v(x)|^2 - \frac{\lambda u(x)^2}{|x-\frac{a}{\rho}|^2} - \frac{\mu v(x)^2}{|x-\frac{b}{\rho}|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u(x)|^{2^*} + |v(x)|^{2^*} + \sigma |u(x)|^\alpha |v(x)|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}, \\ & = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + |\nabla v(x)|^2 - \frac{\lambda u(x)^2}{|x|^2} - \frac{\mu v(x)^2}{|x|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u(x)|^{2^*} + |v(x)|^{2^*} + \sigma |u(x)|^\alpha |v(x)|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} + o(1), \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ and together with the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\lambda u^2 + \mu v^2}{|x|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \geq S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu), \quad \forall u, v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which implies that

$$\bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) \geq S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu). \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that

$$S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu). \quad (2.4)$$

(ii) $\lambda \geq 0, \mu < 0, a \neq b$.

Arguing as above, for all $u, v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u, v \geq 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\lambda u^2}{|x-a|^2} - \frac{\mu v^2}{|x-b|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ & \geq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u^*|^2 + |\nabla v^*|^2 - \lambda \frac{|u^*|^2}{|x|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u^*|^{2^*} + |v^*|^{2^*} + \sigma |u^*|^\alpha |v^*|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \geq \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, 0), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu) \geq \bar{S}_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, 0). \quad (2.5)$$

Arguing as in case (i), for all $u, v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the rescaled functions

$$u_\rho(x) := \rho^{\frac{2-N}{2}} u(x/\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\rho(x) := \rho^{\frac{2-N}{2}} v(x/\rho)$$

satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u_\rho(x-a)|^2 + |\nabla v_\rho(x-a)|^2 - \frac{\lambda u_\rho(x-a)^2}{|x-a|^2} - \frac{\mu v_\rho(x-a)^2}{|x-b|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_\rho(x-a)|^{2^*} + |v_\rho(x-a)|^{2^*} + \sigma |u_\rho(x-a)|^\alpha |v_\rho(x-a)|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ & = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + |\nabla v(x)|^2 - \frac{\lambda u(x)^2}{|x|^2} - \frac{\mu v(x)^2}{|x + \frac{a-b}{\rho}|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u(x)|^{2^*} + |v(x)|^{2^*} + \sigma |u(x)|^\alpha |v(x)|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ & = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + |\nabla v(x)|^2 - \lambda \frac{u(x)^2}{|x|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} + o(1), \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and by the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \lambda \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \geq S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu), \quad \forall u, v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which implies that

$$\bar{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, 0) \geq S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu). \tag{2.6}$$

Then from (2.5) and (2.6) it follows that

$$S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, 0). \tag{2.7}$$

Similarly, if $\lambda < 0, \mu \geq 0, a \neq b$, arguing as above we have that

$$S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(0, \mu). \tag{2.8}$$

(iii) $\lambda, \mu \in (-\infty, \bar{\lambda}), a = b \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

For all $u, v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, set $\bar{u}(x) = u(x+a)$ and $\bar{v}(x) = v(x+a)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\lambda u^2 + \mu v^2}{|x-a|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla \bar{u}|^2 + |\nabla \bar{v}|^2 - \frac{\lambda \bar{u}^2 + \mu \bar{v}^2}{|x|^2} \right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\bar{u}|^{2^*} + |\bar{v}|^{2^*} + \sigma |\bar{u}|^\alpha |\bar{v}|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \geq \bar{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu) \geq \bar{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu)$. Similarly,

$$\bar{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu) \geq S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu).$$

Then

$$S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu). \tag{2.9}$$

(iv) $\lambda, \mu \in (-\infty, 0], a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

In this case, the argument is similar with that of case (ii), and the first part is almost the same. In the second part, we only need to use the rescaling functions $u_\rho(x-c)$ and $v_\rho(x-c)$ such that $c \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a, b\}$. Then we have that

$$S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \mu) = \bar{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(0, 0). \tag{2.10}$$

The proof is complete according to (2.4) and (2.7)-(2.10). \square

LEMMA 1. (see [15, Theorem 1.1]) *Suppose that (\mathcal{H}_1) holds. Then*

- (i) $S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \lambda) = f(\tau_{\min})S(\lambda)$, $S_{0,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = S(\lambda)$, $\forall \lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$.
- (ii) $S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \lambda) = S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(0) = f(\tau_{\min})S(0)$, $S_{0,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, \lambda) = S(0)$, $\forall \lambda \in (-\infty, 0]$.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that (\mathcal{H}_1) and (\mathcal{H}_2) hold. Then the functional J satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c < c^* = \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{S}^{N/2}$, where*

$$\mathcal{S} := \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(0, 0), S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_i, 0), S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(0, \mu_i), \\ S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_i, \mu_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k \end{array} \right\}. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Suppose that the sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset H \times H$ satisfies

$$J(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c < c^*, \quad J'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } (H \times H)^{-1},$$

where $(H \times H)^{-1}$ is the dual space of $H \times H$. It is standard to show that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in $H \times H$. Up to a subsequence and for some $(u, v) \in H \times H$ we have

$$(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u, v) \text{ weakly in } H \times H, \quad (u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega,$$

$$(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) \text{ strongly in } L^{q_1}(\Omega) \times L^{q_2}(\Omega), \quad \forall q_1, q_2 \in [1, 2^*).$$

By a result of [17], which is a direct application of Lions' concentration compactness principle ([19], [20]) to systems of elliptic equations, and up to a subsequence (still denoted by $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$), there exists an at most countable set \mathcal{J} , a set of points $x_j \in \Omega \setminus \{a_i, b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$, real numbers $\rho_{x_j}, v_{x_j}, j \in \mathcal{J}$, and $\rho_{a_i}, v_{a_i}, \gamma_{a_i}, \tilde{\rho}_{b_i}, \tilde{v}_{b_i}, \tilde{\gamma}_{b_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, such that the following convergences hold in the sense of measures:

$$|\nabla u_n|^2 + |\nabla v_n|^2 \rightharpoonup d\rho \geq |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k (\rho_{a_i} \delta_{a_i} + \tilde{\rho}_{b_i} \delta_{b_i}) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \rho_{x_j} \delta_{x_j},$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |u_n|^{2^*} + |v_n|^{2^*} + \sigma |u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta \\ \rightarrow d\nu = |u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta + \sum_{i=1}^k (v_{a_i} \delta_{a_i} + \tilde{v}_{b_i} \delta_{b_i}) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} v_{x_j} \delta_{x_j}, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_i \frac{u_n^2}{|x - a_i|^2} \rightarrow d\gamma_{a_i} = \lambda_i \frac{u^2}{|x - a_i|^2} + \gamma_{a_i} \delta_{a_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \\ \mu_i \frac{v_n^2}{|x - b_i|^2} \rightarrow d\tilde{\gamma}_{b_i} = \mu_i \frac{v^2}{|x - b_i|^2} + \tilde{\gamma}_{b_i} \delta_{b_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \end{array} \right.$$

where δ_x is the Dirac mass at x .

- (i) We first consider the concentration at $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{a_i, b_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$, $j \in \mathcal{J}$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, take $\phi_\varepsilon^j \in C_0^\infty(B_\varepsilon(x_j))$ such that $\phi_\varepsilon^j = 1$ in $B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_j)$, $0 \leq \phi_\varepsilon^j \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \phi_\varepsilon^j| \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon}$ in $B_\varepsilon(x_j)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (u_n \phi_\varepsilon^j, v_n \phi_\varepsilon^j) \rangle \\ &= \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_n|^2 + |\nabla v_n|^2) \phi_\varepsilon^j - \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\lambda_i u_n^2}{|x - a_i|^2} + \frac{\mu_i v_n^2}{|x - b_i|^2} \right) \phi_\varepsilon^j \\ & \quad + \int_\Omega (u_n \nabla u_n + v_n \nabla v_n) \nabla \phi_\varepsilon^j - \int_\Omega (\sigma_1 u_n^2 + 2\sigma_2 u_n v_n + \sigma_3 v_n^2) \phi_\varepsilon^j \\ & \quad - \int_\Omega (|u_n|^{2^*} + |v_n|^{2^*} + \sigma |u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta) \phi_\varepsilon^j. \end{aligned}$$

Standard argument shows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega (u_n \nabla u_n + v_n \nabla v_n) \nabla \phi_\varepsilon^j = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_n|^2 + |\nabla v_n|^2) \phi_\varepsilon^j = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \phi_\varepsilon^j d\rho \geq \rho_{x_j}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega (|u_n|^{2^*} + |v_n|^{2^*} + \sigma |u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta) \phi_\varepsilon^j = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \phi_\varepsilon^j d\nu = \nu_{x_j}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \left(\frac{\lambda_i u_n^2}{|x - a_i|^2} + \frac{\mu_i v_n^2}{|x - b_i|^2} \right) \phi_\varepsilon^j \\ = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} O_1 \left(\int_\Omega (u_n^2 + v_n^2) \phi_\varepsilon^j \right) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega (\sigma_1 u_n^2 + 2\sigma_2 u_n v_n + \sigma_3 v_n^2) \phi_\varepsilon^j = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.12)-(2.16) it follows that

$$0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (u_n \phi_\varepsilon^j, v_n \phi_\varepsilon^j) \rangle \geq \rho_{x_j} - \nu_{x_j}. \quad (2.17)$$

The Sobolev inequality implies that

$$S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(0, 0)(\nu_{x_j})^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \rho_{x_j}, \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J}. \quad (2.18)$$

By (2.17) and (2.18), we deduce that

$$\nu_{x_j} = 0 \text{ or } \nu_{x_j} \geq (S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(0, 0))^{N/2}, \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \quad (2.19)$$

which implies that the set \mathcal{J} is finite.

(ii) Next we consider the concentration at the points a_i and b_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$).

If $a_i = b_i$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, take $\phi_\varepsilon^i(x) \in C_0^\infty(B_\varepsilon(a_i))$ such that $\phi_\varepsilon^i(x) = 1$ in $B_{\varepsilon/2}(a_i)$, $0 \leq \phi_\varepsilon^i(x) \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \phi_\varepsilon^i| \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon}$ in $B_\varepsilon(a_i)$. Then arguing as in (i) we have

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (u_n \phi_\varepsilon^i, v_n \phi_\varepsilon^i) \rangle \\ \geq \rho_{a_i} + \tilde{\rho}_{a_i} - (\gamma_{a_i} + \tilde{\gamma}_{a_i}) - (\nu_{a_i} + \tilde{\nu}_{a_i}). \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

By (1.6) we have

$$S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_i, \mu_i)(v_{a_i} + \tilde{v}_{a_i})^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \rho_{a_i} + \tilde{\rho}_{a_i} - (\gamma_{a_i} + \tilde{\gamma}_{a_i}). \tag{2.21}$$

From (2.20) and (2.21) it follows that

$$v_{a_i} = \tilde{v}_{a_i} = 0 \text{ or } v_{a_i} + \tilde{v}_{a_i} \geq (S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_i, \mu_i))^{N/2}. \tag{2.22}$$

If $a_i \neq b_i$, since \mathcal{J} is finite and $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \subset \Omega \setminus \{a_i, b_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$, we can take $\varepsilon > 0$ small such that $b_i, x_j \notin B_\varepsilon(a_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k, j \in \mathcal{J}$. Choose $\varphi_{a_i}(x) \in C_0^\infty(B_\varepsilon(a_i))$ such that $\varphi_{a_i}(x) = 1$ in $B_{\varepsilon/2}(a_i)$, $0 \leq \varphi_{a_i}(x) \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \varphi_{a_i}| \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon}$ in $B_\varepsilon(a_i)$. Then

$$0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (u_n \varphi_\varepsilon^i, v_n \varphi_\varepsilon^i) \rangle \geq \rho_{a_i} - \gamma_{a_i} - v_{a_i}. \tag{2.23}$$

By (1.6) we have

$$S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_i, 0)(v_{a_i})^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \rho_{a_i} - \gamma_{a_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k. \tag{2.24}$$

From (2.23) and (2.24) it follows that

$$v_{a_i} = 0 \text{ or } v_{a_i} \geq (S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_i, 0))^{N/2}. \tag{2.25}$$

Similarly, at the point $b_i (b_i \neq a_i)$, we deduce that

$$\tilde{v}_{b_i} = 0 \text{ or } \tilde{v}_{b_i} \geq (S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(0, \mu_i))^{N/2}. \tag{2.26}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} c &= J(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \int_\Omega (|u_n|^{2^*} + |v_n|^{2^*} + \sigma |u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta) + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\int_\Omega (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^k (v_{a_i} + \tilde{v}_{b_i}) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} v_{x_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $c < c^*$, from (2.19), (2.22), (2.25) and (2.26) it follows that

$$v_{a_i} = \tilde{v}_{b_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k; \quad v_{x_j} = 0, \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Up to a subsequence, $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$ strongly in $H \times H$. \square

Let $\rho > 0$ be a constant small enough and $V_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}(x)$ be the extremal defined as in (1.5). Set $u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}(x) = \psi_{a_k}(x) V_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}(x)$, where $\psi_{a_k}(x) \in C_0^\infty(B_\rho(a_k))$ is a cut-off function such that $\psi_{a_k}(x) \equiv 1$ in $B_{\rho/2}(a_k)$, $0 \leq \psi_{a_k} \leq 1$ and $\nabla \psi_{a_k} \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon}$ in $B_\rho(a_k)$.

LEMMA 3. (see [18, Theorem 1.1]) *As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}|^2 - \lambda_k \frac{|u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}|^2}{|x - a_k|^2} \right) &= S(\lambda_k)^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_k}), \\ \int_{\Omega} |u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}|^{2^*} &= S(\lambda_k)^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^{2^*} \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_k}), \\ \int_{\Omega} |u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^0|^2 &= \begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_{\lambda_k}(x)|^2 + o(\varepsilon^2), & \lambda_k < \bar{\lambda} - 1, \\ C_{\lambda_k}^2 \omega_N \varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^2), & \lambda_k = \bar{\lambda} - 1, \end{cases} \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^0|^2}{|x + \xi|^2} &= \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|\xi|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_{\lambda_k}(x)|^2 + o(\varepsilon^2), & \lambda_k < \bar{\lambda} - 1, \\ \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} C_{\lambda_k}^2 \omega_N \varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^2), & \lambda_k = \bar{\lambda} - 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, $C_{\lambda_k} = \left(\frac{4N(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_k)}{N-2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$ and ω_N is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N .

LEMMA 4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, there exists a pair of functions $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in H \times H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} J(t\tilde{u}, t\tilde{v}) < c^*$.*

Proof. Suppose that

$$N \geq 4, a_k = b_k, \lambda_k = \mu_k \in [0, \bar{\lambda} - 1], \mathcal{C}_1 > 0.$$

Since $S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda, \mu)$ is decreasing with respect to λ and μ , by (\mathcal{H}_1) we have that

$$c^* = \frac{1}{N} S_{\sigma, \alpha, \beta}(\lambda_k, \lambda_k).$$

Consider the function

$$g(t) = J(tu_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, t\tau_{\min} u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}).$$

Note that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) > 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $\sup_{t \geq 0} g(t)$ is attained at some finite $t_\varepsilon > 0$ with $g'(t_\varepsilon) = 0$. As ε small enough, from Lemma 3 we derive that $\bar{c}_1 < t_\varepsilon < \bar{c}_2$, where \bar{c}_1 and \bar{c}_2 are the positive constants independent of ε . Note that $\int_{\Omega} |u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^0|^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_i |u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_i}|^2}{|x - a_i|^2} + \frac{\mu_i (\tau_{\min})^2 |u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_i}|^2}{|x - b_i|^2} \right) \right. \\ &\left. = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_i |u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^0|^2}{|x + (a_i - a_k)|^2} + \frac{\mu_i (\tau_{\min})^2 |u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^0|^2}{|x + (b_i - b_k)|^2} \right), \right. \\ \max_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} A_1 - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} A_2 \right) &= \frac{1}{N} \left(A_1 A_2^{-\frac{2}{2^*}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}, \quad A_1 > 0, A_2 > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

If $0 \leq \lambda_k < \bar{\lambda} - 1$, from (2.27) and Lemmas 1 and 3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g(t_\varepsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{(1 + (\tau_{\min})^2)S(\lambda_k)^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}-\lambda_k}) - \mathcal{C}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_{\lambda_k}(x)|^2 \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2)}{(1 + \sigma(\tau_{\min})^\beta + (\tau_{\min})^{\alpha+\beta})^{\frac{2}{2^*}} (S(\lambda_k)^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^{2^*}\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}-\lambda_k}))^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} (f(\tau_{\min})S(\lambda_k))^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}-\lambda_k}) - O_1(\varepsilon^2) + o(\varepsilon^2) \\ &< \frac{1}{N} (S_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_k, \lambda_k))^{\frac{N}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq \lambda_k = \bar{\lambda} - 1$, we have that

$$g(t_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{N} (f(\tau_{\min})S(\lambda_k))^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}-\lambda_k}) - O_1(\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|) + O(\varepsilon^2) < c^*.$$

The proof is thus complete. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. For any $(u, v) \in H \times H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, from the Hardy and Sobolev inequalities it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u, v) &\geq C(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 - \|u\|^{2^*} - \|v\|^{2^*} - \|(u, v)\|_{H \times H}^{2^*}) \\ &\geq C\|(u, v)\|_{H \times H}^2 - C\|(u, v)\|_{H \times H}^{2^*}, \end{aligned}$$

and there exists a positive number ρ small enough such that

$$b := \inf_{\|(u,v)\|_{H \times H} = \rho} J(u, v) > 0 = J(0, 0).$$

Set $c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J(\gamma(t))$, where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H \times H) \mid \gamma(0) = (0, 0), J(\gamma(1)) < 0, \|\gamma(1)\| > \rho\}.$$

Since $J(tu, tv) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|(t_0u, t_0v)\|_{H \times H} > \rho \text{ and } J(t_0u, t_0v) < 0.$$

By the Mountain-Pass theorem ([3], [5]), there exists a sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset H \times H$ such that $J(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c$ and $J'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) be the testing functions obtained as in Lemma 4, then

$$0 < c \leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J(t t_0 \tilde{u}, t t_0 \tilde{v}) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J(t \tilde{u}, t \tilde{v}) < c^*.$$

From Lemma 2 it follows that there exists a subsequence of $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, still denoted by $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$, such that $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$ strongly in $H \times H$. We thus get a critical point (u, v) of J satisfying (1.1) and c is the corresponding critical value. Set $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$. In order to obtain positive solution of (1.1), replacing u and v by u^+ and v^+ respectively in the terms at the right hand side of equations in (1.1), and repeating the above process, we can get a nonnegative solution (u_0, v_0) of (1.1). By the maximum principle([24]), we obtain that $u_0, v_0 > 0$ in Ω . \square

3. Sign-changing solutions

Let (u_0, v_0) be the positive solution of (1.1) obtained as in Theorem 2 and set $c_0 := J(u_0, v_0)$. Then c_0 can be characterized by $c_0 = \min_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{B}} J(u, v)$ ([25]), where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &:= \left\{ (u, v) \mid (u, v) \in H \times H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}, (u, v) \geq 0, \langle J'(u, v), (u, v) \rangle = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (u, v) \mid (u, v) \in H \times H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}, (u, v) \geq 0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta})}{Q_1(u, v) - Q_2(u, v)} = 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and Q_1 and Q_2 are defined as in (1.8) and (1.9). Define the functional and sets

$$f(u, v) := \begin{cases} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*} + \sigma |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta})}{Q_1(u, v) - Q_2(u, v)}, & (u, v) \neq (0, 0), \\ 0, & (u, v) = (0, 0), \end{cases}$$

$$M := \{(u, v) \in H \times H \mid f(u^+, v^+) = f(u^-, v^-) = 1\},$$

$$N := \left\{ (u, v) \in H \times H \mid |f(u^+, v^+) - 1| < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |f(u^-, v^-) - 1| < \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

where $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$, $u^- = \max\{-u, 0\}$. Then it's not difficult to see that $M \neq \emptyset$. Arguing as in [8], let $\mathcal{P} = \{(u, v) \in H \times H \mid (u, v) \geq 0\}$ and Σ be the set of maps σ such that

- (i) $\sigma \in C(\mathcal{D}, H \times H)$, where $\mathcal{D} = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.
- (ii) $\sigma(s_1, 0) = 0$, $\sigma(0, s_2) \in \mathcal{P}$, $\sigma(1, s_2) \in -\mathcal{P}$, $\forall (s_1, s_2) \in D$.
- (iii) $(J \cdot \sigma)(s_1, 1) \leq 0$, $(f \cdot \sigma)(s_1, 1) \geq 2$, $\forall (s_1, s_2) \in D$.

We claim that $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. In fact, for any $(u, v) \in H \times H$ with $(u^+, v^+) \neq (0, 0)$ and $(u^-, v^-) \neq (0, 0)$, define

$$\sigma = \sigma(s_1, s_2) = ks_2(1 - s_1)(u^+, v^+) - ks_1s_2(u^-, v^-), \quad (s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{D}.$$

when $k > 0$ is large enough, it is easy to know that $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Let \bar{N} be the closure of N . We have the following Lemmas 4 and 5.

LEMMA 5. *There exists a sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \bar{N}$ such that*

$$J(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c_1 := \inf_{(u,v) \in M} J(u, v), \quad J'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore,

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sup_{(u,v) \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})} J(u, v) = \inf_{(u,v) \in M} J(u, v).$$

Proof. The argument is similar to [22] and the details are omitted. \square

LEMMA 6. *Suppose that (\mathcal{H}_1) and (\mathcal{H}_2) hold. Assume that $c_1 < c_0 + c^*$ and $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \bar{N}$ satisfies*

$$J(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c_1, \quad J'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is relatively compact in $H \times H$.

Proof. According to Lemma 2 and following the same lines as that of [22], we can get the desired result. The details are omitted. \square

LEMMA 7. (see[14, Theorem 1.2]) *Suppose that (\mathcal{H}_1) and (\mathcal{H}_2) hold,*

$$a_k = b_k, \lambda_k = \mu_k \in [0, \bar{\lambda}), \gamma'_k := \sqrt{\bar{\lambda}} - \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_k},$$

and $(u_0, v_0) \in H \times H$ is a positive solution of (1.1). Then there exist $\rho > 0$ small enough such that $B_\rho(a_k) \subset \Omega$ and

$$u_0(x) = O_1(|x - a_k|^{-\gamma'_k}), \quad v_0(x) = O_1(|x - a_k|^{-\gamma'_k}), \quad \forall x \in B_\rho(a_k) \setminus \{a_k\}.$$

LEMMA 8. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, we have $c_1 < c_0 + c^*$.*

Proof. We only verify the case $\mathcal{C}_2 > 0$. Another case $\mathcal{C}_3 > 0$ can be proved similarly and the details are omitted. Since $\sigma = 0$, by Lemma 1 we infer that $\tau_{\min} = 0$, $S_{0,\alpha,\beta} = S(\lambda_k)$ and therefore $c^* = \frac{1}{N}S(\lambda_k)^{\frac{N}{2}}$. According to Lemma 4, it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}} J(s_1(u_0, v_0) + s_2(u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, 0)) < c_0 + \frac{1}{N}S(\lambda_k)^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|s_1|+|s_2| \rightarrow 0} J(s_1(u_0, v_0) + s_2(u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, 0)) &= 0, \\ \lim_{|s_1|+|s_2| \rightarrow \infty} J(s_1(u_0, v_0) + s_2(u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, 0)) &= -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

we may assume $|s_1| = O_1(1)$ and $|s_2| = O_1(1)$. Since (u_0, v_0) is a positive solution of (1.1), we have

$$\langle J'(u_0, v_0), (u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, 0) \rangle = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u_0 \nabla u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i u_0 u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}}{|x - a_i|^2} - u_0^{2^*-1} u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k} - \sigma_1 u_0 u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k} - \sigma_2 v_0 u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k} \right) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

From (3.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & J(s_1(u_0, v_0) + s_2(u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, 0)) \\
 &= J(s_1 u_0, s_1 v_0) + J(s_2 u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, 0) \\
 &\quad + s_1 s_2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u_0 \nabla u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i u_0 u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}}{|x - a_i|^2} - \sigma_1 u_0 u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k} - \sigma_2 v_0 u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} (|s_1 u_0|^{2^*} + |s_2 u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}|^{2^*} - |s_1 u_0 + s_2 u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}|^{2^*}) \\
 &\leq J(s_1(u_0, v_0)) + J(s_2(u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, 0)) + C \int_{\Omega} (u_0 (u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k})^{2^*-1} + u_0^{2^*-1} u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}), \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the following inequality is used:

$$| |a + b|^q - |a|^q - |b|^q | \leq C (|a|^{q-1} |b| + |a| |b|^{q-1}), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad q \geq 1.$$

By Lemma 6 and arguing as in [15], we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{2^*-1} u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k} = O(\varepsilon \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_k}), \quad \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{2^*-1} u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k} = O(\varepsilon \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_k}). \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_2 > 0$ be defined as in Theorem 3. Note that

$$0 \leq \lambda_k < \bar{\lambda} - 4 \iff \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_k} > 2, \quad 0 < \mathcal{C}_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_{\lambda_k}(x)|^2 < \infty.$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and arguing as in Lemma 4, from (3.2) and (3.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}} J(s_1(u_0, v_0) + s_2(u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, 0)) \\
 &\leq \sup_{s_1 \in \mathbb{R}} J(s_1(u_0, v_0)) + \sup_{s_2 \in \mathbb{R}} J(s_2(u_{\lambda_k, \varepsilon}^{a_k}, 0)) + O(\varepsilon \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_k}) \\
 &\leq c_0 + \frac{1}{N} S(\lambda_k)^{\frac{N}{2}} - \mathcal{C}_2 \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_{\lambda_k}(x)|^2 + o(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon \sqrt{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_k}) \\
 &< c_0 + \frac{1}{N} S(\lambda_k)^{\frac{N}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. By Lemmas 5-8, there exists a sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \bar{N}$, such that

$$J(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c_1 < c_0 + \frac{1}{N} S(\lambda_k)^{\frac{N}{2}}, \quad J'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Passing to a subsequence if necessary, $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$ strongly in $H \times H$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore (u, v) is a critical point of J and solves (1.1). Since $(u_n, v_n) \in \bar{N}$, we deduce

that $(u, v) \in \bar{N}$. Furthermore, $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$. From the Hölder and Young inequalities it follows that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\|(u^+, v^+)\|_{H \times H} \geq \delta, \quad \|(u^-, v^-)\|_{H \times H} \geq \delta,$$

(u, v) is thus a sign-changing solution of (1.1) and $(-u, -v)$ is also a solution. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge the referee for carefully reading this paper and making many important comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. ABDELLAOUI, V. FELLI, I. PERAL, *Existence and nonexistence for quasilinear equations involving the p -Laplacian*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B, **9** (2006), 445–484.
- [2] B. ABDELLAOUI, V. FELLI, I. PERAL, *Some remarks on systems of elliptic equations doubly critical in the whole \mathbb{R}^N* , Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **34** (2009), 97–137.
- [3] A. AMBROSETTI, H. RABINOWITZ, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [4] M. BOUCHEKIF, Y. NASRI, *On a singular elliptic system at resonance*, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl., **189** (2010), 227–240.
- [5] H. BREZIS, L. NIRENBERG, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **36** (1983), 437–477.
- [6] D. CAO, P. HAN, *Solutions to critical elliptic equations with multi-singular inverse square potentials*, J. Differential Equations, **224** (2006), 332–372.
- [7] F. CATRINA, Z. WANG, *On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **54** (2001), 229–258.
- [8] G. CERAMI, S. SOLIMINI, M. STRUWE, *Some existence results for superlinear elliptic boundary value problems involving critical exponents*, J. Funct. Anal., **69** (1986), 289–306.
- [9] H. EGNELL, *Elliptic boundary value problems with singular coefficients and critical nonlinearities*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **38** (1989), 235–251.
- [10] V. FELLI, S. TERRACINI, *Elliptic equations with multi-singular inverse-square potentials and critical nonlinearity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **31** (2006), 469–495.
- [11] D. FIGUEIREDO, I. PERAL, J. ROSSI, *The critical hyperbola for a Hamiltonian elliptic system with weights*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **187** (2008), 531–545.
- [12] N. GHOUSSOUB, C. YUAN, *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **352** (2000), 5703–5743.
- [13] G. HARDY, J. LITTLEWOOD, G. POLYA, *Inequalities*, reprint of the 1952 edition, Cambridge Math. Lib., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [14] Y. HUANG, D. KANG, *Elliptic systems involving the critical exponents and potentials*, Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009), 3638–3653.
- [15] Y. HUANG, D. KANG, *On the singular elliptic systems involving multiple critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal., **74** (2011), 400–412.
- [16] E. JANNELLI, *The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems*, J. Differential Equations, **156** (1999), 407–426.
- [17] D. KANG, *Concentration compactness principles for the systems of critical elliptic equations*, Differ. Equ. Appl., **4** (2012), 435–444.
- [18] D. KANG, Y. HUANG, S. LIU, *Asymptotic estimates on the extremal functions of a quasilinear elliptic problem*, J. South-Central Univ. Natl. Nat. Sci. Ed., **27**(3) (2008), 91–95.
- [19] P.L. LIONS, *The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case (I)*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **1**(1) (1985), 145–201.
- [20] P. L. LIONS, *The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case (II)*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **1**(2) (1985), 45–121.

- [21] Z. LIU, P. HAN, *Existence of solutions for singular elliptic systems with critical exponents*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **69** (2008), 2968–2983.
- [22] Z. TANG, *Sign-changing solutions of critical growth nonlinear elliptic systems*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **64** (2006), 2480–2491.
- [23] S. TERRACINI, *On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent*, *Adv. Differential Equations*, **2** (1996), 241–264.
- [24] J. L. VAZQUEZ, *A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, *Appl. Math. Optimization*, **12** (1984), 191–202.
- [25] M. WILLEM, *Minimax Theorems*, PNLDE 24, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1996.
- [26] M. WILLEM, *Analyse fonctionnelle élémentaire*, Cassini Éditeurs, Paris, 2003.

(Received October 22, 2012)

Dongsheng Kang
School of Mathematics and Statistics
South-Central University for Nationalities
Wuhan 430074
P. R. China
e-mail: dongshengkang@yahoo.com.cn

Xiaofeng Shen
School of Mathematics and Statistics
South-Central University for Nationalities
Wuhan 430074
P. R. China
e-mail: shenxf201@163.com