

INEQUALITIES FOR ZEROS OF SOLUTIONS TO SECOND ORDER ODE WITH ONE SINGULAR POINT

MICHAEL GIL^{*}

(Communicated by Tingbin Cao)

Abstract. We consider the equation $y'' + P(z)y' + Q(z)y = 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}$), where

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_P} p_k z^{k-1} \text{ and } Q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_Q} q_k z^{k-2}$$

with real coefficients p_k, q_j ($k = 0, \dots, n_P; j = 0, \dots, n_Q; n_P, n_Q < \infty$).

Let $z_k(y), k = 1, 2, \dots$ be the nontrivial zeros of a solution $y(z)$ to that equation. Estimates for the sums $\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{|z_k(y)|}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) are derived. Applications of the obtained estimates to the counting function of the zeros of solutions are also discussed.

1. Introduction and statement of the main result

In the present paper, we investigate the complex zeros of solutions to the equation

$$y'' + P(z)y' + Q(z)y = 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_P} p_k z^{k-1} \text{ and } Q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_Q} q_k z^{k-2} \tag{1.2}$$

with real coefficients p_k, q_j ($k = 0, \dots, n_P; j = 0, \dots, n_Q; n_P, n_Q < \infty$). That is, equation (1.1) has one regular singular point at $z = 0$. It is possible that either $p_0 = 0$ or $q_0 = 0$. If both p_0 and q_0 are zero, then the equation does not have a singular point. It is well-known that the zeros of solutions of ODE play an essential role in mathematical physics, cf. [11]. The literature devoted to the zeros of solutions of equations without singular points is very rich. Here the main tool is the Nevanlinna theory. An excellent exposition of the Nevanlinna theory and its applications to differential equations is given in the book [13]. In connection with the recent results see the interesting papers [1, 2, 3, 14, 15, 18]. In the above cited works mainly the asymptotic distributions of zeros and counting functions of zeros are investigated. At the same time, bounds for the zeros of solutions are very important in various applications. But to the best of our

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 34C10, 34A30.

Keywords and phrases: ODE with a singular point, complex zeros of solutions, counting function, zero free domain.

knowledge, they have been investigated considerably less than the asymptotic distributions. In the paper [7] the author has established bounds for the sums of the zeros of solutions for the second order equations with polynomial coefficients. In the interesting paper [4], some results from [7] have been extended to the equation $u^{(m)} = P(z)u$, where P is a polynomial and $m > 2$. In [9] the main result from [7] is extended to the second order ODE with non-polynomial coefficients. In the paper [10] the results of the paper [7] have been extended to nonhomogeneous ODE. Perturbations of the zeros of solutions to second order differential equations with polynomial coefficients were investigated in the paper [9]. Certainly, we could not survey the whole subject here and refer the reader to the above listed publications and references given therein. It should be noted that, to the best of our knowledge, bounds for the sums of the complex zeros of solutions to ODE with singular points have not been obtained in the available literature. In the present paper we establish such bounds for solutions to equation (1.1). Some applications of these bounds are also discussed.

It is assumed that

$$(1 - p_0)^2 > 4q_0. \quad (1.3)$$

That is, the indicial equation

$$x(x - 1) + p_0x + q_0 = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

has real different roots. Below $z_k(f)$ are the zeros of a function f taken with the multiplicities are enumerated in order of increasing modulus: $|z_k(f)| \leq |z_{k+1}(f)|$. If $f(0) = 0$, then we enumerate the nontrivial zeros, only: $z_k(f) \neq 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Denote

$$\eta = (1 - p_0)/2 + [(1 - p_0)^2/4 - q_0]^{1/2}, \quad \rho = \max\{n_P, n_Q\}$$

and

$$B_0 = \sqrt{e} \left[\left(2 + \frac{1}{2\eta + p_0} \right) |\eta| \sum_{j=1}^{n_P} |p_j| + \frac{1}{2\eta + p_0} \sum_{k=1}^{n_Q} |q_k| \right].$$

Now we are in a position to formulate our main result.

THEOREM 1. *Under condition (1.3), the non-trivial zeros $z_k(y)$ of any solution y of (1.1) satisfy the inequalities*

$$\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{|z_k(y)|} \leq \sqrt{2} B_0^{1/\rho} \left[C_0 + \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1/\rho}} \right] \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where

$$C_0 := \exp \left[\frac{1}{2\rho} + \left(2 + \frac{1}{2\eta + p_0} \right) |\eta| \sum_{j=1}^{n_P} |p_j| \frac{(\rho - j)}{j\rho} + \frac{1}{2\eta + p_0} \sum_{k=1}^{n_Q} |q_k| \frac{(\rho - k)}{k\rho} \right].$$

The proof of this theorem is presented in the next section.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Applying the method of Frobenius, cf. [12, Chapter 16, p. 399], we seek a solution in the form

$$y(z) = z^\eta v(z), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$v(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k z^k.$$

Besides, $v_0 \neq 0$ and v_k can be found by the undefined coefficients method. Since the origin is the unique regular singular point, the Taylor series of v absolutely converges for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, [12, p. 399]. So $v(z)$ is an entire function. Substitute (2.1) into (1.1) and delete by z^η . Then we obtain the equation

$$v'' + \frac{2\eta}{z}v' + \frac{\eta(\eta - 1)}{z^2}v + P(z) \left(\frac{\eta}{z}v + v' \right) + Q(z)v = 0.$$

Put $P_1(z) = P(z) - p_0/z$ and $Q_1(z) = Q(z) - q_0/z^2$. Then

$$v'' + \frac{2\eta}{z}v' + \frac{\eta(\eta - 1)}{z^2}v + \left(P_1(z) + \frac{p_0}{z} \right) \left(\frac{\eta}{z}v + v' \right) + \left(Q_1(z) + q_0 \frac{\eta}{z^2} \right) v = 0.$$

Due to the indicial equation we obtain

$$v'' + (2\eta + p_0) \frac{v'}{z} + P_1(z)v' + \left(\frac{P_1(z)\eta}{z} + Q_1(z) \right) v = 0,$$

or

$$v'' + \xi \frac{v'}{z} + P_1(z)v' + F(z) \frac{v}{z} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $F(z) = P_1(z)\eta + Q_1(z)z$ is a polynomial, and

$$\xi := 2\eta + p_0 = 1 + [(1 - p_0)^2 - 4q_0]^{1/2} > 1.$$

Furthermore, for a fixed $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ with $z = re^{it}$ we can write

$$\frac{d^2v(z)}{dr^2} + \xi \frac{dv(z)}{rdr} + e^{it}P_1(z) \frac{dv(z)}{dr} + e^{it} \frac{F(z)v(z)}{r} = 0.$$

Take into account that

$$\exp \left[-\xi \int_s^r \frac{dr_1}{r_1} \right] = (s/r)^\xi.$$

Then for a $z_0 = r_0 e^{it} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c_1 = \frac{dv(z_0)}{dr}$ we arrive at the equation

$$\frac{dv(z)}{dr} + c_1 (r_0/r)^\xi + \int_{r_0}^r (s/r)^\xi P_1(se^{it}) \frac{dv(se^{it})}{ds} ds + \int_{r_0}^r (s/r)^\xi F(se^{it}) v(se^{it}) \frac{ds}{s} = 0.$$

Hence, letting $r_0 \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\frac{dv(z)}{dr} + \int_0^r (s/r)^\xi P_1(se^{it}) \frac{dv(se^{it})}{ds} ds + \int_0^r (s/r)^\xi F(se^{it}) v(se^{it}) \frac{ds}{s} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Integrating this equation, we obtain

$$v(z) - c_0 + J_1(z) + J_2(z) = 0 \quad (c_0 = v(0)), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$J_1(z) := \int_0^r \int_0^\tau (s/\tau)^\xi P_1(se^{it}) \frac{dv(se^{it})}{ds} ds d\tau,$$

and

$$J_2(z) := \int_0^r \int_0^\tau (s/\tau)^\xi F(se^{it}) v(se^{it}) \frac{ds}{s} d\tau.$$

Let

$$J_3(\tau) := \int_0^\tau s^\xi P_1(se^{it}) \frac{dv(se^{it})}{ds} ds.$$

Integrating by parts, we can write

$$J_3(\tau) = \tau^\xi P_1(\tau e^{it}) v(\tau e^{it}) - \int_0^\tau (s^\xi P_1(se^{it}))' v(se^{it}) ds.$$

Take into account that

$$|P_1(z)| \leq \hat{P}_1(r) := \sum_{k=1}^{np} |p_k| r^{k-1}, |zQ_1(z)| \leq \hat{Q}_1(r) := \sum_{k=1}^{nq} |q_k| r^{k-1},$$

and $|(s^\xi P_1(se^{it}))'| \leq (s^\xi \hat{P}_1(s))', s \geq 0$. Put $M_f(r) = \sup_{|z| \leq r} |f(z)|$ for a function $f(z)$. Then with $w(\tau) = M_v(\tau)$ we have

$$\left| \int_0^\tau (s^\xi P_1(se^{it}))' v(se^{it}) ds \right| \leq w(\tau) \hat{P}_1(\tau) \tau^\xi \quad (\tau > 0)$$

and, consequently, $|J_3(\tau)| \leq 2w(\tau) \hat{P}_1(\tau) \tau^\xi$. Therefore,

$$|J_1(z)| = \left| \int_0^r J_3(\tau) \tau^{-\xi} d\tau \right| \leq 2 \int_0^r \hat{P}_1(\tau) w(\tau) d\tau. \quad (2.5)$$

Furthermore, $|F(z)| \leq \hat{P}_1(r)|\eta| + \hat{Q}_1(r)r$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2(z)| &\leq \int_0^r w(\tau) (\hat{P}_1(\tau)|\eta| + \hat{Q}_1(\tau)\tau) \tau^{-\xi} \int_0^\tau s^{\xi-1} ds d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^r w(\tau) (\hat{P}_1(\tau)|\eta| + \hat{Q}_1(\tau)\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, due to (2.4) and (2.5),

$$w(r) \leq |c_0| + \int_0^r w(\tau) \left[\left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \hat{P}_1(\tau)|\eta| + \frac{1}{\xi} \hat{Q}_1(\tau)\tau \right] d\tau.$$

Now the Gronwall lemma implies

$$w(r) \leq |c_0| \exp \left[\int_0^r \left[\left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \hat{P}_1(\tau) |\eta| + \frac{1}{\xi} \hat{Q}_1(\tau) \tau \right] d\tau \right].$$

We thus have proved

LEMMA 1. Any solution y of (1.1) can be defined by

$$y(z) = z^\eta v(z), \tag{2.6}$$

where $v(z)$ is an entire function satisfying equation (2.2) and the inequality

$$M_v(r) \leq |v(0)| \exp \left[\int_0^r \left[\left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \hat{P}_1(\tau) |\eta| + \frac{1}{\xi} \hat{Q}_1(\tau) \right] d\tau \right].$$

Furthermore, put

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(r) &:= \int_0^r \left[\left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \hat{P}_1(\tau) |\eta| + \frac{1}{\xi} \hat{Q}_1(\tau) \tau \right] d\tau \\ &= |\eta| \left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n_P} |p_k| \frac{r^k}{k} + \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{k=1}^{n_Q} |q_k| \frac{r^k}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the Young inequality $ab \leq a^t/t + b^s/s$ ($a, b > 0; 1/s + 1/t = 1; t > 1$). By that inequality, with $s = \rho/k$, we have

$$r^k \leq \frac{1}{t} + \frac{r^{ks}}{s} = 1 - \frac{k}{\rho} + r^\rho \frac{k}{\rho}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(r) &\leq |\eta| \left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n_P} |p_k| (1/k - 1/\rho + r^\rho/\rho) + \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{k=1}^{n_Q} |q_k| (1/k - 1/\rho + r^\rho/\rho) \\ &= W_1 + B_1 r^\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W_1 := |\eta| \left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n_P} |p_k| (1/k - 1/\rho) + \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{k=1}^{n_Q} |q_k| (1/k - 1/\rho)$$

and

$$B_1 := |\eta| \left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{n_P} |p_k| + \frac{1}{\rho \xi} \sum_{k=1}^{n_Q} |q_k|.$$

Consequently, we arrive at

COROLLARY 1. A solution v of equation (2.2) satisfies the inequality

$$M_v(r) \leq |v(0)| e^{W_1} \exp[B_1 r^\rho]. \tag{2.7}$$

We need the following result proved in [10].

LEMMA 2. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function satisfying $f(0) = 1$ and

$$M_f(r) \leq D_f \exp [B_f r^{\rho_f}] \quad (D_f, B_f = \text{const} > 0; \rho_f \geq 1, r > 0). \quad (2.8)$$

Then its zeros satisfy the inequalities

$$\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{|z_k(f)|} \leq \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{e}B_f\rho_f)^{1/\rho_f} \left[D_f e^{1/(2\rho_f)} + \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1/\rho_f}} \right] \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof of Theorem 1: Due to Corollary 1 and the previous lemma we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{|z_k(v)|} \leq \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{e}B_1\rho)^{1/\rho} \left[e^{W_1+1/(2\rho)} + \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1/\rho}} \right] \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

But

$$\sqrt{e}B_1\rho = \sqrt{e} \left[|\eta| \left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n_P} |p_k| + \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{k=1}^{n_Q} |q_k| \right] = B_0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 + 1/(2\rho) &= \left(2 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right) |\eta| \sum_{k=1}^{n_P} |p_k| (1/k - 1/\rho) + \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{k=1}^{n_Q} |q_k| (1/k - 1/\rho) + 1/(2\rho) \\ &= \ln C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now (2.6) implies the required result. \square

3. Applications of Theorem 1

Again $y(z)$ is a solution of (1.1). Since the nontrivial zeros of $y(z)$ satisfy the inequality $|z_k(y)| \leq |z_{k+1}(y)|$, Theorem 1 implies

$$\frac{j}{|z_j(y)|} \leq \beta(B_0, \rho) \left[C_0 + \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1/\rho}} \right] \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $\beta(B_0, \rho) = \sqrt{2}B_0^{1/\rho}$.

Take into account that

$$\sum_{k=1}^j (k+1)^{-1/\rho} \leq \int_1^{j+1} \frac{dx}{x^{1/\rho}} = \frac{(1+j)^{1-1/\rho} - 1}{1-1/\rho} \quad (\rho > 1) \quad (3.1)$$

and denote by $v(f, a)$ ($a > 0$) the counting function of the nontrivial zeros of f in the disc $|z| \leq a$. We thus get

COROLLARY 2. Let condition (1.3) hold. Then with $\rho > 1$ and the notation

$$\theta_j(B_0, \rho) := \frac{j}{\beta(B_0, \rho) \left(C_0 + \frac{(1+j)^{1-1/\rho} - 1}{1-1/\rho} \right)},$$

the inequality $|z_j(y)| \geq \theta_j(B_0, \rho)$ holds and thus $v(B_0, a) \leq j$ for any positive $a \leq \theta_j(B_0, \rho)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$).

The just obtained results asserts that in the disc $|z| < \theta_1(B_0, \rho)$, y does not have nontrivial zeros. Furthermore, put $\vartheta_1 = \beta(B_0, \rho)(C_0 + 2^{-1/\rho})$ and $\vartheta_k = \beta(B_0, \rho)(k+1)^{-1/\rho}$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots$). Then Theorem 1 and [6, Lemma 1.2.1] yield

COROLLARY 3. Let $\psi(t)$ ($0 \leq t < \infty$) be a continuous convex scalar-valued function, such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and condition (1.3) hold. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^j \psi(|z_k(y)|^{-1}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^j \psi(\vartheta_k) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

In particular, for any $p \geq 1$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{|z_k(y)|^p} \leq \sum_{k=1}^j \vartheta_k^p \text{ and therefore } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z_k(y)|^p} < \infty, \text{ provided } p > \rho.$$

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to the referee of this article for his (her) really helpful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. ANGHEL, *Stieltjes-Calogero-Gil relations associated to entire functions of finite order*, J. of Mathem. Phys., **51**, no. 5 (2010) 251–262.
- [2] B. BELAIDI, *Oscillation of fast growing solutions of linear differential equations in the unit disc*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae Mathematica, **2**, no. 1 (2010), 25–38.
- [3] T. B. CAO AND H. X. YI, *On the complex oscillation theory of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients in the unit disc*, Acta Math. Sci., **28A (6)** (2008), 1046–1057.
- [4] T. B. CAO, LIU KAI AND XU HONG-YAN, *Bounds for the sums of zeros of solutions of $u(m) = P(z)u$ where P is a polynomial*. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **no. 60**, (2011), 10 pp.
- [5] YU L. DALECKII AND M.G. KREIN, *Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. 1971.
- [6] M.I. GIL', *Localization and Perturbation of Zeros of Entire Functions*, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, New York, 2010.
- [7] M.I. GIL', *Bounds for zeros of solutions of second order differential equations with polynomial coefficients*, Results Math., **59** (2011), 115–124.
- [8] M.I. GIL', *Perturbation of zeros of solutions to second order differential equations with polynomial coefficients*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, **32 (3)**, (2012), 1083–1092.
- [9] M.I. GIL', *Sums of zeros of solutions to second order ODE with non-polynomial coefficients*, Electron. J. Diff. Equ., **Vol. 2012** (2012), no. 107, 1–8.
- [10] M.I. GIL', *Sums of zeros of solutions to non-homogeneous ODE with polynomial coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **421**, no. 2, (2015), 1917–1924.

- [11] E. HILLE, *Lectures on Ordinary Differential Equations*, Addison Wesley Publishing Company, Ontario, 1969.
- [12] E.L. INCE, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Dover Publ., New York, 1978.
- [13] I. LAINE, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, Walter de Gruyter Berlin, 1993
- [14] Z. LATREUCH, B. BELAIDI AND A. EL FARISSI, *Complex oscillation of differential polynomials in the unit disc*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, **66** (1), (2013), 45–60.
- [15] G.M. MUMINOV, *On the zeros of solutions of the differential equation $\omega^{(2m)} + p(z)\omega = 0$* . Demonstr. Math., **35**, no. 1 (2002), 41–48.
- [16] F. PENG AND Z. X. CHEN, *On the growth of solutions of some second-order differential equations*, J. Ineq. Appl., **2011** (2011), 1–9.
- [17] J. TU AND Z. X. CHEN, *Zeros of solutions of certain second order linear differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **332**, no. 1 (2007), 279–291.
- [18] J. F. XU AND H. X. YI, *Solutions of higher order linear differential equations in an angle*, Appl. Math. Letters, **22**, no. 4 (2009), 484–489.

(Received July 31, 2015)

(Revised November 30, 2015)

Michael Gil'
Department of Mathematics
Ben Gurion University of the Negev
P.O. Box 653, Beer-Sheva 84105
Israel
e-mail: gilmi@bezeqint.net