

## EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR AN ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM VIA A GLOBAL MINIMIZATION THEOREM ON HILBERT SPACES

SOUAD AYADI, TOUFIK MOUSSAOUI AND DONAL O’REGAN

(Communicated by Qihu Zhang)

*Abstract.* We present a new global minimization theorem on Hilbert spaces which is different from the one in Hofer [7] using the notion of a nonexpansive potential operator. An example is given to illustrate our result.

### 1. Introduction

In [12] the link between a fixed point of a potential operator and a global minimum of some energy functional was established. Usually a minimization theory is based on the Palais-Smale condition (see, [6, 7]). In this paper we present a minimization theorem using nonexpansive potential operator theory in Hilbert spaces and our proof is based on a fixed point approach.

Let  $(H, (\cdot, \cdot))$  be a real Hilbert space. An operator  $T : H \longrightarrow H$  is called a potential operator (or gradient operator) on  $H$ , if there exists a Gâteaux differentiable functional  $\varphi : H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{Grad}\varphi(x) = T(x)$ , for all  $x \in H$  i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(x+th) - \varphi(x)}{t} = (T(x), h) \quad \forall x, h \in H.$$

Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the scalar product on  $H$  and  $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{(\cdot, \cdot)}$  the norm. Consider the functional,

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 - \int_0^1 (T(sx), x) ds$$

for all  $x \in H$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** [12]. The fixed points of  $T$  agree with the global minima of the functional  $\varphi$ .

In our main result, we need the following fixed point theorem.

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 74G65, 46C05, 47H09, 47G40.

*Keywords and phrases:* minimization theorem, Hilbert spaces, nonexpansive potential operator, BVP.

**THEOREM 1.** [2] (*Browder Theorem*) *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  a nonempty closed convex bounded subset of  $H$ . Then every nonexpansive mapping  $F : C \rightarrow C$  has a fixed point in  $C$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** [11] (*Leray-Schauder type theorem*) *Let  $U$  be an open bounded subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $0 \in U$  and  $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow H$  a nonexpansive map. Assume*

$$\lambda F(u) \neq u \text{ for all } u \in \partial U \text{ and } \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

*Then  $F$  has at least one fixed point in  $U$ .*

Now, we recall some concepts from critical point theory.

**DEFINITION 1.** [8]. Let  $\varphi \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ . If any sequence  $(u_n) \subset H$  for which  $(\varphi(u_n))$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  in  $H'$  possesses a convergent subsequence, then we say that  $\varphi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition ((PS) condition for short).

**PROPOSITION 2.** [6, 7]. Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space and let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1(H, \mathbb{R})$  satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $H$ . Suppose that  $T = I - \varphi'$  maps  $C$  into  $C$  and that  $\varphi$  is bounded below in  $C$ . Then, there is a  $u_0 \in C$  such that  $\varphi'(u_0) = 0$ , and  $\inf_C \varphi = \varphi(u_0)$ .

In [6] the authors considered the problem

$$\begin{cases} - \sum_{i,j=1}^N D_j(a_{ij}(x)D_i(u)) + c(x)u = f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $c \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}$ ,  $c(x) \geq 0$ , and  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function such that

$$|f(x, s)| \leq c|s|^\sigma + d(x), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\sigma = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$  and  $d \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}$  if  $N \geq 3$ , or  $d \in L^p$  and  $1 < p, \sigma < \infty$  if  $N = 2$ . The associated Dirichlet problem is

$$\begin{cases} a[u, v] = \int_\Omega f(x, u)v, & \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

with  $a[u, v] = \int_\Omega \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}(x)D_i u D_j v + c(x)uv$ .

By a subsolution and a supersolution of (1.3), we mean respectively  $w, W \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfying  $a[w, v] \leq \int_\Omega f(x, w)v$ , and  $a[W, v] \geq \int_\Omega f(x, W)v$ ,  $\forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), v \geq 0$ .

Let  $\varphi : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2}a[u, u] - \int_\Omega F(x, u)dx \tag{1.4}$$

with  $F(x, s) = \int_0^s f(x, \xi)d\xi$ .

**THEOREM 3.** (Theorem 6 in [6]). Assume conditions on  $f$  that guarantee that  $\varphi$  defined in (1.4) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Suppose that there exist a subsolution  $w \in H_0^1$  and a supersolution  $W \in H_0^1$  of (1.3) such that  $w \leq W$ . Assume also for each fixed  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $f(x, s)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $s$  for  $w(x) \leq s \leq W(x)$ . Then there exists a  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$u_0 \in [w, W], \quad \varphi(u_0) = \inf_{[w, W]} \varphi \text{ and } \varphi'(u_0) = 0,$$

where  $[w, W]$  is the segment defined by  $[w, W] = \{tw + (1 - t)W, t \in [0, 1]\}$ . Consequently  $u_0$  is a solution of (1.3).

In this paper we remove the Palais-Smale condition in Proposition 2 and Theorem 3 and replace it with easy verifiable conditions on the functional  $\varphi$ .

### 2. Main Result

Let  $H$  a real Hilbert space and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the scalar product.

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a functional such that:

1.  $\varphi$  is twice Gateaux differentiable on  $H$ .
2.  $\|(I' - \varphi'')(u)\| \leq 1, \quad \forall u \in H$ .
3.  $(I - \varphi')(C) \subset C$  for some convex nonempty closed and bounded subset  $C$  of  $H$ .

Then,  $\varphi$  has a global minimum on  $H$ . Indeed there exists a  $u_0 \in C$  such that

$$\varphi(u_0) = \inf_H \varphi.$$

In particular,  $\varphi'(u_0) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  with  $\varphi' = I - T$  (i.e.  $T = I - \varphi'$ ). Note that  $T$  is a potential operator. To show  $T$  is nonexpansive, note from the mean value theorem (see [13] pp. 122) that for all  $u, v \in H$  there exists  $\tau_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu - Tv\| &\leq \|DT(\tau_0u + (1 - \tau_0)v) \cdot (u - v)\| \\ &\leq \|DT(\tau_0u + (1 - \tau_0)v)\| \|u - v\| \\ &= \|(I' - \varphi'')( \tau_0u + (1 - \tau_0)v)\| \|u - v\|. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $DT$  is the differential of the operator  $T$ . Using assumption (2) we infer that

$$\|Tu - Tv\| \leq \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in H.$$

From Theorem 1, the operator  $T$  has a fixed point in  $C$  and, by Proposition 1, such a fixed point is a global minimizer of  $\varphi$  on  $H$ .

Now, one can prove an analogue of the above theorem.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a functional as in Theorem 4 and assume conditions 1 and 2 in the statement of Theorem 4 hold. Also suppose there is an open bounded subset  $U$  of  $H$  with  $0 \in U$  and*

$$\varphi'(u) \neq \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} u, \forall u \in \partial U, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

*Then,  $\varphi$  has a global minimum on  $U$ . Indeed there exists a  $u_0 \in U$  such that*

$$\varphi(u_0) = \inf_H \varphi.$$

*In particular,  $\varphi'(u_0) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 2, since the above condition guarantees that  $\lambda(I - \varphi')(u) \neq u$  for all  $u \in \partial U$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

### 3. Application

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Here  $f$  and  $f' : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Carathéodory functions where  $f'$  is the derivative of  $f$  with respect to its second variable. Also assume

$$|f(x, s)| \leq c_1 |s|^{\sigma_1} + d_1, \quad \text{and} \quad |f'(x, s)| \leq c_2 |s|^{\sigma_2} + d_2 \tag{3.2}$$

for some positive constants  $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2$  and  $0 \leq \sigma_1, \sigma_2 < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$  if  $N \geq 3$  ( $0 \leq \sigma_1, \sigma_2 < \infty$  if  $N = 1, 2$ )

A weak solution of (3.1) is a solution of the problem,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \cdot v dx = 0, & \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Let  $w, W \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be respectively a subsolution and a supersolution of (3.3) and let  $\lambda_1$  be the first eigenvalue of the linear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(x) = \lambda u(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 6.** *Assume that*

$$|f(x, s)| \leq c_1 |s|^{\sigma_1} + d_1, \quad \text{and} \quad |f'(x, s)| \leq c_2 |s|^{\sigma_2} + d_2$$

*for some positive constants  $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2$  and  $0 \leq \sigma_1, \sigma_2 < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$  if  $N \geq 3$  ( $0 \leq \sigma_1, \sigma_2 < \infty$  if  $N = 1, 2$ ) and that*

1. for each fixed  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $f(x, y)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $y$  for  $w(x) \leq y \leq W(x)$ ,
2.  $|f'(x, s)| \leq \lambda_1, \forall x \in \Omega, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then, there exists a  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  which is a weak solution of problem (3.1) and  $u_0 \in [w, W]$ .

*Proof.* Consider the problem,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \cdot v \, dx = 0, & \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\varphi : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, dx \quad \text{with} \quad F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, \xi) \, d\xi.$$

From assumption (3.2) (see [3], [5]),  $\varphi$  is twice differentiable and the derivatives are given by:

$$\varphi'(u) \cdot v = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \cdot v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \tag{3.4}$$

$$(\varphi''(u) \cdot v) \cdot \omega = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \omega - \int_{\Omega} f'(x, u) \cdot v \cdot \omega \, dx, \quad \forall v, \omega \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{3.5}$$

To prove that problem (3.3) has a solution we show that  $\varphi$  satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 4.

To show

$$\|(I' - \varphi'')(u)\| \leq 1, \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

we use the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Poincaré inequalities, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I' - \varphi'')(u)\| &= \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1, \|\omega\| \leq 1} |(I' - \varphi'')(u) \cdot v \cdot \omega|, \\ &= \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1, \|\omega\| \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} f'(x, u) v(x) \omega(x) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1, \|\omega\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |f'(x, u)| |v(x)| |\omega(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1, \|\omega\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |v(x)| |\omega(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1, \|\omega\| \leq 1} \left( \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\omega(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1, \|\omega\| \leq 1} \|v\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\omega\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \lambda_1 \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1, \|\omega\| \leq 1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|v\| \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\omega\| \right) \\
&= \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1, \|\omega\| \leq 1} \|v\| \cdot \|\omega\| \\
&\leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $C = [w, W] = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : w(x) \leq u(x) \leq W(x), \forall x \in \Omega\}$ . Note  $C$  is a closed convex subset of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and it is bounded since if  $u \in C = [w, W]$ , then there exists  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $u = tw + (1-t)W$ , and so,

$$\|u\| = \|tw + (1-t)W\| \leq \|w\| + \|W\|.$$

The argument in [1, p. 712] shows that  $(I - \phi')(C) \subset C$ .

The existence of a weak solution of (3.1) follows from Theorem 4.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -u''(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left( \ln(\exp(u(x)) + 10) - \frac{1}{2} \right), & x \in (-1, 1), \\ u(-1) = u(+1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

It is easy to see that  $w$  and  $W$  which are defined by  $w(x) = 0$  and  $W(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{4} (|x| - |x|^2)$  are respectively subsolution and supersolution of (3.6). Also note all the assumptions in the statement of Theorem 6 are satisfied.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. AGARWAL, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [2] R.P. AGARWAL, D. O'REGAN, D.R. SAHU, *Fixed Point Theory for Lipschitzian-Type Mappings with Application*, Springer, 2009.
- [3] M. BADIALE, E. SERRA, *Semilinear Elliptic Equations for Beginners*, Springer, 2011.
- [4] J. CHABROWSKI, *Variational Methods for Potential Operator Equation*, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [5] D.G. COSTA, *An Invitation to Variational Methods in Differential Equations*, Birkhauser Boston, 2007.
- [6] D.G DE FIGUEIREDO, AND S. SOLIMINI, *A variational approach to superlinear elliptic problems*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equa. **9**(7), 1984, 699-717.
- [7] H. HOFER, *Variational and topological methods in partially ordered Hilbert spaces*, Math. Ann. **261**(1982), 493-514.
- [8] O. KAVIAN, *Introduction à la Théorie des Points Critiques et Applications aux Problèmes Elliptiques*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [9] S. LIU, *Existence of solutions to a superlinear p-Laplacian equation*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ., Vol. 2001(2001), No. **66**, pp. 1-6.
- [10] A. MAO, Y. LI, *Infinitely many solutions of superlinear elliptic equation*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013, Art. ID 769620, 6 pp.
- [11] D. O'REGAN, R. PRECUP, *Theorems of Leray - Schauder Type and Application*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 2001.
- [12] B. RICCI, *Fixed points of nonexpansive potential operators in Hilbert spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. 2012, 2012:123.

- [13] E.W.C. VAN GROESEN, *Variational Methods for Nonlinear Operator Equation*, In *Nonlinear analysis: Proceeding of the lectures of a Colloquium Nonlinear Analysis*. Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, (1976), 100-191.
- [14] L. YIN, Y. LIANG, Q. ZHANG, C. ZHAO, *Existence of solutions for a variable exponent system without PS conditions*, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* Vol. 2015(2015), No. **63**, 23p.

(Received January 7, 2016)

*Souad Ayadi*  
*Laboratory of Physical mechanics and mathematical modeling*  
*Medea, Algeria*  
*and*  
*Laboratory of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*  
*Department of Mathematics, E.N.S. Kouba*  
*Algiers, Algeri*  
*e-mail: ayadi.souad68@yahoo.fr*

*Toufik Moussaoui*  
*Laboratory of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*  
*Department of Mathematics, E.N.S. Kouba*  
*Algiers, Algeria*  
*e-mail: moussaoui@ens-kouba.dz*

*Donal O'Regan*  
*School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics*  
*National University of Ireland*  
*Galway, Ireland*  
*e-mail: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie*