

THE (p, q) -ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS WITH CONCAVE-CONVEX NONLINEARITIES

XIAOQI LIU AND ZENGQI OU

(Communicated by Chun-Lei Tang)

Abstract. Multiple positive solutions for the (p, q) -elliptic systems with the concave-convex nonlinearities are obtained by using the Nehari manifold and the fibering method.

1. Introduction and Main Result

In this paper, we consider the existence of weak solutions for the following (p, q) -elliptic systems

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda \alpha a(x) |u|^{\alpha-2} u |v|^\beta + \gamma b(x) |u|^{\gamma-2} u |v|^\delta & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v = \lambda \beta a(x) |u|^\alpha |v|^{\beta-2} v + \delta b(x) |u|^\gamma |v|^{\delta-2} v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 3)$ is a bounded open domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p, q < N$, $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0, \delta \geq 0$ satisfy that $1 < \alpha + \beta < \min\{p, q\}$, $\max\{p, q\} < \gamma + \delta < \min\{p^*, q^*\}$, where $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ and $q^* = \frac{Nq}{N-q}$ are the critical Sobolev exponents of p, q , respectively. The functions $a(x), b(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ are somewhere positive and may change sign on $\bar{\Omega}$.

The Nehari manifold and the fibering method were introduced by Pohozaev in [7] and were widely used to study the existence of multiple solutions for elliptic equations (see [1], [2], [5], [8] and references therein) and elliptic systems (see [3], [4], [6], [9], [10], [11], [12] and references therein). Especially, Brown and Wu in [5] discussed the existence of at least two positive solutions for the semilinear elliptic equation with the concave-convex nonlinearities. Ramos Quoirin in [8] investigated the existence and multiplicity of non-negative solutions for the the following concave-convex type equation

$$-\Delta_p u + V(x)u^{p-1} = \lambda a(x)|u|^{r-1} + b(x)|u|^{q-1} \quad u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 35D30, 35J50, 35J92.

Keywords and phrases: (p, q) -elliptic systems, Nehari manifold, fibering map, concave-convex nonlinearities.

This research is supported by Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11471267).

where $1 < r < p < q < p^*$. Bozhkov and Mitidieri in [4] proved the existence of multiple solutions for the following (p, q) -Laplacian system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + (\alpha + 1)c(x)|u|^{\alpha-1}|v|^{\beta+1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v = \mu b(x)|v|^{q-2}v + (\beta + 1)c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta-1}v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where α, β satisfy the following conditions:

$$\frac{\alpha + 1}{p^*} + \frac{\beta + 1}{q^*} < 1, \quad \frac{\alpha + 1}{p} + \frac{\beta + 1}{q} > 1. \tag{1.2}$$

Adriouch and El Hamidi in [1] considered the existence and multiplicity results of positive solutions for the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u + (\alpha + 1)|u|^{\alpha-1}|v|^{\beta+1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v = \mu |v|^{q-2}v + (\beta + 1)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta-1}v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $1 < p_1 < p < N$, and α, β satisfy (1.2) and $\frac{\beta+1}{q} < 1$. For the following quasi-linear elliptic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + \lambda b(x)|u|^{\alpha-1}|v|^{\beta+1} + \frac{\mu(x)}{(\alpha+1)(\delta+1)}|u|^{\gamma-1}|v|^{\delta+1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v = \lambda a(x)|v|^{q-2}v + \lambda b(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta-1}v + \frac{\mu(x)}{(\beta+1)(\gamma+1)}|u|^{\gamma+1}|v|^{\delta-1}v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ satisfy $\frac{\alpha+1}{p} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} = 1$, and $p < \gamma + 1$ (or $q < \delta + 1$) and $\frac{\gamma+1}{p^*} + \frac{\delta+1}{q^*} < 1$, applying the Nehari manifold, Zhang, Liu and Liu in [12] proved that there is at least a nonnegative nonsemitrivial solution for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, where λ_1 is principal eigenvalue for the unperturbed system.

Influenced by these finds, in this paper, we will study the existence of multiple positive solutions for system (1.1) with the concave-convex nonlinearities by using the Nehari manifold and the fibering maps.

Let $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the usual Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for any } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Since the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\theta(\Omega)$ is continuous and compact for any $\theta \in [1, p^*)$, we define

$$S_1 = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^{\gamma+\delta}}{|u|_{\gamma+\delta}^{\gamma+\delta}}, \quad s_1 = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^{\alpha+\beta}}{|u|_{\alpha+\beta}^{\alpha+\beta}},$$

$$S_2 = \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\gamma+\delta}}{|v|^{\gamma+\delta}}, \quad s_2 = \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\alpha+\beta}}{|v|^{\alpha+\beta}},$$

where $|\cdot|_p$ denotes the norm of $L^p(\Omega)$, and $s_1, s_2, S_1, S_2 > 0$. Let $W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ be the product space with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\| = \|u\|_{1,p} + \|v\|_{1,q} \text{ for any } (u, v) \in W.$$

DEFINITION 1. (weak solution) We say that $(u, v) \in W$ is the weak solution of system (1.1), if $(u, v) \in W$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla z \, dx &= \lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{\alpha-2} |v|^{\beta} \cdot z \, dx + \gamma \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{\gamma-2} |v|^{\delta} \cdot z \, dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla w \, dx &= \lambda \beta \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta-2} \cdot w \, dx + \delta \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{\gamma} |v|^{\delta-2} \cdot w \, dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $(z, w) \in W$.

REMARK 1. We call a solution (u, v) of system (1.1) is nontrivial, if $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$, a solution (u, v) is positive if $u > 0$ and $v > 0$, and semitrivial if it is of the form $(u, 0)$ with $u \neq 0$ or $(0, v)$ with $v \neq 0$. It is easy to prove that if $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ is a solution of system (1.1), then it is nontrivial.

The main result can be described as follows:

THEOREM 1. *If $1 < \alpha + \beta < \min\{p, q\}$, $\max\{p, q\} < \gamma + \delta < \min\{p^*, q^*\}$, then there exists $\Lambda_0 > 0$ such that when $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_0$, system (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.*

REMARK 2. (1) From $\alpha + \beta < \min\{p, q\}$, we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{\beta}{q} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\min\{p, q\}} + \frac{\beta}{\min\{p, q\}} < 1,$$

which implies that the nonlinearity $|u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta}$ is the concave term. As far as we know, there is no paper to consider the existence of weak solution for the (p, q) -elliptic systems with the concave nonlinearity $|u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta}$, hence our result is new. And from $\max\{p, q\} < \gamma + \delta < \min\{p^*, q^*\}$, we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma}{p^*} + \frac{\delta}{q^*} \leq \frac{\gamma}{\min\{p^*, q^*\}} + \frac{\delta}{\min\{p^*, q^*\}} < 1,$$

and

$$\frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{\delta}{q} \geq \frac{\gamma}{\max\{p, q\}} + \frac{\delta}{\max\{p, q\}} > 1,$$

which implies that the nonlinearity $|u|^{\gamma} |v|^{\delta}$ is subcritical and convex.

(2) Theorem 1 extends the results of [5, 12] from the semilinear elliptic equation to the (p, q) -elliptic systems and is complement for the ones of [1, 4, 12].

2. Proof of the Theorem

From a variational point of view, the weak solutions of system (1.1) correspond to the critical points of the functional $J_\lambda : W \rightarrow R$ given by

$$J_\lambda(u, v) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{1,p}^p + \frac{1}{q} \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda \int_\Omega a(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx - \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma |v|^\delta dx. \tag{2.1}$$

It is not difficult to prove that J_λ is unbounded below on W . In order to get rid of the unboundedness of the functional J_λ , we will consider the functional J_λ on the Nehari manifold:

$$M_\lambda(\Omega) = \{(u, v) \in W \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \mid \langle J'_\lambda(u, v), (u, v) \rangle = 0\}.$$

The Nehari manifold $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ is closely linked to the behavior of the functions of the form $\Psi_{(u,v)} : t \rightarrow J_\lambda(tu, tv)$ for $t \geq 0$ defined by

$$\Psi_{(u,v)}(t) = \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|_{1,p}^p + \frac{t^q}{q} \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda t^{\alpha+\beta} \int_\Omega a(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx - t^{\gamma+\delta} \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma |v|^\delta dx.$$

By a simple computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'_{(u,v)}(t) &= t^{p-1} \|u\|_{1,p}^p + t^{q-1} \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda(\alpha + \beta)t^{\alpha+\beta-1} \int_\Omega a(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx \\ &\quad - (\gamma + \delta)t^{\gamma+\delta-1} \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma |v|^\delta dx, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi''_{(u,v)}(t) &= (p-1)t^{p-2} \|u\|_{1,p}^p + (q-1)t^{q-2} \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta - 1) \\ &\quad \times t^{\alpha+\beta-2} \int_\Omega a(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx - (\gamma + \delta)(\gamma + \delta - 1)t^{\gamma+\delta-2} \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma |v|^\delta dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ if and only if $\Psi'_{(u,v)}(1) = 0$, i.e., the elements in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ are stationary points of the fibering maps $\Psi_{(u,v)}(t)$. Naturally, $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ can be subdivided into three parts: local minima, local maxima and points of inflection respectively, that is

$$\begin{aligned} M_\lambda^+(\Omega) &= \{(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \mid \Psi''_{(u,v)}(1) > 0\}, \\ M_\lambda^-(\Omega) &= \{(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \mid \Psi''_{(u,v)}(1) < 0\}, \\ M_\lambda^0(\Omega) &= \{(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \mid \Psi''_{(u,v)}(1) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we describe the nature of the fibering map which is determined by the signs of the functions $\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx$ and $\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma |v|^\delta dx$.

Case 1. If $\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx \leq 0$ and $\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma |v|^\delta dx \leq 0$, $\Psi_{(u,v)}(t)$ increases strictly for $t > 0$ and no multiple of (u, v) lies in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$.

Case 2. If $\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx \geq 0$ and $\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma |v|^\delta dx \leq 0$, $\Psi_{(u,v)}(t)$ decreases firstly and then increases. In this case, $\Psi_{(u,v)}(t)$ has a local minimum at $t = t(u, v)$ and $t(u, v)(u, v) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$.

Case 3. If $\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} dx < 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{\gamma}|v|^{\delta} dx > 0$, $\psi_{(u,v)}(t)$ increases and then decreases and there is a maximum of $\psi_{(u,v)}(t)$ at $t = t(u, v)$ and $t(u, v)(u, v) \in M_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$.

Case 4. If $\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} dx > 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{\gamma}|v|^{\delta} dx > 0$, $\psi_{(u,v)}(t)$ decreases and then increases and finally decreases. Hence, $\psi_{(u,v)}(t)$ has a local maximum at $t = t_1(u, v)$ and a local minimum at $t = t_2(u, v)$ with $t_1(u, v)(u, v) \in M_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ and $t_2(u, v)(u, v) \in M_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$.

In the following, we will prove a series of lemmas to finish the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. J_{λ} is coercive and bounded below on $M_{\lambda}(\Omega)$.

Proof. If $(u, v) \in M_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, we have $\psi'_{(u,v)}(1) = 0$, that is

$$\|u\|_{1,p}^p + \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda(\alpha + \beta) \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} dx - (\gamma + \delta) \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{\gamma}|v|^{\delta} dx = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence, from (2.1), (2.2) and the Young’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda}(u, v) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma + \delta}\right) \|u\|_{1,p}^p + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\gamma + \delta}\right) \|v\|_{1,q}^q \\ &\quad - \lambda \left(1 - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\gamma + \delta}\right) \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma + \delta}\right) \|u\|_{1,p}^p + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\gamma + \delta}\right) \|v\|_{1,q}^q \\ &\quad - \lambda \left(1 - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\gamma + \delta}\right) \|a\|_{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha + \beta} dx + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{\alpha + \beta} dx\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma + \delta - p}{(\gamma + \delta)p} \|u\|_{1,p}^p + \frac{\gamma + \delta - q}{(\gamma + \delta)q} \|v\|_{1,q}^q \\ &\quad - \lambda \|a\|_{\infty} \frac{\gamma + \delta - \alpha - \beta}{(\gamma + \delta)(\alpha + \beta)} (\alpha s_1^{-1} \|u\|_{1,p}^{\alpha + \beta} + \beta s_2^{-1} \|v\|_{1,q}^{\alpha + \beta}), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the functional J_{λ} is coercive from $1 < \alpha + \beta < \min\{p, q\}$. \square

LEMMA 2. Assume that (u, v) is a local maximizer or local minimizer for J_{λ} on $M_{\lambda}(\Omega) \setminus M_{\lambda}^0(\Omega)$, then (u, v) is a critical point of J_{λ} .

Proof. Suppose that (u, v) is a local minimizer for J_{λ} on $M_{\lambda}(\Omega) \setminus M_{\lambda}^0(\Omega)$ (if (u, v) is a local maximizer for J_{λ} on $M_{\lambda}(\Omega) \setminus M_{\lambda}^0(\Omega)$, we can consider the functional $-J_{\lambda}$), by the theory of Lagrange multipliers, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $J'_{\lambda}(u, v) = \mu I'(u, v)$, where

$$I(u, v) = \|u\|_{1,p}^p + \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda(\alpha + \beta) \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} dx - (\gamma + \delta) \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{\gamma}|v|^{\delta} dx.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u, v), (u, v) \rangle = \mu \langle I'(u, v), (u, v) \rangle.$$

From $(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, it follows that $\langle J'_\lambda(u, v), (u, v) \rangle = \Psi'_{(u,v)}(1) = 0$, and moreover from $(u, v) \notin M_\lambda^0(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle I'(u, v), (u, v) \rangle \\ &= p\|u\|_{1,p}^p + q\|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda(\alpha + \beta)^2 \int_\Omega a(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta dx - (\gamma + \delta)^2 \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma|v|^\delta dx. \\ &= \Psi''_{(u,v)}(1) + \Psi'_{(u,v)}(1) \\ &= \Psi''_{(u,v)}(1) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\mu = 0$. Thus, the proof is completed. \square

LEMMA 3. *There is $\Lambda_1 > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, $M_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that for any $\Lambda_1 > 0$, there is $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$ such that $M_\lambda^0(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$. Let $(u, v) \in M_\lambda^0(\Omega)$, we have $\Psi'_{(u,v)}(1) = 0$ and $\Psi''_{(u,v)}(1) = 0$, i.e., (2.2) and the following equality hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & (p - 1)\|u\|_{1,p}^p + (q - 1)\|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta - 1) \int_\Omega a(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta dx \\ & \quad - (\gamma + \delta)(\gamma + \delta - 1) \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma|v|^\delta dx = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

By (2.2), (2.3) and the Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\min\{p, q\} - \alpha - \beta)(\|u\|_{1,p}^p + \|v\|_{1,q}^q) \\ & \leq [p - (\alpha + \beta)]\|u\|_{1,p}^p + [q - (\alpha + \beta)]\|v\|_{1,q}^q \\ & = (\gamma + \delta)[(\gamma + \delta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\gamma|v|^\delta dx \\ & \leq [(\gamma + \delta) - (\alpha + \beta)]\|b\|_\infty \left(\gamma \int_\Omega |u|^{\gamma+\delta} dx + \delta \int_\Omega |v|^{\gamma+\delta} dx \right) \\ & \leq [(\gamma + \delta) - (\alpha + \beta)]\|b\|_\infty \max\{\gamma S_1^{-1}, \delta S_2^{-1}\} (\|u\|_{1,p}^{\gamma+\delta} + \|v\|_{1,q}^{\gamma+\delta}), \end{aligned}$$

let $C_1 = (\min\{p, q\} - \alpha - \beta)^{-1}(\gamma + \delta - \alpha - \beta)\|b\|_\infty \max\{\gamma S_1^{-1}, \delta S_2^{-1}\}$, hence we get

$$\|u\|_{1,p}^p + \|v\|_{1,q}^q \leq C_1 (\|u\|_{1,p}^{\gamma+\delta} + \|v\|_{1,q}^{\gamma+\delta}). \tag{2.4}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{1,p}^p + \|v\|_{1,q}^q \leq \lambda C_2 (\|u\|_{1,p}^{\alpha+\beta} + \|v\|_{1,q}^{\alpha+\beta}), \tag{2.5}$$

where $C_2 = (\gamma + \delta - \max\{p, q\})^{-1}(\gamma + \delta - \alpha - \beta)\|a\|_\infty \max\{\alpha s_1^{-1}, \beta s_2^{-1}\}$.

From (2.4), at least one of the following two inequalities holds:

$$\|u\|_{1,p}^p \leq C_1 \|u\|_{1,p}^{\gamma+\delta} \quad \text{or} \quad \|v\|_{1,q}^q \leq C_1 \|v\|_{1,q}^{\gamma+\delta}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\|u\|_{1,p}^p \leq C_1 \|u\|_{1,p}^{\gamma+\delta}$, therefore we obtain $\|u\|_{1,p} \geq C_1^{-1/(\gamma+\delta-p)}$. From (2.5), it follows that

$$C_1^{-p/(\gamma+\delta-p)} \leq \|u\|_{1,p}^p + \|v\|_{1,q}^q \leq \lambda C_2 (\|u\|_{1,p}^{\alpha+\beta} + \|v\|_{1,q}^{\alpha+\beta}),$$

which is a contradiction for λ sufficiently small. Hence, the conclusion is proved. \square

LEMMA 4. *There exists $\Lambda_2 > 0$ such that, when $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_2$, $\psi_{(u,v)}(t)$ can get positive values for any $(u, v) \in W \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.*

Proof. If $\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{\gamma}|v|^{\delta} dx \leq 0$, it is easy to see that $\psi_{(u,v)}(t) > 0$ for t large enough. Assume that $\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{\gamma}|v|^{\delta} dx > 0$, from (2.1) and the Young’s inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{(u,v)}(t) &= \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|_{1,p}^p + \frac{t^q}{q} \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)|tu|^{\alpha}|tv|^{\beta} dx - \int_{\Omega} b(x)|tu|^{\gamma}|tv|^{\delta} dx \\ &\geq \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|_{1,p}^p - \lambda \frac{\alpha\|a\|_{\infty}}{\alpha+\beta} t^{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha+\beta} dx - \frac{\gamma\|b\|_{\infty}}{\gamma+\delta} t^{\gamma+\delta} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma+\delta} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{t^q}{q} \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda \frac{\beta\|a\|_{\infty}}{\alpha+\beta} t^{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{\alpha+\beta} dx - \frac{\delta\|b\|_{\infty}}{\gamma+\delta} t^{\gamma+\delta} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{\gamma+\delta} dx \\ &\geq \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|_{1,p}^p - \lambda \frac{\alpha\|a\|_{\infty}}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^{\alpha+\beta}}{s_1} t^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\gamma\|b\|_{\infty}}{\gamma+\delta} \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^{\gamma+\delta}}{S_1} t^{\gamma+\delta} \\ &\quad + \frac{t^q}{q} \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda \frac{\beta\|a\|_{\infty}}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\alpha+\beta}}{s_2} t^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\delta\|b\|_{\infty}}{\gamma+\delta} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\gamma+\delta}}{S_2} t^{\gamma+\delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^p}{p} t^p - \lambda \frac{\alpha\|a\|_{\infty}}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^{\alpha+\beta}}{s_1} t^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\gamma\|b\|_{\infty}}{\gamma+\delta} \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^{\gamma+\delta}}{S_1} t^{\gamma+\delta}, \\ g(t) &= \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^q}{q} t^q - \lambda \frac{\beta\|a\|_{\infty}}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\alpha+\beta}}{s_2} t^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\delta\|b\|_{\infty}}{\gamma+\delta} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\gamma+\delta}}{S_2} t^{\gamma+\delta}, \\ f_1(t) &= \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^p}{p} t^p - \frac{\gamma\|b\|_{\infty}}{\gamma+\delta} \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^{\gamma+\delta}}{S_1} t^{\gamma+\delta}, \quad g_1(t) = \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^q}{q} t^q - \frac{\delta\|b\|_{\infty}}{\gamma+\delta} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\gamma+\delta}}{S_2} t^{\gamma+\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

By a simple calculation, we know that $f_1(t)$ takes on a maximum value of

$$\frac{\gamma+\delta-p}{(\gamma+\delta)p} \left(\frac{S_1}{\gamma\|b\|_{\infty}} \right)^{p/(\gamma+\delta-p)} \quad \text{at} \quad \bar{t}_{max} = \left(\frac{S_1}{\gamma\|b\|_{\infty}} \right)^{1/(\gamma+\delta-p)} \frac{1}{\|u\|_{1,p}},$$

and $g_1(t)$ obtains a maximum value at $\tilde{t}_{max} = (S_2/\delta\|b\|_\infty)^{1/(\gamma+\delta-q)}/\|v\|_{1,q}$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $\bar{t}_{max} \leq \tilde{t}_{max}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\bar{t}_{max}) &= f_1(\bar{t}_{max}) - \lambda \frac{\alpha\|a\|_\infty}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\|u\|_{1,p}^{\alpha+\beta}}{s_1} \bar{t}_{max}^{\alpha+\beta} \\
 &= \frac{\gamma+\delta-p}{(\gamma+\delta)^p} \left(\frac{S_1}{\gamma\|b\|_\infty}\right)^{\frac{p}{\gamma+\delta-p}} - \lambda \frac{\alpha\|a\|_\infty}{(\alpha+\beta)s_1} \left(\frac{S_1}{\gamma\|b\|_\infty}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\gamma+\delta-p}}. \tag{2.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7), it is obvious that there exist $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $c_1 > 0$, independent of u , such that $f(\bar{t}_{max}) \geq c_1$ for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$.

On the other hand, from $\bar{t}_{max} \leq \tilde{t}_{max}$, $g_1(0) = 0$ and $g_1(t)$ is increasing in $t \in [0, \bar{t}_{max}]$, $g_1(\bar{t}_{max}) > 0$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \lambda \frac{\beta\|a\|_\infty}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\alpha+\beta}}{s_2} \bar{t}_{max}^{\alpha+\beta} \leq \lambda \frac{\beta\|a\|_\infty}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\alpha+\beta}}{s_2} \tilde{t}_{max}^{\alpha+\beta} \\
 &= \lambda \frac{\beta\|a\|_\infty}{(\alpha+\beta)s_2} \left(\frac{S_2}{\delta\|b\|_\infty}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\gamma+\delta-q}} \rightarrow 0^+
 \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$, then there exists $\lambda_2 > 0$ sufficiently small, independent of u, v , such that

$$g(\bar{t}_{max}) \geq g_1(\bar{t}_{max}) - \lambda \frac{\beta\|a\|_\infty}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\|v\|_{1,q}^{\alpha+\beta}}{s_2} \tilde{t}_{max}^{\alpha+\beta} \geq -\frac{c_1}{2} \text{ for any } 0 < \lambda < \lambda_2. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), for any $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_2 = \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, we have

$$\Psi_{(u,v)}(\bar{t}_{max}) \geq f(\bar{t}_{max}) + g(\bar{t}_{max}) \geq \frac{c_1}{2} > 0. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 1. Assume that $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_2$, there exists $v_1 > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u, v) \geq v_1$ for all $(u, v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$.

LEMMA 5. Assume that $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_0 = \min\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2\}$, there is a minimum of $J_\lambda(u, v)$ on $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$.

Proof. If $(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, $J_\lambda(u, v)$ is bounded below and so on $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$. Hence there exists a minimizing sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) = \inf_{(u,v) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v).$$

As $J_\lambda(u, v)$ is coercive, (u_n, v_n) is bounded in W . Therefore there exists a subsequence, still denoted by (u_n, v_n) , and $(u_0, v_0) \in W$ such that

$$(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u_0, v_0) \text{ in } W, \text{ and}$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } L^\tau(\Omega) \text{ for any } 1 < \tau < p^*, \quad v_n \rightarrow v_0 \text{ in } L^\theta(\Omega) \text{ for any } 1 < \theta < q^*.$$

If $(u, v) \in W$ satisfies $\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} dx > 0$, $\psi_{(u,v)}(t)$ can only be shown in the case 2 or 4, and there is $t_1 = t_1(u, v) > 0$ such that $(t_1 u, t_1 v) \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$ and $J_{\lambda}(t_1 u, t_1 v) < 0$, hence we get $\inf_{(u,v) \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}(u, v) < 0$. From ((2.1)) and $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left(1 - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\gamma + \delta} \right) \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_n|^{\alpha}|v_n|^{\beta} dx \\ &= -J_{\lambda}(u_n, v_n) + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma + \delta} \right) \|u_n\|_{1,p}^p + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\gamma + \delta} \right) \|v_n\|_{1,q}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_0|^{\alpha}|v_0|^{\beta} dx > 0$, which implies that there exists $t_0 = t_0(u_0, v_0) > 0$ such that $(t_0 u_0, t_0 v_0) \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$ and $\psi_{(u_0,v_0)}(t)$ is decreasing on $(0, t_0)$ and $\psi'_{(u_0,v_0)}(t_0) = 0$.

Suppose that $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0)$ in W does not hold, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^p dx < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \text{ or } \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^q dx < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^q dx.$$

Moreover, noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_{(u_n,v_n)}(t_0) &= t_0^{p-1} \|u_n\|_{1,p}^p + t_0^{q-1} \|v_n\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda(\alpha + \beta)t_0^{\alpha+\beta-1} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_n|^{\alpha}|v_n|^{\beta} dx \\ &\quad - (\gamma + \delta)t_0^{\gamma+\delta-1} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u_n|^{\gamma}|v_n|^{\delta} dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_{(u_0,v_0)}(t_0) &= t_0^{p-1} \|u_0\|_{1,p}^p + t_0^{q-1} \|v_0\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda(\alpha + \beta)t_0^{\alpha+\beta-1} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_0|^{\alpha}|v_0|^{\beta} dx \\ &\quad - (\gamma + \delta)t_0^{\gamma+\delta-1} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u_0|^{\gamma}|v_0|^{\delta} dx, \end{aligned}$$

and from $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi'_{(u_n,v_n)}(t_0) > \psi'_{(u_0,v_0)}(t_0) = 0$, we have that $\psi'_{(u_n,v_n)}(t_0) > 0$ for n large enough.

Since $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$, from the case 2 or 4, it follows that $\psi'_{(u_n,v_n)}(t) < 0$ for any $0 < t < 1$ and $\psi'_{(u_n,v_n)}(1) = 0$. Hence $t_0 > 1$. But $(t_0 u_0, t_0 v_0) \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$, we have

$$J_{\lambda}(t_0 u_0, t_0 v_0) < J_{\lambda}(u_0, v_0) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n, v_n) = \inf_{(u,v) \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}(u, v),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, we obtain $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0)$ in W , and

$$J_{\lambda}(u_0, v_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n, v_n) = \inf_{(u,v) \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}(u, v),$$

which implies that (u_0, v_0) is a minimum for $J_{\lambda}(u, v)$ on $M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$. \square

LEMMA 6. Suppose that $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_0$, there is a minimum of $J_{\lambda}(u, v)$ on $M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$.

Proof. From Corollary 1, we get $J_\lambda(u, v) \geq v_1 > 0$ for any $(u, v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. Hence $\inf_{(u,v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v) \geq v_1$, and there is a minimizing sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) = \inf_{(u,v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v) > 0.$$

Since $J_\lambda(u, v)$ is coercive, (u_n, v_n) is bounded in W . Without loss of generality, we can suppose that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \text{ in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), v_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{v} \text{ in } W_0^{1,q}(\Omega), \text{ and}$$

$$u_n \rightarrow \tilde{u} \text{ in } L^\tau(\Omega) \text{ for any } 1 < \tau < p^*, v_n \rightarrow \tilde{v} \text{ in } L^\theta(\Omega) \text{ for any } 1 < \theta < q^*.$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 5, we can get that there is $\tilde{t} > 0$ such that $(\tilde{t}\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}\tilde{v}) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. If $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ does not hold, we know

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{u}|^p dx < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p dx \text{ or } \int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{v}|^q dx < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^q dx$$

and $J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) \geq J_\lambda(tu_n, tv_n)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})}(\tilde{t}) &= \frac{\tilde{t}^p}{p} \|\tilde{u}\|_{1,p}^p + \frac{\tilde{t}^q}{q} \|\tilde{v}\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda \tilde{t}^{\alpha+\beta} \int_\Omega a(x) |\tilde{u}|^\alpha |\tilde{v}|^\beta dx - \tilde{t}^{\gamma+\delta} \int_\Omega b(x) |\tilde{u}|^\gamma |\tilde{v}|^\delta dx \\ &< \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\tilde{t}^p}{p} \|u_n\|_{1,p}^p + \frac{\tilde{t}^q}{q} \|v_n\|_{1,q}^q - \lambda \tilde{t}^{\alpha+\beta} \int_\Omega a(x) |u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \tilde{t}^{\gamma+\delta} \int_\Omega b(x) |u_n|^\gamma |v_n|^\delta dx \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(\tilde{t}u_n, \tilde{t}v_n) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) \\ &= \inf_{(u,v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain a contradiction. Then $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similar to Lemma 5, the proof can be completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. From Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, there are $(u^+, v^+) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$, $(u^-, v^-) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u^+, v^+) = \inf_{(u,v) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v), \quad J_\lambda(u^-, v^-) = \inf_{(u,v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v).$$

Moreover, $J_\lambda(u^\pm, v^\pm) = J_\lambda(|u^\pm|, |v^\pm|)$, hence, we can assume $u^\pm \geq 0, v^\pm \geq 0$. From Lemma 2, (u^\pm, v^\pm) are two critical points of the functional J_λ . Thus, the proof is completed. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the referees for valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. ADRIOUCH AND A. EL HAMIDI, *The Nehari manifold for systems of nonlinear elliptic equations*, *Nonlinear. Analysis* **64** (2006), 2149–2167.
- [2] G. A. AFROUZI, S. MAHDAVI AND Z. NAGHIZADEH, *The Nehari manifold for p -Laplacian equation with Dirichlet boundary condition*, *Nonlinear Anal: Modelling and Control* **12** (2007), 143–155.
- [3] A. AGHAJANI AND J. SHAMSHIRI, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic p -laplacian systems*, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **111** (2012), 1–16.
- [4] Y. BOZHKOV AND E. MITIDIERI, *Existence of multiple solutions for quasilinear systems via fibering method*, *J. Differential Equations* **190** (2003), 239–267.
- [5] K. J. BROWN AND T-F. WU, *A fibering map approach to a semilinear elliptic boundary value problem*, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **69** (2007), 1–9.
- [6] H. N. FAN, *Multiple positive solutions for semi-linear elliptic systems with sign-changing weight*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **409** (2014), 399–408.
- [7] S. I. POHOZAEV, *On fibering method for the solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems*, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* **192** (1990), 146–163.
- [8] H. RAMOS QUOIRIN, *Lack of coercivity in a concave-convex type equation*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **37** (2010), 523–546.
- [9] S. H. RASOULI AND G. A. AFROUZI, *The Nehari manifold for a class of concave-convex elliptic systems involving the p -Laplacian and nonlinear boundary condition*, *Nonlinear Anal* **73** (2010), 3390–3401.
- [10] J. VÉLIN, *On an existence result for a class of (p, q) -gradient elliptic systems via a fibering method*, *Nonlinear Anal*, **75** (2012), 6009–6033.
- [11] G. Y. YANG AND M. X. WANG, *Existence of multiple positive solutions for a p -Laplacian system with sign-changing weight functions*, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **55** (2008), 636–653.
- [12] G. Q. ZHANG, X. P. LIU AND S. Y. LIU, *Remarks on a class of quasilinear elliptic systems involving the (p, q) -Laplacian*, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **20** (2005), 1–10.

(Received September 4, 2017)

Xiaoqi Liu
 School of Mathematics and Statistics
 Southwest University
 Chongqing 400715, People's Republic of China
 e-mail: 1604694612@qq.com

Zengqi Ou
 School of Mathematics and Statistics
 Southwest University
 Chongqing 400715, People's Republic of China
 e-mail: ouzengq707@sina.com