

A PROBLEM WITH AN INTEGRAL BOUNDARY CONDITION FOR A TIME FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION EQUATION AND AN INVERSE PROBLEM

HALYNA LOPUSHANSKA

Abstract. For a linear inhomogeneous time fractional diffusion equation on bounded cylindrical domain the problem with an integral boundary condition is studied. The inverse problem for the restoration of the whole right-hand side of the equation is also studied. The conditions of the solvability and the unique solvability of these problems are founded.

1. Introduction

The conditions of classical solvability of a time fractional Cauchy problem and the first boundary value problem to a time fractional diffusion equation were obtained, for example, in [2, 3, 4, 10, 11, 14]. There were proved the existence and uniqueness theorems and the representation of classical solution in terms of the Green vector-function. The inverse problems to such equations ([1, 6, 12, 13, 15] and references therein) have important practical applications. Some studies in inverse problems for restoration of a right-hand side of the equation, or a minor coefficient in the equation, or the initial data of a solution (see, for instance, [1, 8]) use the integral type over-determination conditions.

In the present paper, for a time fractional diffusion equation we study the solvability of a problem with an integral boundary condition. Namely, we study the boundary value problem

$$D_t^\beta u - \Delta u = F_0(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_0 \times (0, T], \quad (1)$$

$$\int_{\Omega_1} K(x, t, z) u(z, t) dz = F(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_1 \times [0, T], \quad (2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = F_2(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \quad (3)$$

with the Caputo fractional derivative (or Caputo-Djrbashian fractional derivative) [2]

$$D^\beta v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^t \frac{v'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\beta} d\tau = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \left[\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{v(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\beta} d\tau - \frac{v(0)}{t^\beta} \right]$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 35S10.

Keywords and phrases: Fractional derivative, integral boundary condition, inverse problem, Green vector-function, integral equation.

of order $\beta \in (0, 1)$, where Ω_0 is a boundary domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$ with a smooth boundary Ω_1 , K, F_0, F, F_2 are given functions.

We can consider this problem as the inverse problem for the determination a pair of functions: a classical solution u of the second boundary value problem

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial \nu(x)} = F_1(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \Omega_1 \times [0, T], \quad u(x,0) = F_2(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \quad (4)$$

for the equation (1) and its unknown boundary values F_1 under integral type over-determination condition (2). Here $\nu(x)$ is the unite vector of the interior normal to the surface Ω_1 in the point $x \in \Omega_1$. So, we can use the Green function's method in study the solvability of these problems. Note that in [13] the unknown boundary condition was founded by using the another over-determination condition.

We also study the inverse problem of finding the solution of the problem (1), (3), (4) and the right-hand side $F_0(x,t)$ of the equation (1) under the over-determination condition

$$\int_{\Omega_1} R(x,t,z)u(z,t)dz = F(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \Omega_0 \times [0, T]$$

with given functions R, F_1, F_2, F . Note that the inverse problems for the determination of the right-hand side $F_0 = F_0(x)$ or $F_0 = F_0(t)$ of a such kind of equations were studied (see, for example, [1, 15]).

2. Definitions and auxiliary results

Assume that Ω_1 is the surface of class $C^{1+\gamma}$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $Q_i = \Omega_i \times (0, T]$, $i = 0, 1$, $Q_2 = \Omega_0$, $C(Q_0)$ ($C(\bar{Q}_0)$) is the space of continuous functions on Q_0 (\bar{Q}_0 , respectively), $C^\gamma(\bar{\Omega}_i)$ is the space of Hölder continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}_i$, $C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_i)$ is the space of Hölder continuous functions in space variables $x \in \bar{\Omega}_i$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and jointly continuous in $(x,t) \in \bar{Q}_i$, $i = 0, 1$, $C_{2,\beta}(Q_0) = \{v \in C(Q_0) \mid \Delta v, D_i^\beta v \in C(Q_0)\}$,

$$C_{2,\beta}(\bar{Q}_0) = C_{2,\beta}(Q_0) \cap C(\bar{Q}_0), \quad C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0) = \{v \in C_{2,\beta}(\bar{Q}_0) \mid \partial v / \partial \nu \in C(\bar{Q}_1)\},$$

$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of indefinitely differentiable functions compactly supported in \mathbb{R} , $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of linear continuous functionals (distributions) over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{D}'_+(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}) : f = 0 \text{ for } t < 0\}$.

We denote by $f * g$ the convolution of the distributions f and g , use the function $f_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}'_+(\mathbb{R})$:

$$f_\lambda(t) = \frac{\theta(t)t^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_\lambda(t) = f'_{1+\lambda}(t) \text{ for } \lambda \leq 0,$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the Gamma-function, $\theta(t)$ is the Heaviside function and derivative understood as a derivative in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. Note that

$$f_\lambda * f_\mu = f_{\lambda+\mu}.$$

Recall that the Riemann-Liouville derivative $v^{(\beta)}(t)$ of order $\beta > 0$ is defined as

$$v^{(\beta)}(t) = f_{-\beta}(t) * v(t).$$

If $D^\beta v$ exists then

$$D^\beta v(t) = v^{(\beta)}(t) - f_{1-\beta}(t)v(0) \quad \text{for } \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Let the following assumptions hold:

(A) $F_0 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$, $F_2 \in C^\gamma(\bar{\Omega}_0)$, $\text{supp} F_2 \subset \Omega_0$, $F \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$,

(B) $K(x, t, y)$ ($(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1, y \in \bar{\Omega}_1$) is a jointly continuous in all variables function, Hölder continuous in $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}_1$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

DEFINITION 1. A function $u \in C_{2,\beta}(\bar{Q}_0)$ satisfying the equation (1) on Q_0 and the conditions (2), (3) is called a solution of the problem (1)–(3).

Definition 1 and the assumption (A) about F_2 imply the compatibility condition

$$F(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_1. \tag{5}$$

DEFINITION 2. A vector-function $(G_0(x, t, y, \tau), G_1(x, t, y, \tau), G_2(x, t, y))$ such that under rather regular F_0, F_1, F_2 the function

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) = & \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_0} G_0(x, t, y, \tau) F_0(y, \tau) dy + \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_1} G_1(x, t, y, \tau) F_1(y, \tau) dy \\ & + \int_{\Omega_0} G_2(x, t, y) F_2(y) dy, \quad (x, t) \in Q_0 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

is a classical (from $C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0)$) solution of the problem (1), (4) is called a Green vector-function of this second boundary-value problem.

The existence of a unique Green vector-function of the problem (1), (4) may be prove as in [9] for the first boundary value problem.

The results of [4, 14] and the Levi method (see [4, 5]) imply the following estimates in the case $n \geq 3$:

$$G_j(x, t, y, \tau) \leq \frac{C}{(t - \tau)|x - y|^{n-2}}, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

$$G_2(x, t, y) \leq \frac{C}{t^\beta |x - y|^{n-2}}, \quad |x - y|^2 < 4(t - \tau)^\beta,$$

$$G_j(x, t, y, \tau) \leq \frac{C(t - \tau)^{\beta-1}}{|x - y|^n} \cdot \left(\frac{|x - y|^2}{4(t - \tau)^\beta} \right)^{1 + \frac{n}{2(2-\beta)}} e^{-c \left(\frac{|x - y|^2}{4(t - \tau)^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}}, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

$$G_2(x, t, y) \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^n} \cdot \left(\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t^\beta} \right)^{\frac{n}{2(2-\beta)}} e^{-c \left(\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}}, \quad |x - y|^2 > 4(t - \tau)^\beta$$

where C, c are positive constants, and similar estimates in the case $n = 2$. Note that the character features in t is accurate. The Green vector-function owns Hölder properties.

THEOREM 1. Assume that $F_0 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$, $F_1 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$, $F_2 \in C^\gamma(\bar{\Omega}_0)$, $\text{supp}F_2 \subset \Omega_0$. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0)$ of the second boundary value problem (1), (4), and it is defined by the formula (6).

The theorem is proving as the corresponding result in [5, 5.3].

3. The existence and uniqueness theorems

Substituting the right-hand side of (6) in the condition (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_1} K(x,t,z) dz \int_{\Omega_0} G_0(z,t,y,\tau) F_0(y,\tau) dy \\ & + \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_1} K(x,t,z) dz \int_{\Omega_1} G_1(z,t,y,\tau) F_1(y,\tau) dy \\ & + \int_{\Omega_1} K(x,t,z) dz \int_{\Omega_0} G_1(z,t,y) F_2(y) dy = F(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \bar{Q}_1, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\sum_{j=0}^1 \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_j} K_j(x,t,y,\tau) F_j(y,\tau) dy + \int_{\Omega_0} K_2(x,y,t) F_2(y) dy = F(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \bar{Q}_1, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_j(x,t,y,\tau) &= \int_{\Omega_1} K(x,t,z) G_j(z,t,y,\tau) dz, \quad j = 0, 1, \\ K_2(x,t,y) &= \int_{\Omega_1} K(x,t,z) G_2(z,t,y) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above estimates of components of the Green vector-function we find

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_j(x,t,y,\tau)| \\ & \leq \int_{\{z \in \Omega_1: |y-z| < 2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}\}} |K(x,t,z) G_j(z,t,y,\tau)| dx \\ & + \int_{\{z \in \Omega_1: |y-z| > 2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}\}} |K(x,t,z) G_j(z,t,y,\tau)| dx \\ & \leq C_1 \left[\int_{\{z \in \Omega_1: |y-z| < 2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}\}} \frac{dz}{(t-\tau)|y-z|^{n-2}} \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{\{z \in \Omega_1: |y-z| > 2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}\}} \frac{(t-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{|z-y|^n} \left(\frac{|z-y|^2}{4(t-\tau)^\beta} \right)^{1+\frac{n}{2(2-\beta)}} e^{-c\left(\frac{|z-y|^2}{4(t-\tau)^\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}} dz \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_2 \left[\frac{1}{t-\tau} \int_0^{2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}} dr + (t-\tau)^{-1-\frac{n\beta}{2(2-\beta)}} \int_{2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}}^{diam\Omega_1} r^{\frac{n}{2-\beta}} e^{-c\left(\frac{r^2}{4(t-\tau)^\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}} dr \right] \\ &\leq C_3(t-\tau)^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \left[1 + \int_1^\infty \eta^{\frac{n-\beta}{2}} e^{-c\eta} d\eta \right] \leq \hat{k}(t-\tau)^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \\ &= \hat{k}\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right) f_{\frac{\beta}{2}}(t-\tau), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_1, y \in \bar{\Omega}_j, 0 \leq \tau < t \leq T, j = 0, 1, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| K_2(x, t, y) \right| &\leq C_4 \left[\int_{\{z \in \Omega_1: |y-z| < 2t^{\beta/2}\}} \frac{dz}{t^\beta |y-z|^{n-2}} dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\{z \in \Omega_1: |y-z| > 2t^{\beta/2}\}} \frac{1}{|z-y|^n} \left(\frac{|z-y|^2}{4t^\beta} \right)^{\frac{n}{2(2-\beta)}} e^{-c\left(\frac{|z-y|^2}{4t^\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}} dz \right] \\ &\leq C_5 \left[\frac{1}{t^\beta} \int_0^{2t^{\beta/2}} dr + t^{-1-\frac{n\beta}{2(2-\beta)}} \int_{2t^{\beta/2}}^{diam\Omega_1} r^{\frac{n}{2-\beta}-2} e^{-c\left(\frac{r^2}{4t^\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}} dr \right] \\ &\leq \hat{k}t^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} = \hat{k}\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\beta}{2}\right) f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_1, y \in \bar{\Omega}_0, t \in (0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Hereinafter $C_i, \hat{k}, \hat{k}_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are positive constants.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t) * \int_0^t K_j(x, t, y, \tau) F_j(y, \tau) d\tau &= \int_0^t f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t-s) ds \int_0^s K_j(x, s, y, \tau) F_j(y, \tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \left(\int_\tau^t f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t-s) K_j(x, s, y, \tau) ds \right) F_j(y, \tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t-\tau} f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(s) K_j(x, t-s, y, \tau) ds \right) F_j(y, \tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \left(f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(s) * K_j(x, s, y, \tau) \right) \Big|_{s=t-\tau} F_j(y, \tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t-\tau) * K_j(x, t-\tau, y, \tau) F_j(y, \tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{R}_j(x, t, y, \tau) = f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t-\tau) * K_j(x, t-\tau, y, \tau), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{R}_j(x, t, y, \tau)| &\leq \hat{k}\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t-\tau) * f_{\frac{\beta}{2}}(t-\tau) \\
 &= \hat{k}\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\left(f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} * f_{\frac{\beta}{2}}\right)(t-\tau) = \hat{k}\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)f_1(t-\tau) = \hat{k}_1\theta(t-\tau).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the character features in the variable t is accurate then

$$\mathcal{R}_j(x, t, y, \tau) \neq 0, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1, \quad (y, \tau) \in \bar{Q}_j, \quad j = 0, 1$$

and are the continuous functions. Similarly, taking the equality

$$f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t) * f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t) = f_{2-\beta}(t)$$

into account, we obtain that the function

$$\mathcal{R}_2(x, t, y) := f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t) * K_2(x, t, y)$$

is continuous on $\bar{Q}_1 \times \bar{\Omega}_0$ and has the estimate

$$|\mathcal{R}_2(x, t, y)| \leq \hat{k}_2 t^{1-\beta}, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1, \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}_0.$$

Thus, from (7) we obtain the linear integral Volterra equation of the first type

$$\int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_1} \mathcal{R}_1(x, t, y, \tau) F_1(y, \tau) dy = h(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1 \tag{8}$$

relatively unknown F_1 where

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(x, t) &= f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t) * F(x, t) - \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{R}_0(x, t, y, \tau) F_0(y, \tau) dy \\
 &\quad - \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{R}_2(x, t, y) F_2(y) dy, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

This integral equation has the jointly continuous kernel $\mathcal{R}_1(x, t, y, \tau)$, Hölder continuous in $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}_1$ for all $t, \tau \in [0, T]$, and $h \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$, $h(x, 0) = 0$.

Conversely, if $F_1 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$ is a solution of the equation (8), which is equivalent to the equation (7), then by Theorem 1 the function (6) is a solution (from $C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0)$) of the problem (1), (4) and satisfies the condition (2).

We obtain the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Assume that (A), (B) and (5) hold, there exists a solution $F_1 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$ of the equation (8). Then there exists a solution $u \in C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0)$ of the problem (1)–(3). It is defined by (6).*

THEOREM 3. *At terms of uniqueness of a solution of the equation (8) a solution $u \in C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0)$ of the problem (1)–(3) is unique.*

Proof. Take two solutions $u_1, u_2 \in C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0)$ of the problem (1)–(3). Putting $u = u_1 - u_2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^\beta u &= \Delta u, & (x, t) \in Q_0, \\ \int_{\Omega_1} K(x, t, y) u(y, t) dy &= 0, & (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1, \\ u(x, 0) &= 0, & x \in \bar{\Omega}_0. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

By Theorem 1, for the solution $u \in C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0)$ of the second boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^\beta u &= \Delta u, & (x, t) \in Q_0, \\ \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \nu(x)} &= F_1(x, t), & (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1, \quad u(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0 \end{aligned}$$

with some unknown $F_1 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$ we have

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_1} G_1(x, t, y, \tau) F_1(y, \tau) dy, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_0. \tag{10}$$

As it has been shown before, using (9), we obtain the first type linear Volterra integral equation

$$\int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_1} \mathcal{R}_1(x, t, y, \tau) F_1(y, \tau) dy = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1,$$

relatively unknown F_1 . By Theorem’s assumption,

$$F_1(y, \tau) = 0, \quad (y, \tau) \in \bar{Q}_1. \tag{11}$$

Then (10) implies that $u = 0$ on \bar{Q}_0 . \square

4. The restoration of a whole right-hand side of equation

We study the inverse problem

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \nu(x)} = F_1(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1, \tag{12}$$

$$u(x, 0) = F_2(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \tag{13}$$

$$\int_{\Omega_1} R(x, t, z) u(z, t) dz = F(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_0 \tag{14}$$

for the equation (1) under the following assumption

(C) $F_1 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$, $F_2 \in C^\gamma(\bar{\Omega}_0)$, $\text{supp}F_2 \subset \Omega_0$, $F \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$, $R(x, t, y)$ ($(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_0, y \in \bar{\Omega}_1$) is a jointly continuous in all variables function, Hölder continuous in $x \in \bar{\Omega}_0, y \in \bar{\Omega}_1$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

This problem consists in finding a pair of functions

$$(u, F_0) \in \mathcal{M}(Q_0) = \mathcal{M} := C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0) \times C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$$

satisfying the equation (1) and the above conditions (12)–(14).

The problem’s definition and the assumption (C) about F_2 imply the compatibility condition

$$F(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0. \tag{15}$$

THEOREM 4. *Assume that (C) and (15) hold, there exists a solution $F_0 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$ of the integral equation*

$$\int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_0} R_0(x, t, y, \tau) F_0(y, \tau) dy = r_0(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_0, \tag{16}$$

with

$$r_0(x, t) = f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t) * F(x, t) - \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_1} R_1(x, t, y, \tau) F_1(y, \tau) dy - \int_{\Omega_0} R_2(x, t, y) F_2(y) dy,$$

$$R_j(x, t, y, \tau) = f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t - \tau) * \int_{\Omega_1} R(x, t - \tau, z) G_j(z, t - \tau, y, \tau) dz, \quad (y, \tau) \in Q_j, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

$$R_2(x, t, y) = f_{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}(t) * \int_{\Omega_1} R(x, t, z) G_2(z, t, y) dz, \quad y \in \Omega_0, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_0.$$

Then there exists a solution $(u, F_0) \in \mathcal{M}(Q_0)$ of the problem (1), (12)–(14) where u is defined by (6). At terms of uniqueness of a solution of the equation (16) a solution $(u, F_0) \in \mathcal{M}(Q_0)$ of the problem (1), (12)–(14) is unique.

Proof. Substituting the right-hand side of (6) in the condition (14) and using the previous reasoning we obtain the linear integral Volterra equation (16) of the first type relatively unknown F_0 . This integral equation has a jointly continuous kernel $R_0(x, t, y, \tau)$, Hölder continuous in $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}_0$ for all $t, \tau \in [0, T]$, $r_0 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$ and $r_0(x, 0) = 0, x \in \bar{\Omega}_0$. Conversely, if $F_0 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$ is a solution of the equation (16), then the function (6) is a solution (from $C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0)$) of the problem (1), (12)–(14).

Take two solutions $(u_1, F_0^1), (u_2, F_0^2)$ of the problem (1), (12)–(14). Putting $u = u_1 - u_2, F_0 = F_0^1 - F_0^2$ we obtain

$$D_t^\beta u = \Delta u + F_0, \quad (x, t) \in Q_0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial \nu(x)} &= 0, \quad (x,t) \in \bar{Q}_1, \\ u(x,0) &= 0, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \\ \int_{\Omega_1} R(x,t,y)u(x,s)ds &= 0, \quad (x,t) \in \bar{Q}_0. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By Theorem 1, the solution $u(x,t)$ of obtained direct second boundary value problem has the representation

$$u(x,t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_0} G_0(x,t,y,\tau)F_0(y,\tau)dy, \quad (x,t) \in \bar{Q}_0. \tag{18}$$

Substitute it in (17). As it has been shown before, we obtain the first type linear Volterra integral equation

$$\int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_0} R_0(x,t,y,\tau)F_0(y,\tau)dy = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \bar{Q}_0$$

relatively unknown F_0 . By Theorem’s assumption,

$$F_0(y,\tau) = 0, \quad (y,\tau) \in \bar{Q}_0.$$

Then (18) implies that $u = 0$ on \bar{Q}_0 . \square

5. Problems with other conditions

5.1. In the same way we can study the boundary value problem

$$D_t^\beta u - \Delta u = F_0(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in Q_0, \tag{19}$$

$$\int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{K}(x,t,z)u(z,t)dz = F(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \bar{Q}_1, \tag{20}$$

$$u(x,0) = F_2(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \tag{21}$$

where \mathcal{K}, F_0, F, F_2 are given functions.

This problem is different from the problem (1)–(3) by the condition (20) where integration is on Ω_0 . We obtain similar preliminary results.

ASSUMPTION (D). $\mathcal{K}(x,t,y)$ $((x,t) \in \bar{Q}_1, y \in \bar{\Omega}_0)$ is a jointly continuous in all variables function, Hölder continuous in $x \in \bar{\Omega}_1, y \in \bar{\Omega}_0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

For the solution $u \in C_{2,\beta}(\bar{Q}_0)$ the compatibility condition

$$\int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{K}(x,0,z)F_2(z)dz = F(x,0), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_1 \tag{22}$$

is necessary.

THEOREM 5. Assume that (A), (D) and (22) hold, there exists a solution $F_1 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$ of the integral equation

$$\int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_1} \mathcal{P}_1(x, t, y, \tau) F_1(y, \tau) dy = p(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1 \tag{23}$$

with

$$p(x, t) = f_{1-\beta}(t) * F(x, t) - \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{P}_0(x, t, y, \tau) F_0(y, \tau) dy - \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{P}_2(x, t, y) F_2(y) dy, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_j(x, t, y, \tau) = f_{1-\beta}(t - \tau) * \mathcal{K}_j(x, t - \tau, y, \tau), \quad (y, \tau) \in \bar{Q}_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \\ \mathcal{P}_2(x, t, y) = f_{1-\beta}(t) * \mathcal{K}_2(x, t, y), \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_1,$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_j(x, t, y, \tau) = \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{K}(x, t, z) G_j(z, t, y, \tau) dz, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_1, \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}_j, \quad 0 \leq \tau < t \leq T, \quad j = 0, 1, \\ \mathcal{K}_2(x, t, y) = \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{K}(x, t, z) G_2(z, t, y) dz, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_1, \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Then there exists a solution $u \in C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0)$ of the problem (19)–(21). It is defined by (6).

Proof. This theorem is proving as Theorems 2 and 3. Using the above estimates of components of the Green vector-function we find

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{K}_j(x, t, y, \tau)| \\ & \leq C_6 \left[\int_{\{z \in \Omega_0: |y-z| < 2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}\}} \frac{dz}{(t-\tau)|y-z|^{n-2}} dz \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\{z \in \Omega_0: |y-z| > 2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}\}} \frac{(t-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{|z-y|^n} \left(\frac{|z-y|^2}{4(t-\tau)^\beta} \right)^{1+\frac{n}{2(2-\beta)}} e^{-c\left(\frac{|z-y|^2}{4(t-\tau)^\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}} dz \right] \\ & \leq C_7 \left[\frac{1}{t-\tau} \int_0^{2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}} r dr + (t-\tau)^{-1-\frac{n\beta}{2(2-\beta)}} \int_{2(t-\tau)^{\beta/2}}^{diam \Omega_0} r^{1+\frac{n}{2-\beta}} e^{-c\left(\frac{r^2}{4(t-\tau)^\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}} dr \right] \\ & \leq \hat{k}(t-\tau)^{\beta-1} = \hat{k}\Gamma(\beta) f_\beta(t-\tau), \\ & \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_1, \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}_j, \quad 0 \leq \tau < t \leq T, \quad j = 0, 1, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{K}_2(x, t, y)| &\leq C_8 \left[\int_{\{z \in \Omega_0; |y-z| < 2t^{\beta/2}\}} \frac{dz}{t^\beta |y-z|^{n-2}} dz \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{\{z \in \Omega_0; |y-z| > 2t^{\beta/2}\}} \frac{1}{|z-y|^n} \left(\frac{|z-y|^2}{4t^\beta} \right)^{\frac{n}{2(2-\beta)}} e^{-c \left(\frac{|z-y|^2}{4t^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}} dz \right] \\
 &\leq C_9 \left[\frac{1}{t^\beta} \int_0^{2t^{\beta/2}} r dr + t^{-\frac{n\beta}{2(2-\beta)}} \int_{2t^{\beta/2}}^{diam \Omega_1} r^{2-\beta-1} e^{-c \left(\frac{r^2}{4t^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}}} dr \right] \leq \hat{k} \\
 &x \in \bar{\Omega}_1, \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \quad t \in (0, T].
 \end{aligned}$$

So, the equation (23) has the jointly continuous kernel $\mathcal{P}_1(x, t, y, \tau)$, Hölder continuous in $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}_1$ for all $t, \tau \in [0, T]$, $p \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$ and $p(x, 0) = 0$. \square

5.2. We also study the inverse problem

$$D_t^\beta u - \Delta u = F_0(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_0 \times (0, T], \tag{24}$$

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \nu(x)} = F_1(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_1 \times [0, T], \tag{25}$$

$$u(x, 0) = F_2(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \tag{26}$$

$$\int_{\Omega_0} P(x, t, z) u(z, t) dz = F(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_0 \times [0, T] \tag{27}$$

with given functions P, F_1, F_2, F .

ASSUMPTION (E). $F_1 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_1)$, $F_2 \in C^\gamma(\bar{\Omega}_0)$, $supp F_2 \subset \Omega_0$, $F \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$, $P(x, t, y)$ ($(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_0, y \in \bar{\Omega}_0$) is a jointly continuous in all variables function, Hölder continuous in $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}_0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

This problem consists in finding a pair of functions

$$(u, F_0) \in \mathcal{M}_0(Q_0) = \mathcal{M}_0 := C_{2,\beta}^1(Q_0) \times C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$$

satisfying the equation (24) and the conditions (25)–(27).

The compatibility condition

$$\int_{\Omega_0} P(x, 0, z) F_2(z) dz = F(x, 0), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0 \tag{28}$$

is necessary.

THEOREM 6. Assume that (E) holds, there exists a solution $F_0 \in C^\gamma(\bar{Q}_0)$ of the integral equation

$$\int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_0} P_0(x, t, y, \tau) F_0(y, \tau) dy = p_0(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_0,$$

with

$$p_0(x, t) = f_{1-\beta}(t) * F(x, t) - \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\Omega_1} P_1(x, t, y, \tau) F_1(y, \tau) dy - \int_{\Omega_0} P_2(x, t, y) F_2(y) dy,$$

$$P_j(x, t, y, \tau) = f_{1-\beta}(t - \tau) * \int_{\Omega_0} P(x, t - \tau, z) G_j(z, t - \tau, y, \tau) dz, \quad (y, \tau) \in \bar{Q}_j, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

$$P_2(x, t, y) = f_{1-\beta}(t) * \int_{\Omega_0} P(x, t, z) G_2(z, t, y) dz, \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}_0, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_0.$$

Then there exists a solution $(u, F_0) \in \mathcal{M}_0(Q_0)$ of the problem (24)–(27), u is defined by (6).

REFERENCES

- [1] T. S. ALEROEV, M. KIRANE, S. A. MALIK, *Determination of a source term for a time fractional diffusion equation with an integral type over-determination condition*, Electronic J. of Differential Equations, **2013** (2013), no. 270, 1–16.
- [2] M. M. DIRBASHIAN, A. B. NERSESSYAN, *Fractional derivatives and Cauchy problem for differentials of fractional order*, Izv. AN Arm. SSR. Matematika, **3** (1968), 3–29.
- [3] A. N. KOCHUBEI, *Fractional-order diffusion*, Differential Equation, **26** (1990), 485–492.
- [4] S. D. EIDELMAN, S. D. IVASYSHEN, A. N. KOCHUBEI, *Analytic methods in the theory of differential and pseudo-differential equations of parabolic type*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2004.
- [5] A. FRIEDMAN, *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964.
- [6] Y. HATANO, J. NAKAGAWA, SH. WANG AND M. YAMAMOTO, *Determination of order in fractional diffusion equation*, Journal of Math-for-Industry **5A** (2013), 51–57.
- [7] H. LOPUSHANSKA, A. LOPUSHANSKY, E. PASICHNYK, *The Cauchy problem in a space of generalized functions for the equations possessing the fractional time derivative*, Sib. Math. J. **52** (2011), no. 6, 1288–1299.
- [8] H. LOPUSHANSKA, V. RAPITA, *Inverse coefficient problem for semi-linear fractional telegraph equation*, Electronic J. of Differential Equations, **2015** (2015), no. 153, 1–13.
- [9] A. O. LOPUSHANSKY, H. P. LOPUSHANSKA, *One inverse boundary value problem for diffusion-wave equation with fractional derivative*, Ukr. Math. J. **66**, 5 (2014), 655–667.
- [10] YU. LUCHKO, *Maximum principle for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **351** (2009), 409–422.
- [11] M. M. MEERSCHAERT, ERKAN NANE, P. VALLAISAMY, *Fractional Cauchy problems on bounded domains*, Ann. Probab. **37** (2009), 979–1007.
- [12] J. NAKAGAWA, K. SAKAMOTO AND M. YAMAMOTO, *Overview to mathematical analysis for fractional diffusion equation – new mathematical aspects motivated by industrial collaboration*, Journal of Math-for-Industry **2A** (2010), 99–108.
- [13] W. RUNDELL, X. XU AND L. ZUO, *The determination of an unknown boundary condition in fractional diffusion equation*, Applicable Analysis **92**, 7 (2013), 1511–1526.

- [14] A. A. VOROSHYLOV, A. A. KILBAS, *Conditions of the existence of classical solution of the Cauchy problem for diffusion-wave equation with Caputo partial derivative*, Dokl. Ak. Nauk **414**, 4 (2007), 1–4.
- [15] Y. ZHANG AND X. XU, *Inverse source problem for a fractional diffusion equation*, Inverse Problems **27** (2011), 1–12.

(Received February 25, 2016)

Halyna Lopushanska
The Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
Ukraine
e-mail: lhp@ukr.net