

## POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF A SYSTEM OF FRACTIONAL FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NONLOCAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

SVATOSLAV STANĚK

(Communicated by N. Vasylyeva)

*Abstract.* We study the system of two fractional functional differential equations with the Caputo fractional derivative. Using the Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem on cones and the nonlinear Leray–Schauder alternative the existence of positive solutions to the system satisfying nonlocal boundary conditions is proved. The boundary conditions are given by linear bounded functionals. Examples are given to illustrate our results.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $T > 0$  be given,  $J = [0, T]$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $X = C(J) \times C(J)$ . Let  $\|x\| = \max\{|x(t)| : t \in J\}$  and  $\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = \|x_1\| + \|x_2\|$  be the norm in  $C(J)$  and  $X$ , respectively. Besides,  $P_+ = \{x \in C(J) : x(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in J\}$  and  $X_+ = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X : x_1(t) \geq 0, x_2(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in J\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all linear bounded functionals  $\ell : C(J) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are non-negative, that is,

$$x \in C(J), x \geq 0 \text{ on } J \Rightarrow \ell(x) \geq 0,$$

and  $\|\ell\| < 1$ , where  $\|\ell\|$  is the norm of  $\ell$ .

REMARK 1. The Riesz representation theorem says that linear bounded functionals  $\ell$  on  $C(J)$  are given by the Riemann–Stieltjes integral as

$$\ell(x) = \int_0^T x(s) dg(s), \quad x \in C(J), \quad (1)$$

and  $\|\ell\| = \text{var}_0^T g$ , where  $\text{var}_0^T g$  denotes the total variation of  $g$  over  $J$ . Hence functionals  $\ell$  belonging to the set  $\mathcal{A}$  are represented by (1), where  $g : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is nondecreasing and  $g(T) - g(0) < 1$ .

In particular, if  $v : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is nondecreasing,  $v(T) - v(0) < 1$  and  $\{r_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n < 1$ ,  $\{t_n\} \subset J$ ,  $t_i \neq t_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , then the functionals

$$\ell_1(x) = \int_0^T x(t)v'(t) dt, \quad \ell_2(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n x(t_n),$$

belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 34A08, 26A33, 33E12, 34B18.

*Keywords and phrases:* Fractional functional equation, nonlocal boundary condition, Caputo fractional derivative, Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, nonlinear Leray–Schauder alternative, positive solution.

We discuss the system of fractional differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1(t) + a(t) \mathcal{D}^\beta x_2(t) &= \mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)(t), \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2(t) + b(t) \mathcal{D}^\mu x_1(t) &= \mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)(t), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $0 < \mu < \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < \gamma < 1$ ,  $a, b \in C(J)$ ,  $a \leq 0$ ,  $b \leq 0$  on  $J$  and  $\mathcal{L}_j : X_+ \rightarrow P_+$  is continuous,  $j = 1, 2$ . Here,  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the Caputo fractional derivative. Further conditions on  $\mathcal{L}_j$  will be specified later.

Together with system (2) we investigate the boundary conditions

$$x_j(0) = \ell_j(x_j), \quad \ell_j \in \mathcal{A}, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{3}$$

DEFINITION 1. We say that  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$  is a solution of system (2) if  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1, \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2 \in C(J)$  and equality (2) holds for  $t \in J$ . A solution of (2) satisfying the boundary condition (3) is said to be a solution of problem (2), (3).

If a solution  $(x_1, x_2)$  of (2) satisfies  $\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 > 0$ , then we say that it is a positive solution of system (2). Similarly, for positive solutions of problem (2), (3).

We recall the definitions and properties of the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and the Caputo fractional derivative [8, 3].

The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral  $I^\delta x$  of order  $\delta > 0$  of a function  $x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$I^\delta x(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\delta-1}}{\Gamma(\delta)} x(s) ds,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the Euler gamma function.  $I^0$  is the identical operator.

The Caputo fractional derivative  $\mathcal{D}^\delta x$  of order  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\delta \notin \mathbb{N}$ , of a function  $x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given as

$$\mathcal{D}^\delta x(t) = \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-\delta-1}}{\Gamma(n-\delta)} \left( x(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{(k)}(0)}{k!} s^k \right) ds,$$

where  $n = [\delta] + 1$ ,  $[\delta]$  means the integral part of the fractional number  $\delta$ .

In particular,

$$\mathcal{D}^\delta x(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{-\delta}}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} (x(s) - x(0)) ds = \frac{d}{dt} I^{1-\delta} (x(t) - x(0)), \quad \delta \in (0, 1).$$

It is well known that  $I^\delta : C(J) \rightarrow C(J)$  for  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ;  $I^\delta I^\nu x(t) = I^{\delta+\nu} x(t)$  for  $x \in C(J)$  and  $\delta, \nu \in (0, \infty)$ ;  $\mathcal{D}^\delta I^\delta x(t) = x(t)$  for  $x \in C(J)$  and  $\delta > 0$ ;  $\frac{d}{dt} I^1 x(t) = x(t)$  for  $x \in C(J)$ ; if  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $x, \mathcal{D}^\delta x \in C(J)$ , then  $I^\delta \mathcal{D}^\delta x(t) = x(t) - x(0)$ .

REMARK 2. If  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a solution of system (2), then  $\mathcal{D}^\mu x_1, \mathcal{D}^\beta x_2 \in C(J)$ . It follows from the conditions  $\alpha > \mu$ ,  $\gamma > \beta$  and the equalities  $\mathcal{D}^\mu x_1 = I^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^\beta x_2 = I^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2$

Let  $f_j \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$  and  $f_j \geq 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_j(x_1, x_2)(t) = f_j(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_j : X_+ \rightarrow P_+$  is continuous, and therefore the special case of (2) is the system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1(t) + a(t) \mathcal{D}^\beta x_2(t) &= f_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)), \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2(t) + b(t) \mathcal{D}^\mu x_1(t) &= f_2(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The solvability of systems of fractional differential equations with local and non-local boundary conditions was extensively considered in the literature (see [1], [5], [6], [9]–[16] and references therein). In these papers associated homogeneous systems have the form either

$$D^\alpha x_1(t) = 0, \quad D^\beta x_2(t) = 0,$$

or ( $D^\gamma$  denotes the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\gamma$ )

$$D^\alpha x_1(t) = 0, \quad D^\beta x_2(t) = 0,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in (1, \infty)$ . This form plays the important role to obtain operators whose fixed points are solutions of considered problems. Moreover, the nonlinearities of systems are given by functions  $f_1, f_2$ .

For example Henderson and Luca [6] considered the problem

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha x_1(t) + \lambda f_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) &= 0, \quad n - 1 < \alpha \leq n, \\ D^\beta x_2(t) + \mu f_2(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) &= 0, \quad m - 1 < \beta \leq m, \\ x_1(0) = x_1'(0) = \dots = x_1^{(n-2)}(0) &= 0, \quad x_1(1) = \int_0^1 x_2(s) dH_1(s), \\ x_2(0) = x_2'(0) = \dots = x_2^{(m-2)}(0) &= 0, \quad x_2(1) = \int_0^1 x_1(s) dH_2(s), \end{aligned}$$

where  $n, m \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda, \mu$  are parameters,  $f_i$  is continuous on  $J \times \mathbb{R}_+^2$  and  $H_i$  is a function of bounded variation. Existence results for positive solutions were proved by the nonlinear alternative of the Leray–Schauder type and the Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem on cones.

Zhao and Liu [16] discussed the problem

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha x_1(t) + f_1(t, x_2(t)) &= 0, \\ D^\alpha x_2(t) + f_2(t, x_1(t)) &= 0, \\ x_1^{(j)}(0) = x_2^{(j)}(0) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - 1, \quad j \neq 1, \\ x_1'(1) = \lambda \int_0^1 x_1(s) ds, \quad x_2'(1) &= \lambda \int_0^1 x_2(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda < 2$  and  $f_i \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  is nonnegative. Existence and uniqueness results are established by using the monotone method, fixed point index theorems on cones and the properties of Green’s function.

In [1] the authors studied the problem

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha x_1(t) &= f_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t), D^\gamma x_2(t)), \\ D^\beta x_2(t) &= f_2(t, x_1(t), D^\delta x_1(t), x_2(t)), \\ x_1(0) &= h_1(x_2), \quad \int_0^T x_2(s) ds = \mu_1 x_1(\eta), \\ x_2(0) &= h_2(x_1), \quad \int_0^T x_1(s) ds = \mu_2 x_2(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in (1, 2]$ ,  $\gamma, \delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\eta, \xi \in (0, T)$ ,  $f_i \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $h_i: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. By using the Banach fixed point theorem and the Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative, the existence and uniqueness of solutions was proved.

Shah at al. [13] studied the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1(t) &= f_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)), \\ \mathcal{D}^\beta x_2(t) &= f_2(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)), \\ x_1(0) &= h_1(x_1), \quad x_1(1) = \delta x_1(\eta), \\ x_2(0) &= h_2(x_2), \quad x_2(0) = \gamma x_2(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in (1, 2]$ ,  $0 < \delta, \gamma < 1$ ,  $f_i \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $h_i: C(J) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. The existence of solutions was proved by a coincidence degree theory approach for condensing maps.

In contrast to papers [1], [5], [6] and [9]–[16], associated homogeneous system of (2) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1(t) + a(t) \mathcal{D}^\beta x_2(t) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2(t) + b(t) \mathcal{D}^\mu x_1(t) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \mu < \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < \gamma < 1$ , and the nonlinearities are operators  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ .

The aim of this paper is to discuss the existence of positive solutions to problem (2), (3). To this end we introduce an integral operator  $\mathcal{H}: X_+ \rightarrow X_+$  and show that fixed points of  $\mathcal{H}$  are solutions to problem (2), (3) (Lemma 5 below). Existence of a fixed point of  $\mathcal{H}$  is proved by the Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem on cones (Lemma 7 below) and the nonlinear Leray–Schauder alternative (Lemma 8 below).

We work with the following conditions on  $\mathcal{L}_j$ :

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $\mathcal{L}_j$  takes bounded sets into bounded sets,  $j = 1, 2$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that either

$$\mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \varepsilon \text{ for } t \in J, (x_1, x_2) \in X_+,$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \varepsilon \text{ for } t \in J, (x_1, x_2) \in X_+.$$

(H<sub>3</sub>) There exists a nondecreasing positive function  $w \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(x)}{x} = 0$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{L}_j(x_1, x_2)\| \leq w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1) \text{ for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, j = 1, 2.$$

(H<sub>4</sub>)  $\lim_{(x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mathcal{L}_j(x_1, x_2)\|}{\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1} = 0, j = 1, 2.$

(H<sub>5</sub>) Either

$$\lim_{(x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)\|}{\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1} > \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{T^\alpha}$$

or

$$\lim_{(x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)\|}{\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1} > \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}{T^\gamma}.$$

It is obvious that  $(H_3) \Rightarrow (H_1)$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce an operator  $\mathcal{Q}$ , prove its properties and discuss the existence of solutions to an auxiliary linear fractional system satisfying the boundary condition (3). In Section 3, a key operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is introduced and its properties are given. The existence results for positive solutions of problem (2), (3) are stated and proved in Section 4. Some examples are given to illustrate the results.

### 2. Preliminaries

We need a generalization of the Gronwall lemma for singular kernels [7, Lemma 7.1.1].

LEMMA 1. *Let  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $d \in C(J)$  be nonnegative and  $V$  be a positive constant. Suppose that  $w \in C(J)$  is nonnegative and*

$$w(t) \leq d(t) + V \int_0^t (t-s)^{\delta-1} w(s) ds, \quad t \in J.$$

Then

$$w(t) \leq d(t) + VK \int_0^t (t-s)^{\delta-1} d(s) ds, \quad t \in J,$$

where  $K = K(\delta)$  is a positive constant.

Introduce operator  $\Lambda_j : C(J) \rightarrow C(J)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , as

$$\Lambda_1 x(t) = a(t)I^{\gamma-\beta} b(t)I^{\alpha-\mu} x(t),$$

$$\Lambda_2 x(t) = b(t)I^{\alpha-\mu} a(t)I^{\gamma-\beta} x(t),$$

where  $a, b$  are from (2). Since  $a \leq 0$ ,  $b \leq 0$  on  $J$ ,

$$\Lambda_j \text{ maps } P_+ \text{ into } P_+, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{5}$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Lambda_j^n$  be  $n$ th iteration of  $\Lambda_j$ , that is,  $\Lambda_j^n = \underbrace{\Lambda_j \circ \Lambda_j \circ \dots \circ \Lambda_j}_n$ , and  $\Lambda_j^0$  be the

identical operator in  $C(J)$ .

Finally, introduce operator  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  acting on  $C(J)$  by the formula

$$\mathcal{Q}_j x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_j^k x(t), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

For  $\nu > 0$ , let  $E_\nu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$E_\nu(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^k}{\Gamma(k\nu + 1)}$$

be the classical Mittag–Leffler function [8, 3].

The properties of  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  are summarize in the following two lemmas. Let

$$\rho = \alpha - \beta + \gamma - \mu, \quad M = \|a\| \|b\|.$$

LEMMA 2. For each  $x \in C(J)$  the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_j^k x$  is uniformly convergent on  $J$  and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \Lambda_j^k x(t) \right| \leq \|x\| E_{\rho}(Mt^{\rho}), \quad t \in J, j = 1, 2. \tag{6}$$

*Proof.* We first prove that

$$\left| \Lambda_j^k x(t) \right| \leq M^k I^{k\rho} |x(t)|, \quad t \in J, x \in C(J), k \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, 2. \tag{7}$$

It is clear that  $|\Lambda_j x| \leq M I^{\rho} |x|$  for  $x \in C(J)$ . We now proceed by induction. Suppose that  $|\Lambda_j^n x| \leq M^n I^{n\rho} |x|$  for  $x \in C(J)$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\left| \Lambda_j^{n+1} x \right| = \left| \Lambda_j^n \Lambda_j x \right| \leq M^n I^{n\rho} |\Lambda_j x| \leq M^{n+1} I^{(n+1)\rho} |x|, \quad x \in C(J).$$

Therefore estimate (7) is valid.

Choose  $x \in C(J)$ . Since

$$I^{k\rho} |x(t)| = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{k\rho-1}}{\Gamma(k\rho)} |x(s)| ds \leq \|x\| \frac{t^{k\rho}}{\Gamma(k\rho+1)},$$

we have (note that  $\Lambda_j^0 x = x$ )

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \Lambda_j^k x(t) \right| \leq \|x\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(Mt^{\rho})^k}{\Gamma(k\rho+1)} = \|x\| E_{\rho}(Mt^{\rho}) \leq \|x\| E_{\rho}(MT^{\rho}), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Consequently,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_j^k x$  is uniformly convergent on  $J$  and (6) follows.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.  $\mathcal{Q}_j : C(J) \rightarrow C(J)$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  is a linear bounded operator,

$$\left| \mathcal{Q}_j x(t) \right| \leq \|x\| E_{\rho}(Mt^{\rho}), \quad t \in J, x \in C(J), j = 1, 2, \tag{8}$$

and for  $x \in C(J)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{Q}_2 x(t) &= \mathcal{Q}_1 a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} x(t), \\ b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{Q}_1 x(t) &= \mathcal{Q}_2 b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} x(t). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in C(J)$  and  $j = 1, 2$ . By Lemma 2, the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_j^k x$  is uniformly convergent on  $J$ , and since  $\Lambda_j^k x \in C(J)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\mathcal{Q}_j x \in C(J)$ .

Inequality (8) follows from (6). The linearity of  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  and (8) imply that  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  is a linear bounded operator.

In order to prove (9) it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} \Lambda_2^k x(t) &= \Lambda_1^k a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} x(t), \\ b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} \Lambda_1^k x(t) &= \Lambda_2^k b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} x(t), \end{aligned} \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, x \in C(J). \tag{10}$$

In view of  $\Lambda_j^0 x = x$ , (10) holds for  $k = 0$ . Suppose that

$$a I^{\gamma-\beta} \Lambda_2^n x = \Lambda_1^n a I^{\gamma-\beta} x \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a I^{\gamma-\beta} \Lambda_2^{n+1} x &= a I^{\gamma-\beta} \Lambda_2^n \Lambda_2 x = \Lambda_1^n a I^{\gamma-\beta} \Lambda_2 x = \Lambda_1^n a I^{\gamma-\beta} b I^{\alpha-\mu} a I^{\gamma-\beta} x \\ &= \Lambda_1^n \Lambda_1 a I^{\gamma-\beta} x = \Lambda_1^{n+1} a I^{\gamma-\beta} x. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved by induction that the first equality of (10) holds. Similarly, we can verify its second equality.  $\square$

COROLLARY 1.  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  maps  $P_+$  into  $P_+$  and

$$0 \leq \mathcal{Q}_j x(t) \leq \|x\| E_\rho(MT^\rho), \quad t \in J, x \in P_+, j = 1, 2. \tag{11}$$

*Proof.* In view of (5), we see that  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  maps  $P_+$  into  $P_+$ . Estimate (11) follows from (8).  $\square$

We now consider the auxiliary linear system of fractional differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1(t) + a(t) \mathcal{D}^\beta x_2(t) &= r_1(t), \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2(t) + b(t) \mathcal{D}^\mu x_1(t) &= r_2(t), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where  $r_1, r_2 \in C(J)$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $r_1, r_2 \in C(J)$  and

$$x_1(t) = \ell_1(x_1) + I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1 \left( r_1(t) - a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} r_2(t) \right), \tag{13}$$

$$x_2(t) = \ell_2(x_2) + I^\gamma \mathcal{Q}_2 \left( r_2(t) - b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} r_1(t) \right). \tag{14}$$

Then  $(x_1, x_2) \in X$  and it is the unique solution of problem (12), (3).

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $(x_1, x_2) \in X$  and  $x_j(0) = \ell_j(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Hence  $(x_1, x_2)$  satisfies the boundary condition (3).

We prove that  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1, \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2 \in C(J)$ . In view of

$$\begin{aligned} I^{1-\alpha}(x_1(t) - x_1(0)) &= I^1 \mathcal{Q}_1(r_1(t) - a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} r_2(t)), \\ I^{1-\gamma}(x_2(t) - x_2(0)) &= I^1 \mathcal{Q}_2(r_2(t) - b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} r_1(t)), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1(t) &= \mathcal{Q}_1(r_1(t) - a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} r_2(t)), \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2(t) &= \mathcal{Q}_2(r_2(t) - b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} r_1(t)), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1, \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2 \in C(J)$ , because  $r_1 - aI^{\gamma-\beta}r_2, r_2 - bI^{\alpha-\mu}r_1 \in C(J)$ .

We now show that  $(x_1, x_2)$  satisfies (12) for  $t \in J$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} I^{1-\alpha}(x_1(t) - x_1(0)) &= I^1 \mathcal{Q}_1(r_1(t) - a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} r_2(t)), \\ I^{1-\beta}(x_2(t) - x_2(0)) &= I^{1+\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{Q}_2(r_2(t) - b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} r_1(t)), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain (cf. (9))

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1 + a \mathcal{D}^\beta x_2 &= \mathcal{Q}_1(r_1 - aI^{\gamma-\beta}r_2) + aI^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{Q}_2(r_2 - bI^{\alpha-\mu}r_1) \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_1 r_1 - \mathcal{Q}_1 aI^{\gamma-\beta}r_2 + aI^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{Q}_2 r_2 - aI^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{Q}_2 bI^{\alpha-\mu}r_1 \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_1 r_1 - aI^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{Q}_2 r_2 + aI^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{Q}_2 r_2 - \mathcal{Q}_1 aI^{\gamma-\beta} bI^{\alpha-\mu}r_1 \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_1 r_1 - \mathcal{Q}_1 \Lambda_1 r_1 = r_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} I^{1-\gamma}(x_2(t) - x_2(0)) &= I^1 \mathcal{Q}_2(r_2(t) - b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} r_1(t)), \\ I^{1-\mu}(x_1(t) - x_1(0)) &= I^{1+\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{Q}_1(r_1(t) - a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} r_2(t)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2 + b \mathcal{D}^\mu x_1 &= \mathcal{Q}_2(r_2 - bI^{\alpha-\mu}r_1) + bI^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{Q}_1(r_1 - aI^{\gamma-\beta}r_2) \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_2r_2 - \mathcal{Q}_2bI^{\alpha-\mu}r_1 + bI^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{Q}_1r_1 - bI^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{Q}_1aI^{\gamma-\beta}r_2 \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_2r_2 - \mathcal{Q}_2bI^{\alpha-\mu}r_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2bI^{\alpha-\mu}r_1 - \mathcal{Q}_2bI^{\alpha-\mu}aI^{\gamma-\beta}r_2 \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_2r_2 - \mathcal{Q}_2\Lambda_2r_2 = r_2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $(x_1, x_2)$  satisfies (12) for  $t \in J$ .

It remains to prove that  $(x_1, x_2)$  is the unique solution of problem (12), (3). Let  $(y_1, y_2)$  be another solution of this problem and let  $w_j = x_j - y_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Then  $w_j(0) = \ell_j(w_j)$  and the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha w_1(t) + a(t) \mathcal{D}^\beta w_2(t) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma w_2(t) + b(t) \mathcal{D}^\mu w_1(t) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

hold for  $t \in J$ . Since (cf. Remark 2)  $\mathcal{D}^\mu w_1 = I^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{D}^\alpha w_1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^\beta w_2 = I^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{D}^\gamma w_2$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha w_1(t) + a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{D}^\gamma w_2(t) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma w_2(t) + b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{D}^\alpha w_1(t) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{D}^\alpha w_1(t) - a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{D}^\alpha w_1(t) = 0, \quad t \in J,$$

and so

$$u(t) = a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} u(t), \quad t \in J, \tag{16}$$

where  $u = \mathcal{D}^\alpha w_1$ . It follows from (16) that  $|u(t)| \leq M I^\rho |u(t)|$ , hence that

$$|u(t)| \leq M \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} |u(s)| ds, \quad t \in J. \tag{17}$$

If  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , then (17) together with Lemma 1 yield  $u = 0$ . If  $\rho \in [1, 2)$ , then

$$|u(t)| \leq \frac{MT^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t |u(s)| ds, \quad t \in J,$$

and  $u = 0$  now follows from the Gronwall–Bellman lemma. We have proved that  $u = 0$ , that is,  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha w_1 = 0$ . Then  $w_1$  is a constant function on  $J$  and it follows from  $w_1 = \ell_1(w_1)$  and  $\|\ell_1\| < 1$  that  $w_1 = 0$ . Therefore (cf. (15))  $\mathcal{D}^\gamma w_2 = 0$  and the analyze similar to  $w_1$  gives  $w_2 = 0$ . To summarize,  $(w_1, w_2) = (0, 0)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Operator $\mathcal{H}$ and its properties

Keeping in mind Lemma 4, define operators  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 : X_+ \rightarrow C(J)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) &= \ell_1(x_1) + I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)(t), \\ \mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) &= \ell_2(x_2) + I^\gamma \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2)(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_j : X_+ \rightarrow C(J)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) &= \mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) - a(t) I^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)(t), \\ \mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) &= \mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) - b(t) I^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)(t). \end{aligned}$$



Finally, let an operator  $\mathcal{H} : X_+ \rightarrow X$  be given by the formula

$$\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2) = (\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2), \mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2)).$$

The following two lemmas state that fixed points of  $\mathcal{H}$  are positive solutions to problem (2), (3) and  $\mathcal{H}$  is completely continuous.

LEMMA 5.  $\mathcal{H} : X_+ \rightarrow X_+$  and if  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a solution of problem (2), (3).

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{L}_j(x_1, x_2)(t) : X_+ \rightarrow P_+$  and  $a \leq 0, b \leq 0$  on  $J$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{H}_j : X_+ \rightarrow P_+$ , which together with Corollary 1 and the non-negativity of  $\ell_j$  give  $\mathcal{H}_j : X_+ \rightarrow P_+$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{H} : X_+ \rightarrow X_+$ .

Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  be a fixed point of  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $r_j(t) = \mathcal{L}_j(x_1, x_2)(t), j = 1, 2$ . Then  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2) = r_1 - aI^{\gamma-\beta}r_2, \quad \mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2) = r_2 - bI^{\alpha-\mu}r_1,$$

and equalities (13), (14) hold. Hence Lemma 4 guarantees that  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a solution of problem (2), (3).  $\square$

LEMMA 6. Let  $(H_1)$  hold. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is a completely continuous operator.

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{H}$  is completely continuous if and only if the operators  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  are completely continuous. We only prove that  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is completely continuous, because for  $\mathcal{H}_2$  the proof is similar.

Step 1.  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is continuous.

Let  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset X_+$  be a convergent sequence and let  $(u, v) \in X_+$  be its limit. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{L}_j(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{L}_j(u, v)\| = 0, j = 1, 2$ , and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{H}_1(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{H}_1(u, v)\| = 0$ . This together with the continuity of  $\ell_1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  give

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{H}_1(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{H}_1(u, v)\| = 0.$$

Step 2.  $\mathcal{H}_1$  takes bounded sets into bounded sets.

Let  $\Omega \subset X_+$  be bounded. Then there exist positive constants  $L_1, L_2$  such that

$$\|(u, v)\|_1 \leq L_1, \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{L}_j(u, v)(t) \leq L_2, \quad t \in J, (u, v) \in \Omega, j = 1, 2,$$

and so

$$|\mathcal{H}_1(u, v)(t)| \leq L_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\|a\|t^{\gamma-\beta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\beta+1)} \right) \leq W, \quad t \in J, (u, v) \in \Omega,$$

where

$$W = L_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\|a\|T^{\gamma-\beta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\beta+1)} \right).$$

Hence (cf. (8))

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}_1(u, v)(t)| &\leq \ell_1(u) + \|\mathcal{H}_1(u, v)\|E_\rho(MT^\rho) \frac{T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &\leq \|\ell_1\| \|u\| + \frac{WE_\rho(MT^\rho)T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &< L_1 + \frac{WE_\rho(MT^\rho)T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \quad t \in J, (u, v) \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega) = \{\mathcal{H}_1(u, v) : (u, v) \in \Omega\}$  is bounded in  $P_+$ .

*Step 3.*  $\mathcal{H}_1$  takes bounded sets into equicontinuous sets.

Let  $\Omega, L$  and  $W$  be from Step 2. Let  $(u, v) \in \Omega$  and  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}_1(u, v)(t_2) - \mathcal{H}_1(u, v)(t_1)| &= |I^\alpha \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{H}_1(u, v)(t_2) - I^\alpha \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{H}_1(u, v)(t_1)| \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{H}_1(u, v)(s)| \, ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{H}_1(u, v)(s)| \, ds \\ &\leq WE_\rho(MT^\rho) \frac{t_1^\alpha + 2(t_2 - t_1)^\alpha - t_2^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $t^\alpha$  is uniformly continuous on the interval  $J$ , we see that the family  $\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega)$  is equicontinuous on  $J$ .

To summarize, we conclude from Steps 1–3 and the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem that  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is completely continuous.  $\square$

#### 4. Existence results for problem (2), (3)

In the first part of this section, we prove the existence of positive solutions to problem (2), (3) (Theorems 1 and 2 below) by the well known Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem on cones [4] (Lemma 7 below).

LEMMA 7. *Let  $Y$  be a Banach space and  $\mathcal{D} \subset Y$  be a cone in  $Y$ . Assume that  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subset of  $Y$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1$ ,  $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ , and let  $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{D} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a completely continuous operator such that either*

$$\|\mathcal{S}u\| \geq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{D} \cap \partial\Omega_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{S}u\| \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{D} \cap \partial\Omega_2$$

or

$$\|\mathcal{S}u\| \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{D} \cap \partial\Omega_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{S}u\| \geq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{D} \cap \partial\Omega_2$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}$  has a fixed point in  $\mathcal{D} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

We are now in the position to state and prove the existence results for problem (2), (3).

THEOREM 1. *Let  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  hold. Then there exist  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  and a positive solution  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$  of problem (2), (3) such that  $r_1 \leq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq r_2$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 7 for  $Y = X$ ,  $\mathcal{D} = X_+$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{H}$  and  $\Omega_i = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X : \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 < r_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $r_1, r_2$  will be specified later.

It is clear that  $X_+$  is a cone in  $X$ . By Lemmas 5 and 6,  $\mathcal{H} : X_+ \rightarrow X_+$  is a completely continuous operator. The next part of the proof is divided into two steps.

*Step 1.* There exists  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1$  for  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$  and  $\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = r_1$ .

In view of (5), we have  $\mathcal{D}_j x(t) \geq \Lambda_j^0 x(t) = x(t)$  for  $x \in P_+$  and  $j = 1, 2$ .

Suppose that (cf.  $(H_2)$ )  $\mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \varepsilon$  for  $t \in J$  and  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$ . Then (note that  $\mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2) \geq 0$  because  $\mathcal{L}_2: X_+ \rightarrow P_+$ )

$$\mathcal{K}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \varepsilon, \quad \mathcal{K}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq 0,$$

and therefore for  $t \in J$  and  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$

$$I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{K}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq I^\alpha \mathcal{K}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}, \quad I^\gamma \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{K}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq 0.$$

Hence (note that  $\ell_j(x_j) \geq 0$  for  $x_j \in P_+$  since  $\ell_j \in \mathcal{A}$ )

$$\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{K}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq I^\gamma \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{K}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq 0$$

for  $t \in J$  and  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$ . Consequently,

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = \|\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)\| + \|\mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2)\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X_+.$$

Let  $c_1 = \varepsilon T^\alpha / \Gamma(\alpha + 1)$ . Then

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq c_1, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X_+.$$

If  $\mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \varepsilon$  for  $t \in J$  and  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$ , we have in an analogous way that

$$\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon t^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq c_2, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X_+,$$

where  $c_2 = \varepsilon T^\gamma / \Gamma(\gamma + 1)$ . Let  $r_1 = \min\{c_1, c_2\}$ . We have proved that

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq r_1, \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+.$$

In particular,

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \text{ for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \quad \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = r_1.$$

*Step 2.* There exists  $r_2 > r_1$  such that  $\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1$  for  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$  and  $\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = r_2$ .

In view of  $(H_3)$ , we have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{K}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\|a\| T^{\gamma-\beta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\beta+1)}\right) w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1),$$

$$0 \leq \mathcal{K}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\|b\| T^{\alpha-\mu}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\mu+1)}\right) w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1),$$

which together with (8) give

$$0 \leq \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{K}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \leq E_\rho(MT^\rho) \left(1 + \frac{\|a\| T^{\gamma-\beta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\beta+1)}\right) w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1),$$

$$0 \leq \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{K}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \leq E_\rho(MT^\rho) \left(1 + \frac{\|b\| T^{\alpha-\mu}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\mu+1)}\right) w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1).$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{K}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \leq R_1 w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1),$$

$$0 \leq I^\gamma \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{K}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) \leq R_2 w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1),$$

where

$$R_1 = \frac{T^\alpha E_\rho(MT^\rho)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left( 1 + \frac{\|a\| T^{\gamma-\beta}}{\Gamma(\gamma - \beta + 1)} \right),$$

$$R_2 = \frac{T^\gamma E_\rho(MT^\rho)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \left( 1 + \frac{\|b\| T^{\alpha-\mu}}{\Gamma(\alpha - \mu + 1)} \right).$$

Let  $d = \max\{\|\ell_1\|, \|\ell_2\|\}$ ,  $R = \max\{R_1, R_2\}$ . Then  $d < 1$  and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)\| \leq \|\ell_1\| \|x_1\| + R_1 w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1) \leq d \|x_1\| + R w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1),$$

$$\|\mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2)\| \leq \|\ell_2\| \|x_2\| + R_2 w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1) \leq d \|x_2\| + R w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1).$$

Hence

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq d \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 + 2R w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X_+. \tag{18}$$

Since, by  $(H_3)$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} w(x)/x = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (d + 2Rw(x)/x) = d < 1$ , and therefore there exists  $r_2 > r_1$  such that  $d + 2Rw(x)/x < 1$  for all  $x \geq r_2$ . In particular,  $dx + 2Rw(x) < x$  for  $x = r_2$ , that is,

$$d \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 + 2R w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1) < \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \text{ for } \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = r_2. \tag{19}$$

Combining (18) with (19) yields

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 < \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \text{ for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = r_2.$$

To summarize, we conclude from Steps 1 and 2 that for the sets  $\Omega_i = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X_+ : \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 < r_i\}$  the inequalities

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \text{ for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+ \cap \partial\Omega_1,$$

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 < \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \text{ for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+ \cap \partial\Omega_2,$$

hold. Hence there exists at least one fixed point  $(x_1, x_2)$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $X_+ \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$ . Therefore  $r_1 \leq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq r_2$  and Lemma 5 gives that  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a positive solution of problem (2), (3).  $\square$

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $r_j: J \times \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be continuous and bounded,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $r_1 \geq \varepsilon > 0$  on  $J \times \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $\varphi, \psi: J \rightarrow J$  be continuous,  $v \in (0, 1/2)$  and  $\tau_i \in (0, 1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_j: X_+ \rightarrow P_+$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) = r_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) + \|x_1 x_2\|^v + (x_1(\varphi(t)))^{\tau_1},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) = \int_0^{\psi(t)} r_2(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) \left( (x_1(s))^{\tau_2} + (x_2(s))^{\tau_3} \right) ds.$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}_j$  is continuous and satisfies  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  for  $\omega(x) = K + 2(1 + KT) + 2(1 + KT)x^\eta$ , where  $K = \sup\{r_j(t, x_1, x_2) : (t, x_1, x_2) \in J \times \mathbb{R}_+^2, j = 1, 2\}$  and  $\eta =$

$\max\{2\nu, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\}$ . Hence, by Theorem 1, there exists at least one positive solution  $(x_1, x_2)$  of the system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1(t) + a(t)\mathcal{D}^\beta x_2(t) &= r_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) + \|x_1 x_2\|^v + (x_1(\varphi(t)))^{\tau_1}, \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2(t) + b(t)\mathcal{D}^\mu x_1(t) &= \int_0^{\psi(t)} r_2(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) \left( (x_1(s))^{\tau_2} + (x_2(s))^{\tau_3} \right) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

satisfying the boundary condition (3).

For the solvability of problem (4), (3) we have the following result.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f_j \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$  and  $f_j \geq 0, j = 1, 2$ . Let*

*(P<sub>1</sub>) there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that either*

$$f_1(t, x_1, x_2) \geq \varepsilon \text{ for } t \in J, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

*or*

$$f_2(t, x_1, x_2) \geq \varepsilon \text{ for } t \in J, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

*(P<sub>2</sub>) there exists a nondecreasing  $w \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} w(x)/x = 0$  and*

$$f_j(t, x_1, x_2) \leq w(x_1 + x_2) \text{ for } t \in J, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, j = 1, 2.$$

*Then there exists at least one positive solution  $(x_1, x_2)$  of problem (4), (3).*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}_j(x_1, x_2)(t) = f_j(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))$  for  $t \in J, (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, j = 1, 2$ . Then system (4) can be written as (2). Conditions (P<sub>1</sub>) and (P<sub>2</sub>) guarantee that  $\mathcal{L}_j$  satisfies (H<sub>2</sub>), (H<sub>3</sub>). The existence result for problem (4), (3) now follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $\tau_i \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then  $f_1(t, x_1, x_2) = e^t + x_2^{\tau_1} \ln(1 + x_1^2), f_2(t, x_1, x_2) = |\sin t| + x_1^{\tau_2} + x_2^{\tau_3}$  satisfy conditions (P<sub>1</sub>) and (P<sub>2</sub>) for  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $w(x) = e^T + x^{\tau_1} \ln(1 + x^2) + x^{\tau_2} + x^{\tau_3}$ . Hence Corollary 2 guarantees that the system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1 + a(t)\mathcal{D}^\beta x_2 &= e^t + x_2^{\tau_1} \ln(1 + x_1^2), \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2 + b(t)\mathcal{D}^\mu x_1 &= |\sin t| + x_1^{\tau_2} + x_2^{\tau_3}, \end{aligned}$$

has at least one positive solution  $(x_1, x_2)$  satisfying the boundary condition (3).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>4</sub>) and (H<sub>5</sub>) hold. Then there exist  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  and a positive solution  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$  of problem (2), (3) such that  $r_1 \leq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq r_2$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 1, we apply Lemma 7.

*Step 1.* There exists  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1$  for  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$  and  $\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = r_1$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &= 1 + \frac{\|a\|T^{\gamma-\beta}}{\Gamma(\gamma-\beta+1)}, & R_2 &= 1 + \frac{\|b\|T^{\alpha-\mu}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\mu+1)} \\
 K_1 &= \frac{R_1 E_\rho(MT^\rho)T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, & K_2 &= \frac{R_2 E_\rho(MT^\rho)T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}, \\
 S &= \frac{1-d}{K_1+K_2}, & d &= \max\{\|\ell_1\|, \|\ell_2\|\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell_1, \ell_2$  are from (3). In view of (H4), there exists  $r_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{L}_j(x_1, x_2)\| \leq S\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \text{ for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq r_1, j = 1, 2.$$

Hence for these  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $j$  we have

$$\|\mathcal{K}_j(x_1, x_2)\| \leq SR_j\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1$$

and (cf. (8))

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_j\mathcal{K}_j(x_1, x_2)\| \leq SR_j E_\rho(MT^\rho)\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1.$$

Therefore for  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\| \leq r_1$  and  $j = 1, 2,$

$$\|\mathcal{H}_j(x_1, x_2)\| \leq \|\ell_j\|\|x_j\| + SK_j\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1.$$

Consequently, for  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\| \leq r_1,$

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq (d + S(K_1 + K_2))\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1.$$

In particular,

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = r_1.$$

*Step 2.* There exists  $r_2 > r_1$  such that  $\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1$  for  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$  and  $\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = r_2.$

Let (cf. (H5))

$$\lim_{(x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)\|}{\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1} > \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha}.$$

Then there exists  $p_1 > r_1$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)\| \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha}\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \text{ for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq p_1.$$

Hence for these  $(x_1, x_2)$  the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)\| &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{T^\alpha}\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1, \\
 \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) &\geq I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)(t), \quad t \in J,
 \end{aligned}$$

hold. Since  $\mathcal{Q}_1x(t) \geq x(t)$  for  $x \in P_+,$  we have

$$\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq I^\alpha \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)T^\alpha}{T^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1, \quad t \in J,$$

and so

$$\|\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)\| \geq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq p_1.$$

Consequently,

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq \|\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2)\| \geq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq p_1. \quad (21)$$

If

$$\lim_{(x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)\|}{\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1} > \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}{T^\gamma},$$

then there exists  $p_2 > r_1$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)\| \geq \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}{T^\gamma} \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq p_2.$$

We can now proceed analogously to the above part of this step and have

$$\|\mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2)\| \geq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq p_2. \quad (22)$$

Let  $r_2 = \max\{p_1, p_2\}$ . Then it follows from (21) and (22) that

$$\|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_+, \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = r_2.$$

As a result, we conclude from Steps 1 and 2 and Lemma 7 that  $\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2)$  for some  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$ ,  $r_1 \leq \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq r_2$ . This fact together with Lemma 5 give that  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a positive solution of problem (2), (3).  $\square$

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $r_1, r_2 \in C(J)$ ,  $r_1 > 0$ ,  $r_2 \geq 0$  on  $J$ ,  $\varphi: J \rightarrow J$  be continuous and  $\tau_i \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_j: X_+ \rightarrow P_+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) &= r_1(t) (\|x_1\|^{\tau_1} + \|x_2\|^{\tau_2}), \\ \mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) &= \int_0^t r_2(s) (x_1(s))^{\tau_3} ds + (x_2(\varphi(t)))^{\tau_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}_j$  is continuous, satisfies condition  $(H_1)$  and it follows from the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)(t) &\leq \|r_1\| (\|(x_1, x_2)\|^{\tau_1} + \|(x_1, x_2)\|^{\tau_2}) \\ \mathcal{L}_2(x_1, x_2)(t) &\leq \|r_2\| T \|(x_1, x_2)\|^{\tau_3} + \|(x_1, x_2)\|^{\tau_4}, \end{aligned}$$

that  $\mathcal{L}_j$  fulfils condition  $(H_4)$ .

If  $\|x_1\| \geq \|x_2\|$ , then

$$\|x_1\|^{\tau_1} = \left( \frac{\|x_1\|}{2} + \frac{\|x_1\|}{2} \right)^{\tau_1} \geq \left( \frac{\|x_1\|}{2} + \frac{\|x_2\|}{2} \right)^{\tau_1} = \left( \frac{\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1}{2} \right)^{\tau_1}.$$

Similarly, if  $\|x_2\| \geq \|x_1\|$ , then  $\|x_1\|^{\tau_2} \geq (\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1/2)^{\tau_2}$ . Hence (for  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$ ,  $\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \geq 1$ )

$$\|\mathcal{L}_1(x_1, x_2)\| \geq \min\{r_1(t) : t \in J\} \min\left\{ \frac{1}{2^{\tau_1}}, \frac{1}{2^{\tau_2}} \right\} \|(x_1, x_2)\|_1^\eta,$$

where  $\eta = \min\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ , and therefore  $\mathcal{L}_1$  satisfies condition  $(H_5)$ . By Theorem 2, there exists at least one positive solution of the system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^\alpha x_1(t) + a(t) \mathcal{D}^\beta x_2(t) &= r_1(t) (\|x_1\|^{\tau_1} + \|x_2\|^{\tau_2}), \\ \mathcal{D}^\gamma x_2(t) + b(t) \mathcal{D}^\mu x_1(t) &= \int_0^t r_2(s) (x_1(s))^{\tau_3} ds + (x_2(\varphi(t)))^{\tau_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

satisfying the boundary condition (3). We note that problem (23), (3) has also the trivial solution  $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ .

In the second part of this section, the existence of positive solutions (Theorem 3 below) is proved by the following nonlinear Leray–Schauder alternative [2, Corollary 8.1].

LEMMA 8. *Let  $Y$  be a Banach space and let  $\mathcal{S} : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a completely continuous operator. Then the following alternative holds: Either the equation  $x = \lambda \mathcal{S}x$  has a solution for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  or the set  $\{x \in Y : x = \lambda \mathcal{S}x \text{ for some } \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$  is unbounded.*

THEOREM 3. *Let  $(H_3)$  hold and let*

$$\mathcal{L}_1(0, 0)(t_0) + \mathcal{L}_2(0, 0)(t_0) > 0 \text{ for some } t_0 \in J. \tag{24}$$

*Then problem (2), (3) has at least one positive solution.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}_j^*, \mathcal{K}_j^* : X \rightarrow P_+$  and  $\mathcal{H}_j^* : X \rightarrow C(J)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be defined by the formulas

$$\mathcal{L}_j^*(x_1, x_2)(t) = \mathcal{L}_j(|x_1|, |x_2|)(t), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1^*(x_1, x_2)(t) = \mathcal{L}_1^*(x_1, x_1)(t) - a(t)I^{\gamma-\beta} \mathcal{L}_2^*(x_1, x_2)(t),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2^*(x_1, x_2)(t) = \mathcal{L}_2^*(x_1, x_1)(t) - b(t)I^{\alpha-\mu} \mathcal{L}_1^*(x_1, x_2)(t),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1^*(x_1, x_2)(t) = \ell_1(x_1) + I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{K}_1^*(x_1, x_2)(t),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2^*(x_1, x_2)(t) = \ell_2(x_2) + I^\gamma \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{K}_2^*(x_1, x_2)(t).$$

Finally, let  $\mathcal{H}^* : X \rightarrow X$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}^*(x_1, x_2) = (\mathcal{H}_1^*(x_1, x_2), \mathcal{H}_2^*(x_1, x_2)).$$

We can proceed analogously to the proof of Lemma 6 and show that  $\mathcal{H}^*$  is a completely continuous operator.

Suppose that  $(x_1, x_2) = \lambda \mathcal{H}^*(x_1, x_2)$  for some  $(x_1, x_2) \in X$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ . Then

$$x_1(t) = \lambda \left( \ell_1(x_1) + I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{K}_1^*(x_1, x_2)(t) \right),$$

$$x_2(t) = \lambda \left( \ell_2(x_2) + I^\gamma \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{K}_2^*(x_1, x_2)(t) \right).$$

In view of  $I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{K}_1^*(x_1, x_2), I^\gamma \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{K}_2^*(x_1, x_2) \in P_+$ , we have  $x_j(t) \geq \lambda \ell_j(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Applying  $\ell_j$  to both sides of the last relation we get  $\ell_j(x_j) (1 - \lambda \ell_j(1)) \geq 0$  and since  $\lambda \ell_j(1) \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\ell_j(x_j) \geq 0$ . Consequently,  $x_j \geq 0$  on  $J$  and  $\mathcal{H}^*(x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)$ . We now argue as in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 1 and show that condition  $(H_3)$  guarantees the estimate

$$\|\mathcal{H}(y_1, y_2)\|_1 \leq (d + R_1)w(\|(y_1, y_2)\|_1), \quad (y_1, y_2) \in X_+,$$

where  $d = \max\{\|\ell_1\|, \|\ell_2\|\}$  and  $R_1$  is a positive constant. Hence

$$\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{H}^*(x_1, x_2)\|_1 = \|\mathcal{H}(x_1, x_2)\|_1 \leq (d + R_1)w(\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1). \tag{25}$$

Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} w(x)/x = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (d + R_1)w(x)/x = 0$ , and therefore there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $(d + R_1)w(x) < x$  for all  $x \geq r$ . The last relation together with (25) give  $\|(x_1, x_2)\|_1 < r$ .



We have proved that every fixed point  $(x_1, x_2)$  of the operator  $\lambda \mathcal{H}^*$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ , belongs to the set  $X_+$  and that the set  $\{(x_1, x_2) \in X : (x_1, x_2) = \lambda \mathcal{H}^*(x_1, x_2)\}$  is bounded in  $C(J)$ . By Lemma 8 (for  $Y = X$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{H}^*$ ), there exists a fixed point  $(x_1, x_2)$  of  $\mathcal{H}^*$ . Hence  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_+$ , and so  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{H}$ . In view of Lemma 5,  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a solution of problem (2), (3). It remains to prove that  $(x_1, x_2)$  is a positive solution of this problem. Suppose that  $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ . Then  $I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{K}_1(0, 0)(t) + I^\alpha \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{K}_2(0, 0)(t) = 0$  for  $t \in J$ . Hence  $\mathcal{K}_1(0, 0)(t) + \mathcal{K}_2(0, 0)(t) = 0$  on  $J$ , which contradicts  $\mathcal{K}_1(0, 0)(t) + \mathcal{K}_2(0, 0)(t) \geq \mathcal{L}_1(0, 0)(t) + \mathcal{L}_2(0, 0)(t)$  for  $t \in J$  and (24).  $\square$

EXAMPLE 4. Let  $\varphi, \psi, v, \tau_i, \mathcal{L}_j$  be as in Example 1, where  $r_j: J \times \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is continuous and bounded,  $j = 1, 2$ , and  $r_1(t_0, 0, 0) > 0$  for some  $t_0 \in J$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_j: X_+ \rightarrow P_+$  is continuous, satisfies condition  $(H_3)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_1(0, 0)(t_0) + \mathcal{L}_2(0, 0)(t_0) = \mathcal{L}_1(0, 0)(t_0) > 0$ . By Theorem 3 there exists at least one positive solution  $(x_1, x_2)$  of system (20) satisfying the boundary condition (3).

*Acknowledgement.* Supported by the grant No. 14-06958S of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. AHMAD, S. K. NTOUYAS AND A. ALSAEDI, *On a coupled system of fractional differential equations with coupled nonlocal and integral boundary conditions*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, **83** (2016), 234–241.
- [2] K. DEIMLING, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [3] K. DIETHELM, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg 2010.
- [4] D. GUO AND V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [5] J. HENDERSON AND R. LUCA, *Nonexistence of positive solutions for a system of coupled fractional boundary value problems*, Bound. Value Probl. (2015) 2015:138 DOI 10.1186/s13661-015-0403-8
- [6] J. HENDERSON AND R. LUCA, *Positive solutions for a system of semipositone coupled fractional boundary value problems*, Bound. Value Probl. (2016) 2016:61 DOI 10.1186/s13661-016-0569-8
- [7] D. HENRY, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lectures Notes in Math., vol. 840, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1989.
- [8] A. A. KILBAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA AND J. J. TRUJILLO, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [9] Y. LI, Y. SANG AND H. ZHANG, *Solvability of a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with fractional integral conditions*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. (2016) 50:73–91 DOI 10.1007/s12190-014-0859-1
- [10] P. LI, H. WANG AND Z. LI, *Infinitely many solutions to boundary value problems for a coupled system of fractional differential equations*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9** (2016), 3433–3444.
- [11] S. N. RAO, *Existence and multiplicity for a system of fractional higher-order two-point boundary value problem*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. (2016) 51:93–107 DOI 10.1007/s12190-015-0893-7
- [12] K. SHAH AND R. A. KHAN, *Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to a coupled system of nonlinear fractional order differential equations with anti periodic boundary conditions*, Differ. Equ. Appl. **7**, 2 (2015), 245–262.
- [13] K. SHAH, A. ALI AND R. A. KHAN, *Degree theory and existence of positive solutions to coupled systems of multi-point boundary value problems*, Bound. Value Probl. (2016) 2016:43 DOI 10.1186/s13661-016-0553-3

- [14] H. ZHANG, Y. LI AND W. LU, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with fractional integral boundary conditions*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9** (2016), 2434–2447.
- [15] Y. ZHAO, H. CHEN AND B. QIN, *Multiple solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations via variational methods*, Appl. Math. Comp. **257** (2015), 417–427.
- [16] D. ZHAO AND Y. LIU, *Positive solutions for a class of fractional differential coupled system with integral boundary value conditions*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9** (2016), 2922–2942.

(Received October 7, 2016)

*Svatoslav Staněk*  
*Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Science*  
*Palacký University*  
*17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic*  
*e-mail: svatoslav.stanek@upol.cz*