

SOME PROPERTIES OF p -VALENT ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING CHO-KWON-SRIVASTAVA INTEGRAL OPERATOR

K. I. NOOR, S. Z. H. BUKHARI, M. ARIF AND M. NAZIR

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a subclass of p -valent functions involving Cho-Kwon-Srivastava integral operator. We study some interesting results including inclusion relations, convolution with convex functions and integral preserving property for this class.

1. Introduction

Let A_p denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \quad (1.1)$$

which are p -valent analytic in the open unit disk $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For functions $f, g \in A_p$ of the form (1.1), We define the convolution of f and g by

$$f(z) * g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} = g(z) * f(z).$$

We consider the following well-known subclasses

$$S_p^*(\delta) = \left\{ f : f \in A_p \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \delta, \quad 0 \leq \delta < p, z \in E \right\}$$

and

$$C_p(\delta) = \left\{ f : f \in A_p \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} > \delta, \quad 0 \leq \delta < p, z \in E \right\}.$$

Both of these classes were extensively studied by many authors, for example, see [17, 22]. The operator $\varphi_p(a, c)$ is defined as follows

$$\varphi_p(a, c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^{p+k}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, \dots\}, z \in E, \quad (1.2)$$

where $(x)_k$ is the shifted factorial defined in term of gamma function by

$$(x)_k = \frac{\Gamma(x+k)}{\Gamma(x)} = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0, x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \\ x(x+1)\dots(x+k-1), & k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{C} \end{cases}.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords and phrases: Convolution, subordination, Cho-Kwon-Srivastava integral operator.

Using convolution, the operator $L_p(a, c)$ can be defined as

$$L_p(a, c)f(z) = \varphi_p(a, c; z) * f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad z \in E, \quad (1.3)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $f \in A_p$ and $\varphi_p(a, c)$ is defined in (1.2). The operator $L_p(a, c)$ was studied by Saitoh [19]. This operator is an extension of the operator $L(a, c)$ introduced by Carlson and Shaffer [1] and fractional derivative operator D_z^λ , for details, see [15, 16, 21]. Moreover, these operators were studied by various authors, for example [11, 13].

Analogous to the operator $L_p(a, c)$, Cho-Kwon-Srivastava [2], introduced the operator $I_p^\lambda(a, c)$ as below.

$$I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) = \varphi_p^\dagger(a, c; z) * f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + p)_k (c)_k}{k!(a)_k} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in A_p$ and $\varphi_p^\dagger(a, c)$ is such that

$$\varphi_p^\dagger(a, c; z) * \varphi_p(a, c; z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{\lambda+p}}, \quad z \in E.$$

From (1.4), the following identities can easily be obtained.

$$z \left(I_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z) \right)' = a I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) - (a-p) I_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z), \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$z \left(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) \right)' = (\lambda + p) I_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f(z) - \lambda I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z). \quad (1.6)$$

For (1.5) and (1.6), we refer [2]. We also observe that $I_p^1(p+1, 1)f(z) = f(z)$ and $I_p^1(p, 1)f(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{p}$. The operator $I_1^\lambda(v+2, 1)$, $\lambda > -1$, $v > -2$ was studied in [3]. For some details, see [7, 10, 14].

For any two analytic functions f and g , we say that f is subordinate to g and write $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function w analytic in E with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. Furthermore, if g is univalent in E , then $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(E) \subset g(E)$. Let \tilde{N} be the class of analytic functions \varkappa such that $\varkappa(0) = 1$, which are univalent and convex in E and satisfy the condition $\text{Re } \varkappa(z) > 0$, $z \in E$.

As in [12], using the principle of subordination between analytic functions, we define the following.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $f \in A_p$ for $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$. Then $f \in Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p-\delta} \left\{ \frac{z \left(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) \right)' + \beta z^2 \left(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) \right)''}{(1-\beta) I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z \left(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) \right)'} - \delta \right\} \prec \varkappa(z), \quad z \in E. \quad (1.7)$$

We have the following special cases.

(i) For $\beta = 0$, we obtain the class $Q_p^{\lambda,0,\delta}(a,c;\varkappa(z))$, introduced in [2] and further studied in [20].

(ii) By taking $\beta = 0$, $a = p + 1$, $c = 1 = \lambda$ in (1.7), we obtain the class $S_p^*(\delta;\varkappa(z))$ and $\beta = 0$, $a = p$, $c = 1 = \lambda$, gives us the class $C_p(\delta;\varkappa(z))$. These classes were studied by Ma and Minda [8]. For details, also see [6].

(iii) For $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $a = p + 1$, $c = 1 = \lambda$ and $\varkappa(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, we have the class $T_\beta(p, \delta)$ which has been studied in [5].

Furthermore, for different choices of parameters being involved, we obtain many other known subclasses of the class A_p .

2. Preliminary results

The following lemmas are of prime importance in the proof of our main results.

LEMMA 2.1. [4] *Let $\tau \neq 0$ and ν be complex numbers and let $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$ such that $\text{Re}(\tau\varkappa(z) + \nu) > 0$, $z \in E$. If $h(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$, is analytic in E with $h(0) = 1$, then*

$$h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{\tau h(z) + \nu} \prec \varkappa(z), z \in E \implies h \prec \varkappa(z).$$

LEMMA 2.2. [18] *Let $f \in S^*$ and $g \in C$. Then for any analytic function χ*

$$\frac{f * \chi g}{f * g}(E) \subseteq \overline{c\delta}\chi(E),$$

where $\overline{c\delta}\chi(E)$ denotes the closed convex hull of $\chi(E)$.

LEMMA 2.3. [9] *Let $H \in S^*$ defined in E with $H(0) = 0$ and let $h(z) = b + b_nz^n + b_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots$ with $b \neq 0$ be analytic in E and satisfy*

$$\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \prec H(z), z \in E.$$

Then

$$h(z) \prec q(z) = b \exp \left[\frac{1}{n} \int_0^z t^{-1} H(t) dt \right], z \in E,$$

where q is the best dominant in the sense if $h(z) \prec q_1(z)$, then $q(z) \prec q_1(z)$.

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. Let $f \in A_p$ for $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \varkappa(z) > \left(1 - \frac{a}{p-\delta}\right)$. Then

$$Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z)) \subset Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a+1, c; \varkappa(z)), \quad z \in E.$$

Proof. For $f \in A_p$, $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa(z) \in \tilde{N}$, consider

$$H(z) = \frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f)' + \beta z^2(I_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f)''}{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f)'}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $H(z) = (p-\delta)h(z) + \delta$ is analytic in E with $H(0) = 1$. Using (1.5) in (3.1), we have

$$H(z) + a - p = \frac{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a+1, c)f(z))'}. \quad (3.2)$$

Differentiating (3.2) logarithmically and then using (3.1), we obtain

$$H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{H(z) + a - p} = \frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))' + \beta z^2(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))''}{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}.$$

Using (1.7) in the above equation and then replacing H by $(p-\delta)h + \delta$, we can write

$$h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{(p-\delta)h(z) + \delta + a - p} \prec \varkappa(z), \quad z \in E. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 2.1 and (3.3) yield $h(z) \prec \varkappa(z)$ for $\operatorname{Re} \varkappa(z) > \left(1 - \frac{a}{p-\delta}\right)$, that is $f \in Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a+1, c; \varkappa(z))$. Thus

$$Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z)) \subset Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a+1, c; \varkappa(z)), \quad z \in E.$$

THEOREM 3.2. Let $f \in Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$ and let $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \varkappa(z) > \left(1 - \frac{p-\beta}{p-\delta}\right)$. Then

$$Q_p^{\lambda+1, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z)) \subset Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z)), \quad z \in E.$$

Proof. Let $f \in Q_p^{\lambda+1, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$ for the conditions on the parametres described above in the theorem. Then by (1.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{p-\delta} \left\{ \frac{z(I_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f)' + \beta z^2(I_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f)''}{(1-\beta)I_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f + \beta z(I_p^{\lambda+1}(a, c)f)'} - \delta \right\} \prec \varkappa(z). \quad (3.4)$$

Set

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{p-\delta} \left\{ \frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z))' + \beta z^2(I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z))''}{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z))'} - \delta \right\}, \tag{3.5}$$

where h is analytic in E with $h(0) = 1$. Using (1.6) in (3.5) and simplifying, we can write

$$H(z) + \beta = (p + \lambda) \frac{(1-\beta)I_p^{\lambda+1}(a,c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^{\lambda+1}(a,c)f(z))''}{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z))'}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $H(z) = (p-\delta)h(z) + \delta$. Logarithmic differentiation of (3.6) and an application of (3.5) yield

$$H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{H(z) + \beta} = \frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z))' + \beta z^2(I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z))''}{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a,c)f(z))'}.$$

Replacing $H(z)$ by $(p-\delta)h(z) + \delta$ in the above equation, simplifying and then using (3.4), we have

$$h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{(p-\delta)h(z) + \delta + \beta} \prec \varkappa(z), z \in E. \tag{3.7}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (3.7), we have $h \prec \varkappa(z)$ for $\text{Re } \varkappa(z) > \left(1 - \frac{p-\beta}{p-\delta}\right)$. That is $f \in Q_p^{\lambda,\beta,\delta}(a,c; \varkappa(z))$. Thus

$$Q_p^{\lambda+1,\beta,\delta}(a,c; \varkappa(z)) \subset Q_p^{\lambda,\beta,\delta}(a,c; \varkappa(z)), z \in E.$$

The operator $\mathcal{F}_\eta : A_p \rightarrow A_p$ is defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{F}_\eta(z) = \mathcal{F}_\eta(f(z)) = \frac{\eta+p}{z^\eta} \int_0^z t^{\eta-1} f(t) dt, \eta > -p, z \in E. \tag{3.8}$$

By taking $p = 1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{N}$ in (3.6), we have the well known Bernardi operator. \square

We now prove:

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $f \in Q_p^{\lambda,\beta,\delta}(a,c; \varkappa(z))$ for $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \lambda > -p, p \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$. Then the function \mathcal{F}_η defined by (3.8) is also in the class $Q_p^{\lambda,\beta,\delta}(a,c; \varkappa(z))$ for $\text{Re } \varkappa(z) > \left(1 - \frac{p-\eta}{p-\delta}\right)$ and $z \in E$.*

Proof. By simplifying (3.8) and using the operator $I_p^\lambda(a,c)$, for $z \in E$, we can write

$$z \left(I_p^\lambda(a,c) \mathcal{F}_\eta(z) \right)' = (p + \eta) I_p^\lambda(a,c) f - \eta I_p^\lambda(a,c) \mathcal{F}_\eta(z). \tag{3.9}$$

We take

$$h = \frac{1}{p-\delta} \left\{ \frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a,c)\mathcal{F}_\eta(z))' + \beta z^2(I_p^\lambda(a,c)\mathcal{F}_\eta(z))''}{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a,c)\mathcal{F}_\eta(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a,c)\mathcal{F}_\eta(z))'} - \delta \right\}, \tag{3.10}$$

where h is analytic in E with $h(0) = 1$. Equations (3.9) and (3.10) yield

$$\frac{(p - \delta)h(z) + \delta + \eta}{p + \eta} = \frac{(1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{(1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)\mathcal{F}_\eta(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)\mathcal{F}_\eta(z))'}.$$

Using technique similar to that of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the required result. \square

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $f \in A_p$, $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{Q}_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$ if and only if*

$$G(z) = (1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta z f'(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_p^{\lambda, 0, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z)), \quad z \in E.$$

Proof. Using the operator $I_p^\lambda(a, c)$, we can write

$$I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z) = (1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z \left(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) \right)', \quad z \in E. \quad (3.11)$$

Logarithmic differentiation of (3.11) yields

$$\frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z))'}{I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z)} = \frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))' + \beta z^2(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))''}{(1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}. \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.12), we obtain the desired result. \square

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $f \in A_p$, $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{Q}_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$ and $\Psi(z) \in C$, we have*

$$[z^{p-1}\Psi(z)] * f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z)), \quad z \in E.$$

Proof. Let $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$, $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{Q}_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$. Then by using (1.7), we have

$$\frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))' + \beta z^2(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))''}{(1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'} = \varkappa(z), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\chi(z) = ((p - \delta)(\varkappa(w(z)) + \delta)$, w is analytic in E with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$.

Let $G(z) = [z^{p-1}\Psi(z)] * f(z)$ for $f \in \mathcal{Q}_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$ and $\Psi \in C$. By using the properties of the convolution and (3.13), we can write

$$\frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z))' + \beta z^2(I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z))''}{(1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z))'} = \frac{z^{p-1}\Psi(z) * g\chi(z)}{z^{p-1}\Psi(z) * g(z)},$$

where $g(z) = (1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'$. We take

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z) &= \frac{1}{p - \delta} \left\{ \frac{z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z))' + \beta z^2(I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z))''}{(1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)G(z))'} - \delta \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{p - \delta} \left(\frac{\Psi(z) * z^{1-p}g(z)((p - \delta)\varkappa(w(z)) + \delta)}{\Psi(z) * z^{1-p}g(z)} - \delta \right). \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $z^{1-p} \left((1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f)' \right) \in S^*$ and $\Psi \in C$, so application of Lemma 2.1 in (3.14) yields the required result. \square

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$. Then $f \in Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$ if and only if*

$$f(z) = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!(a)_k}{(\lambda + p)_k (c)_k [1 - \beta + \beta(p + k)]} z^{p+k} \right] * \left[z^p \exp \int_0^z \frac{H(t)}{t} dt \right], \quad z \in E,$$

where $H(t) = ((p - \delta)(\varkappa(w(t)) - 1))$, w is analytic in E with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$.

Proof. Let $f \in Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$ for $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, \dots\}$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$. Then by using the definition of the class $Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$ and simplifying, we can write

$$\frac{(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))' + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))''}{(1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'} - \frac{p}{z} = \frac{(p - \delta)(\varkappa(w) - 1)}{z},$$

where w is analytic in E with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$. Thus

$$(1 - \beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))' = z^p \exp \int_0^z \frac{H(t)}{t} dt,$$

for $H(t) = ((p - \delta)(\varkappa(w(t)) - 1))$. This implies that

$$f(z) = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!(a)_k}{(\lambda + p)_k (c)_k [1 - \beta + \beta(p + k)]} z^{p+k} \right] * \left[z^p \exp \int_0^z \frac{H(t)}{t} dt \right]. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 3.1. *A function f belongs to the class $Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; A, B)$ for $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, if and only if*

$$f(z) = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!(a)_k}{(\lambda + p)_k (c)_k [1 - \beta + \beta(p + k)]} z^{p+k} \right] * \left[z^p \exp \int_0^z \frac{H_1(t)}{t} dt \right],$$

where $H_1(t) = \frac{(p-\delta)((A-B)w(t))}{(1+Bw(t))}$, w is analytic in E with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$.

THEOREM 3.7. Let $f \in A_p$, $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$. Then for $f \in Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$,

$$\frac{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{z^p} \prec \exp \int_0^z \frac{((p-\delta)(\varkappa(t)-1))dt}{t}, \quad z \in E.$$

Proof. We consider

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= z^{-p} \left[(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))' \right] \\ &= 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots, \quad z \in E. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By taking logarithmic differentiation of (3.15) and then using (1.7), we obtain

$$\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \prec H(z) = ((p-\delta)(\varkappa(z)-1)), \quad z \in E.$$

The function $H(z) = ((p-\delta)(\varkappa(z)-1))$ is starlike because $\varkappa(z)$ is convex univalent in E . Using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\frac{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{z^p} \prec \exp \int_0^z \frac{H(t)}{t} dt, \quad z \in E,$$

where $H(t) = ((p-\delta)(\varkappa(t)-1))$. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. Let $f \in Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; \varkappa(z))$, where $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 \leq \delta < p$ and $\varkappa \in \tilde{N}$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_k (c)_k (1-\beta + \beta(p+k))}{k!(a)_k} a_{p+k} z^k \prec \exp \int_0^z \frac{((p-\delta)(\varkappa(t)-1))dt}{t}, \quad z \in E.$$

The proof is simple and straight forward.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let $f \in Q_p^{\lambda, \beta, \delta}(a, c; A, B)$ where $a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $0 \leq \delta < p$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Then

$$\frac{(1-\beta)I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \beta z(I_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{z^p} \prec (1+Bz)^{(A-B)(p-\delta)B}, \quad z \in E.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] B. C. CARLSON, B. D. SHAFFER, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **15** (4) (1984), 737–745.
- [2] N. E. CHO, O. S. KWON, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, *Inclusion relationships and argument properties for certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **292** (2004), 470–483.
- [3] J. H. CHOI, M. SAIGO, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, *Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **276** (2002), 432–445.
- [4] P. EENIGENBURG, S. S. MILLER, P. T. MOCANU, M. O. READE, *On a Briot–Bouquet differential subordination*, Gen. Math. 3, Int. Series of Num. Math., Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 64 (1983), 339–348; see also Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **29** (1984), 567–573.
- [5] H. IRMAK, R. K. RAINA, *The starlikeness and convexity of multivalent functions involving certain inequalities*, Rev. Mat. Complut., **16** (2) (2003), 391–399.
- [6] Y. C. KIM, J. H. CHOI, T. SUGAWA, *Coefficient bounds and convolution properties for certain classes of close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **76** (2000), 95–98.
- [7] J.-L. LIU, *The Noor integral and strongly starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **261** (2001), 441–447.
- [8] W. MA, D. MINDA, *An internal geometric characterization of strongly starlike functions*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A, **45** (1991), 89–97.
- [9] S. S. MILLER, P. T. MOCANU, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 225, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [10] K. I. NOOR, *On new classes of integral operators*, J. Natur. Geom., **16** (1999), 71–80.
- [11] K. I. NOOR, *On classes of analytic functions defined by convolution with incomplete beta functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **307** (2005), 339–349.
- [12] K. I. NOOR, *On some applications of certain integral operators*, Appl. Math. Comput., **188** (2007), 814–823.
- [13] K. I. NOOR, S. MUSTAFA, B. MALIK, *On some classes of p -valent functions involving certain Carlson Shaffer operator*, Appl. Math. Comput, **214** (2009), 336–341.
- [14] K. I. NOOR, M. A. NOOR, *On integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **238** (1999), 341–352.
- [15] S. OWA, *On the distortion theorems I*, Kyungpook Math. J., **18** (1) (1978), 53–59.
- [16] S. OWA, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, *Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, Canad. J. Math., **39** (5) (1987), 1057–1077.
- [17] M. S. ROBERTSON, *Multivalently starlike functions*, Duke Math. J., **20** (1953), 539–549.
- [18] ST. RUSCHWEYH, *Convolution in Geometric Function Theory*, Les Presses de l'Univ. de Montreal, 1982.
- [19] H. SAITOH, *A linear operator and its applications of first order differential subordinations*, Math. Japon., **44** (1996), 31–38.
- [20] J. SOKÓL, L. T. SPELINA, *Convolution properties for certain classes of multivalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337** (2008), 1190–1197.
- [21] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, S. OWA, *An application of the fractional derivative*, Math. Japon., **29** (3) (1984), 383–389.
- [22] T. YAGUCHI, *The radii of starlikeness and convexity for certain multivalent functions*, in: H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Eds.), Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, 375–386.

(Received February 8, 2013)

K. I. Noor

COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
e-mail: khalidanoor@hotmail.com

S. Z. H. Bukhari

Mirpur University of Science and Technology, Mirpur, Pakistan
e-mail: fatmiajku@hotmail.com

M. Arif

Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan
e-mail: marifmaths@yahoo.com

M. Nazir

Mirpur University of Science and Technology, Mirpur, Pakistan
e-mail: quaidians16@yahoo.com