

ON CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF p -VALENT FUNCTIONS

M. K. AOUF, R. M. EL-ASHWAH, AND AHMED M. ABD-ELTAWAB

Abstract. This paper gives some inclusion relationships of certain class of p -valent functions which are defined by using the new linear operator $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma}$. Further, a property preserving integrals is considered.

1. Introduction

Let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the unit disc $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ and let $A(1) = A$.

If f and g are analytic in U , we say that f is subordinate to g , written symbolically as, $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function w , which (by definition) is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in U$). In particular, if the function g is univalent in U , we have the equivalence (see for example [7]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

For functions $f \in A(p)$ given by (1.1) and $g \in A(p)$ given by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p} = (g * f)(z).$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: Hadamard product, linear operators, Jack's lemma, inclusion relationships..

For the function $f \in A(p)$ We introduced the operator $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} : A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z) &= \left(\frac{p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma}{p + \beta - 1} \right) \frac{(\alpha - \gamma + 1)}{z^\beta} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma} t^{\beta - 1} f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(p + \beta) \Gamma(\alpha - \gamma + 1)} \frac{1}{z^\beta} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma} t^{\beta - 1} f(t) dt \\ &= z^p + \frac{\Gamma(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(p + \beta)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\beta + p + k)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + p + k - \gamma + 1)} \right] a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \\ &\quad (\beta > -p; \alpha > \gamma - 1; \gamma \in \mathbb{R}; p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in U) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

From (1.2), it is easy to verify that

$$z \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) \right)' = (\alpha + \beta + p - \gamma + 1) \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z) - (\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1) \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z). \quad (1.3)$$

REMARK 1. (i) For $\gamma = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,1} f(z) &= Q_{\beta,p}^\alpha f(z) \\ &= \left(\frac{p + \alpha + \beta - 1}{p + \beta - 1} \right) \frac{\alpha}{z^\beta} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha - 1} t^{\beta - 1} f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(p + \alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(p + \beta) \Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{z^\beta} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha - 1} t^{\beta - 1} f(t) dt \\ &= z^p + \frac{\Gamma(p + \alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(p + \beta)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\beta + p + k)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + p + k)} \right] a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \\ &\quad (\beta > -p; \alpha > 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in U), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where the operator $Q_{\beta,p}^\alpha$ was introduced and studied by Liu and Owa [5] and $Q_{\beta,1}^\alpha = Q_\beta^\alpha$, where the operator Q_β^α was introduced and studied by Jung et al. [3];

(ii) For $\alpha = \gamma$ and $\beta = c$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\alpha} f(z) &= J_{c,p} f(z) \\ &= \frac{p + c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \\ &= z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c + p}{c + k + p} \right) a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \\ &\quad (c > -p; p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in U) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $J_{c,p}$ is the familiar integral operator, which was defined by Saitoh et al. [9]. The operator $J_{c,1} = J_c$ was introduced by Bernardi [1] and we note that $J_{1,1} = J$ was introduced and studied by Libera [4] and Livingston [6].

DEFINITION 1. We say that a function $f \in A(p)$ is in the class $R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) \right)'}{pz^p} \right\} > \lambda, \quad (z \in U), \tag{1.6}$$

where $\beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 2, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in U$.

Using (1.3) condition (1.4) can be re-written in the form

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (\alpha + \beta + p - \gamma + 1) \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z)}{pz^p} - (\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1) \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z)}{pz^p} \right\} > \lambda$$

($\beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 2, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in U$).

REMARK 2. (i) For $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, 1, \lambda) = R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \lambda)$$

$$= \left\{ f : f \in A(p) \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \left(Q_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1} f(z) \right)'}{pz^p} \right\} > \lambda, \right.$$

($\beta > -p; \alpha > -1; 0 \leq \lambda < 1; p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in U$)};

(ii) For $\gamma = \alpha + 1$ and $\beta = c$, we have

$$R_p(\alpha + 1, c, \alpha + 1, \lambda) = R_p(c, \lambda)$$

$$= \left\{ f : f \in A(p) \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \left(J_{c,p}(z) \right)'}{pz^p} \right\} > \lambda, \right.$$

($c > -p; 0 \leq \lambda < 1; p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in U$)};

2. Basic properties of the class $R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in the remainder of this paper that $\beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 2, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \lambda < 1$, and $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

We begin by recalling the following result (Jack's lemma), which we shall apply in proving our inclusion theorems below.

LEMMA 1. ([2]) *Let the (nonconstant) function $w(z)$ be analytic in U , with $w(0) = 0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_0 \in U$, then $z_0 w'(z_0) = \xi w(z_0)$, where ξ is a real number and $\xi \geq 1$.*

THEOREM 1. *The following inclusion property for the class $R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ holds true:*

$$R_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) \subset R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let $f \in R_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ and define a regular function $w(z)$ in U such that $w(0) = 0, w(z) \neq -1$ by

$$\frac{z \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha+1, \gamma} f(z) \right)'}{pz^p} = \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)w(z)}{1 + w(z)} \quad (2.2)$$

then from (1.3) we have

$$(\alpha + \beta + p - \gamma + 1) \mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha, \gamma} f(z) - (\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1) \mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha+1, \gamma} f(z) = pz^p \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)w(z)}{1 + w(z)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Differentiating (2.3) with respect to z , we obtain

$$\frac{z \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha, \gamma} f(z) \right)'}{pz^p} = \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)w(z)}{1 + w(z)} - \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{\alpha + \beta + p - \gamma + 1} \frac{zw'(z)}{(1 + w(z))^2}. \quad (2.4)$$

We claim that $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in U$. Otherwise there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1$. Applying Jack's lemma, we have

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = \xi w(z_0) \quad (\xi \geq 1). \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$\frac{z_0 \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha, \gamma} f(z_0) \right)'}{pz_0^p} = \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)w(z_0)}{1 + w(z_0)} - \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{\alpha + \beta + p - \gamma + 1} \frac{\xi w(z_0)}{(1 + w(z_0))^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)w(z_0)}{1 + w(z_0)} \right\} = \lambda, \xi \geq 1$, and $\frac{\xi w(z_0)}{(1 + w(z_0))^2}$ is real and positive, we see that

$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z_0 \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha, \gamma} f(z_0) \right)'}{pz_0^p} \right\} < \lambda$, which obviously contradicts $f \in R_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Hence $|w(z)| <$

1 for $z \in U$ and it follows from (2.2) that $f \in R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

THEOREM 2. Let c be any real number and $c > -p$. If $f \in R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, then

$$J_{c, p} \in R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda),$$

where $J_{c, p}$ is defined by (1.5).

Proof. From (1.5), we have

$$z \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha+1, \gamma} J_{c, p} f(z) \right)' = (c + p) \mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha+1, \gamma} f(z) - c \mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha+1, \gamma} J_{c, p} f(z). \quad (2.7)$$

Define a regular function $w(z)$ in U such that $w(0) = 0, w(z) \neq -1$ by

$$\frac{z \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha+1, \gamma} J_{c, p} f(z) \right)'}{pz^p} = \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)w(z)}{1 + w(z)}. \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.7) and (2.8) we have

$$(c + p) \Re_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) - c \Re_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} J_{c,p} f(z) = pz^p \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)w(z)}{1 + w(z)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Differentiating (2.9) with respect to z , and using (2.8) we obtain

$$\frac{z \left(\Re_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) \right)'}{pz^p} = \frac{1 + (2\lambda - 1)w(z)}{1 + w(z)} - \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{c + p} \frac{zw'(z)}{(1 + w(z))^2}. \tag{2.10}$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1. \square

THEOREM 3. *If $f \in A(p)$, and satisfy the condition*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \left(\Re_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) \right)'}{pz^p} \right\} > \lambda - \frac{(1 - \lambda)}{2(c + p)} \quad (c > -p). \tag{2.11}$$

Then

$$J_{c,p} \in R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda),$$

where $J_{c,p}$ is defined by (1.5).

The proof of Theorem 3 is similar to that of Theorem 2 and so we omit it.

THEOREM 4. *Let $f(z)$ be defined by (1.5). If $J_{c,p} \in R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, then $f \in R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ in $|z| < \frac{c+p}{1 + \sqrt{(c+p)^2 + 1}}$, where $J_{c,p}$ is defined by (1.5).*

Proof. Since $J_{c,p} \in R_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ we can write

$$z \left(\Re_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} J_{c,p} f(z) \right)' = pz^p [\lambda + (1 - \lambda)u(z)], \tag{2.12}$$

where $u(z) \in P$, the class of functions with positive real part in the unit disk U and normalized by $u(0) = 1$. We can re-write (2.12) as

$$(c + p) \Re_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) - c \Re_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} J_{c,p} f(z) = pz^p [\lambda + (1 - \lambda)u(z)]. \tag{2.13}$$

Differentiating (2.13) with respect to z , and using (2.7) we obtain

$$\left(\frac{z \left(\Re_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) \right)'}{pz^p} - \lambda \right) (1 - \lambda)^{-1} = u(z) + \frac{1}{c + p} zu'(z). \tag{2.14}$$

Using the well-known estimate (see [8]) $|zu'(z)| \leq \frac{2r}{1-r^2} \operatorname{Re} u(z)$, $|z| = r$, (2.14) yields

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{z \left(\Re_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) \right)'}{pz^p} - \lambda \right) (1 - \lambda)^{-1} \right\} \geq \left(1 - \frac{2r}{(c + p)(1 - r^2)} \right) \operatorname{Re} u(z). \tag{2.15}$$

The right-hand side of (2.15) is positive if $r < \frac{c+p}{1+\sqrt{(c+p)^2+1}}$.

The result is sharp for the function f defined by $f(z) = \frac{1}{(c+p)z^{c-1}} (z^c J_{c,p} f(z))'$ where $J_{c,p}$ is given by $\left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} J_{c,p} f(z) \right)' = pz^{p-1} \frac{1+(2\lambda-1)z}{1+z}$.

REMARK 3. (i) Taking $\gamma = 1$ in the above results, we obtain analogues results for the subclasses which are defined in Remark 2 (i);

(ii) Taking $\gamma = \alpha + 1$ and $\beta = c$ ($c > -p$) in the above results, we obtain analogues results for the subclasses which are defined in Remark 2 (ii).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. D. BERNARDI, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1969), 429–446.
- [2] I. S. JACK, *Functions starlike and convex of order*, J. London Math. Soc. **3**, 2 (1971), 469–474.
- [3] I. B. JUNG, Y. C. KIM AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA, *The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **176** (1993), 138–147.
- [4] R. J. LIBERA, *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 755–758.
- [5] J.-L. LIU AND S. OWA, *Properties of certain integral operators*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **3**, 1 (2004), 69–75.
- [6] A. E. LIVINGSTON, *On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 352–357.
- [7] S. S. MILLER AND P. T. MOCANU, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2000.
- [8] Z. NEHARI, *Conformal Mapping*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto and London, 1952.
- [9] H. SAITOH, S. OWA, T. SEKINE, M. NUNOKAWA AND R. YAMAKAWA, *An application of certain integral operator*, Appl. Math. Lett. **5** (1992), 21–24.

(Received May 20, 2013)

M. K. Aouf

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Mansoura University
Mansoura 35516, Egypt
e-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

R. M. El-Ashwah

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Damietta University
New Damietta 34517, Egypt
e-mail: r_elashwah@yahoo.com

Ahmed M. Abd-ElTawab

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Fayoum University
Fayoum 63514, Egypt
e-mail: ams03@fayoum.edu.eg