

FURTHER RESULTS ON GENERALISED ITERATION OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS WITH FINITE ITERATED ORDER

DIBYENDU BANERJEE AND BISWAJIT MANDAL

Abstract. We consider generalised iteration of two entire functions and study further growth properties of generalised iterated entire functions of finite iterated order.

1. Introduction and definitions

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions, Clunie [4] showed that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f \circ g)}{T(r, f)} = \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f \circ g)}{T(r, g)} = \infty$. After this several authors [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14] made close investigations on growth properties of composition of two entire functions with finite order. In 1987, Bernal [3] introduced the notions of finite iterated order and finiteness degree of the order as follows.

DEFINITION 1.1. [3, 6] The iterated i order $\rho_i(f)$ of an entire function f is defined by

$$\rho_i(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[i+1]} M(r, f)}{\log r} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[i]} T(r, f)}{\log r}, \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Similarly, the iterated i lower order $\mu_i(f)$ of an entire function f is defined by

$$\mu_i(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[i+1]} M(r, f)}{\log r} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[i]} T(r, f)}{\log r}, \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where following Sato [12] we write $\log^{[0]} x = x$, $\exp^{[0]} x = x$ and for positive integer m , $\log^{[m]} x = \log(\log^{[m-1]} x)$, $\exp^{[m]} x = \exp(\exp^{[m-1]} x)$.

DEFINITION 1.2. [3, 6] The finiteness degree of the order of an entire function f is defined by

$$i(f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(z) \text{ is a polynomial;} \\ \min\{k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}, \rho_k(f) < \infty\} & \text{if } f(z) \text{ is transcendental;} \\ \infty & \text{when } \rho_k(f) = \infty \text{ for all } k. \end{cases}$$

Recently, Jin Tu et. al [13] investigated the growth of two composite entire functions of finite iterated order. In 2012, Banerjee and Mondal [2] introduced a new type of iteration called generalised iteration defined as follows .

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 30D35.

Keywords and phrases: Transcendental entire functions, generalised iteration, finite iterated order, finiteness degree, growth.

DEFINITION 1.3. [2] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ be any real number. Then the generalised iteration of $f(z)$ with respect to $g(z)$ is defined as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,g}(z) &= (1 - \alpha)g_{0,f}(z) + \alpha f(z), \text{ where } g_{0,f}(z) = z, \\ f_{2,g}(z) &= (1 - \alpha)g_{1,f}(z) + \alpha f(g_{1,f}(z)), \\ f_{3,g}(z) &= (1 - \alpha)g_{2,f}(z) + \alpha f(g_{2,f}(z)), \\ &\dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ f_{n,g}(z) &= (1 - \alpha)g_{n-1,f}(z) + \alpha f(g_{n-1,f}(z)) \end{aligned}$$

and so are

$$\begin{aligned} g_{1,f}(z) &= (1 - \alpha)f_{0,g}(z) + \alpha g(z), \text{ where } f_{0,g}(z) = z, \\ g_{2,f}(z) &= (1 - \alpha)f_{1,g}(z) + \alpha g(f_{1,g}(z)), \\ g_{3,f}(z) &= (1 - \alpha)f_{2,g}(z) + \alpha g(f_{2,g}(z)), \\ &\dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ g_{n,f}(z) &= (1 - \alpha)f_{n-1,g}(z) + \alpha g(f_{n-1,g}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly all $f_{n,g}(z)$ and $g_{n,f}(z)$ are entire functions.

With this iteration process introduced by Banerjee and Mondal [2], in a recent paper Banerjee and Mandal [1] proved some results of Jin Tu et. al [13] for generalised iterated entire functions with finite iterated order. In this paper we investigate further growth properties for generalised iterated entire functions with finite iterated order.

Throughout we assume $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are entire functions having finite iterated order if $\rho_p(f) < \infty$, $\rho_q(g) < \infty$ and positive iterated lower order if $\mu_p(f) > 0$, $\mu_q(g) > 0$. Clearly a function having positive iterated lower order must be transcendental. Also we use the standard notations and definitions of the theory of meromorphic functions which are available in [5].

2. Known lemmas

The following known lemmas will be required in the sequel.

LEMMA 2.1. [9] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions. If $M(r, g) > \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} |g(0)|$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$T(r, f(g)) < (1 + \varepsilon)T(M(r, g), f).$$

In particular if $g(0) = 0$, then $T(r, f(g)) \leq T(M(r, g), f)$ for all $r > 0$.

LEMMA 2.2. [4] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions with $g(0) = 0$. Let β satisfy $0 < \beta < 1$ and let $c(\beta) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4\beta}$. Then for $r > 0$,

$$M(r, f(g)) \geq M(c(\beta)M(\beta r, g), f).$$

Furthermore if $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, for sufficiently large r

$$M(r, f(g)) \geq M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g\right), f\right).$$

LEMMA 2.3. [5] *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental entire functions. Then*

$$\frac{T(r, f)}{T(r, g(f))} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

3. Preliminary theorem

THEOREM 3.1. [1] *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions of finite iterated order and positive iterated lower order with $i(f) = p$, $i(g) = q$.*

(i) *If n is odd, then $i(f_{n,g}) = \frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q$ and $\rho_{\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q}(f_{n,g}) = \rho_p(f)$.*

(ii) *If n is even, then $i(f_{n,g}) = \frac{n}{2}(p+q)$ and $\rho_{\frac{n}{2}(p+q)}(f_{n,g}) = \rho_q(g)$.*

COROLLARY 3.1. *If $f(z)$ be an entire function of finite iterated order and positive iterated lower order, then $\rho_{np}(f_{n,f}) = \rho_p(f)$, where $f_{n,f}$ denotes the n -th generalised iteration of $f(z)$ with respect to itself.*

This follows from Theorem 3.1 by putting $g(z) = f(z)$. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions of finite iterated order and positive iterated lower order with $i(f) = p$, $i(g) = q$.*

(i) *If n is odd, then $\mu_p(f) \leq \rho_{\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q}(f_{n,g}) \leq \rho_p(f)$,*

(ii) *if n is even, then $\mu_q(g) \leq \rho_{\frac{n}{2}(p+q)}(f_{n,g}) \leq \rho_q(g)$.*

Since $\mu_p(f) \leq \rho_p(f)$, this follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

4. Main results

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions of finite iterated order and positive iterated lower order:*

(i) *If n is odd and $p \leq i(f) \leq l$, $i(g) = q$ then*

$$\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q \leq i(f_{n,g}) \leq \frac{n+1}{2}l + \frac{n-1}{2}q$$

and

$$\rho_{\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q}(f_{n,g}) \geq \rho_l(f), \quad \rho_{\frac{n+1}{2}l + \frac{n-1}{2}q}(f_{n,g}) \leq \rho_p(f).$$

(ii) *If n is even and $i(f) = p$, $q \leq i(g) \leq l$, then*

$$\frac{n}{2}(p+q) \leq i(f_{n,g}) \leq \frac{n}{2}(p+l)$$

and

$$\rho_{\frac{n}{2}(p+q)}(f_{n,g}) \geq \rho_q(g), \quad \rho_{\frac{n}{2}(p+l)}(f_{n,g}) \leq \rho_q(g).$$

Proof. Case (i). Suppose n is odd.

Let $i(f) = k$ ($\in \mathbb{N}$). So from Theorem 3.1, we have

$$i(f_{n,g}) = \frac{n+1}{2}k + \frac{n-1}{2}q \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\frac{n+1}{2}k + \frac{n-1}{2}q}(f_{n,g}) = \rho_k(f).$$

Now $p \leq k \leq l$ i.e.,

$$\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q \leq \frac{n+1}{2}k + \frac{n-1}{2}q \leq \frac{n+1}{2}l + \frac{n-1}{2}q$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q \leq i(f_{n,g}) \leq \frac{n+1}{2}l + \frac{n-1}{2}q.$$

Also, $\rho_l(f) \leq \rho_k(f) \leq \rho_p(f)$ and consequently we have $\rho_{\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q}(f_{n,g}) \geq \rho_l(f)$ and $\rho_{\frac{n+1}{2}l + \frac{n-1}{2}q}(f_{n,g}) \leq \rho_p(f)$.

Case (ii). Suppose n is even. Let $i(g) = k$ ($\in \mathbb{N}$). So from Theorem 3.1, we have $i(f_{n,g}) = \frac{n}{2}(p+k)$ and $\rho_{\frac{n}{2}(p+k)}(f_{n,g}) = \rho_k(g)$. Again since $q \leq k \leq l$, so

$$\frac{n}{2}(p+q) \leq \frac{n}{2}(p+k) \leq \frac{n}{2}(p+l)$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{n}{2}(p+q) \leq i(f_{n,g}) \leq \frac{n}{2}(p+l).$$

Also $\rho_l(g) \leq \rho_k(g) \leq \rho_q(g)$ and consequently $\rho_{\frac{n}{2}(p+q)}(f_{n,g}) \geq \rho_q(g)$ and $\rho_{\frac{n}{2}(p+l)}(f_{n,g}) \leq \rho_p(g)$. \square

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $f(z)$, $g(z)$ be entire functions of finite iterated order and positive iterated lower order.*

(i) *If n is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}, \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}, \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)} \right\} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If n is even, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\rho_p(f)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\mu_p(f)}, \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\rho_p(f)} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\mu_p(f)}, \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\rho_p(f)} \right\} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\mu_p(f)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition, for sufficiently large r and for given ε (> 0), we have

$$(\mu_q(g) - \varepsilon) \log r \leq \log^{[q]} T(r, g) \leq (\rho_q(g) + \varepsilon) \log r. \quad (4.1)$$

Case (i). Suppose n is odd. For sufficiently large r , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, f_{n,g}) &\leq T(r, g_{n-1,f}) + T(r, f(g_{n-1,f})) + O(1) \\
 &= (1 + o(1))T(r, f(g_{n-1,f})), \text{ using Lemma 2.3} \\
 &\leq 2T(M(r, g_{n-1,f}), f), \text{ using Lemma 2.1} \\
 &\leq \exp^{[p-1]} \{M(r, g_{n-1,f})\}^{\rho_p(f)+2\varepsilon} \\
 &\leq \exp^{[p]} [(\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon) \{ \log M(r, f_{n-2,g}) + \log M(r, g(f_{n-2,g})) + O(1) \}] \\
 &\leq \exp^{[p]} [(\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon) \{ \log M(M(r, f_{n-2,g}), g) \\
 &\quad + \log M(M(r, f_{n-2,g}), g) + O(1) \}] \\
 &\leq \exp^{[p]} \{3(\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon) \log M(M(r, f_{n-2,g}), g)\} \\
 &\leq \exp^{[p]} [3(\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon) \log \{ \exp^{[q]} \{M(r, f_{n-2,g})\}^{\rho_q(g)+\varepsilon} \}] \\
 &\leq \exp^{[p+q]} [3(\rho_q(g) + 2\varepsilon) \log \{ \exp^{[p]} \{M(r, g_{n-3,f})\}^{\rho_p(f)+\varepsilon} \}] \\
 &\leq \exp^{[2p+q]} [3(\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon) \log \{ \exp^{[q]} \{M(r, f_{n-4,g})\}^{\rho_q(g)+\varepsilon} \}] \\
 &\leq \exp^{[2p+2q]} \{(\rho_q(g) + 2\varepsilon) \log M(r, f_{n-4,g})\} \tag{4.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \exp^{[\frac{n-1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} \{(\rho_q(g) + 2\varepsilon) \log M(r, f_{1,g})\} \\
 &\leq \exp^{[\frac{n-1}{2}(p+q)]} [(\rho_q(g) + 2\varepsilon) \{ \log M(r, z) + \log M(r, f) + O(1) \}] \\
 &\leq \exp^{[\frac{n-1}{2}(p+q)]} \{(\rho_q(g) + 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1)) \log M(r, f)\} \tag{4.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \exp^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} \{ \log(r^{\rho_p(f)+2\varepsilon}) \}. \tag{4.4}$$

On the other hand for sufficiently large r and chosen ε ($0 < 2\varepsilon < \min\{\mu_p(f), \mu_q(g)\}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, f_{n,g}) &\geq T(r, f(g_{n-1,f})) - T(r, g_{n-1,f}) + O(1) \\
 &= (1 + o(1))T(r, f(g_{n-1,f})), \text{ using Lemma 2.3} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{3}(1 + o(1)) \log M\left(\frac{1}{9}M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1,f}\right), f\right), \text{ using Lemma 2.2} \\
 &\geq \exp^{[p]} [\log \{M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1,f}\right)\}^{\mu_p(f)-2\varepsilon}] \\
 &\geq \exp^{[p]} [(\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon)T\left(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1,f}\right)] \\
 &\geq \exp^{[p]} [(\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon) \{T\left(\frac{r}{4}, g(f_{n-2,g})\right) - T\left(\frac{r}{4}, f_{n-2,g}\right) + O(1)\}] \\
 &= \exp^{[p]} \{(\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1))T\left(\frac{r}{4}, g(f_{n-2,g})\right)\}, \text{ using Lemma 2.3} \\
 &\geq \exp^{[p]} \left\{ \frac{1}{3}(\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1)) \log M\left(\frac{1}{9}M\left(\frac{r}{4^2}, f_{n-2,g}\right), g\right) \right\} \\
 &\geq \exp^{[p]} [\exp^{[q]} \{ \log \{M\left(\frac{r}{4^2}, f_{n-2,g}\right)\}^{\mu_q(g)-2\varepsilon} \}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \exp^{[p+q]} \{(\mu_q(g) - 2\varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^2}, f_{n-2,g}\right)\} \quad (4.5)$$

⋮

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}(p+q)\right]} \{(\mu_q(g) - 2\varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f_{1,g}\right)\} \\ &\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}(p+q)\right]} \{(\mu_q(g) - 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1)) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f\right)\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q\right]} \{\log(r^{\mu_p(f)-2\varepsilon})\}. \quad (4.7)$$

From (4.1), (4.4) and (4.7), for sufficiently large r and for chosen ε such that $0 < 2\varepsilon < \min\{\mu_p(f), \mu_q(g)\}$, we have

$$\frac{\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon}{\rho_q(g) + \varepsilon} \leq \frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q\right]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon}{\mu_q(g) - \varepsilon}.$$

Since $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q\right]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \geq \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)} \\ \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q\right]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)} \end{array} \right. \quad (4.8)$$

By definition, there exist two sequences $\{r_l\}$ and $\{r_m\}$ tending to infinity such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \log^{[q]} T(r_l, g) \geq (\rho_q(g) - \varepsilon) \log r_l \\ \log^{[q]} T(r_m, g) \leq (\mu_q(g) + \varepsilon) \log r_m. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.9)$$

Also, there exists a sequence $\{r'_l\}$ tending to infinity such that we have from (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned} T(r'_l, f_{n,g}) &\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}(p+q)\right]} \{(\mu_q(g) - 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1)) \log M\left(\frac{r'_l}{4^{n-1}}, f\right)\} \\ &\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q\right]} \{\log(r'_l)^{\rho_p(f)-2\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Further there exists a sequence $\{r'_m\}$ tending to infinity such that we have from (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} T(r'_m, f_{n,g}) &\leq \exp^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}(p+q)\right]} \{(\rho_q(g) + 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1)) \log M(r'_m, f)\} \\ &\leq \exp^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q\right]} \{\log(r'_m)^{\mu_p(f)+2\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

From (4.1), (4.11) and (4.4), (4.9) we have

$$\frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q\right]} T(r'_m, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r'_m, g)} \leq \frac{\mu_p(f) + 2\varepsilon}{\mu_q(g) - \varepsilon}$$

and

$$\frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r_l, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r_l, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon}{\rho_q(g) - \varepsilon}.$$

Since $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq \min\left\{\frac{\mu_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}, \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)}\right\}. \quad (4.12)$$

Also from (4.7), (4.9) and (4.1), (4.10) we have

$$\frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r_m, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r_m, g)} \geq \frac{\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon}{\mu_q(g) + \varepsilon}$$

and

$$\frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r'_l, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r'_l, g)} \geq \frac{\rho_p(f) - 2\varepsilon}{\rho_q(g) + \varepsilon}.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \geq \max\left\{\frac{\mu_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}, \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)}\right\}. \quad (4.13)$$

Combining (4.8), (4.12) and (4.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \\ &\leq \min\left\{\frac{\mu_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}, \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)}\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{\frac{\mu_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}, \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)}\right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}. \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii). Suppose n is even. By definition, for sufficiently large r and for given $\varepsilon (> 0)$, we have

$$(\mu_p(f) - \varepsilon) \log r \leq \log^{[p]} T(r, f) \leq (\rho_p(f) + \varepsilon) \log r. \quad (4.14)$$

In this case, for sufficiently large r , from (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f_{n,g}) &\leq \exp^{[\frac{n}{2}p + \frac{n-2}{2}q]} \{(\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon) \log M(r, g_{1,f})\} \\ &\leq \exp^{[\frac{n}{2}p + \frac{n-2}{2}q]} [(\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon) \{\log M(r, z) + \log M(r, g) + O(1)\}] \\ &\leq \exp^{[\frac{n}{2}p + \frac{n-2}{2}q]} \{(\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1)) \log M(r, g)\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

$$\leq \exp^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} \{\log(r^{\rho_q(g)+2\varepsilon})\}. \quad (4.16)$$

On the other hand for sufficiently large r , from (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f_{n,g}) &\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{np}{2} + \frac{n-2}{2}q\right]} \left\{ (\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g_{1,f}\right) \right\} \\ &\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{np}{2} + \frac{n-2}{2}q\right]} \left\{ (\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1)) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

$$\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} \{\log(r^{\mu_q(g)-2\varepsilon})\}. \quad (4.18)$$

From (4.14), (4.16) and (4.18), for sufficiently large r and for chosen ε such that $0 < 2\varepsilon < \min\{\mu_p(f), \mu_q(g)\}$, we have

$$\frac{\mu_q(g) - 2\varepsilon}{\rho_p(f) + \varepsilon} \leq \frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g) + 2\varepsilon}{\mu_p(f) - \varepsilon}.$$

Since $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, we get

$$\begin{cases} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \geq \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\rho_p(f)} \\ \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\mu_p(f)} \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

By definition, there exist two sequences $\{r_l\}$ and $\{r_m\}$ tending to infinity such that

$$\log^{[p]} T(r_l, f) \geq (\rho_p(f) - \varepsilon) \log r_l \quad \text{and} \quad \log^{[p]} T(r_m, f) \leq (\mu_p(f) + \varepsilon) \log r_m \quad (4.20)$$

Also, there exists a sequence $\{r'_l\}$ tending to infinity such that we have from (4.17)

$$\begin{aligned} T(r'_l, f_{n,g}) &\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{np}{2} + \frac{n-2}{2}q\right]} \left\{ (\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1)) \log M\left(\frac{r'_l}{4^{n-1}}, g\right) \right\} \\ &\geq \exp^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} \{\log(r'_l)^{\rho_q(g)-2\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Further, there exists a sequence $\{r'_m\}$ tending to infinity such that we have from (4.15)

$$\begin{aligned} T(r'_m, f_{n,g}) &\leq \exp^{\left[\frac{n}{2}p + \frac{n-2}{2}q\right]} \left\{ (\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon)(1 + o(1)) \log M(r'_m, g) \right\} \\ &\leq \exp^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} \{\log(r'_m)^{\mu_q(g)+2\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

From (4.14), (4.22) and (4.16), (4.20) we have

$$\frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} T(r'_m, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r'_m, f)} \leq \frac{\mu_q(g) + 2\varepsilon}{\mu_p(f) - \varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} T(r_l, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r_l, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g) + 2\varepsilon}{\rho_p(f) - \varepsilon}.$$

Since $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{\left[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)\right]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq \min\left\{ \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\mu_p(f)}, \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\rho_p(f)} \right\}. \quad (4.23)$$

Also from (4.18), (4.20) and (4.14), (4.21) we have

$$\frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r_m, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r_m, f)} \geq \frac{\mu_q(g) - 2\varepsilon}{\mu_p(f) + \varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r'_l, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r'_l, f)} \geq \frac{\rho_q(g) - 2\varepsilon}{\rho_p(f) + \varepsilon}.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \geq \max \left\{ \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\mu_p(f)}, \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\rho_p(f)} \right\}. \quad (4.24)$$

Combining (4.19), (4.23) and (4.24) we get the required result for case (ii). \square

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let $f(z)$, $g(z)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2.*

(i) *If n is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g^{(k)})} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}, \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}, \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\rho_q(g)} \right\} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\mu_q(g)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If n is even, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\rho_p(f)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f^{(k)})} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\mu_p(f)}, \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\rho_p(f)} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\mu_p(f)}, \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\rho_p(f)} \right\} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\mu_p(f)}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. If $f(z)$ is meromorphic in the complex plane, then $f(z)$ and its k th order derivatives $f^{(k)}(z)$ have the same order and lower order, where k is any positive integer. By this fact and from Theorem 4.2, we have the conclusion of Corollary 4.1. \square

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $f(z)$, $g(z)$ be entire functions of finite iterated order and positive iterated lower order:*

(i) *If n is odd, then*

$$\frac{\mu_p(f)}{\rho_p(f)} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq 1 \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\mu_p(f)}.$$

If n is even, then

$$\frac{\mu_q(g)}{\rho_q(g)} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq 1 \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\mu_q(g)}.$$

Proof. Case (i). Suppose n is odd. From (4.7) and (4.14), for sufficiently large r , we have

$$\frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \geq \frac{\mu_p(f) - 2\varepsilon}{\rho_p(f) + \varepsilon}.$$

Since $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, we get

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \geq \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\rho_p(f)}. \quad (4.25)$$

Also from (4.4) and (4.20), we have

$$\frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r_l, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r_l, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f) + 2\varepsilon}{\rho_p(f) - \varepsilon}.$$

Since $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq 1. \quad (4.26)$$

Similarly from (4.10) and (4.14), we have

$$\frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r'_l, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r'_l, f)} \geq \frac{\rho_p(f) - 2\varepsilon}{\rho_p(f) + \varepsilon}.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \geq 1. \quad (4.27)$$

Again by (4.4) and (4.14), we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\mu_p(f)}. \quad (4.28)$$

Combining (4.25), (4.26), (4.27) and (4.28) we get the required result for the case (i).

Case (ii). Suppose n is even. From (4.1) and (4.18), we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \geq \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\rho_q(g)}. \quad (4.29)$$

Also from (4.9) and (4.16), we have

$$\frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r_l, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r_l, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g) + 2\varepsilon}{\rho_q(g) - \varepsilon}.$$

Since $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq 1. \quad (4.30)$$

Similarly from (4.1) and (4.21), we have

$$\frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r'_l, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r'_l, g)} \geq \frac{\rho_q(g) - 2\varepsilon}{\rho_q(g) + \varepsilon}.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \geq 1. \tag{4.31}$$

Again by (4.1) and (4.16), we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\mu_q(g)}. \tag{4.32}$$

Combining (4.29), (4.30), (4.31) and (4.32) we get the required result for the case (ii).

COROLLARY 4.2. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3*

(i) *if n is odd, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\rho_p(f)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q + 1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p+1]} M(r, f)} \leq 1 \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q + 1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p+1]} M(r, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\mu_p(f)}, \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *if n is even, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\rho_q(g)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)+1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q+1]} M(r, g)} \leq 1 \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)+1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q+1]} M(r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\mu_q(g)}. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let $f(z)$, $g(z)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3 with $\mu_p(f) = \rho_p(f)$ and $\mu_q(g) = \rho_q(g)$.*

(i) *If n is odd, then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)} = 1.$$

(ii) *If n is even, then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g)} = 1.$$

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $f(z)$, $g(z)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3 with $\mu_p(f) = \rho_p(f)$ and $\mu_q(g) = \rho_q(g)$.*

(i) *If n is odd, then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q + 1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p+1]} M(r, f)} = 1.$$

(ii) If n is even, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)+1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q+1]} M(r, g)} = 1.$$

COROLLARY 4.5. Let $f(z)$, $g(z)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3.

(i) If n is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\rho_p(f)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f^{(k)})} \leq 1 \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p]} T(r, f^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\mu_p(f)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If n is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\rho_q(g)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g^{(k)})} \leq 1 \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)]} T(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q]} T(r, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\mu_q(g)}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

COROLLARY 4.6.. Let $f(z)$, $g(z)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3.

(i) If n is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_p(f)}{\rho_p(f)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q + 1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p+1]} M(r, f^{(k)})} \leq 1 \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}p + \frac{n-1}{2}q + 1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[p+1]} M(r, f^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_p(f)}{\mu_p(f)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If n is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_q(g)}{\rho_q(g)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)+1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q+1]} M(r, g^{(k)})} \leq 1 \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}(p+q)+1]} M(r, f_{n,g})}{\log^{[q+1]} M(r, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_q(g)}{\mu_q(g)}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BANERJEE AND B. MANDAL, *Generalised iteration of entire functions with finite iterated order*, Journal of Classical Analysis, 7, 1 (2015), 47–62.

- [2] D. BANERJEE AND N. MONDAL, *Maximum modulus and maximum term of generalized iterated entire functions*, Bulletin of the Allahabad Mathematical Society, **27**, 1 (2012), 117–131.
- [3] L. G. BERNAL, *On growth k -order of solutions of a complex homogeneous linear differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101**, 2 (1987), 317–322.
- [4] J. CLUNIE, *The Composition of Entire and Meromorphic Functions*, Mathematical Essays Dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, Ohio University Press, 1970, 75–92.
- [5] W. K. HAYMAN, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [6] L. KINNUNEN, *Linear differential equations with solutions of finite iterated order*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **22**, 4 (1998), 385–405.
- [7] I. LAHIRI AND D. K. SHARMA, *Growth of composite entire and meromorphic functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **26**, 5 (1995), 451–458.
- [8] I. LAHIRI AND D. K. SHARMA, *On the growth of composite entire and meromorphic functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **35**, 4 (2004), 525–543.
- [9] K. NIINO AND N. SMITA, *Growth of a composite function of entire functions*, Kodai Math. J., **3**, (1980), 374–379.
- [10] K. NIINO AND C. C. YANG, *Some growth relationships on factors of two composite entire functions, in : Factorization Theory of Meromorphic Functions and Related Topics*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York/Basel, 1982, 95–99.
- [11] A. P. SINGH, *Growth of composite entire functions*, Kodai Math. J., **8**, (1985), 99–102.
- [12] D. SATO, *On the rate of growth of entire functions of fast growth*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **69**, (1963), 411–414.
- [13] J. TU, Z. X. CHEN AND X. M. ZHENG, *Composition of entire functions with finite iterated order*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **353**, (2009), 295–304.
- [14] Z. Z. ZHOU, *Growth of composite entire functions*, Kodai Math. J., **9**, (1986), 419–420.

(Received March 4, 2016)

Dibyendu Banerjee
 Department of Mathematics
 Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan-731235
 India
 e-mail: dibyendu192@rediffmail.com

Biswajit Mandal
 Bunia Dangal High School
 Bunia, Labpur-731303, West Bengal
 India
 e-mail: biswajitmandal.math@gmail.com