

BOUNDARY ASYMPTOTICS OF THE RELATIVE BERGMAN KERNEL METRIC FOR ELLIPTIC CURVES III: 1 & ∞

ROBERT XIN DONG

Abstract. Explicit asymptotic formulas of the relative Bergman kernel metric for a Legendre family of elliptic curves near the moduli space boundary points 1 and ∞ are obtained respectively. These behaviors also characterize the Poincaré hyperbolic metric and its Kähler potential on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

1. Introduction

The Bergman kernel is a canonical $(1, 1)$ -form on a complex manifold, and its plurisubharmonic variations were initially studied by Maitani & Yamaguchi [12] and later generalized by Berndtsson [2]. Results on Stein manifolds and complex projective algebraic manifolds (see [3], [15], [5]) indicate semi-positivity properties of relative canonical bundles, and recently turn out to have close relations with the Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorems (cf. [10], [7], [6], [4], [13]). For simplicity, let us consider the one-dimensional case, namely a family of Riemann surfaces parametrized by a complex variable λ , and the Bergman kernel on each fiber X_λ can thus be written as $B_\lambda = k_\lambda(z)dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ in some local coordinate z . Then, due to the variation results of the Bergman kernel, the inequality

$$L_{\lambda,z} := \sqrt{-1} \partial_\lambda \bar{\partial}_\lambda \log k_\lambda(z) \geq 0$$

holds whenever the fiber X_λ is smooth.

Assuming that some X_{λ_0} is singular, then a natural question is to characterize the asymptotic behaviors of $L_{\lambda,z}$ near λ_0 . The so-called Legendre family of elliptic curves $X_\lambda := \{y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)\}$ gives a general description of genus one compact Riemann surfaces whose moduli space is $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and it degenerates to a singular algebraic curve with a node when λ tends to 0, 1 or ∞ . In [8] the author observed that $L_{\lambda,z}$ blows up and has hyperbolic growth as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, in comparison to the Poincaré hyperbolic metric $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^*}$ on \mathbb{D}^* , the unit disk removing the origin. However, an explicit four-term asymptotic expansion formula as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ showed that $L_{\lambda,z}$ and $\omega_{\mathbb{D}^*}$ are not the same [9].

The aim of this paper is to investigate $L_{\lambda,z}$ for the above Legendre family of elliptic curves near the other two moduli space boundary points 1 and ∞ respectively. Explicit asymptotic formulas of the relative Bergman kernel metric are as follows.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary 32A25; Secondary 32G15, 14D06, 30F45.

Keywords and phrases: variation of Bergman kernel; degeneration of elliptic curve; Poincaré hyperbolic metric.

THEOREM 1. Let B_λ be the Bergman kernel of the elliptic curve X_λ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. In a local coordinate z , write $B_\lambda = k_\lambda(z)dz \wedge d\bar{z}$. Then, it follows that

(i) as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$,

$$\log k_\lambda(z) \sim \log(-\log|\lambda - 1|),$$

(ii) and as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\log k_\lambda(z) \sim \log(\log|\lambda|).$$

Here “ \sim ” means that the ratio of both sides tends to “1”, as λ tends to each limit point. For example, as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$, X_λ degenerates to a singular curve $X_1 := \{y^2 = x(x-1)^2\}$. In particular, both the right hand sides of (i) and (ii) tend to $+\infty$. Rather than taking immediate second-order partial derivatives, we make more careful computations on the curvature forms and derive the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1, it follows that

(i) as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$,

$$L_{\lambda,z} \sim \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4|\lambda - 1|^2(\log|\lambda - 1|)^2} d\lambda \wedge d\bar{\lambda},$$

(ii) and as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$L_{\lambda,z} \sim \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4|\lambda|^2(\log|\lambda|)^2} d\lambda \wedge d\bar{\lambda}.$$

Notice that the right hand sides of (i) and (ii) tend to $+\infty$ and 0^+ , respectively. And this is different from the potentials in Theorem 1 which have the same limit. The proofs of the above Theorems 1 and 2 are mainly due to the elliptic modular lambda function’s special properties (in particular its behavior under the composition with inverse or translation mappings), which are also used in [8]. On the other hand, same asymptotic behaviors as in Theorems 1 and 2 also characterize the Poincaré hyperbolic metric and its Kähler potential on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, since this $L_{\lambda,z}$ indeed has constant Gaussian curvature “-4” (see [9]). Therefore, we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. Let $\omega_{0,1}$ denote the Poincaré hyperbolic metric on $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ with a Kähler potential $p(\lambda) := -\log(\operatorname{Im} \tau(\lambda))$, where $\tau(\cdot)$ is the inverse of the elliptic modular lambda function. Then, it follows that

(i) as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$,

$$p(\lambda) \sim \log(-\log|\lambda - 1|),$$

$$\omega_{0,1} \sim \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4|\lambda - 1|^2(\log|\lambda - 1|)^2} d\lambda \otimes d\bar{\lambda},$$

(ii) and as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$p(\lambda) \sim \log(\log|\lambda|),$$

$$\omega_{0,1} \sim \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4|\lambda|^2(\log|\lambda|)^2} d\lambda \otimes d\bar{\lambda}.$$

We remark that our result agrees in the limiting case $\lambda \rightarrow 1$ inside \mathbb{D}^* with the fact that $\omega_{0,1} \leq \omega_{\mathbb{D}^*}$ (see e.g. [14, 11]).

2. Preliminaries

We will first recall the definition and basic properties of the elliptic modular lambda function. From [1, p.264], one knows that for any $z \in T_\tau := \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$, the Weierstrass- \wp function with respect to the lattice $(1, \tau)$ ($\tau \in \mathbb{C}, \text{Im } \tau > 0$) is defined to be

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right),$$

where the sum ranges over all $\omega = n_1 + n_2\tau$ except 0, and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote $e_1 := \wp(\frac{1}{2})$, $e_2 := \wp(\frac{\tau}{2})$ and $e_3 := \wp(\frac{1+\tau}{2})$. Then the elliptic modular lambda function

$$\lambda(\tau) := \frac{e_3 - e_2}{e_1 - e_2}$$

can identify a complex torus $T_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ with an elliptic curve $X_\lambda := \{y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)\}$, since $\lambda(\tau)$ is conformal. In the local coordinate z , the Bergman kernel B_τ of the canonical bundle on X_τ can be simply written as $\frac{1}{\text{Im } \tau} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$, which means that $k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{\text{Im } \tau}$. Taking derivatives, one gets that

$$l_{\lambda(\tau),z} := \frac{\partial^2(\log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z))}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} = \frac{\partial^2(-\log \text{Im } \tau)}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} = \frac{|\tau'(\lambda)|^2}{4(\text{Im } \tau)^2}.$$

Since $\tau := \lambda^{-1}$ is holomorphic then $\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \lambda} = 0$, and by the inverse function theorem $\tau'(b) = (\lambda^{-1})'(b) = \frac{1}{\lambda'(a)}$ for any $b = \lambda(a)$ (here λ' being the derivative of λ with respect to τ). Therefore, we have

$$l_{\lambda(\tau),z} = \frac{1}{4(\text{Im } \tau \cdot |\lambda'(\tau)|)^2} > 0. \tag{1}$$

Notice that the inequality above holds due to the fact that the derivative of the elliptic modular lambda function is nowhere vanishing in the domain of definition. Thus $L_{\lambda,z} = \sqrt{-1} l_{\lambda,z} d\lambda \wedge d\bar{\lambda}$ is a true metric on the moduli space, i.e. $L_{\lambda,z} > 0, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Moreover, since

$$-4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} \log \left(\frac{|\tau'|}{\text{Im } \tau} \right) = -\frac{|\tau'|^2}{(\text{Im } \tau)^2},$$

it follows that $L_{\lambda,z}$ is the Poincaré hyperbolic metric of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ with constant Gaussian curvature “-4”.

Next, we introduce two more parameters $\alpha := -\frac{1}{\tau}$ and $\beta := \tau - 1$, both of which have positive imaginary parts as long as $\text{Im } \tau > 0$. As $\tau \rightarrow 0$ or equivalently as $\lambda(\tau) \rightarrow 1$, it follows that $\text{Im } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\lambda(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$. By the definition of α , it has

$$\text{Im } \tau = \text{Im} \left(\frac{-1}{\text{Re } \alpha + \sqrt{-1} \text{Im } \alpha} \right) = \text{Im} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1} \text{Im } \alpha - \text{Re } \alpha}{|\alpha|^2} \right) = \text{Im } \alpha \cdot |\tau|^2. \tag{2}$$

Similarly, as $\tau \rightarrow 1$ or equivalently as $\lambda(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\beta \rightarrow 0$. Since $\text{Im} \beta = \text{Im} \tau$, we know that $\text{Im} \beta \rightarrow +\infty$ implies $\lambda(\tau) \rightarrow 0$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, combining our results in [8] and introducing two new parameters $\alpha := -\frac{1}{\tau}$ and $\beta := \tau - 1$, we will prove new results. We shall use the following well-known properties of the elliptic modular lambda function (see [1, p.279–280]):

(A) As $\text{Im} \alpha \rightarrow +\infty$, it holds that

$$\lambda(\alpha) \sim 16e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha} \rightarrow 0,$$

which means that $\log \lambda(\alpha) \sim \pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha$.

(B) $\lambda(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = 1 - \lambda(\tau)$.

(C) $\lambda(\beta + 1) = \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\beta)-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-1}$ ($\implies \lambda(\beta) - 1 = \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta+1)-1}$).

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1] Claim (i). As $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ($\iff \text{Im} \alpha \rightarrow +\infty$), since $\log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z) = -\log \text{Im} \tau$, we know by (2) that $\log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z) \sim -\log \text{Im} \alpha + \log |\alpha|^2$. Theorem 1.3 (i) in [8] says that as $\text{Im} \alpha \rightarrow +\infty$, one has

$$-\log \text{Im} \alpha \sim -\log(-\log |\lambda(\alpha)|),$$

which yields as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ that

$$\log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z) \sim -\log(-\log |\lambda(\alpha)|) + 2 \log |\alpha|.$$

On the other hand by Property (A) we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi|\alpha| &\sim |\log \lambda(\alpha)| = |\log |\lambda(\alpha)| + \sqrt{-1} \arg(\alpha)| \\ &\sim |\log |\lambda(\alpha)|| = -\log |\lambda(\alpha)|, \end{aligned}$$

as $\text{Im} \alpha \rightarrow +\infty$ ($\iff \lambda(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$), which gives that $\log |\alpha| \sim \log(-\log |\lambda(\alpha)|)$.

Therefore, by Property (B) for the Bergman kernel we have proved that

$$\begin{aligned} \log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z) &\sim -\log(-\log |\lambda(\alpha)|) + 2 \log(-\log |\lambda(\alpha)|) \\ &= \log(-\log |\lambda(\alpha)|) = \log(-\log |\lambda(\tau) - 1|) \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ ($\iff \lambda(\tau) \rightarrow 1$).

Claim (ii). It follows from Claim (i) that as $\beta \rightarrow 0$ ($\iff \tau \rightarrow 1$),

$$-\log \text{Im} \beta \sim \log(-\log |\lambda(\beta) - 1|),$$

which implies by Property (C) that

$$\begin{aligned} \log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z) &= -\log \text{Im} \tau = -\log \text{Im} \beta \\ &\sim \log(-\log |\lambda(\beta) - 1|) = \log(\log |\lambda(\beta + 1) - 1|) \\ &= \log(\log |\lambda(\tau) - 1|) \sim \log(\log |\lambda(\tau)|) \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Claim (i). From Property (B), one knows that $\lambda'(\alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \tau} = -\lambda'(\tau)$, which implies

$$|\lambda'(\tau)| = \frac{|\lambda'(\alpha)|}{|\tau|^2}.$$

By equalities (1) and (2), as $\text{Im } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2(\log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z))}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} &= \frac{1}{4(\text{Im } \tau \cdot |\lambda'(\tau)|)^2} = \frac{1}{4(\text{Im } \alpha \cdot |\tau|^2 \cdot \frac{|\lambda'(\alpha)|}{|\tau|^2})^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4(\text{Im } \alpha \cdot |\lambda'(\alpha)|)^2} = \frac{\partial^2(\log k_{\lambda(\alpha)}(z))}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3 (ii) in [8] says that

$$\frac{\partial^2(\log k_{\lambda(\alpha)}(z))}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} \sim \frac{1}{4|\lambda(\alpha)|^2(\log |\lambda(\alpha)|)^2},$$

as $\text{Im } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty$ ($\iff \tau \rightarrow 0$), which yields that

$$\frac{\partial^2(\log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z))}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} \sim \frac{1}{4|\lambda(\tau) - 1|^2(\log |\lambda(\tau) - 1|)^2} \rightarrow +\infty,$$

as $\lambda(\tau) \rightarrow 1$.

Claim (ii). By Property (B) we get that

$$\lambda'(\beta) \cdot \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \tau} \cdot (\lambda(\tau) - 1) + (\lambda(\beta) - 1) \cdot \lambda'(\tau) = 0.$$

This means $\lambda'(\tau) = \frac{\lambda'(\beta) \cdot (\lambda(\tau) - 1)}{-\lambda(\beta) + 1}$ and therefore

$$|\lambda'(\tau)| = \frac{|\lambda'(\beta)| \cdot |\lambda(\tau) - 1|}{|\lambda(\beta) - 1|}.$$

By (1) again, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2(\log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z))}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} &= \frac{1}{4(\text{Im } \tau \cdot |\lambda'(\tau)|)^2} \\ &= \frac{|\lambda(\beta) - 1|^2}{4(\text{Im } \beta \cdot |\lambda'(\beta)| \cdot |\lambda(\tau) - 1|)^2} = \frac{\partial^2(\log k_{\lambda(\beta)}(z))}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} \cdot \frac{|\lambda(\beta) - 1|^2}{|\lambda(\tau) - 1|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Claim (i), as $\lambda(\beta) \rightarrow 1$ it holds that

$$\frac{\partial^2(\log k_{\lambda(\beta)}(z))}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} \sim \frac{1}{4(|\lambda(\beta) - 1| \cdot \log |\lambda(\beta) - 1|)^2},$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2(\log k_{\lambda(\tau)}(z))}{\partial \lambda \partial \bar{\lambda}} &\sim \frac{1}{4(|\lambda(\beta) - 1| \cdot \log |\lambda(\beta) - 1|)^2} \cdot \frac{|\lambda(\beta) - 1|^2}{|\lambda(\tau) - 1|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4(\log |\lambda(\beta) - 1|)^2 \cdot |\lambda(\tau) - 1|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4(-\log |\lambda(\tau) - 1|)^2 \cdot |\lambda(\tau) - 1|^2} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{4(\log |\lambda(\tau)|)^2 \cdot |\lambda(\tau)|^2} \rightarrow 0^+, \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is thus finished. \square

At last, we summarize this paper by making the following table indicating how the relative Bergman kernel and its curvature form change as the parameter varies. Here τ is the inverse function of the elliptic modular lambda function. As we can see, all the three cases have different asymptotic behaviors.

As the parameter τ tends to	As the parameter λ tends to	relative Bergman kernel $\log k_{\lambda}(z)$	the curvature form $\sqrt{-1} \partial_{\lambda} \bar{\partial}_{\lambda} \log k_{\lambda}(z)$
∞	0	$\rightarrow -\infty$	$\rightarrow +\infty$
0	1	$\rightarrow +\infty$	$\rightarrow +\infty$
1	∞	$\rightarrow +\infty$	$\rightarrow 0^+$

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by KAKENHI and the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (No. 15J05093). The author thanks the anonymous referee’s nice suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. AHLFORS, *Complex analysis: an introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable*. 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1979.
- [2] B. BERNDTSSON, *Subharmonicity properties of the Bergman kernel and some other functions associated to pseudoconvex domains*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **56**, 6 (2006), 1633–1662.
- [3] B. BERNDTSSON, *Curvature of vector bundles associated to holomorphic fibrations*, Ann. Math., **169**, 2 (2009), 531–560.
- [4] B. BERNDTSSON AND L. LEMPERT, *A proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem with sharp estimates*, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan.
- [5] B. BERNDTSSON AND M. PÄUN, *Bergman kernels and the pseudoeffectivity of relative canonical bundles*, Duke Math. J., **145**, 2 (2008), 341–378.
- [6] Z. BŁOCKI, *Bergman kernel and pluripotential theory*, Analysis, complex geometry, and mathematical physics: in honor of Duong H. Phong, 1–10, Contemp. Math., 644, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [7] J. CAO, *Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem for compact Kähler manifolds and applications*, arXiv:1404.6937.
- [8] R. X. DONG, *Boundary asymptotics of the relative Bergman kernel metric for elliptic curves*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **353**, 7 (2015), 611–615.

- [9] R. X. DONG, *Boundary asymptotics of the relative Bergman kernel metric for elliptic curves II: sub-leading terms*, Ann. Polon. Math. (to appear).
- [10] Q.-A. GUAN AND X.-Y. ZHOU, *A solution of an L^2 extension problem with optimal estimate and applications*, Ann. Math., **181**, 3 (2015), 1139–1208.
- [11] J. A. HEMPEL, *The Poincaré Metric on the Twice Punctured Plane and the Theorems of Landau and Schottky*, J. London Math. Soc., s2-**20**, 3 (1979), 435–445.
- [12] F. MAITANI AND H. YAMAGUCHI, *Variation of Bergman metrics on Riemann surfaces*. Math. Ann., **330**, 3 (2004), 477–489.
- [13] T. OHSAWA, *L^2 approaches in several complex variables*. Springer Monogr. Math., Tokyo, 2015.
- [14] T. SUGAWA AND M. VUORINEN, *Some inequalities for the Poincaré metric of plane domains*. Math. Z., **250**, 4 (2005), 885–906.
- [15] H. TSUJI, *Curvature semipositivity of relative pluricanonical systems*, arXiv:0703729.

(Received May 24, 2016)

Graduate School of Mathematics
Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602
Japan
e-mail: 1987xindong@tongji.edu.cn