

WEIGHTED SHARING OF NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS SHARING SMALL FUNCTION WITH REGARD TO MULTIPLICITY

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE AND S. RAJESHWARI

Abstract. With the notion of weighted sharing values we study the uniqueness of meromorphic functions when certain non-zero differential polynomial share a small function with regard to multiplicity. The result of the paper improve and extend some recent result due to Abhijith Banerjee and Pulak Sahoo [3].

1. Introduction

In this paper by meromorphic functions we will always mean meromorphic functions in the complex plane.

Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions and let a be a finite complex number. We say that f and g share a CM, provided that $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities. Similarly, we say that f and g share a IM, provided that $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros ignoring multiplicities. In addition we say that f and g share ∞ CM, if $\frac{1}{f}$ and $\frac{1}{g}$ share 0 CM, and we say that f and g share ∞ IM, if $\frac{1}{f}$ and $\frac{1}{g}$ share 0 IM.

We adopt the standard notations of value distribution theory (see [8]). We denote by $T(r)$ the maximum of $T(r, f)$ and $T(r, g)$. The notation $S(r)$ denotes any quantity satisfying $S(r) = o(T(r))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure.

Throughout this paper, we need the following definition.

$$\Theta(a; f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, a; f)}{T(r, f)},$$

where a is a value in the extended complex plane.

In 1959, Hayman [7] proved the following result.

THEOREM A. *Let f be a transcendental entire function, and let $n(\geq 1)$ be an integer. Then $f^n f' = 1$ has infinitely many zeros.*

In 2002, Fang and Fang [6] proved the following result.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary: 30D35.

Keywords and phrases: Meromorphic function, weighted sharing, nonlinear differential polynomials etc.

THEOREM B. *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, and let $n(\geq 8)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

In the same year Fang [5] investigated the value sharing of more general non-linear differential polynomial than that was considered in Theorem B and obtained the following result.

THEOREM C. *Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with $n \geq 2k + 8$. If $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

In 2004, Lin and Yi [14] considered the case of meromorphic function in Theorem B and obtained the following.

THEOREM D. *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions with $\Theta(\infty, f) > \frac{2}{n+1}$, and let $n(\geq 12)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

Natural inquisition would be to investigate the situation for meromorphic function in Theorem C. In this direction in 2008, Zhang [20] proved the following result.

THEOREM E. *Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic function with finite number of poles, g is a transcendental entire function, and let n, k be two positive integers with $n \geq 2k + 6$. If $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

To proceed further we require the following definition known as weighted sharing of values introduced by I. Lahiri [9] which measure how close a shared value is to being shared CM or to being shared IM.

DEFINITION 1. Let k be a non negative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $E_k(a; f)$ the set of all a -points of f where an a -point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and $k + 1$ times if $m > k$. If $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$, we say that f, g share the value a with weight k .

The definition implies that if f, g share a value a with weight k , then z_0 is an a -point of f with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ if and only if it is an a -point of g with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ and z_0 is an a -point of f with multiplicity $m(> k)$ if and only if it is an a -point of g with multiplicity $n(> k)$, where m is not necessarily equal to n .

We write f, g share (a, k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k . Clearly if f, g share (a, k) then f, g share (a, p) for any integer p , $0 \leq p < k$. Also we note that f, g share a IM or CM if and only if f, g share $(a, 0)$ or (a, ∞) respectively.

In 2009, using the notion of weighted sharing of values, Xu, Yi and Cao [15] proved the following result.

THEOREM F. *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$ and $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and $n > 5k + 11$ or if $l = 1$ and $n > 7k + \frac{23}{2}$, then $f = g$.*

Recently, Li [13] proved the following result which rectify and at the same time improve Theorem F.

THEOREM G. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$ and $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and $n > 3k + 11$ or if $l = 1$ and $n > 5k + 14$, then $f = g$ or $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)} = 1$.

In this direction recently Abhijith Banerjee [1] proved the following results first one of which improves Theorem G.

THEOREM H. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions and $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b , $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3k + 9$ or if $l = 1$ and $n \geq 4k + 10$, or if $l = 0$ and $n \geq 9k + 18$, then $f = g$ or $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} = 1$. The possibility $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} = 1$ does not occur for $k = 1$.

THEOREM I. Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions, and let $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b , $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)}$ share $(1, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2k + 6$ or if $l = 1$ and $n \geq \frac{5k}{2} + 7$, or if $l = 0$ and $n \geq 5k + 12$, then $f = g$.

In 2015, Abhijith Banerjee and Pulak Sahoo [3] obtained the following result.

THEOREM J. Let f and g be two non-entire transcendental meromorphic functions, and let $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b , $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)} - P$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} - P$ share $(0, l)$ where $P(\neq 0)$ is a polynomial. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3k + 9$ or if $l = 1$ and $n \geq 4k + 10$ or if $l = 0$ and $n \geq 9k + 18$, then $f = g$.

THEOREM K. Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions, and let $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b , $[f^n(af+b)]^{(k)} - P$ and $[g^n(ag+b)]^{(k)} - P$ share $(0, l)$ where $P(\neq 0)$ is a polynomial. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2k + 6$ or if $l = 1$ and $n \geq \frac{5k}{2} + 7$ or if $l = 0$ and $n \geq 5k + 12$, then $f = g$.

With the notion of weighted sharing values we study the uniqueness of meromorphic function with certain non-zero differential polynomial share a small function with regard to multiplicity.

We now state our main result.

THEOREM 1. Let f and g be two non-entire transcendental meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s , where s is a positive integer. Let $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b , $[f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)} - P$ and $[g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)} - P$ share $(0, l)$, where $P(\neq 0)$ is a polynomial. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq \frac{3k+8}{s} + m$, or if $l = 1$ and $n \geq \frac{4k+9}{s} + \frac{3m}{2}$ or if $l = 0$ and $n \geq \frac{9k+14}{s} + 4m$ then $f = g$.

THEOREM 2. Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s , where s is a positive integer. Let $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq$

1), $l(\geq 0)$ be three integers such that $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b , $[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)} - P$ and $[g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)} - P$ share $(0, l)$, where $P(\neq 0)$ is a polynomial. If $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq \frac{2k+5}{s} + m$, or if $l = 1$ and $n \geq \frac{5k+10}{2s} + 4m$ or if $l = 0$ and $n \geq \frac{5k+8}{s} + 4m$ then $f = g$.

REMARK 1. 1. If we put $m = 1$ and $s = 1$ in Theorem 1, then Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem J.

2. If we put $m = 1$ and $s = 1$ in Theorem 2, then Theorem 2 reduces to Theorem K.

Though the standard definitions and notations of the value distribution theory are available in [8], we explain some definitions and notations which are used in the paper.

DEFINITION 2. [10] For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $N(r, a; f | = 1)$ the counting function of simple a -points of f . For a positive integer p we denote by $N(r, a; f | \leq p)$ the counting function of those a -points of f (counted with multiplicities) whose multiplicities are not greater than p . By $\bar{N}(r, a; f | \leq p)$ we denote the corresponding reduced counting function.

In an analogous manner we define $N(r, a; f | \geq p)$ and $\bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq p)$.

DEFINITION 3. [9] Let k be a positive integer or infinity. We denote by $N_k(r, a; f)$ the counting function of a -points of f , where an a -point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and k times if $m > k$. Then

$$N_k(r, a; f) = \bar{N}(r, a; f) + \bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq 2) + \dots + \bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq k).$$

2. Some lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions defined in \mathbb{C} . We shall denote by H the following function:

$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} \right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1} \right).$$

LEMMA 1. [16] Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let $P_n(f)$ be a differential polynomial in f of the form

$$P_n(f) = a_n f^n(z) + a_{n-1} f^{n-1}(z) + \dots + a_1 f(z) + a_0.$$

where $a_n(\neq 0)$, $a_{n-1} \dots a_1, a_0$ are complex numbers. Then

$$T(r, P_n(f)) = nT(r, f) + O(1).$$

LEMMA 2. [21] *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and p, k be positive integers. Then*

$$N_p(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k}(r, 0; f) + S(r, f), \quad (1)$$

$$N_p(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{p+k}(r, 0; f) + S(r, f). \quad (2)$$

LEMMA 3. [9] *Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1, 2). Then one of the following cases holds:*

- (i) $T(r) \leq N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, \infty; G) + S(r)$,
- (ii) $F = G$,
- (iii) $FG = 1$,

where $T(r)$ denotes the maximum of $T(r, F)$ and $T(r, G)$ and $S(r) = o\{T(r)\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, possibly outside a set of finite linear measure.

LEMMA 4. [2] *Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1, 1) and $H \neq 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, \infty; G) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; F) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; F) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. [2] *Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1, 0) and $H \neq 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, \infty; G) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}(r, 0; F) + \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; F) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}(r, \infty; G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6. [12] *If $N(r, 0; f^{(k)} \mid f \neq 0)$ denote the counting function of those zeros of $f^{(k)}$ which are not the zeros of f , where a zero of $f^{(k)}$ is counted according to its multiplicity, then*

$$N(r, 0; f^{(k)} \mid f \neq 0) \leq k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f \mid < k) + k\overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq k) + S(r, f).$$

LEMMA 7. [8], [17] *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let $a_1(z), a_2(z)$ be two distinct meromorphic functions such that $T(r, a_i(z)) = S(r, f)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$T(r, f) \leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, a_1; f) + \overline{N}(r, a_2; f) + S(r, f).$$

LEMMA 8. [21] *Let f and g be two non-entire transcendental meromorphic functions such that either the zeros and poles of f and g are of multiplicities atleast s , where s is a positive integer or they have no zeros and poles. Let n, k be two positive integers and let P be a nonconstant polynomial. If $n \geq \frac{2k+3}{s} + 2m$, then*

$$[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)} [g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)} \neq P^2,$$

where a, b are any two nonzero constants.

Proof. If possible, let

$$[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)} = P^2. \quad (3)$$

Let $z_1 \notin (z : P(z) = 0)$ be a zero of f with multiplicity $p_1 (\geq 1)$. Then it follows from (3) that z_1 is a pole of g . Suppose that z_1 is a pole of g of order $q_1 (\geq 1)$. Then we have

$$np_1 - k = (n + m)q_1 + k \quad (4)$$

from (4) we obtain $np_1 = (n + m)s + 2k$, and so

$$p_1 \geq (n + m)s - 2k.$$

Let $z_2 \notin (z : P(z) = 0)$ be a zero of $af^m + b$ with multiplicity $p_2 (\geq k + 1)$. Then from (3) it follows that z_2 is a pole of g . Suppose that z_2 is a pole of g of order $q_2 (\geq 1)$. Then we have $p_2 - k = (n + m)q_2 + k$, i.e.,

$$p_2 \geq (n + m)s + 2k.$$

Let $z_3 \notin (z : P(z) = 0)$ is a zero of $af^m + b$ with multiplicity $p_3 (\leq k)$, then from (3) it follows that z_3 may be a zero of $[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)}$ and if it happens then it will be a pole of g with multiplicity $(n + m)s + k$. Suppose that $z_4 \notin (z : P(z) = 0)$ be a pole of f . Then from (3) it is clear that z_4 is either a zero of $g^n(ag^m + b)$ or a zero of $[g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \overline{N}(r, 0; ag^m + b | \leq k) + \overline{N}(r, 0; ag^m + b | \geq k + 1) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}(r, 0; h^{(k)} | h \neq 0) + S(r, g), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\overline{N}(r, 0; h^{(k)} | h \neq 0)$ denotes the reduced counting function of those zeros of $h^{(k)}$ that are not the zeros of h and $h = g^n(ag^m + b)$.

By Lemma 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, 0; h^{(k)} | h \neq 0) &\leq \frac{1}{(n + m)s + k} [N(r, 0; h^{(k)} | h \neq 0)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n + m)s + k} [k\overline{N}(r, \infty; h) + N(r, 0; h | < k) + k\overline{N}(r, 0; h | \geq k)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n + m)s + k} [k\overline{N}(r, \infty; h) + N_k(r, 0; h)] \\ &\leq \frac{k}{(n + m)s + k} [\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \overline{N}(r, 0; ag^m + b)] \\ &\leq \frac{k}{(n + m)s + k} [\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \overline{N}(r, 0; ag^m + b | \leq k) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}(r, 0; ag^m + b | \geq k + 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

So from (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) &\leq \left(1 + \frac{k}{(n+m)s+k}\right) [\overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \overline{N}(r, 0; ag^m + b | \leq k) \\
 &\quad + \overline{N}(r, 0; ag^m + b | \geq k + 1)] + \frac{k}{(n+m)s+k} \overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq \frac{(n+m)s+2k}{(n+m)s+k} \left[\frac{1}{(n+m)s-2k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} \right] T(r, g) \\
 &\quad + \frac{k}{(n+m)s+k} T(r, g) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{(n+m)s+2k}{[(n+m)s+k][(n+m)s-2k]} + \frac{(n+m)s+2k}{[(n+m)s+k]^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{k+1}{[(n+m)s+k][(n+m)s+2k]} \right] T(r, g) + S(r, g).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; af^m + b) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; af^m + b | \leq k) \\
 &\quad + \overline{N}(r, 0; af^m + b | \geq k + 1) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{(n+m)s+2k}{[(n+m)s+k][(n+m)s-2k]} + \frac{(n+m)s+2k}{[(n+m)s+k]^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{k+1}{[(n+m)s+k][(n+m)s+2k]} \right] T(r, g) \\
 &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} \right] T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, g) &\leq \left[\frac{(n+m)s+2k}{[(n+m)s+k][(n+m)s-2k]} + \frac{(n+m)s+2k}{[(n+m)s+k]^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{k+1}{[(n+m)s+k][(n+m)s+2k]} \right] T(r, f) \\
 &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+k} + \frac{1}{(n+m)s+2k} \right] T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Adding (6) and (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq \left[\frac{2[(n+m)s + 2k]}{[(n+m)s + k][(n+m)s - 2k]} + \frac{2[(n+m)s + 2k]}{[(n+m)s + k]^2} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{2[k + 1]}{[(n+m)s + k][(n+m)s + 2k]} + \frac{2}{(n+m)s + 2k} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{(n+m)s + k} + \frac{2}{(n+m)s + 2k} \right] [T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus Lemma 8 is proved. \square

LEMMA 9. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire function, let n, k are any two positive integers and let P be a non-constant polynomial. Then*

$$[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)} [g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)} \neq P^2,$$

where a, b are any two nonzero constants.

Proof. Suppose that

$$[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)} [g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)} = P^2.$$

Let z_0 be a zero of f with multiplicity p . Then clearly z_0 is a zero of P . Since P is a polynomial, f has a finite number of zeros. So we put $f(z) = P_1 e^\alpha$ where α is a non-constant entire function and P_1 is a polynomial. Now

$$(af^{n+m})^{(k)} = t_1(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}, P_1) e^{(n+m)\alpha}, \quad (8)$$

$$(bf^n)^{(k)} = t_0(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}, P_1) e^{n\alpha}, \quad (9)$$

where $t_i(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}, P_1)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$) are differential polynomials in $\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}$ with coefficients which are rational functions in P_1 or its derivatives. Obviously

$$t_i(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}, P_1) \neq 0$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ and

$$[f^n(af^m + b)]^k \neq 0.$$

From (8) and (9) we have

$$t_1(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}, P_1) e^{\alpha(z)} + t_0(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}, P_1) \neq 0. \quad (10)$$

Since $\alpha(z)$ is an entire function, we obtain $T(r, \alpha^{(j)}) = S(r, f)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Thus $T(r, t_i) = S(r, f)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$. So from (10), Lemma 1 and Lemma 7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, f) &= T(r, t_m e^{m\alpha} + t_{m-1} e^{(m-1)\alpha} + \dots + t_1 e^\alpha) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; t_m e^{m\alpha} + t_{m-1} e^{m-1}\alpha + \dots + t_1 e^\alpha) + \overline{N}(r, 0; t_m e^{m\alpha} + \dots + t_0) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \frac{(m-1)}{s} T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

LEMMA 10. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic (entire) functions such that either the zeros and poles of f and g are of multiplicities atleast s , where s is a positive integer or they have no zeros and poles and let $n(\geq 1)$, $k(\geq 1)$, be two integers. Suppose that $F = \frac{[f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}}{P(z)}$ and $G = \frac{[g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)}}{P(z)}$. If there exists two nonzero constants c_1 and c_2 such that $\bar{N}(r, c_1; F) = \bar{N}(r, 0; G)$ and $\bar{N}(r, c_2; G) = \bar{N}(r, 0; F)$, then $n \leq \frac{3k+3}{s} + m$ ($n \leq \frac{2k+2}{s} + m$).

Proof. We prove the theorem for two transcendental meromorphic functions. By the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; F) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + \bar{N}(r, c_1; F) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; F) + \bar{N}(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + S(r, F). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By (1), (2), (11) and Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)T(r, f) &\leq T(r, F) - \bar{N}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; f^n(af^m+b)) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; G) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; f^n(af^m+b)) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N_{k+1}(r, 0; f^n(af^m+b)) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; g^n(ag^m+b)) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) \\ &\quad + k\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k+2}{s} + m\right)T(r, f) + \left(\frac{2k+1}{s} + m\right)T(r, g) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$(n+m)T(r, g) \leq \left(\frac{k+2}{s} + m\right)T(r, g) + \left(\frac{2k+1}{s} + m\right)T(r, f) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (13)$$

Combining (12), (13) and noting that $O\{\log r\} = O\{T(r, f)\}$ and $O\{\log r\} = O\{T(r, g)\}$ we get

$$\left(n - \frac{3k+3}{s} - m\right)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

which gives $n \leq \frac{3k+3}{s} + m$. This completes the proof of the Lemma 10. \square

LEMMA 11. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that

$$\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n},$$

where $n(\geq 3)$ is an integer. Then

$$f^n(af+b) = g^n(ag+b)$$

implies $f = g$, where a, b are two nonzero constants.

Proof. We omit the proof since it can be carried out in the line of Lemma 6 [11]. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Let $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ be given as in Lemma 10. Then $F(z), G(z)$ are non-entire transcendental meromorphic functions that share $(1, l)$ except the zeros of the polynomial $P(z)$. So from (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(r, 0; F) &\leq N_2(r, 0; [f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)} + S(r, f)) \\ &\leq T(r, [f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)} - (n+m)T(r, f) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n(af^m + b)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, F) - (n+m)T(r, f) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n(af^m + b)) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Again by (2) we have

$$N_2(r, 0; G) \leq k\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; g^n(ag^m + b)) + S(r, g). \quad (15)$$

From (14) we get

$$(n+m)T(r, f) \leq T(r, F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n(af^m + b)) - N_2(r, 0; F) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f). \quad (16)$$

Now, we consider the following three cases.

Case 1. Let $l \geq 2$. Let (i) of Lemma 3 holds. Then using (15) we obtain from (16)

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)T(r, f) &\leq N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, \infty; G) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n(af^m + b)) \\ &\quad + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n(af^m + b)) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; g^n(ag^m + b)) + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) \\ &\quad + (k+2)\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (k+m+2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + (k+2)\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) \\ &\quad + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \left[\left(\frac{k+4}{s} + m \right) - 2\Theta(\infty, f) + \varepsilon \right] T(r, f) \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{2k+4}{s} + m \right) - \left(\frac{k+2}{s} \right) \Theta(\infty, g) + \varepsilon \right] T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \left[\left(\frac{3k+8}{s} + 2m \right) - 2\Theta(\infty, f) - 2\Theta(\infty, g) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - k \min\{\Theta(\infty, f), \Theta(\infty, g)\} + 2\varepsilon \right] T(r) + S(r). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In a similar way we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)T(r, g) &\leq \left[\left(\frac{3k+8}{s} + 2m \right) - 2\Theta(\infty, f) - 2\Theta(\infty, g) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - k \min\{\Theta(\infty, f), \Theta(\infty, g)\} + 2\varepsilon \right] T(r) + S(r). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From (17) and (18) we obtain

$$\left[n - \left(\frac{3k+8}{s} \right) - m + 2\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\Theta(\infty, g) + k \min\{\Theta(\infty, f), \Theta(\infty, g)\} - 2\varepsilon \right] T(r) \leq S(r)$$

contradicting with the fact that $n \geq \frac{3k+8}{s} + m$, for $m = 1$ we have $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. So by Lemma 3 either $FG \equiv 1$ or $F = G$. Let $FG = 1$. Then

$$[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)} = P^2,$$

a contradiction by Lemma 8. So we have $F = G$. That is

$$[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)} = [g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)}.$$

Integrating we get

$$[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k-1)} = [g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k-1)} + C_{k-1},$$

where C_{k-1} is a constant. If $C_{k-1} \neq 0$, from Lemma 10 we obtain $n \leq \frac{3k+3}{s} + m$, a contradiction. Hence $C_{k-1} = 0$. Repeating k times and substituting $m = 1$, we obtain

$$f^n(af^m + b) = g^n(ag^m + b). \quad (19)$$

Now the result follows from Lemma 11.

Case 2. Let $l = 1$ and $H \not\equiv 0$. Using Lemma 4 and (15) we obtain from (16),

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)T(r, f) &\leq N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, \infty; G) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; F) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n(af^m + b)) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n(af^m + b)) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; g^n(ag^m + b)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}N_{k+1}(r, 0; f^n(af^m + b)) + \frac{k+5}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \\ &\quad + (k+2)\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (k+m+2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \frac{k+m+1}{2}T(r, f) + \frac{k+5}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \\ &\quad + (k+2)\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{2k+5}{s} + \frac{3m}{2} - \left(\frac{k}{2} + 3 \right) \Theta(\infty, f) - \frac{1}{2} \Theta(\infty, f) + \varepsilon \right] T(r, f) \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{2k+5}{s} + m \right) - \left(\frac{k}{2} + 2 \right) \Theta(\infty, g) - \frac{k}{2} \Theta(\infty, f) + \varepsilon \right] T(r, g) \\ &\quad + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{4k+9}{s} + \frac{5m}{2} - \left(\frac{k+5}{2} \right) (\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g)) + 2\varepsilon \right] T(r) + S(r). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Similarly

$$(n+m)T(r, g) \leq \left[\frac{4k+9}{s} + \frac{5m}{2} - \frac{k+5}{2} (\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g)) + 2\varepsilon \right] T(r) + S(r). \quad (21)$$

combining (20) and (21) we obtain

$$\left[n - \frac{4k+9}{s} - \frac{5m}{2} + m + \frac{k+5}{2} (\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g)) + 2\varepsilon \right] T(r) \leq S(r),$$

a contradiction. Since $n \geq \frac{4k+9}{s} + \frac{3m}{2}$, for $m = 1$ we have $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We now assume that $H \equiv 0$. That is

$$\left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} \right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1} \right) = 0.$$

Integrating both sides of the above equality twice we get

$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{A}{G-1} + B, \quad (22)$$

where $A (\neq 0)$ and B are constants. From (22) it is clear that F, G share the value 1 CM and so they share 1 with weight two. Hence we have $n \geq \frac{3k+8}{s} + m$. Now we discuss the following three subcases.

Subcase 1. Let $B \neq 0$ and $A = B$. Then from (22) we get

$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{BG}{G-1}. \quad (23)$$

If $B = -1$, then from (23) we obtain

$$FG = 1,$$

a contradiction by Lemma 8.

If $B \neq -1$, from (23), we have $\frac{1}{F} = \frac{BG}{(1+B)G-1}$ and so $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{1+B}; G) = \overline{N}(r, 0; F)$. Now from the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, G) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{1+B}; G\right) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; G) + S(r, G) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; F) + \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; G) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1) and (2) we obtain from above inequality

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, G) &\leq N_{k+1}(r, 0; f^n(af^m + b)) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + T(r, G) \\ &\quad + N_{k+1}(r, 0; g^n(ag^m + b)) - (n+m)T(r, g) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g) \\ &\quad + O\{\log r\} + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(n+m)T(r, g) \leq \left(\frac{2k+1}{s} + m\right)T(r, f) + \left(\frac{k+2}{a} + m\right)T(r, g) + S(r, g).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(n - \frac{3k+3}{s} - 2m + m\right)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} &\leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \\ \left(n - \frac{3k+3}{s} - m\right)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} &\leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts as $n \geq \frac{3k+3}{s} + m$.

Subcase 2. Let $B \neq 0$ and $A \neq B$. Then from (22) we get $F = \frac{(B+1)G - (B-A+1)}{BG + (A-B)}$ and so $\overline{N}(r, \frac{B-A+1}{B+1}); G = \overline{N}(r, 0; F)$. Proceeding as in Subcase 1 we obtain a contradiction.

Subcase 3. Let $B = 0$ and $A \neq B$. Then from (22) $\overline{N}(r, \frac{A-1}{A}; F) = \overline{N}(r, 0; G)$ and $\overline{N}(r, 1-A; G) = \overline{N}(r, 0; F)$. So by Lemma 10 we have $n \leq \frac{3k+3}{s} + m$, a contradiction. Thus $A = 1$ and hence $F \equiv G$. Now using the same technique as used in case 1 we can obtain (19) which by Lemma 11 gives $f = g$.

Case 3. Let $l = 0$ and $H \neq 0$, using Lemma 5 and (15) we obtain from (16)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n+m)T(r, f) &\leq N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, \infty; G) + 2\overline{N}(r, 0; F) \\
 &\quad + \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n P(f)) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; F) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; G) \\
 &\quad + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n P(f)) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; g^n P(g)) + 2N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n P(f)) \\
 &\quad + N_{k+1}(r, 0; g^n P(g)) + (2k+4)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + (2k+3)\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) \\
 &\quad + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq \left[\left(\frac{5k+8}{s} + 3m \right) - (2k+4)\Theta(\infty; f) - \varepsilon \right] T(r, f) \\
 &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{4k+6}{s} + 2m \right) - (2k+3)\Theta(\infty; g) - \varepsilon \right] T(r, g) + O\{\log r\} \\
 &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{9k+14}{s} + 5m \right) - (2k+3)[\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g)] \right] \\
 &\quad - \min\{\Theta(\infty, f)\Theta(\infty; g)\} + 2\varepsilon \Big] T(r) + S(r). \tag{24}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n+m)T(r, g) &\leq \left[\left(\frac{9k+14}{s} + 5m \right) - (2k+3)[\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g)] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \min\{\Theta(\infty, f)\Theta(\infty; g)\} + 2\varepsilon \right] T(r) + S(r). \tag{25}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (24) and (25) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left[\left(n - \frac{9k+14}{s} - 5m + m \right) + (2k+3)(\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty; g)) \right. \\
 \left. + \min\{\Theta(\infty; f)\Theta(\infty; g)\} - 2\varepsilon \right] T(r) \leq S(r),
 \end{aligned}$$

contradicts with the facts that $n \geq \frac{9k+14}{s} + 4m$, for $m = 1$ we have $\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(\infty, g) > \frac{4}{n}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. we now assume that $H \equiv 0$. Then proceeding in a similar manner as in case 2 we obtain $f = g$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 1. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Noting that $\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) = 0$, $\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) = 0$ and using Lemma 9 instead of Lemma 8 and proceeding in the like manner as the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain the result of the Theorem 2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BANERJEE, *Uniqueness of certain non-linear differential polynomials sharing 1-points*, Kyungpook Math. J. **51**, (2011), no. 1, 43–58.
- [2] A. BANERJEE, *Meromorphic functions sharing one value*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2005**, no. 22, 3587–3598.
- [3] A. BANERJEE AND P. SAHOO, *Certain nonlinear differential polynomials sharing a nonzero polynomial with finite weight*, kyungpook Math. J. **55** (2015), 653–666.
- [4] A. BANERJEE AND S. MAJUMDER, *Non-linear differential polynomials sharing small function with finite weight*, Arab. J. Math. (Springer) **4** (2015), no. 1, 7–28.
- [5] M.-L. FANG, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions*, Comput. Math. Appl. **44** (2002), no. 5–6, 823–831.
- [6] C.-Y. FANG AND M.-L. FANG, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials*, Comput. Math. Appl. **44** (2002), no. 5–6, 607–617.
- [7] W. K. HAYMAN, *Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives*, Ann. of Math. (2) **70** (1959), 9–42.
- [8] W. K. HAYMAN, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [9] I. LAHIRI, *Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **46** (2001), no. 3, 241–253.
- [10] I. LAHIRI, *Value distribution of certain differential polynomials*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **28** (2001), no. 2, 83–91.
- [11] I. LAHIRI, *On a question of Hong Xun Yi*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **38** (2002), no. 2, 119–128.
- [12] I. LAHIRI AND S. DEWAN, *Value distribution of the product of a meromorphic function and its derivative*, Kodai Math. J. **26** (2003), no. 1, 95–100.
- [13] J.-D. LI, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2011**, Art. ID 514218, 12 pp.
- [14] W. LIN AND H. YI, *Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions concerning fixed-points*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. **49** (2004), no. 11, 793–806.
- [15] H.-Y. XU, C.-F. YI AND T.-B. CAO, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials sharing one value with finite weight*, Ann. Polon. Math. **95** (2009), no. 1, 51–66.
- [16] C. C. YANG, *On deficiencies of differential polynomials, II*, Math. Z. **125** (1972), 107–112.
- [17] L. YANG, *Value distribution theory*, translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [18] H.-X. YI, *Meromorphic functions that share one or two values, II*, Kodai Math. J. **22** (1999), no. 2, 264–272.
- [19] H. X. YI AND C. C. YANG, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions*, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [20] J. ZHANG, *Uniqueness theorems for entire functions concerning fixed points*, Comput. Math. Appl. **56** (2008), no. 12, 3079–3087.
- [21] J.-L. ZHANG AND L.-Z. YANG, *Some results related to a conjecture of R. Brück*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (2007), no. 1, Article 18, 11 pp.

(Received August 18, 2016)

Harina P. Waghamore
 Department of Mathematics
 Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University
 Bangalore-560 001, India
 e-mail: harinapw@gmail.com

S. Rajeshwari
 Department of Mathematics
 Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University
 Bangalore-560 001, India
 e-mail: rajeshwaripreetham@gmail.com