

FURTHER RESULTS ON VALUE DISTRIBUTION OF L-FUNCTIONS

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE AND S. H. NAVEENKUMAR

Abstract. With the aid of weighted sharing we study the uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning nonlinear differential polynomials that share a nonzero polynomial with the same of L -functions. Our results in the paper will improve, extend a results due to Fang Liu, Xiao-Min Li and Hong-Xun Yi [5].

1. Introduction

L -functions, with the Riemann zeta function as a prototype, are important objects in number theory, and value distribution of L -functions has been studied extensively, which can be found, for example in Steuding [25]. Value distribution of L -functions concerns distribution of the zeros of L -functions L and, more generally, the c -points of L , i.e., the roots of the equation $L(s) = c$, or the values in the preimage $L^{-1} = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : L(s) = c\}$, where and throughout the paper, s denotes the complex variable in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and c denotes a complex value. L -functions can be analytically continued as meromorphic functions in \mathbb{C} .

It is well-known that a nonconstant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} is completely determined by five such preimages (cf. [8, 22, 28, 30], which is a famous theorem due to Nevanlinna and often referred to as Nevanlinna's uniqueness or unicity theorem. Two meromorphic functions f and g in the complex plane are said to share a value $c \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ IM (ignoring multiplicities) if $f^{-1}(c) = g^{-1}(c)$ as two sets in \mathbb{C} . Moreover, f and g are said to share a value c CM (counting multiplicities) if they share the value c and if the roots of the equations $f(s) = c$ and $g(s) = c$ have the same multiplicities. In terms of sharing values, two nonconstant meromorphic functions in \mathbb{C} must be identically equal if they share five values IM, and one must be a Möbius transform of the other if they share four values CM.

Throughout the paper, an L -function always means an L -function L in the Selberg class, which includes the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, and essentially those Dirichlet series where one might expect a Riemann hypothesis. Such an L -function is defined to be a Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^{-s}$, satisfying the following axioms (cf. [24, 25]): (i) Ramanujan hypothesis. $a(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (ii) Analytic continuation. There is a non-negative integer k such that $(s-1)^k L(s)$ is an entire function of finite order. (iii) Functional equation. L satisfies a functional equation of type

$$\Lambda_L(s) = \overline{\omega \Lambda_L(1 - \bar{s})},$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary 30D35.

Keywords and phrases: Nevanlinna theory, L -functions, meromorphic function, sharing values, differential polynomial.

where

$$\Lambda_L(s) = L(s)Q^s \prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \nu_j)$$

with positive real numbers Q, λ_j and complex numbers ν_j, ω with $\operatorname{Re} \nu_j \geq 0$ and $|\omega| = 1$. (iv) Euler product hypothesis. $L(s) = \prod_p \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(p^k)}{p^{ks}}\right)$ with suitable coefficients $b(p^k)$ satisfying $b(p^k) \ll p^{k\theta}$ for some $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$, where the product is taken over all prime numbers p .

In this paper, a meromorphic function always mean a function which is meromorphic in the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} . We denote by $N_k(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the counting function for zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicity $\leq k$, and by $\overline{N}_k(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let $N_{(k)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ be the counting function for zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicity at least k and $\overline{N}_{(k)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted.

Let z_0 be a zero of $f - a$ of multiplicity p and a zero of $g - a$ of multiplicity q . We denote by $\overline{N}_L(r, a; f)$ the counting function of those a -points of f and g where $p > q \geq 1$, by $N_E^1(r, a; f)$ the counting function of those a -points of f and g where $p = q = 1$ and by $\overline{N}_E^2(r, a; f)$ the counting function of those a -points of f and g where $p = q \geq 2$, each point in these counting functions is counted only once. In the same way we can define $\overline{N}_L(r, a; g), N_E^1(r, a; g), \overline{N}_E^2(r, a; g)$.

Let k be a non-negative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $E_k(a; f)$ the set of all a -points of f , where an a -point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and $k + 1$ times if $m > k$. If $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$, we say that f, g share the value a with weight k .

The definition implies that if f, g share the value a with weight k then z_0 is an a -point of f with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ if and only if it is an a -point of g with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ and z_0 is an a -point of f with multiplicity $m(> k)$ if and only if it is an a -point of g with multiplicity $n(> k)$, where m is not necessarily equal to n . We write f, g share (a, k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k . Clearly if f, g share (a, k) then f, g share (a, p) for any integer $p, 0 \leq p < k$. Also we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share $(a, 0)$ or (a, ∞) respectively. We denote $\rho(f)$ for order of $f(z)$.

$$\rho(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

We first recall the following result due to Steuding [25], which actually holds without the Euler product hypothesis:

THEOREM A. ([25], p. 152) *If two L -functions L_1 and L_2 with $a(1) = 1$ share a complex value $c \neq \infty$ CM, then $L_1 = L_2$.*

Later on, Li [17] proved the following result to deal with a question posed by Chung-Chun Yang (cf. [17]):

THEOREM B. ([17]) *Let a and b be two distinct finite values, and let f be a meromorphic function in the complex plane such that f has finitely many poles in the complex plane. If f and a nonconstant L -function L share a CM and b IM, then $L = f$.*

In 1997, Lahiri [12] posed the following question:

What can be said about the relationship between two meromorphic functions f and g , when two differential polynomials, generated by f and g respectively, share some nonzero finite value? In this direction, Fang [4] and Yang-Hua [28] respectively proved the following results:

THEOREM C. ([4]) *Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n and k be two positive integers such that $n > 2k + 4$. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three constants, satisfying $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$, or $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.*

THEOREM D. ([28]) *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let $n \geq 11$ be a positive integer. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 , and c are three constants, satisfying $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$, or $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^{n+1} = 1$.*

In 2017, Fang LIU, Xiao-Min LI and Hong-Xun YI proved the following results.

THEOREM E. ([5]) *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, let L be an L -function, and let n and k be two positive integers with $n > 3k + 6$. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(L^n)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then $f = tL$ for a constant t satisfying $t^n = 1$.*

THEOREM F. ([5]) *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, let L be an L -function, and let n and k be two positive integers with $n > 3k + 6$. If $(f^n)^{(k)}(z) - z$ and $(L^n)^{(k)}(z) - z$ share 0 CM, then $f = tL$ for a constant t satisfying $t^n = 1$.*

Now it is natural to ask the following question which is the motivation of the paper.

QUESTION. *Can a CM shared value be replaced by $(p(z), l)$ in Theorems E and F?*

In the paper, our main concern is to find the possible answer of the above question. The following are the main results of the paper.

THEOREM 1. *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} , let L be an nonconstant L -function and let n and k be two positive integers. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(L^n)^{(k)}$ share $(p(z), l)$, where $p(z)$ be a nonzero polynomial with $\deg(p) = m$ and f and L share $(\infty, 0)$. Suppose one of the following conditions hold:*

- a. $l \geq 3$ and $n > 3k + 4$;
- b. $l = 2$ and $n > 3k + 6$;
- c. $l = 1$ and $n > 3k + 7$;
- d. $l = 0$ and $n > 7k + 11$.

Then $f = tL$ for a constant t satisfying $t^n = 1$.

THEOREM 2. *Let f be a nonconstant entire function in \mathbb{C} , let L be a nonconstant L -function, and let n and k be two positive integers. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(L^n)^{(k)}$ share $(p(z), l)$, where $p(z)$ be a nonzero polynomial with $\deg(p) = m$ and f and L share $(\infty, 0)$. Suppose one of the following conditions hold:*

- I. $l \geq 2$ and $n > 2k + 4$;
- II. $l = 1$ and $n > \frac{5k+9}{2}$;
- III. $l = 0$ and $n > 5k + 7$.

Then $f = tL$ for a constant t satisfying $t^n = 1$.

2. Some lemmas

Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions defined in \mathbb{C} . We denote by H the function as follows:

$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} \right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1} \right), \quad (1)$$

and

$$V = \left(\frac{F'}{F-1} - \frac{F'}{F} \right) - \left(\frac{G'}{G-1} - \frac{G'}{G} \right). \quad (2)$$

LEMMA 1. [27] *Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and let $a_n(z) (\neq 0), a_{n-1}(z), \dots, a_0(z)$ be meromorphic functions such that $T(r, a_i(z)) = S(r, f)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then*

$$T(r, a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f + a_0) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

LEMMA 2. [29] *Suppose that f is a nonconstant meromorphic function in the complex plane and k is a positive integer. Then*

$$N(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq N(r, 0; f) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty, f) + O(\log(T(r, f)) + \log r),$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure.

LEMMA 3. [33] *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and p, k be positive integers. Then*

$$N_p(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k}(r, 0; f) + S(r, f), \quad (3)$$

$$N_p(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq k\overline{N}(r, \infty, f) + N_{p+k}(r, 0; f) + S(r, f). \quad (4)$$

LEMMA 4. [14] If $N(r, 0; f^{(k)} | f \neq 0)$ denotes the counting function of those zeros of $f^{(k)}$ which are not the zeros of f , where a zero of $f^{(k)}$ is counted according to its multiplicity then

$$N(r, 0; f^{(k)} | f \neq 0) \leq k\overline{N}(r, \infty, f) + N(r, 0; f | < k) + k\overline{N}(r, 0; f | \geq k) + S(r, f).$$

LEMMA 5. Suppose that f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Let $F = [f^n]^{(k)}$, $G = [g^n]^{(k)}$, where n, k are positive integers. If f, g share ∞ IM and $V \equiv 0$, then $F \equiv G$.

Proof. Suppose $V \equiv 0$. Then by integration we obtain

$$1 - \frac{1}{F} \equiv A \left(1 - \frac{1}{G} \right).$$

If z_0 is a pole of f then it is a pole of g . Hence from the definition of F and G we have $\frac{1}{F(z_0)} = 0$ and $\frac{1}{G(z_0)} = 0$. So $A = 1$ and hence $F \equiv G$. \square

LEMMA 6. [16] Let f_1 and f_2 be two non-constant meromorphic functions satisfying $\overline{N}(r, 0; f_i) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f_i) = S(r; f_1, f_2)$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $f_1^s f_2^t - 1$ is not identically zero for arbitrary integers s and t ($|s| + |t| > 0$), then for any positive ε , we have

$$N_0(r, 1; f_1, f_2) \leq \varepsilon T(r) + S(r; f_1, f_2),$$

where $N_0(r, 1; f_1, f_2)$ denotes the reduced counting function related to the common 1-points of f_1 and f_2 and $T(r) = T(r, f_1) + T(r, f_2)$, $S(r; f_1, f_2) = o(T(r))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ possibly outside a set of finite linear measure

LEMMA 7. [2] Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing $(1, k_1)$, where $2 \leq k_1 \leq \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, 1; f | = 2) + 2\overline{N}(r, 1; f | = 3) + \dots + (k_1 - 1)\overline{N}(r, 1; f | = k_1) + k_1\overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) \\ + (k_1 + 1)\overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) + k_1\overline{N}_E^{(k_1+1)}(r, 1; g) \leq N(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}(r, 1; g). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

LEMMA 8. [1] Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing $(1, 1)$ and $H \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) \leq N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, \infty; G) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; F) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; F) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 9. [1] Let F and G be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing $(1, 0)$ and $H \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) \leq N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, \infty; G) + 2\overline{N}(r, 0; F) \\ + \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; F) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 10. [11] *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If $(f^n)^{(k)} = (g^n)^{(k)}$ and $n > k + 1$, then $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.*

LEMMA 11. *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} , let L be a nonconstant L -function, and let n and k be two positive integers with $H \not\equiv 0$. If $F = (f^n)^{(k)}$ and $G = (L^n)^{(k)}$ share $(1, k_1)$, and f and L share $(\infty, 0)$, then*

$$(n-1)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \leq (k+1)\{T(r, f) + T(r, L)\} + \overline{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) + O(\log r).$$

Proof. Suppose ∞ is an e.v.P. of f and L then the lemma follows immediately.

Next suppose ∞ is not an e.v.P. of f and L . Since $H \not\equiv 0$ from Lemma 5 we have $V \not\equiv 0$. We suppose that z_0 is a pole of f with multiplicity q and a pole of L with multiplicity r . Clearly z_0 is a pole of F with multiplicity $nq + k$ and a pole of G with multiplicity $nr + k$. Noting that f, L share $(\infty, 0)$ from the definition of V it is clear that z_0 is a zero of V with multiplicity atleast $n + k - 1$. Now using the Milloux theorem [[8], p. 55] and Lemma 1, we obtain from the definition of V that

$$m(r, V) = O(\log r).$$

Then by using Valiron-Mokhonko lemma (cf. [22]) and (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (n+k-1)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \\ & \leq N(r, 0; V) \\ & \leq T(r, V) + O(1) \\ & \leq N(r, \infty; V) + m(r, 0; V) + O(1) \\ & \leq \overline{N}(r, 0; F) + \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + \overline{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) + O(\log r) \\ & \leq N_{k+1}(r, 0; f^n) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; L^n) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; L) + \overline{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) + O(\log r) \\ & \leq (k+1)\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r, 0; L) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) + O(\log r). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

This gives

$$(n-1)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \leq (k+1)\{T(r, f) + T(r, L)\} + \overline{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) + O(\log r). \tag{7}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. \square

LEMMA 12. *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} , let L be a nonconstant L -function and $F = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{p(z)}$, $G = \frac{(L^n)^{(k)}}{p(z)}$, where $p(z)$ be a nonzero polynomial with $\deg(p) = m$, n and k be two positive integers such that $n > 3k + 2$. If f and L share $(\infty, 0)$ and $H \equiv 0$ then either $[f^n]^{(k)} [L^n]^{(k)} \equiv p^2$ or $f^n \equiv L^n$.*

Proof. Since $H \equiv 0$, on integration we get

$$\frac{1}{F-1} \equiv \frac{bG+a-b}{G-1}, \tag{8}$$

where a, b are constants and $a \neq 0$. From (8) it is clear that F and G share $(1, \infty)$.

We now discuss the following three cases.

Case 1. Let $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$.

If $b = -1$, then from (8) we have

$$F \equiv \frac{-a}{G - a - 1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{N}(r, a + 1; G) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; F) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + O(\log r).$$

So in view of Lemma 3 and the second fundamental theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} nT(r, L) &\leq T(r, G) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; L^n) - \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; G) + \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + \overline{N}(r, a + 1; G) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; L^n) - \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; L) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; L^n) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq (k + 1)\overline{N}(r, 0; L) + T(r, f) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq (k + 1)T(r, L) + T(r, f) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq (k + 2)T(r, L) + O(\log r). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists a set I with infinite measure such that $T(r, f) \leq T(r, L)$ for $r \in I$. So for $r \in I$, we get a contradiction from above since $n > 3k + 2$.

If $b \neq -1$, from (8) we obtain that

$$F - \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) \equiv \frac{-a}{b^2 \left[G + \frac{a-b}{b}\right]}.$$

So,

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{(b-a)}{b}; G\right) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; F) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + O(\log r).$$

Using Lemma 3 and the same argument as used in the case when $b = -1$ we can get a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $b \neq 0$ and $a = b$.

If $b = -1$, then from (8) we have

$$FG \equiv 1,$$

that is

$$[f^n]^{(k)} [L^n]^{(k)} \equiv p^2.$$

If $b \neq -1$, from (8) we have

$$\frac{1}{F} \equiv \frac{bG}{(1+b)G-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{1+b}; G\right) = \overline{N}(r, 0; F).$$

So in view of Lemma 3 and the second fundamental theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 nT(r, L) &\leq T(r, G) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; L^n) - \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + O(\log r) \\
 &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; G) + \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{1+b}; G\right) \\
 &\quad + N_{k+1}(r, 0; L^n) - \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + O(\log r) \\
 &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; L) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r, 0; L) + \overline{N}(r, 0; F) + O(\log r) \\
 &\leq (k+1)\overline{N}(r, 0; L) + (k+1)\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + O(\log r) \\
 &\leq (k+1)T(r, L) + (2k+1)T(r, f) + O(\log r).
 \end{aligned}$$

So for $r \in I$ we have

$$nT(r, L) \leq (3k+2)T(r, L) + O(\log r),$$

which is a contradiction since $n > 3k+2$.

Case 3. Let $b = 0$. From (8) we obtain

$$F \equiv \frac{G+a-1}{a}. \quad (9)$$

If $a \neq 1$ then from (9) we obtain

$$\overline{N}(r, 1-a; G) = \overline{N}(r, 0; F).$$

We can similarly deduce a contradiction as in Case 2. Therefore $a = 1$ and from (9) we obtain

$$F \equiv G.$$

Then by the Lemma 10 we have

$$f^n \equiv L^n. \quad \square$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Suppose that d is the degree of L . Then $d = 2\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_j$, where k and λ_j are respectively the positive integer and the positive real number in the axiom (iii) of the definition of L -function.

Then we have that

$$T(r, L) = \frac{d}{\prod} r \log r + O(r) \quad (10)$$

(cf. [[25], p. 150]). Clearly, f and L are transcendental meromorphic functions (cf. [[5], p. 43]). Note that an L -function at most has one pole $z = 1$ in the complex plane.

Let $F = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{p(z)}$ and $G = \frac{(L^n)^{(k)}}{p(z)}$. It follows that F and G share $(1, l)$ except the zeros of $p(z)$ and f, g share $(\infty, 0)$.

Case 1. Let $H \neq 0$.

Subcase 1.1. $l \geq 1$.

From (1) it can be easily calculated that the possible poles of H occur at (i) multiple zeros of F and G , (ii) those 1 points of F and G whose multiplicities are different, (iii) poles of F and G with different multiplicities, (iv) zeros of F' (G') which are not the zeros of $F(F-1)(G(G-1))$.

Since H has only simple poles we get

$$N(r, \infty; H) \leq \bar{N}_*(r, \infty; f, g) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) + \bar{N}(r, 0; |F| \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 0; |G| \geq 2) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; F') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; G'), \quad (11)$$

where $\bar{N}_0(r, 0; F')$ is the reduced counting function of those zeros of F' which are not the zeros of $F(F-1)$ and $\bar{N}_0(r, 0; G')$ is similarly defined.

Let z_0 be a simple zero of $F-1$ but $a(z_0) \neq 0, \infty$. Then z_0 is a simple zero of $G-1$ and a zero of H . So

$$N(r, 1; |F| = 1) \leq N(r, 0; H) \leq N(r, \infty; H) + O(\log r). \quad (12)$$

While $l \geq 3$, using (11) and (12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}(r, 1; F) &\leq N(r, 1; |F| = 1) + \bar{N}(r, 1; |F| \geq 2) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; |F| \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 0; |G| \geq 2) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, 1; |F| \geq 2) + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; F') + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; G') + O(\log r). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now in view of Lemmas 4 and 7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{N}_0(r, 0; G') + \bar{N}(r, 1; |F| \geq 2) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) \\ &\leq \bar{N}_0(r, 0; G') + \bar{N}(r, 1; |F| = 2) + \bar{N}(r, 1; |F| = 3) + \dots + \bar{N}(r, 1; |F| = l) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}_E^{(l+1)}(r, 1; F) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; F) + \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) \\ &\leq \bar{N}_0(r, 0; G') - \bar{N}(r, 1; |F| = 3) - \dots - (l-2)\bar{N}(r, 1; |F| = l) - (l-1)\bar{N}_L(r, 1; F) \\ &\quad - l\bar{N}_L(r, 1; G) - (l-1)\bar{N}_E^{(l+1)}(r, 1; F) + N(r, 1; G) - \bar{N}(r, 1; G) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) \\ &\leq \bar{N}_0(r, 0; G') + N(r, 1; G) - \bar{N}(r, 1; G) - (l-2)\bar{N}_L(r, 1; F) - (l-1)\bar{N}_L(r, 1; G) \\ &\leq N(r, 0; G' | G \neq 0) - (l-2)\bar{N}_L(r, 1; F) - (l-1)\bar{N}_L(r, 1; G) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) - (l-2)\bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) - \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Hence using (13), (14), Lemmas 3 and 11 we get from the second fundamental theorem

that

$$\begin{aligned}
& nT(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) - N_2(r, 0; F) + O(\log r) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, 0; F) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + \bar{N}(r, 1; F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) - N_2(r, 0; F) - \bar{N}_0(r, 0; F') \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F | \geq 2) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}(r, 0; G | \geq 2) + \bar{N}(r, 1; F | \geq 2) + \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; G') - N_2(r, 0; F) + O(\log r) \\
& \leq 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; L) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) + N_2(r, 0; G) - \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) \\
& \quad - \bar{N}_L(r, 1; G) + O(\log r) \\
& \leq 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) + N_2(r, 0; (L^n)^{(k)}) - \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) + O(\log r) \\
& \leq 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + (k+2)\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + (k+2)\bar{N}(r, 0; L) - \bar{N}_*(r, 1; F, G) + O(\log r) \\
& \leq (k+2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, L)\} + \frac{2(k+1)}{(n-1)}\{T(r, f) + T(r, L)\} + O(\log r) \\
& \leq \left[k+2 + \frac{2(k+1)}{n-1} \right] \{T(r, f) + T(r, L)\} + O(\log r).
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In a similar way we can obtain

$$nT(r, L) \leq \left[k+2 + \frac{(k+1)^2}{n-1} \right] \{T(r, f) + T(r, L)\} + O(\log r). \tag{16}$$

Adding (15) and (16), we get

$$\left[n-2k-4 - \frac{(k+3)(k+1)}{n-1} \right] \{T(r, f) + T(r, L)\} \leq O(\log r).$$

Since the quantity in the third bracket can be written as

$$\left[\frac{(n-1)^2 - (2k+3)(n-1) - (k+3)(k+1)}{n-1} \right], \tag{17}$$

by a simple computation one can easily verify that when

$$n-1 > 3k+3 > \frac{2k+3 + \sqrt{(2k+3)^2 + 4(k+3)(k+1)}}{2}$$

i.e., when $n > 3k+4$ we get a contradiction from (17).

While $l \geq 2$, like (13), (14) and not using Lemma 11 in (15) we can deduce a contradiction when $n > 3k+6$. So we omit the detail.

While $l = 1$. From (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(r, 0; F) &\leq N_2(r, 0; [f^n]^{(k)}) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, [f^n]^{(k)}) - nT(r, f) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, F) - nT(r, f) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$nT(r, f) \leq T(r, F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) - N_2(r, 0; F) + O\{\log r\} + S(r, f). \tag{18}$$

Using (18) and Lemma 8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} nT(r, f) &\leq \frac{5}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) + N_2\left(r, 0; (L^n)^{(k)}\right) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq \frac{5}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \frac{1}{2}\{N_{k+1}(r, 0; f^n) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f)\} + N_{k+2}(r, 0; L^n) \\ &\quad + (k+2)\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq \frac{5+k}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \frac{3k+5}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + (k+2)\overline{N}(r, 0; L) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq (2k+5)T(r, f) + (k+2)T(r, L) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq (3k+7)T(r) + O(\log r), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$.

In a similar way we can obtain

$$nT(r, L) \leq (3k+7)T(r) + O(\log r). \tag{20}$$

Combining (19) and (20) we see that

$$nT(r) \leq (3k+7)T(r) + O(\log r), \tag{21}$$

i.e.,

$$(n - 3k - 7)T(r) \leq O(\log r). \tag{22}$$

Since $n > 3k + 7$, (22) leads to a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. $l = 0$. Using (18) and Lemma 9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} nT(r, f) &\leq 4\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; L) + 2\overline{N}(r, 0; F) + N_{k+2}(r, 0; f^n) + N_2\left(r, 0; (L^n)^{(k)}\right) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}\left(r, 0; (L^n)^{(k)}\right) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq 4\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 2N_{k+1}(r, 0; f^n) + 2k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + (k+2)\overline{N}(r, 0; f) \\ &\quad + N_{k+2}(r, 0; L^n) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; L) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; L^n) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; L) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq (2k+4)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + (3k+4)\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + (2k+3)\overline{N}(r, 0; L) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq (5k+8)T(r, f) + (2k+3)T(r, L) + O(\log r) \\ &\leq (7k+11)T(r) + O(\log r), \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $T(r) = \max \{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$.

In a similar way we can obtain

$$nT(r, L) \leq (7k + 11)T(r) + O(\log r). \quad (24)$$

Combining (23) and (24) we see that

$$nT(r) \leq (7k + 11)T(r) + O(\log r), \quad (25)$$

i.e.,

$$(n - 7k - 11)T(r) \leq O(\log r). \quad (26)$$

Since $n > 7k + 11$, (26) leads to a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $H \equiv 0$. Then by Lemma 12, we obtain either

$$(f^n)^{(k)}(L^n)^{(k)} \equiv p^2$$

or

$$f^n \equiv L^n.$$

We consider the following two cases:

Case 1. Suppose that $(f^n)^{(k)}(L^n)^{(k)} \equiv p^2$.

Then,

$$F_1 G_1 \equiv 1 \quad (27)$$

where

$$F_1 = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{p(z)}, \quad G_1 = \frac{(L^n)^{(k)}}{p(z)}. \quad (28)$$

First of all, we prove that 0 is a Picard exceptional value of f and L . Indeed, suppose that $z_0 \notin \{z : p(z) = 0\}$ is a zero of f with multiplicity m . Then, by the view of (27) we can find that $z_0 = 1$ is a pole of L with multiplicity, say p_1 , such that $mn - k = np_1 + k$, and so $(m - p_1)n = 2k$ and so we have $n \leq 2k$, which contradicts the assumption $n > 3k + 4$. Similarly, we can prove that 0 is a Picard exceptional value of L . On the other hand, by (10) and (27), Valiron-Mokhonko lemma (cf. [22]), a result from Whittaker [[26], p. 82] and the definition of the order of a meromorphic function we have

$$\rho(f) = \rho(f^n) = \rho\left((f^n)^{(k)}\right) = \rho\left((L^n)^{(k)}\right) = \rho(L^n) = \rho(L) = 1. \quad (29)$$

Noting that L has at most one pole $z = 1$ in the complex plane, we have by (27), (29), Lemma 2 that

$$(n + k)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \leq N\left(r, 0; (L^n)^{(k)}\right) \leq N(r, 0; L^n) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; L^n) + O(\log r) = O(\log r). \quad (30)$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; L) \leq O(\log r). \quad (31)$$

Now we set

$$f_1 = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{(L^n)^{(k)}}, \quad f_2 = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)} - 1}{(L^n)^{(k)} - 1}. \tag{32}$$

By (32) and the assumption that f and L are transcendental meromorphic functions. We have $f_1 \not\equiv 0$ and $f_2 \not\equiv 0$. Suppose that one of f_1 and f_2 is a nonzero constant. Then, by (32) we see that $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(L^n)^{(k)}$ share ∞ CM. Combining this with (27) we deduce that ∞ is a Picard exceptional value of f and L . Next we suppose that f_1 and f_2 are nonconstant meromorphic functions.

Then, by (27) and (32) we have

$$F_1 = \frac{f_1(1 - f_2)}{f_1 - f_2}, \quad G_1 = \frac{1 - f_2}{f_1 - f_2}. \tag{33}$$

By (33) we can find that there exists a subset $I \subset (0, +\infty)$ with infinite linear measure such that $S(r) = o(T(r))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F_1) &\leq 2(T(r, f_1) + T(r, f_2)) + S(r) \\ &\leq 8T(r, F_1) + S(r). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

These give $S(r, F_1) = S(r; f_1, f_2)$. Also we note that

$$\overline{N}(r, 0; f_i) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f_i) = S(r; f_1, f_2),$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

We note that $\overline{N}(r, -1; F_1) \neq S(r, F_1)$, since otherwise by the second fundamental theorem, F_1 will be a constant.

Also we see that

$$\overline{N}(r, -1; F_1) \leq N_0(r, 1; f_1, f_2).$$

Thus we have

$$T(r, f_1) + T(r, f_2) \leq 4N_0(r, 1; f_1, f_2) + S(r, F_1).$$

Then, by Lemma 6 there exists two mutually prime integers s and t ($|s| + |t| > 0$) such that

$$f_1^s f_2^t \equiv 1,$$

i.e.,

$$\left[\frac{F_1}{G_1} \right]^s \left[\frac{F_1 - 1}{G_1 - 1} \right]^t \equiv 1. \tag{35}$$

If either s or t is zero then we arrive at contradiction and so $st \neq 0$.

By (35) we consider the following two subcases:

Subcase 1.1. Suppose that $st < 0$, say $s > 0$ and $t < 0$, say $t = -t_1$, where t_1 is some Positive integer. Then, (35) can be written as

$$\left[\frac{F_1}{G_1} \right]^s \equiv \left[\frac{F_1 - 1}{G_1 - 1} \right]^{t_1}. \tag{36}$$

Let z_1 be a pole of F_1 of multiplicity p_1 . Then from (36) we see that z_1 must be a zero of G_1 of multiplicity p_1 . Now from (36) we get $2s = t_1$, which is impossible. Hence F_1 has no pole. Similarly we can prove that G_1 also has no poles.

Subcase 1.2. Suppose that $st < 0$ or $st > 0$. Then by (35) we can see that F_1 and G_1 share ∞ CM. This together with (27) and (28) implies that ∞ is a Picard Exceptional value of f and L . Combining this with the obtained result that 0 is a Picard Exceptional value of f and L , we have

$$L(z) = e^{A_2 z + B_2}, \quad (37)$$

where $A_2 \neq 0$ and B_2 are constants. By (37) and Hayman [[8], p. 7] we have

$$T(r, L) = T(r, e^{A_2 z + B_2}) = \frac{|A_2| r}{\pi} (1 + o(1)). \quad (38)$$

Which contradicts (10).

Case 2. Suppose that $f^n = L^n$. Then, we have $f = tL$, where t is a constant satisfying $t^n = 1$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Noting that $\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) = \overline{N}(r, \infty; L) = 0$, and proceeding in the like manner as the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain the proof of the Theorem 2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BANERJEE, *Meromorphic functions sharing one value*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **22**, (2005), 3587–3598.
- [2] A. BANERJEE, *On a question of Gross*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **327**, (2007), no. 2, 1273–1283.
- [3] A. BANERJEE AND GOUTAM HALDAR, *Certain non-linear differential polynomials having common poles sharing a non zero polynomial with finite weight*, Journal of Classical Analysis **6**, Number 2 (2015), 167–190.
- [4] M.-L. FANG, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions*, Comput. Math. Appl. **44** (2002), no. 5–6, 823–831.
- [5] FANG LIU, XIAO-MIN LI AND HONG-XUN YI, *Value distribution of L-functions concerning shared values*, Proc. Japan Acad. **93**, Ser. A (2017).
- [6] HARINA P. WAGHAMORE AND V. HUSNA, *Generalization on uniqueness of meromorphic functions of a certain differential polynomials*, J. Class. Anal. **9**, (2016), no. 1, 27–34.
- [7] HARINA P. WAGHAMORE AND S. RAJESHWARI, *Weighted sharing of non-linear differential polynomials sharing small function with regard to multiplicity*, J. Class. Anal. **10**, (2017), no. 1, 1–14.
- [8] W. K. HAYMAN, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [9] W. K. HAYMAN AND J. MILES, *On the growth of a meromorphic function and its derivatives*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **12** (1989), no. 1–4, 245–260.
- [10] Q. HAN, *Some uniqueness results related to L-functions*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. **10**, (2017), 503–515.
- [11] JUN-FENG XU, FENG LU AND HONG-XUN YI, *Fixed-points and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications **59**, (2010) 9–17.
- [12] I. LAHIRI, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions as governed by their differential polynomials*, Yokohama Math. J. **44** (1997), no. 2, 147–156.
- [13] I. LAHIRI, *Weighted sharing of three values and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Kodai Math. J. **24** (2001), no. 3, 421–435.

- [14] I. LAHIRI, *On a question of Hong Xun Yi*, Arch. Math. (Brno), **38**, (2002), 119–128.
- [15] I. LAINE, *Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **15**, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
- [16] P. LI AND C. C. YANG, *On the characteristics of meromorphic functions that share three values CM*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **220**, (1998), 132–145.
- [17] B. Q. LI, *A result on value distribution of L-functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 6, 2071–2077.
- [18] W. C. LIN AND P. Q. LIN, *Uniqueness theorems of L-functions*, Acta Math. Sinica, Chinese Series, **61** (2018), no. 4, 601–608.
- [19] X.-M. LI AND H.-X. YI, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions whose certain nonlinear differential polynomials share a polynomial*, Comput. Math. Appl. **62** (2011), no. 2, 539–550.
- [20] C. MENG, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions sharing one value*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes **7**, (2007), 199–205.
- [21] C. MENG, *Value sharing of entire functions*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes **8**, (2008), 179–185.
- [22] A. Z. MOKHONKO, *On the Nevanlinna characteristics of some meromorphic functions*, in Theory of Functions, Functional Analysis and their Applications **14**, Izdvo Khar'kovsk, Unta, 1971, pp. 83–87.
- [23] R. NEVANLINNA, *Einige Eindeutigkeitsätze in der Theorie der Meromorphen Funktionen*, Acta Math **48** (1926), no. 3–4, 367–391.
- [24] A. SELBERG, *Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series*, Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory (Maiori, 1989), 367–385, Univ. Salerno, Salerno, 1992.
- [25] J. STEUDING, *Value-distribution of L-functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1877, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [26] J. M. WHITTAKER, *The order of the derivative of a meromorphic function*, J. London Math. Soc. S1–11 (1936), no. 2, 82–87.
- [27] L. Q. WANG AND X. D. LUO, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning fixed points*, Math. Slovaca **62**, 1 (2012), 29–38.
- [28] C.-C. YANG AND X. HUA, *Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **22** (1997), no. 2, 395–406.
- [29] C.-C. YANG AND H.-X. YI, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions*, Mathematics and its Applications **557**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
- [30] L. YANG, *Normality for families of meromorphic functions*, Sci. Sinica Ser. A, **29** (1986), no. 12, 1263–1274.
- [31] L. YANG, *Value distribution theory*, translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [32] Q. C. ZHANG, *Meromorphic functions sharing three values*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1999), no. 7, 667–682.
- [33] Q. C. ZHANG, *Meromorphic function that shares one small function with its derivative*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **6**, 4 (2005), Art.116, <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>.

(Received October 25, 2017)

Harina P. Waghamore
 Department of Mathematics
 Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University
 Bangalore-560 001, India
 e-mail: harinapw@gmail.com

S. H. Naveenkumar
 Department of Mathematics
 Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University
 Bangalore-560 001, India
 e-mail: naveenkumarsh.220@gmail.com