

# COEFFICIENT PROBLEMS OF A CLASS OF q-STARLIKE FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH q-ANALOGUE OF AL-OBOUDI-AL-QAHTANI INTEGRAL OPERATOR AND NEPHROID DOMAIN

## AYOTUNDE OLAJIDE LASODE\* AND TIMOTHY OLOYEDE OPOOLA

Abstract. This investigation is on a set  $SN_q^*(n,\tau;\eta)$  of q-starlike functions defined by using a newly defined q-analogue of Al-Oboudi-Al-Qahtani integral operator along with subordination and nephroid domain. This new q-operator generalizes some known integral operators. Results such as coefficient bounds, Fekete-Szegö problem (for real and complex parameters) and bounds of some Hankel determinants are presented. Our results generalize some known and new ones.

### 1. Introduction and definitions

In this work we let A represent the set of *normalized analytic* functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$$
,  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$  and  $z \in E := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . (1.1)

Also, we let S represent the set of functions analytic and univalent in E. A function  $f \in S$  that satisfies the geometric condition  $Re\{zf'(z)/f(z)\} > 0$ ,  $z \in E$ , is called a starlike function. Let  $S^*$  represent the set of starlike functions in the unit disk E.

The convolution of two functions

$$f_1(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \ f_2(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \alpha_j z^j \in A, \quad z \in E,$$
 (1.2)

is defined by the function  $(f_1 \star f_2)(z) := z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j \alpha_j z^j =: (f_2 \star f_1)(z) \in A$ . Likewise, from (1.2),  $f_1(z)$  is said to be *subordinate* to  $f_2(z)$ , notationally represented by  $f_1(z) \prec f_2(z)$ ,  $z \in E$ , if there exists an analytic function

$$s(z) = s_1 z + s_2 z^2 + s_3 z^3 + \cdots$$
  $(s(0) = 0, |s(z)| \le |z| < 1, z \in E)$  (1.3)

such that

$$f_1(z) = f_2(z) \circ s(z) = f_2(s(z)).$$

*Keywords and phrases*: Starlike function, nephroid domain, Al-Oboudi-Al-Qahtani integral operator, *q*-calculus, convolution, subordination, Fekete-Szegö functional, coefficient bounds, Hankel determinants.

\* Corresponding author.



Mathematics subject classification (2020): 30C45, 30C50.

In case  $f_2(z)$  is univalent in E, then  $f_1(z) \prec f_2(z) \implies f_1(0) = f_2(0)$  and  $f_1(E) \subset f_2(E)$ .

In the works of Pommerenke [35, 36], the *i*th-Hankel determinant

$$H_{i,j}(f) := \begin{vmatrix} a_j & a_{j+1} & \dots & a_{j+i-1} \\ a_{j+1} & a_{j+2} & \dots & a_{j+i} \\ a_{j+2} & a_{j+3} & \dots & a_{j+i+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{j+i-1} & a_{j+i} & \dots & a_{j+2(i-1)} \end{vmatrix}$$

was reported for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  where all the  $a_j s$  are the coefficients of  $z^j$  for  $f \in S$  of the form (1.1). Observe that for some varied parameters we obtain

$$|H_{2,1}(f)| = |a_3 - a_2^2|, (1.4)$$

$$|H_{2,2}(f)| = |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \le |a_3||H_{2,2}(f)| + |a_4||a_2a_3 - a_4| + |a_5||H_{2,1}(f)|. \tag{1.6}$$

In deed, Pommerenke [35] mentioned the application of Hankel determinants in the study of singularities of complex functions. Cantor [5] used Hankel determinants to solve some problems of power series with integral coefficients. Junod [18], Dilcher and Jiu [8], and Its and Krasovsky [13] reported that some specific types of problems of orthogonal polynomials can be solved by using Hankel determinants. Chu [7] applied Hankel determinants to solve some problems involving factorial fractions. The asymptotic behaviour of Hankel determinants were described in separate works of Noor [32], Charliera and Gharakhloo [6] and Ul-Haq and Noor [48]. Some notations and properties of Hankel matrices and their determinants were extensively discussed by Layman [29]. More properties of Hankel determinants can be found in [3, 4, 26, 34]. Another interesting aspect of (1.4) is its kin association with the well-known Fekete-Szegö functional

$$F_{\lambda}(f) = |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \tag{1.7}$$

in [10]. It can easily be verified that  $|H_{2,1}(f)| = F_1(f)$ . So (1.7) is a generalization of (1.4). See the works in [3, 4, 26] for more details.

Lately, geometric function theorists have been inspired to study different kinds of *natural* image domains such as circular domain [17], domain of lemniscate of Bernoulli [43], conic domain [21], stripe-like domain [25], shell-like domain [9], cardioid domain [42], lune-like domain [11], leaf-like domain [37], petal-like domain [31] and many others, for various subsets of A. In particular, Wani and Swaminathan [50, 51], Swaminathan and Wani [46] and Khan et al. [23] investigated the set

$$SN^*(\eta) := \left\{ f \in A : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \eta(z) = 1 + z - \frac{1}{3}z^3, \ z \in E \right\}$$
 (1.8)

where  $\eta(z)$  is a function that *univalently* maps the boundary  $\partial E$  of the unit disk E onto a 2-cusped kidney-shaped curve called *nephroid*. It was also demonstrated in [50, 51]

that the function  $\eta(z)$  maps the unit disk E onto the domain bounded by the nephroid curve

$$\left( (u-1)^2 + v^2 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 \right)^3 = \frac{4}{3}v^2.$$
 (1.9)

It is important to note that the nephroid curve in (1.9) is symmetric about the real axis and falls entirely on the *open-right-half-plane*. Interested readers can see [23, 46, 50, 51] for some illustrative diagrams and more properties of the nephroid curve.

Recent studies show that the concept of q-calculus has enticed many geometric function theorists. The concept of q-difference and q-integral were introduced in the works of Jackson [14, 15, 16] and since then, many researchers (for instance see [1, 12, 26, 27, 28, 38, 45]) have used it in various ways to define, extend and establish many properties of some subsets of A. In deed, Srivastava [44] and Kac and Cheung [19] extensively discussed some areas of applications of q-analysis in the fields of Pure and Applied Mathematics.

DEFINITION 1. ([14, 15, 19]) For function  $f(z) \in A$  of the form (1.1) and for 0 < q < 1, the q-derivative operator  $D_q : A \longrightarrow A$  of f(z) is defined by

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{z(1 - q)} = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [j]_q a_j z^{j-1} \quad (z \neq 0)$$

$$D_q f(0) = f'(0) = 1 \quad (z = 0) \quad \text{if it exists}$$

$$D_q^2 f(z) = D_q (D_q f(z)) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [j]_q [j - 1]_q a_j z^{j-2}$$

$$\text{where } [j]_q = \frac{1 - q^j}{1 - q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{j-1} \quad \text{so that } \lim_{q \to 1^-} [j]_q = j.$$

The importance and uses of operators (and q-operators) in geometric function theory is not hidden anymore. In fact, many researchers (for example see [20, 33, 41, 49]) find it convenient to define q-operators by using the principle of convolution. In particular, Aldweby and Darus [1] introduced and studied the class

$$S_q^{\star}(M) := \left\{ f \in A : \frac{z D_q f(z)}{f(z)} \prec M(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m_j z^j, \ 0 < q < 1, \ z \in E \right\}$$
 (1.11)

of Ma-Minda q-starlike functions. Note that  $\lim_{q\to 1^-} S_q^\star(M) = S^\star(M)$  is the well-known class of Ma-Minda-starlike functions in E. Since M(z) is known to unify several subclasses of analytic-univalent functions, geometric function theorists have studied (1.11) by taking different forms of function M(z). For instance see [24, 38, 40, 45, 49] for some details.

Now using Definition 1 along with the concept of convolution, we define the q-Al-Oboudi-Al-Qahtani operator as follows.

DEFINITION 2. Let  $n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \tau \ge 0$  and 0 < q < 1, then

$$L_q^{n,\tau} f(z) = f(z) \star \left( z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\{1 + ([j]_q - 1)\tau\}^n} z^j \right), \quad z \in E$$

where equivalently we have

$$L_q^{n,\tau} f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\{1 + ([j]_q - 1)\tau\}^n} a_j z^j, \quad z \in E$$
 (1.12)

or

$$L_q^{n,\tau} f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \gamma_j a_j z^j, \quad z \in E$$
 (1.13)

where

$$\gamma_j = \frac{1}{\{1 + ([j]_q - 1)\tau\}^n}.$$

From (1.12), we observe that

1. 
$$\lim_{q \to 1^-} L_q^{0,\tau} f(z) = \lim_{q \to 1^-} L_q^{n,0} f(z) = \lim_{q \to 1^-} L_q^{0,0} f(z) = f(z) \in A \text{ in (1.1)},$$

- 2.  $\lim_{q\to 1^-} L_q^{n,1}f(z) = L^n f(z)$  is the Sălăgean integral operator studied in [39] and
- 3.  $\lim_{q \to 1^-} L_q^{n,\tau} f(z) = L^{n,\tau} f(z)$  is the Al-Oboudi-Al-Qahtani integral operator studied in [2].

The new class investigated in this paper is therefore defined as follows.

DEFINITION 3. Let  $n = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ ,  $\tau \ge 0$  and 0 < q < 1. A function  $f(z) \in A$  is said to be a member of the set  $SN_a^*(n, \tau; \eta)$  if the q-differential subordination

$$\frac{zD_q(L_q^{n,\tau}f(z))}{L_q^{n,\tau}f(z)} \prec \eta(z), \quad z \in E$$
(1.14)

holds true where  $L_q^{n,\tau}$  is the q-operator defined in (1.12) and  $\eta(z)$  is defined in (1.8).

Note that from Definition 3,

$$\lim_{q\to 1^-}\mathit{SN}_q^\star(0,\tau;\eta) = \lim_{q\to 1^-}\mathit{SN}_q^\star(n,0;\eta) = \lim_{q\to 1^-}\mathit{SN}_q^\star(0,0;\eta) = \mathit{SN}^\star(\eta)$$

is the class earlier studied in [23, 46, 50, 51].

The purpose of our present investigation is to solve some coefficient problems such as the coefficient bounds, the Fekete-Szegö problem and some bounds of Hankel determinants.

# 2. Applicable lemmas

Let P be the well-known set of analytic functions of the form

$$c(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad z \in E$$
 (2.1)

that have positive real parts in E. Then the following lemmas hold for  $c(z) \in P$ .

LEMMA 1. ([47]) For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|c_j| \leq 2$ .

LEMMA 2. ([47]) For  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left|c_{2}-\kappa c_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases} 2-4\kappa \text{ for } \kappa \leq 0, \\ 2 \text{ for } 0 \leq \kappa \leq 1, \\ 4\kappa-2 \text{ for } \kappa \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3. ([22]) For  $\varkappa \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|c_2 - \varkappa c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |2\varkappa - 1|\}$ .

LEMMA 4. ([23, 30]) For  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  $|c_{i+j} - \lambda c_i c_j| \le 2$  for  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ .

LEMMA 5. ([22, 23]) For  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  $|c_{i+2j} - \sigma c_i c_j^2| \leq 2(1+2\sigma)$  where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# 3. Main results

Henceforth, let  $n = \{0, 1, 2, ...\} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\tau \ge 0$  and 0 < q < 1 unless otherwise stated.

Theorem 1. Let  $f(z) \in SN_q^*(n, \tau; \eta)$ , then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{1}{([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2} \tag{3.1}$$

$$|a_3| \le \frac{1}{([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3} \tag{3.2}$$

$$|a_4| \le 2(L+2J) \tag{3.3}$$

$$|a_5| \le 2(E + 4B). \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$J = \frac{1}{([4]_q - 1)\gamma_4} \left\{ \frac{3 - [2]_q (4 - [2]_q) + [3]_q ([2]_q - 1)}{8([2]_q - 1)^2 ([3]_q - 1)} - \frac{1}{12} \right\},$$

$$K = \frac{1}{([4]_q - 1)\gamma_4} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{[3]_q + [2]_q - 2}{4([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)} \right\},$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2([4]_q - 1)\gamma_4}$$

$$A = \frac{1}{([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5} \left[ \frac{1}{16([2]_q - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{(2 - [2]_q)^2}{([3]_q - 1)} + \frac{1}{([2]_q - 1)} + \frac{(2 - [2]_q)(2[2]_q + [3]_q - 3)}{([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)} \right\} \right]$$

$$- \frac{([4]_q + [2]_q - 2)}{8([2]_q - 1)([4]_q - 1)} \left\{ \frac{3 - [2]_q (4 - [2]_q) + [3]_q ([2]_q - 1)}{2([2]_q - 1)^2 ([3]_q - 1)} - \frac{1}{3} \right\} \right]$$

$$B = \frac{1}{([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5} \left[ \frac{([4]_q + [2]_q - 2)}{4([2]_q - 1)([4]_q - 1)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{[3]_q + [2]_q - 2}{2([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)} \right\} + \frac{1}{4([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)} \left\{ \frac{(2[2]_q + [3]_q - 3)}{2([2]_q - 1)} - (2 - [2]_q) \right\} - \frac{1}{4} \right]$$

$$C = \frac{1}{([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{([4]_q + [2]_q - 2)}{4([2]_q - 1)([4]_q - 1)} \right]$$

$$D = \frac{1}{([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5} \left[ \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4([3]_q - 1)} \right]$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5}.$$

The results are non-sharp.

*Proof.* By the definition of subordination, (1.14) can be expressed as

$$\frac{zD_q(L_q^{n,\tau}f(z))}{L_q^{n,\tau}f(z)} = \eta(s(z)) = 1 + s(z) - \frac{1}{3}(s(z))^3, \quad z \in E$$
(3.6)

where s(z) is given in (1.3).

Simple computation shows that by using (1.13), the LHS of (3.6) can be binomially expanded as

$$\frac{zD_{q}(L_{q}^{\eta,\tau}f(z))}{L_{q}^{\eta,\tau}f(z)} = 1 + \{[2]_{q} - 1\}\gamma_{2}a_{2}z 
+ \{([3]_{q} - 1)\gamma_{3}a_{3} - ([2]_{q} - 1)\gamma_{2}^{2}a_{2}^{2}\}z^{2} 
+ \{(2 - [2]_{q} - [3]_{q})\gamma_{2}\gamma_{3}a_{2}a_{3} + ([2]_{q} - 1)\gamma_{2}^{3}a_{2}^{3} + ([4]_{q} - 1)\gamma_{4}a_{4}\}z^{3} 
+ \{(2 - [2]_{q} - [4]_{q})\gamma_{2}\gamma_{4}a_{2}a_{4} + (1 - [3]_{q})\gamma_{3}^{2}a_{3}^{2} + (1 - [4]_{q})\gamma_{2}^{4}a_{2}^{4} 
+ ([5]_{q} - 1)\gamma_{5}a_{5} + (2[2]_{q} + [3]_{q} - 3)\gamma_{2}^{2}\gamma_{3}a_{2}^{2}a_{3}\}z^{4} 
+ \cdots$$
(3.7)

Now we expand the RHS of (3.6). Firstly, it is well-known that the relationship between s(z) in (1.3) and c(z) in (2.1) can be demonstrated as

$$s(z) = \frac{c(z) - 1}{c(z) + 1} = \frac{(1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots) - 1}{(1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots) + 1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}c_1 z + \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 + c_2\right)z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2^2}c_1^3 - c_1c_2 + c_3\right)z^3 + \dots$$

so that

$$\eta(s(z)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1z + \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 + c_2\right)z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}c_1^3 - c_1c_2 + c_3\right)z^3 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}c_1^2c_2 - c_1c_3 - \frac{1}{2}c_2^2 + c_4\right)z^4 + \cdots$$
(3.8)

Now comparing of coefficients in (3.7) and (3.8) shows that

$$([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2 a_2 = \frac{1}{2}c_1, \tag{3.9}$$

$$([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3 a_3 - ([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2 a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 + c_2 \right), \tag{3.10}$$

$$(2 - [2]_q - [3]_q)\gamma_2\gamma_3a_2a_3 + ([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^3a_2^3 + ([4]_q - 1)\gamma_4a_4 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}c_1^3 - c_1c_2 + c_3\right)$$
(3.11)

and

$$(2 - [2]_q - [4]_q)\gamma_2\gamma_4a_2a_4 + (1 - [3]_q)\gamma_3^2a_3^2 + (1 - [2]_q)\gamma_2^4a_2^4 + ([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5a_5 + (2[2]_q + [3]_q - 3)\gamma_2^2\gamma_3a_2^2a_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}c_1^2c_2 - c_1c_3 - \frac{1}{2}c_2^2 + c_4\right).$$
(3.12)

By simple calculation, (3.9) simplifies to

$$a_2 = \frac{c_1}{2([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2} \tag{3.13}$$

so that by applying triangle inequality and Lemma 1 we obtain (3.1). Using (3.13) in (3.10) leads to

$$a_3 = \frac{c_1^2(2 - [2]_q) + 2c_2([2]_q - 1)}{4([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3}$$
(3.14)

so that by applying triangle inequality and Lemma 1 we obtain (3.2). Using (3.13) and (3.14) in (3.11) leads to

$$a_{4} = -\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{([4]_{q} - 1)\gamma_{4}} \left\{ \frac{3 - [2]_{q}(4 - [2]_{q}) + [3]_{q}([2]_{q} - 1)}{8([2]_{q} - 1)^{2}([3]_{q} - 1)} - \frac{1}{12} \right\} - \frac{c_{1}c_{2}}{([4]_{q} - 1)\gamma_{4}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{[3]_{q} + [2]_{q} - 2}{4([2]_{q} - 1)([3]_{q} - 1)} \right\} + \frac{c_{3}}{2([4]_{q} - 1)\gamma_{4}}$$
(3.15)

and for simplicity we have

$$a_4 = -Jc_1^3 - Kc_1c_2 + Lc_3 (3.16)$$

where J, K and L are defined in (3.5). To obtain bound for  $a_4$ , from (3.16),

$$|a_4| = |-Jc_1^3 - Kc_1c_2 + Lc_3|$$

$$= \left| \frac{L}{2} \left( c_3 - \frac{2K}{L} c_1c_2 \right) + \frac{L}{2} \left( c_3 - \frac{2J}{L} c_1^3 \right) \right|$$

so that by applying triangle inequality and Lemmas 4 and 5 we obtain (3.3). Lastly, using (3.13), (3.14) and (3.16) in (3.12) leads to

$$\begin{split} a_5 = & \frac{c_1^4}{([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5} \left[ \frac{1}{16([2]_q - 1)^2} \left\{ \frac{(2 - [2]_q)^2}{([3]_q - 1)} + \frac{1}{([2]_q - 1)} + \frac{(2 - [2]_q)(2[2]_q + [3]_q - 3)}{([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)} \right\} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{([4]_q + [2]_q - 2)}{8([2]_q - 1)([4]_q - 1)} \left\{ \frac{3 - [2]_q(4 - [2]_q) + [3]_q([2]_q - 1)}{2([2]_q - 1)^2([3]_q - 1)} - \frac{1}{3} \right\} \right] \\ & \left. - \frac{c_1^2 c_2}{([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5} \left[ \frac{([4]_q + [2]_q - 2)}{4([2]_q - 1)([4]_q - 1)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{[3]_q + [2]_q - 2}{2([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)} \right\} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)} \left\{ \frac{(2[2]_q + [3]_q - 3)}{2([2]_q - 1)} - (2 - [2]_q) \right\} - \frac{1}{4} \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{c_1 c_3}{([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{([4]_q + [2]_q - 2)}{4([2]_q - 1)([4]_q - 1)} \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{c_2^2}{([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5} \left[ \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4([3]_q - 1)} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{c_4}{2([5]_q - 1)\gamma_5} \right. \end{split}$$

and for simplicity we have

$$a_5 = Ac_1^4 - Bc_1^2c_2 - Cc_1c_3 - Dc_2^2 + Ec_4$$
(3.17)

where A, B, C, D and E are defined in (3.5). To obtain bound for  $a_5$ , from (3.17),

$$|a_5| = |Ac_1^4 - Bc_1^2c_2 - Cc_1c_3 - Dc_2^2 + Ec_4|$$

$$= \left| \frac{E}{2} \left( c_4 - \frac{2C}{E}c_1c_3 \right) + \frac{E}{2} \left( c_4 - \frac{2D}{E}c_2^2 \right) - Bc_1^2 \left( c_2 - \frac{A}{B}c_1^2 \right) \right|$$

so that by applying triangle inequality and Lemmas 1 and 4 we obtain (3.4).  $\Box$ 

REMARK 1. By setting n=0 (or  $\tau=0$ ) and letting  $q \to 1^-$  make (3.1), (3.2), (3.4), (3.13), (3.14), (3.16) and (3.17) to become the results of Khan et al. [23]. Moreover,  $|a_4| = \frac{5}{18}$  in (3.3) gives a better estimate when compared with the estimate given by Khan et al. [23].

Theorem 2. Let  $f(z) \in SN_q^*(n, \tau; \eta)$ . Then for  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|a_3-ua_2^2\right| & \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{([3]_q-1)\gamma_3} \left\{ \frac{(2-[2]_q)([2]_q-1)\gamma_2^2-u([3]_q-1)\gamma_3}{([2]_q-1)^2\gamma_2^2} + 1 \right\} & for \ \ u \leq U_1 \\ \frac{1}{([3]_q-1)\gamma_3} & for \ \ U_1 \leq u \leq U_2 \\ \frac{1}{([3]_q-1)\gamma_3} \left\{ \frac{u([3]_q-1)\gamma_3-(2-[2]_q)([2]_q-1)\gamma_2^2}{([2]_q-1)^2\gamma_2^2} - 1 \right\} & for \ \ u \geq U_2 \\ \end{array} \end{aligned} \right.$$

where

$$U_1 = \frac{(2 - [2]_q - 1)([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2}{([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3} \quad and \quad U_2 = \frac{([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2\{2([2]_q - 1) + (2 - [2]_q)\}}{([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3}.$$

*Proof.* For  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and using (3.13) and (3.14) in (1.7) leads to

$$\left|a_3 - ua_2^2\right| = \frac{1}{2([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3} \left|c_2 - \left\{\frac{u([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3 - (2 - [2]_q)([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2}{2([2]_q - 1)^2\gamma_2^2}\right\}c_1^2\right|$$

which equivalently implies that

$$\left|a_3 - ua_2^2\right| = \frac{1}{2([3]_a - 1)\gamma_3} \left|c_2 - \kappa c_1^2\right| \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{u([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3 - (2 - [2]_q)([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2}{2([2]_q - 1)^2\gamma_2^2}.$$
(3.19)

Now applying Lemma 2 implies that

$$|a_3 - ua_2^2| \le \frac{1}{([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3} \left\{ \frac{(2 - [2]_q)([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2 - u([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3}{([2]_q - 1)^2\gamma_2^2} + 1 \right\}$$

for  $u \le \frac{(2-[2]_q-1)([2]_q-1)\gamma_2^2}{([3]_q-1)\gamma_3}$ ,

$$|a_3 - ua_2^2| \le \frac{1}{([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3}$$

for  $0 \le u \le \frac{([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2 \{2([2]_q - 1) + (2 - [2]_q)\}}{([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3}$  and

$$|a_3 - ua_2^2| \le \frac{1}{([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3} \left\{ \frac{u([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3 - (2 - [2]_q)([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2}{([2]_q - 1)^2\gamma_2^2} - 1 \right\}$$

for  $u \ge \frac{([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2 \{2([2]_q - 1) + (2 - [2]_q)\}}{([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3}$  and the proof completes.  $\square$ 

Theorem 3. Let  $f(z) \in SN_q^*(n,\tau;\eta)$ . Then for  $v \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\left| a_3 - v a_2^2 \right| \le \frac{1}{([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{v([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3 - (2 - [2]_q)([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2}{([2]_q - 1)^2 \gamma_2^2} - 1 \right| \right\}. \tag{3.20}$$

*Proof.* For  $v \in \mathbb{C}$ , using (3.18) and (3.19) in (1.7) and applying Lemma 3 implies that

$$\left|a_3 - va_2^2\right| \le \frac{1}{2([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3} \times 2\max\left\{1, \left|2\left(\frac{v([3]_q - 1)\gamma_3 - (2 - [2]_q)([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2^2}{2([2]_q - 1)^2\gamma_2^2}\right) - 1\right|\right\}$$

and simple computation gives inequality (3.20).

REMARK 2. Setting n = 0 (or  $\tau = 0$ ) and letting  $q \longrightarrow 1^-$  make Theorems 2 and 3 to become the results of Khan et al. [23].

THEOREM 4. Let  $f(z) \in SN_q^*(n, \tau; \eta)$ . Then

$$|H_{2,2}(f)| \le 4(Q+P+2M)$$
 (3.21)

where

$$M = \frac{J}{2([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2} + \frac{(2 - [2]_q)^2}{16([2]_q - 1)^2([3]_q - 1)^2\gamma_3^2}$$

$$N = \frac{K}{2([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2} + \frac{(2 - [2]_q)}{4([3]_q - 1)^2\gamma_3^2}$$

$$Q = \frac{L}{2([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{4([3]_q - 1)^2\gamma_3^2}$$

$$(3.22)$$

and J, K and L are defined in (3.5).

*Proof.* By using (3.13), (3.14) and (3.16) in (1.5) we have

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = \left| -c_1^4 \left\{ \frac{J}{2([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2} + \frac{(2 - [2]_q)^2}{16([2]_q - 1)^2([3]_q - 1)^2\gamma_3^2} \right\} - c_1^2 c_2 \left\{ \frac{K}{2([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2} + \frac{(2 - [2]_q)}{4([3]_q - 1)^2\gamma_3^2} \right\} + c_1 c_3 \left\{ \frac{L}{2([2]_q - 1)\gamma_2} \right\} - c_2^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{4([3]_q - 1)^2\gamma_3^2} \right\} \right|.$$

By equivalence we have

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = \left| -Mc_1^4 - Nc_1^2c_2 + Qc_1c_3 - Pc_2^2 \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{Qc_1}{2} \left( c_3 - \frac{2M}{Q}c_1^3 \right) + \frac{Qc_1}{2} \left( c_3 - \frac{2N}{Q}c_1c_2 \right) - Pc_2^2 \right|$$

where M, N, Q and P are defined in (3.22). Now applying Lemmas 1, 4 and 5 leads to (3.21).  $\square$ 

THEOREM 5. Let  $f(z) \in SN_q^*(n, \tau; \eta)$ . Then

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \le 2(L + 2R) \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$R = \frac{(2-[2]_q)}{8([2]_q-1)^2([3]_q-1)\gamma_2\gamma_3} + J$$

$$S = \frac{1}{4([2]_q-1)([3]_q-1)\gamma_2\gamma_3} + K$$
(3.24)

and J, K and L are defined in (3.5).

*Proof.* Recall by using (3.13), (3.14) and (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_3 - a_4| &= \left| c_1^3 \left\{ \frac{(2 - [2]_q)}{8([2]_q - 1)^2([3]_q - 1)\gamma_2\gamma_3} + J \right\} \right. \\ &+ c_1c_2 \left\{ \frac{1}{4([2]_q - 1)([3]_q - 1)\gamma_2\gamma_3} + K \right\} - Lc_3 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By equivalence we have

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| = |Rc_1^3 + Sc_1c_2 - Lc_3| = \left| -\left\{ \frac{L}{2} \left( c_3 - \frac{2S}{L} c_1c_2 \right) + \frac{L}{2} \left( c_3 - \frac{2R}{L} c_1^3 \right) \right\} \right|$$

where R, S and L are defined in (3.24) and (3.5). Now applying Lemmas 1, 4 and 5 leads to (3.23).  $\square$ 

THEOREM 6. Let  $f(z) \in SN_a^*(n, \tau; \eta)$ . Then

$$|H_{3,1}(f)| \le \frac{4(Q+P+2M)}{([2]_q-1)([3]_q-1)\gamma_3} + \frac{2(E+4B)}{([3]_q-1)\gamma_3} + 4(L+2J)(L+2R). \tag{3.25}$$

*Proof.* Putting (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.23) into (1.6) and simplifying leads to (3.25).  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgement. The authors thank the anonymous referee(s) and the editor for their combined efforts and advice that added immense values to this work.

### REFERENCES

- [1] H. ALDWEBY AND M. DARUS, Coefficient estimates of classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., 26, 1 (2016), 21–26.
- [2] F. M. AL-OBOUDI AND Z. M. AL-QAHTANI, Application of differential subordinations to some properties of linear operators, Internat. J. Open Probl. Complex Anal., 2, 3 (2010), 189–202.
- [3] R. O. AYINLA AND T. O. OPOOLA, The Fekete Szegö functional and second Hankel determinant for a certain subclass of analytic functions, Appl. Math., 10, (2019), 1071–1078.
- [4] R. A. BELLO AND T. O. OPOOLA, Upper bounds for Fekete-Szegö functions and the second Hankel determinants for a class of starlike functions, Internat. J. Math., 13, 2 (2017), 34–39.
- [5] D. G. CANTOR, Power series with integral coefficients, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 69, (1963), 362–366.

- [6] C. CHARLIERA AND R. GHARAKHLOO, Asymptotics of Hankel determinants with a Laguerre-type or Jacobi-type potential and Fisher-Hartwig singularities, Adv. Math., 383, (2021), 69 pp.
- [7] W. Chu, Hankel determinants of factorial fractions, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 105, 1 (2022), 46-57.
- [8] K. DILCHER AND L. JIU, Orthogonal polynomials and Hankel determinants for certain Bernoulli and Euler polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 497, 1 (2021), 124–855.
- [9] J. DZIOK, R. K. RAINA AND J. SOKÓŁ, On a class of starlike functions related to a shell-like curve connected with Fibonacci numbers, Math. Comp. Model., 57, (2013), 1203–1211.
- [10] M. FEKETE AND G. SZEGÖ, Eine bemerkung über ungerade schlichte funktionen, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 8, (1933), 85–89.
- [11] S. GANDHI AND V. RAVICHANDRAN, Starlike functions associated with a lune, Asian-Eur. J. Math., 10, 3 (2017), 1–12.
- [12] M. E. H. ISMAIL, E. MERKES AND D. STYER, A generalization of starlike functions, Comp. Var., Theory Appl., 14, (1990), 77–84.
- [13] A. ITS AND I. KRASOVSKY, Hankel determinant and orthogonal polynomial for the Gaussian weight with a jump, Contemp. Math., 458, (2008), 215–248.
- [14] F. H. JACKSON, On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinb., 46, 2 (1908), 253–281.
- [15] F. H. JACKSON, On q-difference equation, Amer. J. Math., 32, 4 (1910), 305–314.
- [16] F. H. JACKSON, On q-definite integrals, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., 41, (1910), 193–203.
- [17] W. JANOWSKI, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I, Ann. Polon. Math., 28, 3 (1973), 297–326.
- [18] A. JUNOD, Hankel determinants and orthogonal polynomials, Expo. Math., 21, (2003), 63-74.
- [19] V. KAC AND P. CHEUNG, Quantum Calculus, Springer-Verlag Inc, New York, 2002.
- [20] S. KANAS AND D. RĂDUCANU, Some classes of analytic functions related to conic domains, Math. Slovaca, 64, 5 (2014), 1183–1196.
- [21] S. KANAS AND A. WIŚNIOWSKA, Conic regions and k-uniform convexity, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 105, (1999), 327–336.
- [22] F. R. KEOGH AND E. P. MERKES, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20, 1 (1969), 8–12.
- [23] M. G. KHAN, B. AHMAD, W. K. MASHWANI, T. G. SHABA AND M. ARIF, Third Hankel determinant problem for certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with nephroid domain, Earthline J. Math. Sci., 6, 2 (2021), 293–308.
- [24] B. KHAN, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, N. KHAN, M. DARUS, Q. Z. AHMAD AND M. TAHIR, Applications of certain conic domains to a subclass of q-starlike functions associated with the Janowski functions, Symmetry, 13, 574 (2021), 18 pp.
- [25] K. KUROKI AND S. OWA, Notes on new class for certain analytic functions, Adv. Math. Sci. J., 1, 2 (2012), 127–131.
- [26] A. O. LASODE AND T. O. OPOOLA, Fekete-Szegö estimates and second Hankel determinant for a generalized subfamily of analytic functions defined by q-differential operator, Gulf J. Math., 11, 2 (2021), 36–43.
- [27] A. O. LASODE AND T. O. OPOOLA, On a generalized class of bi-univalent functions defined by subordination and q-derivative operator, Open J. Math. Anal., 5, 2 (2021), 46–52.
- [28] A. O. LASODE AND T. O. OPOOLA, Some investigations on a class of analytic and univalent functions involving q-differentiation, Eur. J. Math. Anal., 2, 12 (2022), 1–9.
- [29] J. W. LAYMAN, The Hankel transform and some of its properties, J. Integer Seq., 4, (2001), 1-11.
- [30] A. E. LIVINGSTON, *The coefficients of multivalent close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **21**, (1969), 545–552.
- [31] R. K. MAURYA AND P. SHARMA, A class of starlike functions associated with petal like region on the positive half of complex plane, J. Indian Math. Soc., 87, 3 (2020), 165–172.
- [32] K. I. NOOR, Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures. Et. Appl., 28, (1983), 731–739.
- [33] K. I. NOOR, Some classes of analytic functions associated with q-Ruscheweyh differential operator, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform., 33, (2018), 531–538.
- [34] E. A. OYEKAN AND S. O. ADERIBOLE, New results on the Chebyshev polynomial bounds for classes of univalent functions, Asia Pac. J. Math., 7, (2020), 1–10.

- [35] C. POMMERENKE, On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 41, 1 (1966), 111–122.
- [36] C. POMMERENKE, On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions, Mathematik., 14, 1 (1967), 108–112.
- [37] M. H. PRIYA AND R. B. SHARMA, On a class of bounded turning functions subordinate to a leaf-like domain, J. Phy. Conf. Ser., 1000, 1 (2018), 1–14.
- [38] C. RAMACHANDRAN, T. SOUPRAMANIEN AND B. A. FRASIN, New subclasses of analytic functions associated with q-difference operator, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., 10, 2 (2017), 348–362.
- [39] G. S. SĂLĂGEAN, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lect. Notes Math., 1013, (1983), 362–372.
- [40] T. M. SEOUDY AND M. K. AOUF, Coefficient estimates of new classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions of complex order, J. Math. Inequal., 10, 1 (2016), 135–145.
- [41] T. M. SEOUDY AND A. E. SHAMMAKY, Certain subclasses of spiral-like functions associated with q-analogue of Carlson-Shaffer operator, AIMS Math., 6, 3 (2020), 2525–2538.
- [42] K. SHARMA, N. K. JAIN AND V. RAVICHANDRAN, Starlike functions associated with a cardioid, Afr. Math., 27, 5 (2016), 923–939.
- [43] J. SOKÓŁ AND J. STANKIEWICZ, Radius of some subclasses of strongly starlike functions, Zesz. Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej. Math., 19, (1996), 101–105.
- [44] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Operators of basic (or q-) calculus and fractional q-calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci. Review paper, (2019).
- [45] R. SRIVASTAVA AND H. M. ZAYED, Subclasses of analytic functions of complex order defined by q-derivative operator, Stud. Univ. Babés-Bolyai Math., 64, 1 (2019), 71–80.
- [46] A. SWAMINATHAN AND L. A. WANI, Sufficiency for nephroid starlikeness using hypergeometric functions, Math Meth Appl Sci. (2021), 1–14.
- [47] D. K. THOMAS, N. TUNESKI AND A. VASUDEVARAO, Univalent Functions: A Primer, Walter de Gruyter, Inc, Berlin, 2018.
- [48] W. UL-HAQ AND K. I. NOOR, A certain class of analytic functions and the growth rate of Hankel determinant, J. Inequal. Appl., 2012, 1 (2012), 1–11.
- [49] Z. WANG, S. HUSSAIN, M. NAEEM, T. MAHMOOD AND S. KHAN, A subclass of univalent functions associated with q-analogue of Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 49, 4 (2020), 1471–1479.
- [50] L. A. WANI AND A. SWAMINATHAN, Starlike and convex functions associated with a nephroid domain, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 44, 1 (2020), 79–104.
- [51] L. A. WANI AND A. SWAMINATHAN, Radius problems for functions associated with a nephroid domain, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM., 114, 4 (2020), 20 pp.

(Received March 1, 2022)

Ayotunde Olajide Lasode Department of Mathematics Faculty of Physical Sciences, University of Ilorin PMB 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria e-mail: lasode\_ayo@yahoo.com

Timothy Oloyede Opoola Department of Mathematics Faculty of Physical Sciences, University of Ilorin PMB 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria e-mail: opoola.to@unilorin.edu.com