

## NEW CONVERGENCE DEFINITIONS FOR DOUBLE SEQUENCES IN $g$ -METRIC SPACES

MEHMET GÜRDAL, ÖMER KIŞI\* AND SAIME KOLANCI

*Abstract.* In this paper, we define  $g$ -convergence and  $g$ -Cauchy of double sequences in  $g$ -metric spaces. Also we prove that  $g$ -limit is unique and every  $g$ -convergent double sequence is a  $g$ -Cauchy sequence. Additionally  $g$ -statistical convergence of double sequences is introduced and the theorem giving the relationship between statistical convergence and strongly Cesàro summability in a  $g$ -metric space is demonstrated. Further, we put forward the notations of  $g$ -lacunary statistical convergence and  $g$ -strongly lacunary convergence of double sequences and we also present some inclusion theorems.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In mathematical analysis, a distance function or metric is a generalization of the concept of physical distance. There are several ways to generalize the concept of distance function [15]. Because of very big and complex data sets, the description of the distance function needs to be generalized. Gähler [12] stated that a 2-metric is a generalization of the usual concept of a metric, but various researches demonstrated that there is no relation between these two functions. For example, Ha et al. [10] demonstrated that a 2-metric do not have to be a continuous function of its variables. These opinions led Bapure Dhage [6] in his Ph.D. thesis to investigate a new class of generalized metric space named  $D$ -metric space. Dhage [6] aimed to establish topological features in these spaces and these works formed the basis for those who studied in this field for a long time. In the studies [19, 20], the authors denoted that most of the claims concerning the basic topological features of  $D$ -metric spaces are incorrect, nullifying the validity of many results acquired in these spaces.

Among them, the notion of  $G$ -metric space that has been studied by Mustafa and Sims [18] is a different generalization of the ordinary metric. Metrics in this space are distance between three points. These properties supply if  $G(x; y; z)$  is the perimeter of a triangle with vertices at  $x$ ;  $y$  and  $z$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , further getting  $\alpha$  in the interior of the triangle denotes that  $(G5)$  is best possible.  $G$ -metric function is a distance function that generalizes the notion of distance between 3 points. For more generalization, Choi et al. [5] put forward  $g$ -metric with degree  $n$ , that is a distance between  $n + 1$  points. Statistically convergent sequences with regards to the metrics on  $g$ -metric spaces was

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): 40A05, 40A30, 40A35, 40H05.

*Keywords and phrases:*  $g$ -metric spaces, double sequence, statistical convergence, strongly Cesàro summability.

\* Corresponding author.

presented and significant properties of this statistical form of convergence were examined by Abazari [1].

A  $g$ -metric space and its properties are described by Choi et al. [5] as follows:

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. A function  $g : X^{t+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is called a  $g$ -metric with order  $t$  on  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (g<sub>1</sub>)  $g(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_t) = 0$  iff  $u_0 = u_1 = \dots = u_t$ ,
- (g<sub>2</sub>)  $g(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_t) = g(u_{\rho(0)}, u_{\rho(1)}, \dots, u_{\rho(t)})$  for any permutation  $\rho$  on  $\{0, 1, \dots, t\}$ ,
- (g<sub>3</sub>)  $g(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_t) \leq g(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_t)$  for all  $(u_0, \dots, u_t), (v_0, \dots, v_t) \in X^{t+1}$  with  $\{u_i : i = 1, \dots, t\} \subset \{v_i : i = 1, \dots, t\}$ ,
- (g<sub>4</sub>) For all  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_s, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m, w \in X$  with  $s + m + 1 = t$

$$g(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_s, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m) \leq g(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_s, w, \dots, w) + g(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m, w, \dots, w).$$

The pair  $(X, g)$  is called a  $g$ -metric space.

**THEOREM 1.** ([5]) *Let  $(X, g)$  be a  $g$ -metric space with order  $s$ . In this context, the following properties are provided:*

(i)  $g(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_s, v, \dots, v) \leq g(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_s, w, \dots, w) + g(\underbrace{w, \dots, w}_s, v, \dots, v),$

(ii)  $g(u, v, \dots, v) \leq g(u, w, \dots, w) + g(w, v, \dots, v),$

(iii)  $g(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_s, v, \dots, v) \leq sg(u, v, \dots, v)$  and

$$g(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_s, v, \dots, v) \leq (t + 1 - s)g(v, u, \dots, u),$$

(iv)  $g(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_t) \leq \sum_{i=0}^t g(u_i, v, \dots, v),$

(v)  $|g(v, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t) - g(w, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t)| \leq \max\{g(v, w, \dots, w), g(w, v, \dots, v)\},$

(vi)  $|g(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_s, v, \dots, v) - g(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_{\bar{s}}, v, \dots, v)| \leq |s - \bar{s}|g(u, v, \dots, v),$

(vii)  $g(u, v, \dots, v) \leq (1 + (s - 1)(t + 1 - s))g(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_s, v, \dots, v).$

As a result, each  $g$ -metric space is topologically equal to a metric space derived from the ordinary metric. This allows many concepts and findings from metric spaces to be transferred into the  $g$ -metric context.

Let  $(X, g)$  be a  $g$ -metric space,  $u \in X$  be a point and  $(u_k)$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Then, we have the followings:

(i)  $(u_k)$  is  $g$ -convergent to  $u$ , if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \geq n_0, g(u, u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_t}) < \varepsilon$ .

(ii)  $(u_k)$  is said to be  $g$ -Cauchy, if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(u_{i_0}, u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_t}) < \varepsilon.$$

Statistical convergence, which is one of our other main subjects, is investigated by Fast [7] and Steinhaus [25], independently. In 1959, Schoenberg [24] presented several fundamental statistical convergence properties. After then, numerous research have been done on the idea of statistical convergence, which has been rapidly studied. Fridy [9] presented the properties of statistical convergence in a significant study. Quite recently, Savaş and Patterson [23] investigated the lacunary statistical analogue for double sequences.

The density of the subsets of the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers is base to the concept of statistical convergence. The natural density of a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by

$$\delta(A) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : k \in A\}|$$

and the sequence  $(u_k)$  is said to be statistically convergent to a point  $u$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |u_k - u| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

If  $(u_k)$  is statistically convergent to  $u$ , it is denoted as  $st - \lim u_k = u$ . Also, the sequence  $(u_k)$  is said to be statistically Cauchy sequence, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and there exists a positive integer number  $N$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  such that,

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |u_k - u_N| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

A double sequence  $\theta_2 = \theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$  is called double lacunary sequence if there exist two increasing sequences of integers  $(k_r)$  and  $(l_s)$  such that

$$k_0 = 0, \quad h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad l_0 = 0, \quad h_s = l_s - l_{s-1} \rightarrow \infty, \quad r, s \rightarrow \infty.$$

We will utilize the following notation  $k_{rs} := k_r l_s$ ,  $h_{rs} := h_r h_s$  and  $\theta_{rs}$  is identified by

$$I_{rs} := \{(k, l) : k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r \quad \text{and} \quad l_{s-1} < l \leq l_s\},$$

$$q_r := \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}, \quad q_s := \frac{l_s}{l_{s-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{rs} := q_r q_s.$$

Throughout the paper, by  $\theta_2 = \theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$  we will denote a double lacunary sequence of positive real numbers, respectively, unless otherwise stated.

In 2022, Abazari [1] extended on these ideas by proposing statistical convergent and statistical Cauchy analogs of these definitions, as well as their properties in  $g$ -metric spaces. Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}, A \in \mathbb{N}^t$  and

$$A(n) = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \leq n : (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t) \in A\},$$

then

$$\delta_t(A) = \lim_n \frac{t!}{n^t} |A(n)|$$

is called  $t$ -dimensional natural density of the set  $A$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence in a  $g$ -metric space  $(X, g)$ .

(i)  $(u_n)$  is statistically convergent to  $u$ , if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_n \frac{t!}{n^t} \left| \left\{ (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t) \in \mathbb{N}^t : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \leq n, g(u, u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_t}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0,$$

and is denoted by  $gS - \lim u_n = u$  or  $u_n \xrightarrow{gS} u$ .

(ii)  $(u_n)$  is said to be statistically  $g$ -Cauchy, if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and there exist  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_n \frac{t!}{n^t} \left| \left\{ (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t) \in \mathbb{N}^t : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \leq n, g(u_{i_0}, u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_t}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

Pringsheim’s investigations in 1897 and 1900 include double sequences and the well-known Pringsheim idea of convergence for these sequences ([21], [22]). Finally, let’s finish by providing the definition of double sequence that we employ in our research.

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all nonnegative integers. The sequence  $(u_{jk})$  is said to be double sequence such that the function  $u$  is defined as

$$u : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X; (j, k) \rightarrow u_{jk}.$$

A double sequence  $(u_{jk})$  is said to be in the Pringsheim’s sense if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|u_{jk} - u| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $j, k \geq n_0$ .  $u$  is called the Pringsheim limit of  $(u_{jk})$ . Additionally, a double sequence  $(u_{jk})$  is said to be Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|u_{pq} - u_{jk}| < \varepsilon$  for all  $p \geq j \geq n_0$  and  $q \geq k \geq n_0$ . We refer to [2, 3, 13, 14, 16, 17, 26] and recent monograph [4] for relevant literature for double sequences.

The aim of the present paper is to investigate the new kind of convergence for sequences in  $g$ -metric spaces. The following is how the paper is structured. The literature review is covered in Section 1 of the introduction. The key findings are then demonstrated in Section 2. That is, we intend to investigate the concepts of statistical convergence and lacunary statistical convergence for double sequences in  $g$ -metric spaces and to develop essential features of these concepts.

## 2. Main results

In this section, we first define  $g$ -convergence and  $g$ -Cauchy sequence for double sequences in  $g$ -metric spaces. The idea of  $g$ -statistical convergence in double sequences is then introduced. Finally, we prove the theorem that explains the connection between statistical convergence and strongly Cesàro summability after stating the classically known theorems in double sequences.

DEFINITION 2.  $(X, g)$  be a  $g$ -metric space and  $(u_{jk})$  be a double sequence in  $X$ .

(i)  $(u_{jk})$  is said to be  $g$ -convergent in the Pringsheim’s sense if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $j_1, \dots, j_t \geq n_0$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_t \geq n_0$ .  $u$  is called the Pringsheim limit of  $(u_{jk})$ .

(ii)  $(u_{jk})$  is said to be  $g$ -Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$g(u_{j_0 k_0}, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \varepsilon$$

for all  $j_0 \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_t \geq n_0$  and  $k_0 \geq k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_t \geq n_0$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *The following are true.*

(i) *The limit of a  $g$ -convergent double sequence in a  $g$ -metric space is unique.*

(ii) *In  $g$ -metric space every  $g$ -convergent double sequence is a  $g$ -Cauchy sequence.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $(X, g)$  be a  $g$ -metric space and  $(u_{jk})$  be a double sequence in  $X$ . Suppose that  $u, v \in X$  are the  $g$ -limits of  $(u_{jk})$ . There are  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{t+1} \text{ for all } j_1, \dots, j_t \geq n_1 \text{ and } k_1, \dots, k_t \geq n_1,$$

$$g(v, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{t+1} \text{ for all } j_1, \dots, j_t \geq n_2 \text{ and } k_1, \dots, k_t \geq n_2.$$

Set  $N = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ . If  $n_0 \geq N$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(u, v, \dots, v) &\leq g(u, u_{n_0 n_0}, \dots, u_{n_0 n_0}) + g(u_{n_0 n_0}, v, \dots, v) \\ &\leq g(u, u_{n_0 n_0}, \dots, u_{n_0 n_0}) + t g(v, u_{n_0 n_0}, \dots, u_{n_0 n_0}) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{t+1} + t \frac{\varepsilon}{t+1} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary  $g(u, v, \dots, v) = 0$ . Therefore,  $u = v$ .

(ii) Let  $(X, g)$  be a  $g$ -metric space and  $(u_{jk})$  is  $g$ -convergent to  $u$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{t+1} \text{ for all } j_1, \dots, j_t \geq n_0 \text{ and } k_1, \dots, k_t \geq n_0.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(u_{j_0 k_0}, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^t g(u_{j_i k_i}, u, \dots, u) \\ &< \sum_{i=0}^t \frac{\varepsilon}{t+1} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(u_{jk})$  is a  $g$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 3. Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}^t \times \mathbb{N}^t$  set of positive integers and

$$K(n, m) = \{((j_1, \dots, j_t), (k_1, \dots, k_t)) \in K : j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m\},$$

then

$$\delta_t(K) = \lim_{n, m} \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} |K(n, m)|$$

is called  $t$ -dimensional natural density of the set  $K$ .

DEFINITION 4. Let  $(u_{nm})$  be a double sequence in a  $g$ -metric space  $(X, g)$ .

(i)  $(u_{nm})$  is  $g$ -statistically convergent to  $u$ , if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n,m} \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \left| \{j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon\} \right| = 0$$

and is denoted by  $gS_2 - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$  or  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_2} u$ . We indicate the set of all  $g$ -statistically convergent double sequences by  $gS_2$ .

(ii)  $(u_{nm})$  is a statistically  $g$ -Cauchy sequence if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $j_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{n,m} \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \left| \{j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_{j_0 k_0}, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon\} \right| = 0.$$

THEOREM 2. Every  $g$ -convergent double sequence in  $g$ -metric spaces is  $g$ -statistically convergent.

*Proof.* Let  $(u_{nm})$  be a double sequence converging to  $u$  in  $g$ -metric space  $(X, g)$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $j_1, \dots, j_t \geq n_0$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_t \geq n_0$ ,

$$g(u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \varepsilon.$$

Set

$$K(n, m) = \{((j_1, \dots, j_t), (k_1, \dots, k_t)) \in \mathbb{N}^t \times \mathbb{N}^t : j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m, g(u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \varepsilon\},$$

Then

$$|K(n, m)| \geq \binom{nm - n_0^2}{t}$$

and

$$\lim_{n,m} \frac{t! |K(n, m)|}{(nm)^t} \geq \lim_{n,m} \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \binom{nm - n_0^2}{t} = 1$$

so  $gS_2 - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$ .  $\square$

The converse of Theorem 2 does not generally hold, i.e., if  $(u_{nm})$  is  $g$ -statistically convergent, then  $(u_{nm})$  need not be convergent.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $g$  be the metric as follows;

$$g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \\ g(u, v, w) = \max \{|u - v|, |u - w|, |v - w|\}$$

and a double sequence  $(u_{nm})$  in  $X$  be defined as,

$$u_{nm} = \begin{cases} nm, & \text{if } n \text{ and } m \text{ are squares,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $(u_{nm})$  is  $g$ -statistically convergent while it is not convergent normally.

**THEOREM 3.** *In g-metric space g-statistical limit is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_{nm})$  be a double sequence in g-metric space  $(X, g)$  such that  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_2} u$  and  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_2} v$ .

For arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$K(\varepsilon) = \left\{ ((j_1, \dots, j_t), (k_1, \dots, k_t)) \in \mathbb{N}^t \times \mathbb{N}^t : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2t} \right\},$$

$$L(\varepsilon) = \left\{ ((j_1, \dots, j_t), (k_1, \dots, k_t)) \in \mathbb{N}^t \times \mathbb{N}^t : g(v, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2t} \right\}.$$

Since  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_2} u$  and  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_2} v$ , we have  $\delta_t(K(\varepsilon)) = 0$  and  $\delta_t(L(\varepsilon)) = 0$ . Let  $M(\varepsilon) = K(\varepsilon) \cup L(\varepsilon)$ , then  $\delta_t(M(\varepsilon)) = 0$ , hence  $\delta_t(M^c(\varepsilon)) = 1$ .

Suppose  $((j_1, \dots, j_t), (k_1, \dots, k_t)) \in M^c(\varepsilon)$ , then we have;

$$\begin{aligned} g(u, v, \dots, v) &\leq g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) + g(u_{j_1 k_1}, v, \dots, v) \\ &\leq g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) + t g(v, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \\ &\leq g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) + t g(v, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \\ &\leq t [g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) + g(v, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t})] \\ &< t \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2t} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2t} \right) = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we get  $g(u, v, \dots, v) = 0$ , therefore  $u = v$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.** *Every g-statistically convergent double sequence is statistically g-Cauchy.*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_{nm})$  be a g-statistically convergent double sequence in g-metric space  $(X, g)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, we have

$$\lim_{n,m} \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \left| \left\{ j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{t(t+1)} \right\} \right| = 1$$

By the monotonicity condition and the definition of g-metric and Parts (iv) and (vi) of Theorem 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(u_{j_0 k_0}, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^t g(u_{j_i k_i}, u, \dots, u) \\ &\leq t \sum_{i=0}^t g(u, u_{j_i k_i}, \dots, u_{j_i k_i}) \\ &\leq t \sum_{i=0}^t g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \\ &< t(t+1) \frac{\varepsilon}{t(t+1)} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\left\{ j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{t(t+1)} \right\} \\ \subseteq \left\{ j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_{j_0 k_0}, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n,m} \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \left| \left\{ j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_{j_0 k_0}, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 1.$$

Thus  $(u_{nm})$  is a statistically  $g$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .  $\square$

Statistical convergence is closely related to strong Cesàro summability.

DEFINITION 5. Let  $(X, g)$  be a  $g$ -metric space and  $(u_{nm})$  be a double sequence in  $X$ . The sequence  $(u_{nm})$  is said to be strongly  $g[C, 1, 1]$ -statistically convergent to  $u$  if

$$\lim_{n,m} \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_t=1}^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_t=1}^m g(u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) = 0.$$

This is denoted by  $g[C, 1, 1] - \lim u_{nm} = u$  or  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{g[C,1,1]} u$ . The set of all strongly  $g[C, 1, 1]$ -statistically convergent double sequences is denoted by  $g[C, 1, 1]$ .

THEOREM 5. Let  $(X, g)$  be a  $g$ -metric space and  $(u_{nm})$  be a double sequence in this space. Then,

- (i)  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{g[C,1,1]} u$  implies  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_2} u$ .
- (ii) If  $g$  is a bounded function,  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_2} u$  implies  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{g[C,1,1]} u$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{g[C,1,1]} u$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then,

$$\frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_t=1}^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_t=1}^m g(u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \\ \geq \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_t=1 \\ g(u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon}}^n \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_t=1 \\ g(u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon}}^m g(u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \\ \geq \varepsilon \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \left| \left\{ j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_{j_0 k_0}, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|.$$

Then we obtain  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_2} u$ .

(ii) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $g$  be a bounded function and  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_2} u$ . From the boundedness of  $g$ , there exists a positive number  $N$  such that

$$g(u_{n_1 m_1}, \dots, u_{n_t m_t}) < N$$



for all  $n_1, \dots, n_t$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_t=1}^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_t=1}^m g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \\ &= \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_t=1 \\ g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon}}^n \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_t=1 \\ g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon}}^m g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \\ &+ \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_t=1 \\ g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \varepsilon}}^n \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_t=1 \\ g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) < \varepsilon}}^m g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \\ &= M \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} |\{j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_{j_0 k_0}, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon\}| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{g[C,1,1]} u$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 6. Let  $(X, g)$  be a  $g$ -metric space and  $(u_{nm})$  be a double sequence in  $X$ . The sequence  $(u_{nm})$  is said to be  $g$ -lacunary statistically convergent to  $u$ , if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t!}{(h_{rs})^t} |\{(j_w, k_w) \in I_{rs}, 1 \leq w \leq t : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_w k_w}) \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

and is indicated by  $gS_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$  or  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_{\theta_2}} u$ . We indicate the set of all  $g$ -lacunary statistically convergent double sequences by  $gS_{\theta_2}$ .

DEFINITION 7. The sequence  $(u_{nm})$  is called to be  $g$ -strongly lacunary convergent to  $u$  provided that

$$\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t!}{(h_{rs})^t} \sum_{(j_w, k_w) \in I_{rs}, 1 \leq w \leq t} g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_w k_w}) = 0$$

where  $1 \leq w \leq t$ , and is indicated by  $gN_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$  or  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gN_{\theta_2}} u$ . We indicate the set of all  $g$ -strongly lacunary convergent double sequences by  $gN_{\theta_2}$ .

THEOREM 6. Let  $(X, g)$  be a  $g$ -metric space and  $(u_{nm})$  be a double sequence in  $X$ . Then, the following statements hold:

- (i)  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gN_{\theta_2}} u$  implies  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_{\theta_2}} u$ .
- (ii) If  $g$  is a bounded function,  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gS_{\theta_2}} u$  implies  $u_{nm} \xrightarrow{gN_{\theta_2}} u$ .

Now, we give relations between  $g$ -statistical convergence and  $g$ -lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences in  $g$  metric space.

**THEOREM 7.** For a double lacunary sequence  $\theta_2 = \theta_{r,s}$ ,  $gS_2 - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$  implies  $gS_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$  iff  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$  and  $\liminf_s q_s > 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$  and  $\liminf_s q_s > 1$ . Then, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $q_r > 1 + \alpha$  and  $q_s > 1 + \alpha$  for sufficiently large  $r, s$  which means that

$$\frac{h_r}{k_r} \geq \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h_s}{k_s} \geq \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}.$$

If  $gS_2 - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for sufficiently large  $r, s$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t!}{(k_{rs})^t} \left| \{j_1, \dots, j_t \leq k_r, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq l_s : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \\ & \geq \frac{t!}{(k_{rs})^t} \left| \{(j_w, k_w) \in I_{rs}, 1 \leq w \leq t : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_w k_w}) \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \\ & \geq \left(\frac{h_{rs}}{k_{rs}}\right)^t \frac{t!}{(h_{rs})^t} \left| \{(j_w, k_w) \in I_{rs}, 1 \leq w \leq t : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_w k_w}) \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \\ & \geq \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}\right)^t \frac{t!}{(h_{rs})^t} \left| \{(j_w, k_w) \in I_{rs}, 1 \leq w \leq t : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_w k_w}) \geq \varepsilon \} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

As a result  $gS_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$ .

Suppose  $\liminf_r q_r = 1$  and  $\liminf_s q_s = 1$ , and suppose without loss of generality that  $\liminf_r q_r = 1$ ; means there is an ordinary subsequence  $\{k_{\sigma_j}\}$  of the lacunary sequence  $\theta_r$  such that  $\frac{k_{\sigma_j}}{k_{\sigma_{j-1}}} < 1 + \frac{1}{j}$  and  $\frac{k_{\sigma_{j-1}}}{k_{\sigma_{j-2}}} > j$  where  $\sigma_j \geq \sigma_{j-1} + 2$ . Let us establish  $u$  as follows:

$$u_{nm} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in I_{\sigma_j} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

So by ([8], p. 510), the rows are not in  $gN_{\theta_r}$  however each row is such that  $u$  is in  $g[C, 1]$ ; therefore  $u$  is in  $gS_{\theta_r}$  by part (C) of Theorem 6. Also each row is in  $gS$  such that  $gS \not\subseteq gS_{\theta_r}$ . Since the double lacunary sequences  $\theta_{r,s}, gS_2 \not\subseteq gS_{\theta_{r,s}}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 8.** For a double lacunary sequence  $\theta_2 = \theta_{r,s}$ ,  $gS_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$  implies  $gS_2 - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$  iff  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty, \limsup_s q_s < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty, \limsup_s q_s < \infty$ . Then there exists  $Q > 0$  such that  $q_r < Q$  and  $q_s < Q$  for all  $r, s \geq 1$ . Assume that  $gS_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$  and

$$N_{r,s} := \left| \{(j_w, k_w) \in I_{rs}, 1 \leq w \leq t : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_w k_w}) \geq \varepsilon \} \right|.$$

By the definition of  $gS_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm} = u$  given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{N_{r,s}}{h_{rs}} < \varepsilon$  for all  $r, s \geq r_0$ . Take

$$U = \max \{N_{r,s} : 1 \leq r \leq r_0, 1 \leq s \leq r_0\}.$$

Assume  $n, m$  be such that  $k_{r-1} < m \leq k_r$  and  $l_{s-1} < n \leq l_s$ . As a result, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \left| \left\{ j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} \left| \left\{ j_1, \dots, j_t \leq k_r, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq l_s : g(u, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ & = \frac{t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} \left\{ \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_w=1}^r \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_w=1}^s N_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{U r_0^2 t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} + \frac{t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} \left\{ \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_w=r_0+1}^r \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_w=r_0+1}^s N_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{U r_0^2 t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} + \frac{t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} \left\{ \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_w=r_0+1}^r \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_w=r_0+1}^s \frac{N_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w} h_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w}}{h_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w}} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{U r_0^2 t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} + \frac{t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} \left( \sup_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w \geq r_0, r_0} \frac{N_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w}}{h_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_w=r_0+1}^r \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_w=r_0+1}^s h_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{U r_0^2 t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} + \varepsilon \left\{ \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_w=r_0+1}^r \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_w=r_0+1}^s h_{j_1, \dots, j_w, k_1, \dots, k_w} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{U r_0^2 t!}{(k_{r-1} l_{s-1})^t} + \varepsilon H^2. \end{aligned}$$

The converse of this theorem follows similarly to that of Theorem 6. This completes the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

Theorem 7 and Theorem 8 imply the following:

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $\theta_{r,s}$  be a lacunary double sequence. If*

$$1 < \liminf_r q_r \leq \limsup_r q_r < \infty \text{ and } 1 < \liminf_s q_s \leq \limsup_s q_s < \infty,$$

then  $gS_2 = gS_{\theta_2}$ .

**THEOREM 10.** *If  $u = (u_{nm}) \in gS_2 \cap gS_{\theta_2}$ , then*

$$gS_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} u_{nm} = gS_2 - \lim_{n,m} u_{nm}.$$

*Proof.* Assume  $gS_2 - \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} u_{nm} = u_0$  and  $gS_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} u_{nm} = u_1$  such that  $u_0 \neq u_1$ . Let

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \left| \left\{ j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_1, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 1$$

for  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} |u_0 - u_1|$ . Let us now consider the  $k_p l_v$ -th term of the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \left| \{j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_1, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \\ &= \frac{t!}{(k_p l_v)^t} \left| \left\{ (j_t, k_t) \in \bigcup_{r,s=1,1}^{p,v} I_{r,s} : g(u_1, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p \cdot v} \sum_{r,s}^{p,v} h_{r,s} t_{r,s} \\ &= \frac{t!}{(k_p l_v)^t} \sum_{r,s=1,1}^{p,v} \left| \{ (j_t, k_t) \in I_{r,s} : g(u_1, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$t_{r,s} = \frac{1}{h_{rs}} \left| \{ (j_t, k_t) \in I_{r,s} : g(u_1, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \} \right|$$

is a Pringsheim null sequence, since  $gS_{\theta_2} - \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} u_{nm} = u_1$ . Since  $\theta_{r,s}$  is a double lacunary sequence, the last equation satisfies all conditions for a four-dimensional matrix transformation to map Pringsheim null sequence into Pringsheim null sequence ([11]), and therefore it also tends to zero in the Pringsheim sense. In addition, it is also a double sequence of

$$\frac{t!}{(nm)^t} \left| \{j_1, \dots, j_t \leq n, k_1, \dots, k_t \leq m : g(u_1, u_{j_1 k_1}, \dots, u_{j_t k_t}) \geq \varepsilon \} \right|$$

which does not tends to 1 in the Pringsheim sense. This contradiction implies that  $u_0 = u_1$ .  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* The authors thank to the referees for valuable comments and fruitful suggestions which enhanced the readability of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. ABAZARI, *Statistical convergence in g-metric spaces*, Filomat, **36** 5 (2022), 1461–1468.
- [2] B. ALTAY AND F. BAŞAR, *Some new spaces of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **309**, 1 (2005), 70–90.
- [3] F. BAŞAR, *Summability Theory and its Applications*, 2nd ed., CRC Press/Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, London, New York, 2022.
- [4] F. BAŞAR AND M. YEŞİLKAYAGIL SAVAŞCI, *Double Sequence Spaces and Four Dimensional Matrices*, CRC Press/Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, London, New York, 2022.
- [5] H. CHOI, S. KIM AND S. YANG, *Structure for g-metric spaces and related fixed point theorem*, Arxiv: 1804.03651v1. 2018.
- [6] B. C. DHAGE, *Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **84**, 1 (1992), 329–336.
- [7] H. FAST, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math., **2**, 3–4 (1951), 241–244.
- [8] A. R. FREEDMAN, J. J. SEMBER AND M. RAPHAEL, *Some Cesàro type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **37**, (1978), 508–520.

- [9] J. A. FRIDY, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis (Munich), **5**, (1985), 301–314.
- [10] K. S. HA, Y. J. CHO AND A. WHITE, *Strictly convex and strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Japon., **33**, (1988), 375–384.
- [11] H. J. HAMILTON, *Transformations of multiple sequences*, Duke Math. J., **2**, (1936), 29–60.
- [12] S. GÄHLER, *Zur geometrie 2-metriche raume*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **11**, (1966), 664–669.
- [13] M. GÜRDAL AND M. B. HUBAN, *On  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of double sequences in the topology induced by random 2-norms*, Mat. Vesnik, **66**, 1 (2014), 73–83.
- [14] M. GÜRDAL AND A. ŞAHINER, *Extremal  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points of double sequences*, Appl. Math. E-Notes, **8**, (2008), 131–137.
- [15] M. A. KHAMSI, *Generalized metric spaces: A survey*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **17**, (2015), 455–475.
- [16] M. MURSALEEN AND F. BAŞAR, *Sequence Spaces: Topics in Modern Summability Theory*, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Series: Mathematics and Its Applications, Boca Raton, London, New York, 2020.
- [17] M. MURSALEEN AND O. H. H. EDELY, *Statistical convergence of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **288**, (2003), 223–231.
- [18] Z. MUSTAFA AND B. SIMS, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **7**, (2006), 289–297.
- [19] Z. MUSTAFA AND B. SIMS, *Concerning  $D$ -metric spaces*, Proceedings of the International Conferences on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia (Spain), (2003), 189–198.
- [20] S. V. R. NAIDU, K. P. R. RAO AND N. S. RAO, *On the concepts of balls in a  $D$ -metric space*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **1**, (2005), 133–141.
- [21] A. PRINGSHEIM, *Elementare Theorie der unendliche Doppel-reihen*, Sitzungsber. Akad. Wiss. München, **27**, (1897), 101–153.
- [22] A. PRINGSHEIM, *Zur Theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen*, Math. Ann., **53**, (1900), 289–321.
- [23] E. SAVAŞ AND R. F. PATTERSON, *Lacunary statistical convergence of multiple sequences*, Appl. Math. Lett., **19**, (2006), 527–534.
- [24] I. J. SCHOENBERG, *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **66**, (1959), 361–375.
- [25] H. STEINHAUS, *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloq. Math., **2**, (951), 73–74.
- [26] Y. YAYING AND B. HAZARIKA, *Upper bounds of some matrix operators on binomial and Orlicz-binomial double sequence spaces*, Sci. Afr., **17**, (2022), 2468–2276.

(Received February 8, 2023)

Mehmet Gürdal  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Suleyman Demirel University  
 32260, Isparta, Turkey  
 e-mail: gurdalmehmet@sdu.edu.tr

Ömer Kişi  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science, Bartın University  
 Bartın, Turkey  
 e-mail: okisi@bartin.edu.tr

Saime Kolancı  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Suleyman Demirel University  
 32260, Isparta, Turkey  
 e-mail: saimekolanci@sdu.edu.tr