

UNIQUENESS RESULTS FOR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS WEIGHTED SHARING A VALUE OR A SET OF ROOTS OF UNITY

JAYANTA ROY* AND DILIP CHANDRA PRAMANIK

Abstract. We study the uniqueness results of meromorphic functions f and g if differential polynomials of the type $(P(f)^n)^{(k)}$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)}$ weighted share a set of roots of unity or a value, where P is a polynomial of one variable. The results of the paper generalize some earlier results due to Khoai and An [Advanced Studies Euro-Tbilisi Math. J., **15** (2022), 39–51] and Sahoo and Sultana [An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.), Tomul LXX, 2024, f.1].

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, by meromorphic function we shall always mean a meromorphic function in the complex plane. We adopt the standard notations in the Nevanlinna Theory of meromorphic functions as explained in [2, 16, 17]. It will be convenient to let E denote any set of positive real numbers of finite linear measure, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

For any non-constant meromorphic function f , we denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, $r \notin E$. A meromorphic function a is said to be small with respect to f if $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$. We denote by $S(f)$ the collection of all small functions with respect to f . Clearly $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \subset S(f)$ and $S(f)$ is a field over the set of complex numbers.

For any two non-constant meromorphic functions f and g , and $a \in S(f) \cap S(g)$, we say that f and g share a CM(IM) provided that $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros counting (ignoring) multiplicities.

In 1997 Yang and Hua [3] studied the uniqueness problem for meromorphic functions when $(f^n)'$ and $(g^n)'$ share a non-zero value. Bhoosnurmath and Dyavanal [1] extended the Yang-Hua's [3] result and proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. [1] *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions and n, k be positive integers with $n > 3k + 8$. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then one of the following holds:*

1. $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three non-zero constants such that $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$.
2. $f = tg$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $t^n = 1$.

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 30D35.

Keywords and phrases: Meromorphic function, differential polynomial, set sharing, weighted sharing, uniqueness.

* Corresponding author.

To state the next result we need the following set sharing concept of functions.

For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and a meromorphic function f , we define $E_f(S) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{z | f(z) - a = 0, \text{counting multiplicities}\}$, $\overline{E}_f(S) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{z | f(z) - a = 0, \text{ignoring multiplicities}\}$. If $E_f(S) = E_g(S)$ ($\overline{E}_f(S) = \overline{E}_g(S)$), then we say that f and g share S CM (IM). Apparently, if S contains only one element, then it coincides with the standard definition of CM (respectively, IM) shared value.

In 2018, An and Khoai [6] extended the result of Yang and Hua [3] by considering set sharing instead of value sharing and proved the following theorem for uniqueness of meromorphic functions.

THEOREM 2. [6] *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Let k, d, n be three positive integers with $n > 2k + \frac{2k+8}{d}$, $d \geq 2$ and $S = \{a \in \mathbb{C} : a^d = 1\}$. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share S CM, then one of the following holds:*

1. $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three non-zero constants such that $(-1)^{kd}(c_1 c_2)^{nd}(nc)^{2kd} = 1$.
2. $f = tg$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $t^{nd} = 1$.

Regarding Theorem 2, a natural question to ask is the following:

QUESTION 1. Can CM be replace by IM keeping the same conclusion?

In 2020 Dilip et al. [12] answer the Question 1 positively and proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. [12] *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Let k, d, n be three positive integers with $n > 2k + \frac{8k+14}{d}$, $d \geq 2$ and $S = \{a \in \mathbb{C} : a^d = 1\}$. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share S IM, then one of the following holds:*

1. $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three non-zero constants such that $(-1)^{kd}(c_1 c_2)^{nd}(nc)^{2kd} = 1$.
2. $f = tg$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $t^{nd} = 1$.

Now, we recall the idea of weighted sharing, which appeared in the literature in ([8, 9]). This concept encourages more open discussions about sharing. We explain this in the following definition.

DEFINITION 1. [8, 9] Let m be a non-negative integer or infinity and $a \in S(f)$. We denote by $E_m(a, f)$ the set of all zeros of $f - a$, where a zero of multiplicity k is counted k times if $k \leq m$ and $m + 1$ times if $k > m$. If $E_m(a, f) = E_m(a, g)$, we say that f, g share the function a with weight m and we write f and g share (a, m) . Since $E_m(a, f) = E_m(a, g)$ implies that $E_s(a, f) = E_s(a, g)$ for any integer s ($0 \leq s < m$), if f, g share (a, m) , then f, g share (a, s) , ($0 \leq s < m$). Moreover, we note that f and g share the function a IM or CM if and only if f and g share $(a, 0)$ or (a, ∞) respectively.

DEFINITION 2. Let S be a set of distinct elements of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and m be a non-negative integer. We denote by $E_f(S, m)$ the set $E_f(S, m) = \bigcup_{a \in S} E_m(a, f)$. We say that f and g share the set S with weight m if $E_f(S, m) = E_g(S, m)$ and we write f and g share (S, m) .

The following theorem was established in 2020 by Lahiri and Sinha [11], who also addressed some gaps in Theorems 2.

THEOREM 4. [11] *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing $(\infty, 0)$. Let k, d, n be three positive integers with $n > \max\{3, 2k + \frac{2k+8}{d}\}$, $d \geq 2$ and $S = \{a \in \mathbb{C} : a^d = 1\}$. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share $(S, 2)$, then one of the following holds:*

1. $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three non-zero constants satisfying $(-1)^{kd}(c_1 c_2)^{nd}(nc)^{2kd} = 1$.
2. $f = tg$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $t^{nd} = 1$.

The scenario where two differential polynomials share the set of roots of unity with relax weighted sharing was explored in 2022 by Pramanik and Roy [13]. They demonstrated the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. [13] *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing $(\infty, 0)$. Let $k (\geq 1)$, $m (\geq 0)$, $d (\geq 2)$, $n (\geq 1)$ be integers, and $S = \{a \in \mathbb{C} : a^d = 1\}$. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share (S, m) with one of the following conditions:*

(i) $m \geq 2$ and

$$n > \max \left\{ 3, 2k + \frac{2k+8}{d} \right\},$$

(ii) $m = 1$ and

$$n > \max \left\{ 3, 2k + \frac{3k+9}{d} \right\},$$

(iii) $m = 0$ and

$$n > \max \left\{ 3, 2k + \frac{8k+14}{d} \right\},$$

then one of the following holds:

1. $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three non-zero constants such that $(-1)^{kd}(c_1 c_2)^{nd}(nc)^{2kd} = 1$.
2. $f = tg$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $t^{nd} = 1$.

Let P be a polynomial of degree q ,

$$P(z) = (z - a_1)^{m_1} (z - a_2)^{m_2} \dots \dots (z - a_l)^{m_l}, \quad (1)$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l are distinct zeros of P , and $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_l = q$.

DEFINITION 3. [5] A polynomial $P(z)$ is called a strong uniqueness polynomial for meromorphic (entire) functions if for any two non-constant meromorphic (entire) functions f and g , and a non-zero constant c , the condition $P(f) = cP(g)$ implies $f = g$.

The following findings were recently proved by Khoai and An [7], who did not accept the premise that f and g share ∞ , as suggested by [11].

THEOREM 6. [7] Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, P be a polynomial of degree $q (> 0)$ of the form (1) and $n (\geq 1)$, $k (\geq 1)$ be integers with $n > 3k + \frac{4l+4}{q}$. If $(P(f)^n)^{(k)}$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then one of the following holds:

1. $l = 1$; $f = a_1 + c_1e^{cz}$ and $g = a_1 + c_2e^{-cz}$ for three non-zero constants c_1, c_2, c such that $(-1)^k(c_1c_2)^{nq}(nqc)^{2k} = 1$.

2. $l = 1$; $f - a_1 = t(g - a_1)$ with $t^{nq} = 1, t \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. $l \geq 2$; $P(f) = tP(g)$ with $t^n = 1, t \in \mathbb{C}$. Further if P is a strong uniqueness polynomial then, $f = g$.

In particular, when $P(z) = z^q + az^{q-p} + b$ with $a, b \neq 0$ and $q \geq 2p + 9$, we have $g = hf$ for a constant h such that $h^q = 1$ and $h^p = 1$ if $p \geq 2$; $f = g$ if $p \geq 2, (q, p) = 1$.

THEOREM 7. [7] Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, P be a polynomial of degree $q (> 0)$ of the form (1). Let $n (\geq 1)$, $k (\geq 1)$ be integers with $n > \max\{\frac{4k+5l-2}{2q}, 2k + \frac{4l+4+2kq}{qd}\}$, $d \geq 2$, and $S = \{a \in \mathbb{C} : a^d = 1\}$. If $(P(f)^n)^{(k)}$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)}$ share S CM then one of the following holds:

1. $l = 1$; $f = a_1 + c_1e^{cz}$ and $g = a_1 + c_2e^{-cz}$ for three non-zero constants c_1, c_2, c such that $(-1)^k(c_1c_2)^{nq}(nqc)^{2k} = 1$.

2. $l = 1$; $f - a_1 = t(g - a_1)$ with $t^{nq} = 1, t \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. $l \geq 2$; $P(f) = tP(g)$ with $t^n = 1, t \in \mathbb{C}$. Further if P is a strong uniqueness polynomial then, $f = g$.

In particular, when $P(z) = z^q + az^{q-p} + b$ with $a, b \neq 0$ and $q \geq 2p + 9$, we have $g = hf$ for a constant h such that $h^q = 1$ and $h^p = 1$ if $p \geq 2$; $f = g$ if $p \geq 2, (q, p) = 1$.

Sahoo and Sultana [14] investigated the uniqueness problem of meromorphic functions in 2024, when two differential polynomials share a value or a set of roots of unity with finite weight. The outcomes of Sahoo and Sultana are listed below.

THEOREM 8. [14] Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, P be a polynomial of degree $q (> 0)$ of the form (1) and $n (\geq 1)$, $k (\geq 1)$ be integers with $n > 3k + \frac{4l+4}{q}$. If $(P(f)^n)^{(k)}$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)}$ share $(1, 2)$, then one of the following holds:

1. $l = 1$; $f = a_1 + c_1e^{cz}$ and $g = a_1 + c_2e^{-cz}$ for three non-zero constants c_1, c_2, c such that $(-1)^k(c_1c_2)^{nq}(nqc)^{2k} = 1$.

2. $l = 1$; $f - a_1 = t(g - a_1)$ with $t^{nq} = 1, t \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. $l \geq 2$; $P(f) = tP(g)$ with $t^n = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Further if P is a strong uniqueness polynomial then, $f = g$.

In particular, when $P(z) = z^q + az^{q-p} + b$ with $a, b \neq 0$ and $q \geq 2p + 9$, we have $g = hf$ for a constant h such that $h^q = 1$ and $h^p = 1$ if $p \geq 2$; $f = g$ if $p \geq 2$, $(q, p) = 1$.

THEOREM 9. [14] Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, P be a polynomial of degree q (> 0) of the form (1). Let n (≥ 1), k (≥ 1) be integers with $n > \max\{\frac{2k+2l-1}{q}, 2k + \frac{4l+4+2kq}{qd}\}$, $d \geq 2$, and $S = \{a \in \mathbb{C} : a^d = 1\}$. If $(P(f)^n)^{(k)}$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)}$ share $(S, 2)$, then one of the following holds:

1. $l = 1$; $f = a_1 + c_1e^{cz}$ and $g = a_1 + c_2e^{-cz}$ for three non-zero constants c_1, c_2, c such that $(-1)^k(c_1c_2)^{nq}(nqc)^{2k} = 1$.

2. $l = 1$; $f - a_1 = t(g - a_1)$ with $t^{nq} = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. $l \geq 2$; $P(f) = tP(g)$ with $t^n = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Further if P is a strong uniqueness polynomial then, $f = g$.

In particular, when $P(z) = z^q + az^{q-p} + b$ with $a, b \neq 0$ and $q \geq 2p + 9$, we have $g = hf$ for a constant h such that $h^q = 1$ and $h^p = 1$ if $p \geq 2$; $f = g$ if $p \geq 2$, $(q, p) = 1$.

Regarding Theorems 6–9, it is natural to ask the following question:

QUESTION 2. Is it possible to relax the nature of sharing of value or set?

We establish the following theorems in order to respond the Question 2.

THEOREM 10. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions and P be a polynomial of degree q (> 0) of the form (1). Let n (≥ 1), k (≥ 1) be integers and m be non-negative integer or ∞ . If $(P(f)^n)^{(k)}$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)}$ share $(1, m)$ with one of the following conditions:

(i) $m \geq 2$ and

$$n > 3k + \frac{4l+4}{q}, \quad (2)$$

(ii) $m = 1$ and

$$n > \frac{7k}{2} + \frac{k+9l+15}{2q}, \quad (3)$$

(iii) $m = 0$ and

$$n > 6k + \frac{3k+7l+7}{q}, \quad (4)$$

then one of the following holds:

1. $l = 1$; $f = a_1 + c_1e^{cz}$ and $g = a_1 + c_2e^{-cz}$ for three non-zero constants c_1, c_2, c such that $(-1)^k(c_1c_2)^{nq}(nqc)^{2k} = 1$.

2. $l = 1$; $f - a_1 = t(g - a_1)$ with $t^{nq} = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. $l \geq 2$; $P(f) = tP(g)$ with $t^n = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Further if P is a strong uniqueness polynomial then, $f = g$.

In particular, when $P(z) = z^q + az^{q-p} + b$ with $a, b \neq 0$ and $q \geq 2p + 9$, we have $g = hf$ for a constant h such that $h^q = 1$ and $h^p = 1$ if $p \geq 2$; $f = g$ if $p \geq 2$, $(q, p) = 1$.

THEOREM 11. *Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions and P be a polynomial of degree $q (> 0)$ of the form (1). Let $n (\geq 1)$, $k (\geq 1)$ be integers, m be non-negative integer or ∞ , and $S = \{a \in \mathbb{C} : a^d = 1\}$. If $(P(f)^n)^{(k)}$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)}$ share (S, m) with one of the following conditions:*

(i) $m \geq 2$ and

$$n > \max \left\{ 2k + \frac{4l + 4 + 2kq}{qd}, \frac{2k + 2l - 1}{q} \right\}, \tag{5}$$

(ii) $m = 1$ and

$$n > \max \left\{ 2k + \frac{3kq + k + 9l + 9}{2dq}, \frac{2k + 2l - 1}{q} \right\}, \tag{6}$$

(iii) $m = 0$ and

$$n > \max \left\{ 2k + \frac{5kq + 3k + 7l + 7}{dq}, \frac{2k + 2l - 1}{q} \right\}, \tag{7}$$

then one of the following holds:

1. $l = 1$; $f = a_1 + c_1e^{cz}$ and $g = a_1 + c_2e^{-cz}$ for three non-zero constants c_1, c_2, c such that $(-1)^k(c_1c_2)^{nq}(nqc)^{2k} = 1$.

2. $l = 1$; $f - a_1 = t(g - a_1)$ with $t^{nq} = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. $l \geq 2$; $P(f) = tP(g)$ with $t^n = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Further if P is a strong uniqueness polynomial then, $f = g$.

In particular, when $P(z) = z^q + az^{q-p} + b$ with $a, b \neq 0$ and $q \geq 2p + 9$, we have $g = hf$ for a constant h such that $h^q = 1$ and $h^p = 1$ if $p \geq 2$; $f = g$ if $p \geq 2$, $(q, p) = 1$.

REMARK 1. We can easily see that Theorem 10 and Theorem 11 generalize and improve Theorem 6, Theorem 8 and Theorem 7, Theorem 9 respectively.

2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. Let F, G, \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} be non-constant meromorphic functions and H, \tilde{H} be two functions which are defined as follows:

$$H := \left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{F^{(1)}} - 2 \frac{F^{(1)}}{F - 1} \right) - \left(\frac{G^{(2)}}{G^{(1)}} - 2 \frac{G^{(1)}}{G - 1} \right) \tag{8}$$

and

$$\tilde{H} := \left(\frac{\tilde{F}^{(2)}}{\tilde{F}^{(1)}} - 2 \frac{\tilde{F}^{(1)}}{\tilde{F} - 1} \right) - \left(\frac{\tilde{G}^{(2)}}{\tilde{G}^{(1)}} - 2 \frac{\tilde{G}^{(1)}}{\tilde{G} - 1} \right).$$

LEMMA 1. [15, 17] Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n ($\neq 0$) be small functions with respect to f . Then

$$T(r, a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_0) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

LEMMA 2. [17] Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and let k be a positive integer. Then

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) \leq (k+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

LEMMA 3. [14] Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and k, n be positive integers with $n \geq k+2$, and let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree q (> 0). If $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\frac{n-k-2}{n+k}T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(P(f)^n)^{(k)} - a}\right) + S(r, f).$$

LEMMA 4. [7] Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and k, n be positive integers with $n > 2k$, and let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree q (> 0). Then

(i)

$$(n-2k)qT(r, f) + kN(r, P(f)) + N\left(r, \frac{P(f)^{n-k}}{(P(f)^n)^{(k)}}\right) \leq T(r, (P(f)^n)^{(k)}) + S(r, f).$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(r, \frac{P(f)^{n-k}}{(P(f)^n)^{(k)}}\right) &\leq kqT(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, P(f)) + S(r, f) \\ &= kqT(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. [10] Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions and let n, k be integers with $n > 2k$. If $(f^n)^{(k)} \cdot (g^n)^{(k)} = 1$, then f and g are transcendental entire functions such that $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are three non-zero constants such that $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$.

LEMMA 6. [13] Let m be a non-negative integer or ∞ . F and G be non-constant meromorphic functions sharing $(1, m)$ and H as defined in (8) such that $H \neq 0$.

(i) If $m \geq 2$, then

$$T(r, F) \leq 2\bar{N}(r, F) + 2\bar{N}(r, G) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

(ii) If $m = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq \frac{5}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + 2\bar{N}(r, G) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $m = 0$, then

$$T(r, F) \leq 4\bar{N}(r, F) + 3\bar{N}(r, G) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

The same inequality holds for $T(r, G)$.

LEMMA 7. [14] Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $q (> 0)$ of the form (1), and k, n be positive integers with $n > \frac{2k+2l-1}{q}$. If $(P(f)^n)^{(k)} = (P(g)^n)^{(k)}$, then $P(f) = tP(g)$ with $t^n = 1$.

LEMMA 8. [4] Let p, q be positive integer, $c, d, e, u, v, t \in \mathbb{C}$ be non-zero constants, and let $q \geq 2p + 4$, and either $p \geq 2$, $(p, q) = 1$, or $q \geq 4$. Suppose that (f, g) is a non-constant meromorphic solution of the equation

$$cf^q + df^{q-p} + e = ug^q + vg^{q-p} + t.$$

Then $t = e$ and there exist a non-zero constant h , such that $g = hf$, with $h^q = \frac{c}{u}$, $h^{q-p} = \frac{d}{v}$.

3. Proof of the Main Theorems

Proof of Theorem 10. Let

$$F_1 := P(f), F := (P(f)^n)^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad G_1 := P(g), G := (P(g)^n)^{(k)}.$$

Then we have $(P(f)^n)^{(k)} = P(f)^{n-k}L(f)$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)} = P(g)^{n-k}L(g)$, where L is a differential polynomial. By Lemmas 1, 2 and 4, we have

$$(n - 2k)qT(r, f) \leq T(r, F) + S(r, f) \leq (k + 1)nqT(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

and

$$(n - 2k)qT(r, g) \leq T(r, G) + S(r, g) \leq (k + 1)nqT(r, g) + S(r, g).$$

Therefore $S(r, F) = S(r, f)$ and $S(r, G) = S(r, g)$.

We see that, each pole of F is of order $\geq n + k \geq 2$, because it is a pole of $P(f)$. By (ii) of Lemma 4 we get

$$N_2(r, F) = 2\bar{N}(r, f) \leq 2T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

and

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_i}\right) \leq lT(r, f) + S(r, f), \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{n-k}}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) \\
&\leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq 2lT(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, F_1) + kqT(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
&= (kq + 2l)T(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, F_1) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{n-k}}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) \\
&\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq lT(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, F_1) + kqT(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
&= (kq + l)T(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, F_1) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) &\leq 2lT(r, g) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, g) \\
&\leq (kq + 2l)T(r, g) + k\bar{N}(r, G_1) + S(r, g),
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq (kq + l)T(r, g) + k\bar{N}(r, G_1) + S(r, g). \tag{13}$$

Case 1: $H \neq 0$. Next, using Lemma 6, we obtain the subsequent subcases:

Subcase 1.1: If $m \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, F) \\
&\quad + 2\bar{N}(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By using (9)–(13) in (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) &\leq (kq + 2l + 2)T(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, F_1) + (2l + 2)T(r, g) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\
&\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, G) &\leq (kq + 2l + 2)T(r, g) + k\bar{N}(r, G_1) + (2l + 2)T(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) \\
&\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Adding (15) and (16) we obtain

$$T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq (kq + 4l + 4)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(\overline{N}(r, F_1) + \overline{N}(r, G_1)) \\ + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (17)$$

By Lemma 4 we get

$$(n - 2k)qT(r, f) + kN(r, F_1) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) \leq T(r, F) + S(r, f), \quad (18)$$

and

$$(n - 2k)qT(r, g) + kN(r, G_1) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \leq T(r, G) + S(r, g). \quad (19)$$

From (17), (18) and (19) we get

$$q(n - 2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) \\ + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ \leq (kq + 4l + 4)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(\overline{N}(r, F_1) + \overline{N}(r, G_1)) \\ + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Moreover, $\overline{N}(r, F_1) + \overline{N}(r, G_1) \leq N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)$. Therefore $(n - 2k)q \leq kq + 4l + 4 \Rightarrow n \leq 3k + \frac{4l+4}{q}$, which contradicts (2).

Subcase 1.2: $m = 1$, then

$$T(r, F) \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \frac{5}{2}\overline{N}(r, F) \\ + 2\overline{N}(r, G) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \quad (20)$$

By using (9)–(13) in (20), we get

$$T(r, F) \leq (kq + 2l)T(r, f) + k\overline{N}(r, F_1) + 2lT(r, g) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ + \frac{5}{2}\overline{N}(r, f) + 2\overline{N}(r, g) + \frac{(kq + l)}{2}T(r, f) + \frac{k}{2}\overline{N}(r, F_1) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ \leq \frac{(3kq + k + 5l + 5)}{2}T(r, f) + k\overline{N}(r, F_1) + (2l + 2)T(r, g) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (21)$$

Similarly,

$$T(r, G) \leq \frac{(3kq + k + 5l + 5)}{2}T(r, g) + k\overline{N}(r, G_1) + (2l + 2)T(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) \\ + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (22)$$

Adding (21) and (22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq \left(\frac{(3kq + k + 5l + 5)}{2} + 2l + 2 \right) (T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \\ &\quad + k(\overline{N}(r, F_1) + \overline{N}(r, G_1)) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

From (18), (19) and (23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &q(n - 2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(3kq + k + 5l + 5)}{2} + 2l + 2 \right) (T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(\overline{N}(r, F_1) + \overline{N}(r, G_1)) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ \Rightarrow (n - 2k)q\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} &\leq \left(\frac{(3kq + k + 5l + 5)}{2} + 2l + 2 \right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(n - 2k)q \leq \left(\frac{(3kq + k + 5l + 5)}{2} + 2l + 2 \right) \Rightarrow n \leq \frac{7k}{2} + \frac{k + 9l + 15}{2q}$, which contradicts (3).

Subcase 1.3: $m = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq 4\overline{N}(r, F) + 3\overline{N}(r, G) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

By using (9)–(13) in (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq (3kq + 4l + 2k + 4)T(r, f) + k\overline{N}(r, F_1) + (3 + 3l + kq + k)T(r, g) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, G) &\leq (3kq + 4l + 2k + 4)T(r, g) + k\overline{N}(r, G_1) + (3 + 3l + kq + k)T(r, f) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Adding (25) and (26) we obtain

$$T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq (4kq + 3k + 7l + 7)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(\overline{N}(r, F_1) + \overline{N}(r, G_1)) \\ + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (27)$$

From (18), (19) and (27) we get

$$q(n - 2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) \\ + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ \leq (4kq + 3k + 7l + 7)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(\overline{N}(r, F_1) + \overline{N}(r, G_1)) \\ + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

$$\Rightarrow (n - 2k)q\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq (4kq + 3k + 7l + 7)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Therefore $(n - 2k)q \leq (4kq + 3k + 7l + 7) \Rightarrow n \leq 6k + \frac{3k+7l+7}{q}$, which contradicts (4).

Case 2: $H \equiv 0$. So on integrating twice we get

$$\frac{1}{G-1} = \frac{A}{F-1} + B,$$

where $A (\neq 0)$ and B are constants.

Thus

$$G = \frac{(B+1)F + (A-B-1)}{BF + (A-B)}, \quad (28)$$

and

$$F = \frac{(B-A)G + (A-B-1)}{BG - (B+1)}. \quad (29)$$

Next we consider the following three subcases:

Subcase 2.1: $B \neq 0, -1$. Then from (29) we have

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G - \frac{B+1}{B}}\right) = \overline{N}(r, F).$$

By Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem

$$T(r, G) \leq \overline{N}(r, G) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G - \frac{B+1}{B}}\right) + S(r, G) \\ \leq \overline{N}(r, G) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}(r, F) + S(r, G) \\ \Rightarrow T(r, G) \leq (l+1)T(r, g) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (30)$$

If $A - B - 1 \neq 0$, then it follows from (28) that

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{-A+B+1}{B+1}}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right).$$

Again by Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{-A+B+1}{B+1}}\right) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G), \\ \Rightarrow T(r, F) &\leq (l+2)T(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, G_1) \\ &\quad + (kq+l)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

By (18), (19), (30) and (31) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &q(n-2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ &\leq (l+3)T(r, f) + (kq+2l+1)T(r, g) + k(\bar{N}(r, F_1) + \bar{N}(r, G_1)) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(n-2k-\frac{l+3}{q}\right)T(r, f) + \left(n-3k-\frac{2l+1}{q}\right)T(r, g) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\Rightarrow \left(n-3k-\frac{2l+1}{q}\right)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts our assumptions (2)–(4).

Therefore $A - B - 1 = 0$. Then by (28)

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F + \frac{1}{B}}\right) = \bar{N}(r, G).$$

By Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem and Lemma 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F + \frac{1}{B}}\right) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) + S(r, F) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow T(r, F) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + IT(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + \bar{N}(r, g) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Adding (18), (19) and using (32), (30) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &q(n - 2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ &\leq (l + 2)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + k(\bar{N}(r, F_1) + \bar{N}(r, G_1)) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\left(n - 2k - \frac{l + 2}{q}\right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which violates assumptions (2)–(4).

Subcase 2.2: $B = -1$. Then

$$G = \frac{A}{A + 1 - F},$$

and

$$F = \frac{(1 + A)G - A}{G}.$$

If $A + 1 \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - (A + 1)}\right) &= \bar{N}(r, G), \\ \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G - \frac{A}{A + 1}}\right) &= \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By similar argument as Subcase 2.1 we have a contradiction.

Therefore $A + 1 = 0$ then $FG = 1$. and so we get $(P(f)^n)^{(k)}.(P(g)^n)^{(k)} = 1$. Let $l = 1$, then $P(z) = (z - a_1)^q$. Therefore we have

$$((f - a_1)^{nq})^{(k)}.((g - a_1)^{nq})^{(k)} = 1.$$

Using Lemma 5 and noting that $n > 2k$, we obtain $f = a_1 + c_1 e^{cz}$ and $g = a_1 + c_2 e^{-cz}$ for three non-zero constants c_1, c_2, c such that $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^{nq} (nqc)^{2k} = 1$, which is (i). Next suppose that $l \geq 2$ and since $n > 2k$, by Lemma 5, we obtain $P(f) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $P(g) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ for three non-zero constants c_1, c_2, c such that $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^{nq} (nqc)^{2k} = 1$. From this it follows that f and g are entire function. Since $P(z) = (z - a_1)_1^{m_1} (z - a_2)_2^{m_2} \dots (z - a_l)_l^{m_l}$ for $l \geq 2$, by Picard's theorem $P(f)$ and $P(g)$ must have a zero, which is a contradiction.

Subcase 2.3: $B = 0$. Then (28) and (29) gives $G = \frac{F+A-1}{A}$ and $F = AG + 1 - A$.

If $A - 1 \neq 0$, $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{A-1+F}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)$ and $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-\frac{1}{A-1}}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)$. Proceeding similarly as in Subcase 2.1, we get a contradiction.

Therefore, $A - 1 = 0$ then $F \equiv G$ i.e., $(P(f)^n)^{(k)} = (P(g)^n)^{(k)}$. Using Lemma 7 and $n > \frac{2k+2l-1}{q}$, we obtain $P(f) = tP(g)$ with $t^n = 1$. Further, if P is a strong uniqueness polynomial then, $f = g$. If $l = 1$, then $(f - a_1) = t_1(g - a_1)$ with $t_1^{nq} = 1, t_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

We now consider the case when $P(z) = z^q + az^{q-p} + b$ with $a, b \neq 0$. By the similar argument as in Lemma 7, we see that there exist a t such that $P(f) = tP(g)$, i.e.

$$f^q + af^{q-p} + b = t(g^q + ag^{q-p} + b).$$

Applying Lemma 8 and using the similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 [7], we get $f = g$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 11. Let

$$F := (P(f)^n)^{(k)}, F_1 := P(f), \tilde{F} := F^d \text{ and } G := (P(g)^n)^{(k)}, G_1 := P(g), \tilde{G} := G^d.$$

Then we have $(P(f)^n)^{(k)} = P(f)^{n-k}L(f)$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)} = P(g)^{n-k}L(g)$, where L is a differential polynomial.

Since

$$\bar{E}(F; 1) = \cup_{j=0}^{d-1} \bar{E}((P(f)^n)^{(k)}; u^j),$$

where $1, u^1, u^2, \dots, u^{d-1}$ are distinct roots of $z^d = 1$, from Lemma 3 with the value 1, it implies that $\{(P(f)^n)^{(k)}\}^d = 1$ has infinitely many solutions. Therefore $E(S, (P(f)^n)^{(k)}) \neq \phi$ and $E(S, (P(g)^n)^{(k)}) \neq \phi$. Since $(P(f)^n)^{(k)}$ and $(P(g)^n)^{(k)}$, share (S, m) , we see that \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} share $(1, m)$.

We see that, each pole of F is of order $\geq n + k \geq 2$, because it is a pole of $P(f)$. Now, if a is a zero of $(f^n)^{(k)}$ then $F(a) = 0$ with multiplicity of zero ≥ 2 .

By (ii) of Lemma 4 we get

$$N_2(r, \tilde{F}) = 2\bar{N}(r, f) \leq 2T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

and

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_i}\right) \leq lT(r, f) + S(r, f), \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) &= 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) = 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{n-k}}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2lT(r, f) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right) &= 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right) = 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\ &\leq 2lT(r, g) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Case 1: $\tilde{H} \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 6, we get following subcases:

Subcase 1.1: If $m \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right) + 2\overline{N}(r, \tilde{F}) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}(r, \tilde{G}) + S(r, \tilde{F}) + S(r, \tilde{G}). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

From Lemmas 1, 2 and 4, we have $S(r, \tilde{F}) = S(r, f)$ and $S(r, \tilde{G}) = S(r, g)$. Using (33)–(35) in (36) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) &\leq (2l+2)T(r, f) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + (2l+2)T(r, g) \\ &\quad + 2kqT(r, g) + 2k\overline{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{G}) &\leq (2l+2)T(r, g) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + (2l+2)T(r, f) \\ &\quad + 2kqT(r, f) + 2k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Adding (37) and (38), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) + T(r, \tilde{G}) &\leq (4l+2kq+4)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) \\ &\quad + 2k\overline{N}(r, f) + 2k\overline{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Since $n > 2k$ and $d \geq 2$. Using (18), (19) and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &qd(n-2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \\ &\leq (4l+2kq+4)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + 2k\overline{N}(r, f) + 2k\overline{N}(r, g) \\ &\quad - dk(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (4l+2+2kq)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(n-2k)dq \leq 2kq+4l+4 \Rightarrow n \leq 2k + \frac{4l+4+2kq}{qd}$, which contradicts (5).

Subcase 1.2: $m = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right) + \frac{5}{2}\overline{N}(r, \tilde{F}) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}(r, \tilde{G}) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) + S(r, \tilde{F}) + S(r, \tilde{G}). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

By using (33)–(35) in (40), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) &\leq 2lT(r, f) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + 2lT(r, g) + 2kqT(r, g) + 2k\overline{N}(r, g) \\ &\quad + \frac{5}{2}\overline{N}(r, f) + 2\overline{N}(r, g) + \frac{(kq+l)}{2}T(r, f) + \frac{k}{2}\overline{N}(r, F_1) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \frac{(kq+k+5l+5)}{2}T(r, f) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + (2l+2+2kq)T(r, g) \\ &\quad + 2k\overline{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{G}) &\leq \frac{(kq+k+5l+5)}{2}T(r, g) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + (2l+2+2kq)T(r, f) \\ &\quad + 2k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Adding (41) and (42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) + T(r, \tilde{G}) &\leq \frac{(3kq+k+9l+9)}{2}(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + 2k(\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}(r, g)) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

For $n > 2k$ and $d \geq 2$. From (18), (19) and (43) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &dq(n-2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + dk(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) \\ &\quad + dN\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + dN\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{(3kq+k+9l+9)}{2}(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + 2k(\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}(r, g)) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (n-2k)dq\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq \left(\frac{(3kq+k+5l+9)}{2} + 2l+4\right)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Therefore, $(n-2k) \leq \frac{(3kq+k+9l+9)}{2dq} \Rightarrow n \leq 2k + \frac{3kq+k+9l+9}{2dq}$, which contradicts (6).

Subcase 1.3: $m = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) &\leq 4\bar{N}(r, \tilde{F}) + 3\bar{N}(r, \tilde{G}) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right) + S(r, \tilde{F}) + S(r, \tilde{G}). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

By using (33)–(35) in (44), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) &\leq (4l + 2k + 2kq + 4)T(r, f) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + (3l + k + 3)T(r, g) \\ &\quad + 3kqT(r, g) + 2k\bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{G}) &\leq (4l + 2k + 2kq + 4)T(r, g) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + (3l + k + 3)T(r, f) \\ &\quad + 3kqT(r, f) + 2k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Adding (45) and (46) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) + T(r, \tilde{G}) &\leq (5kq + 3k + 7l + 7)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + 2k(\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)) \\ &\quad + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

From (18), (19) and (47) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &dq(n - 2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + dk(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) \\ &\quad + dN\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + dN\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ &\leq (5kq + 3k + 7l + 7)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + 2k(\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)) \\ &\quad + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (n - 2k)dq\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq (5kq + 3k + 7l + 7)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Therefore $(n - 2k)dq \leq (5kq + 3k + 7l + 7) \Rightarrow n \leq 2k + \frac{5kq + 3k + 7l + 7}{dq}$, which contradicts (7).

Case 2: $\tilde{H} \equiv 0$. Thus, when we integrate twice, we get

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{G} - 1} = \frac{A}{\tilde{F} - 1} + B,$$

where $A (\neq 0)$ and B are constants.

Thus

$$\tilde{G} = \frac{(B+1)\tilde{F} + (A-B-1)}{B\tilde{F} + (A-B)}, \quad (48)$$

and

$$\tilde{F} = \frac{(B-A)\tilde{G} + (A-B-1)}{B\tilde{G} - (B+1)}. \quad (49)$$

Now we consider the following three subcases:

Subcase 2.1: $B \neq 0, -1$. Then from (49) we have

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G} - \frac{B+1}{B}}\right) = \bar{N}(r, \tilde{F}).$$

By Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{G}) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \tilde{G}) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G} - \frac{B+1}{B}}\right) + S(r, \tilde{G}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \tilde{G}) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right) + \bar{N}(r, \tilde{F}) + S(r, \tilde{G}), \\ \Rightarrow T(r, \tilde{G}) &\leq (2l+1)T(r, g) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (50) \end{aligned}$$

If $A - B - 1 \neq 0$, then it follows from (48) that

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F} - \frac{-A+B+1}{B+1}}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right).$$

Again by Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \tilde{F}) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F} - \frac{-A+B+1}{B+1}}\right) + S(r, \tilde{F}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \tilde{F}) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right) + S(r, \tilde{F}) + S(r, \tilde{G}), \\ \Rightarrow T(r, \tilde{F}) &\leq (2l+1)T(r, f) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + 2k\bar{N}(r, g) \\ &\quad + 2(kq+l)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (51) \end{aligned}$$

Using (18), (19), (50) and (51) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &dq(n-2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + dk(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) \\ &+ dN\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + dN\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ &\leq (2l+2)T(r, f) + (2qk+4l+1)T(r, g) + 2k\bar{N}(r, f) \\ &\quad + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (52) \end{aligned}$$

For $n > 2k$, $d \geq 2$ and (52), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(n - 2k - \frac{2l+2}{qd}\right) T(r, f) + \left(n - 2k - \frac{2kq+4l+1}{qd}\right) T(r, g) &\leq S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ \Rightarrow \left(n - 2k - \frac{2kq+4l+1}{qd}\right) (T(r, f) + T(r, g)) &\leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts our assumptions (5)–(7).

Therefore $A - B - 1 = 0$. Then by (48)

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F} + \frac{1}{B}}\right) = \bar{N}(r, \tilde{G}).$$

By Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem and Lemma 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \tilde{F}) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \tilde{F}) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F} + \frac{1}{B}}\right) + S(r, \tilde{F}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \tilde{F}) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right) + \bar{N}(r, \tilde{G}) + S(r, \tilde{F}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow T(r, \tilde{F}) \leq (2l+1)T(r, f) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + \bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (53)$$

Adding (18), (19) and using (53), (30) we get

$$\begin{aligned} dq(n-2k)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + dk(N(r, F_1) + N(r, G_1)) \\ + dN\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + dN\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ \leq (2l+2)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) \\ + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\left(n - 2k - \frac{2l+2}{qd}\right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which violates assumptions (5)–(7).

Subcase 2.2: $B = -1$. Then

$$\tilde{G} = \frac{A}{A+1-\tilde{F}},$$

and

$$\tilde{F} = \frac{(1+A)\tilde{G}-A}{\tilde{G}}.$$

If $A + 1 \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F} - (A + 1)}\right) &= \bar{N}(r, \tilde{G}), \\ \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G} - \frac{A}{A+1}}\right) &= \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

By similar argument as Subcase 2.1 we have a contradiction.

Therefore $A + 1 = 0$ then $\tilde{F}\tilde{G} = 1$ and so we get $(P(f)^n)^{(k)} \cdot (P(g)^n)^{(k)} = h$, with $h^d = 1$. Let $l = 1$, then $P(z) = (z - a_1)^q$. Therefore we have

$$((f - a_1)^{nq})^{(k)} \cdot ((g - a_1)^{nq})^{(k)} = 1.$$

Using Lemma 5 and $n > 2k$, we obtain $f = a_1 + c_1 e^{cz}$ and $g = a_1 + c_2 e^{-cz}$ for three non-zero constants c_1, c_2, c such that $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^{nq} (nqc)^{2k} = 1$, which is (i). If $l \geq 2$, then proceeding similarly as in the proof of subcase 2.2 of Theorem 10, we obtain a contradiction.

Subcase 2.3: $B = 0$. Then (48) and (49) gives $\tilde{G} = \frac{\tilde{F} + A - 1}{A}$ and $\tilde{F} = A\tilde{G} + 1 - A$.

If $A - 1 \neq 0$, $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{A - 1 + \tilde{F}}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G}}\right)$ and $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{G} - \frac{A}{A-1}}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\right)$. Proceeding similarly as in Subcase 2.1 we get a contradiction.

Therefore, $A - 1 = 0$ then $\tilde{F} \equiv \tilde{G}$ i.e., $(P(f)^n)^{(k)} = h(P(g)^n)^{(k)}$, with $h^d = 1$. Using Lemma 7 and $n > \frac{2k+2l-1}{q}$, we obtain $P(f) = tP(g)$ with $t^{nd} = 1$. Further, if P is a strong uniqueness polynomial then, $f = g$. If $l = 1$, then $(f - a_1) = t_1(g - a_1)$ with $t_1^{nqd} = 1, t_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

We now consider the case when $P(z) = z^q + az^{q-p} + b$ with $a, b \neq 0$. By the similar argument as in Lemma 7, we see that there exist a t such that $P(f) = tP(g)$, i.e.

$$f^q + af^{q-p} + b = t(g^q + ag^{q-p} + b).$$

Applying Lemma 8 and using the similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 10, we obtain $f = g$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. BHOOSNURMATH AND R. S. DYAVANAL, *Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions*, Comput. Math. Appl., **53**, 8 (2007), 1191–1205.
- [2] W. K. HAYMAN, *Meromorphic functions*, Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [3] H. X. HUA AND C. C. YANG, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., **22**, (1997), 395–406.
- [4] H. H. KHOAI, V. H. AN AND P. N. HOA, *On functional equations for meromorphic functions and applications*, Archiv Math., **109**, 6 (2017), 539–549.
- [5] H. H. KHOAI, V. H. AN AND NGUYEN XUAN LAI, *Strong uniqueness polynomials of degree 6 and unique range sets for powers of meromorphic function*, Intern. J. Math., **29**, 5 (2018).
- [6] H. H. KHOAI AND V. H. AN, *On uniqueness for meromorphic functions and their n -th derivatives*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., **47**, (2018), 117–126.
- [7] H. H. KHOAI AND V. H. AN, *Uniqueness problem for meromorphic functions when two differential polynomials share a set of roots of unity*, Adv. stud. Euro-Tbilisi math. J., **15**, 1 (2022), 39–51.

- [8] I. LAHIRI, *Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Complex Var. Theory Appl., **46**, 3 (2001), 241–253.
- [9] I. LAHIRI, *Weighted sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Nagoya Math. J., **161** (2001), 193–206.
- [10] X. M. LI AND H. X. YI, *Remarks on value sharing of certain differential polynomials of meromorphic functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **90**, 3 (2014), 427–443.
- [11] I. LAHIRI AND K. SINHA, *Linear differential polynomials sharing a set of the roots of unity*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., **35**, 3 (2020), 773–787.
- [12] D. C. PRAMANIK AND J. ROY, *Uniqueness of Meromorphic Functions Sharing a Set of Roots of Unity*, The J. Indian. Math. Soc., **87**, 3–4 (2020), 206–218.
- [13] D. C. PRAMANIK AND J. ROY, *Results on uniqueness of meromorphic functions weighted-sharing a set of roots of unity*, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, **25**, 1 (2022), 45–62.
- [14] P. SAHOO AND S. SULTANA, *Differential polynomials sharing a set of roots of unity with finite weight*, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S), Tomul LXX, 2024, f.1.
- [15] C. C. YANG, *On deficiencies of differential polynomials II*, Math. Z., **125**, (1972), 107–112.
- [16] L. YANG, *Value distributions theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [17] H. X. YI AND C. C. YANG, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions* (in Chinese), Science Press, 1995.

(Received September 13, 2024)

Jayanta Roy
Centre for Distance and Online Education
University of North Bengal
Raja Rammohunpur, Darjeeling-734013, West Bengal, India
e-mail: jayanta2017math@nbu.ac.in

Dilip Chandra Pramanik
Department of Mathematics
University of North Bengal
Raja Rammohunpur, Darjeeling-734013, West Bengal, India
e-mail: dcpramanik.nbu2012@gmail.com