

NORM INEQUALITIES FOR THE CHAOTICALLY GEOMETRIC MEAN AND ITS REVERSE

YUKI SEO

(communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. Let A and B be strictly positive operators on a Hilbert space H such that $0 < m \leq B \leq M$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$ and $h_B = \frac{M}{m}$. We prove a norm inequality for the chaotically geometric mean $A \diamond_{\alpha} B = e^{(1-\alpha) \log A + \alpha \log B}$ and its reverse: For each real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$S(h_B^{\alpha})^{-1} \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\| \leq \|A \diamond_{\alpha} B\| \leq \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\|$$

where the constant $S(h)$ is the Specht ratio and $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm.

1. Introduction

A (bounded linear) operator A on a Hilbert space H is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $(Ax, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ and strictly positive (in symbol: $A > 0$) if A is positive and invertible. Let A and B be two strictly positive operators on a Hilbert space H . In [6], the chaotically geometric mean $A \diamond_{\alpha} B$ is defined by

$$A \diamond_{\alpha} B = \exp((1 - \alpha) \log A + \alpha \log B) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If A and B commute, then $A \diamond_{\alpha} B = A^{1-\alpha} B^{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

In the preceding paper [10, 11], Nakamoto and the author obtained the following norm inequality and its reverse for the chaotically geometric mean: Let A and B be strictly positive operators such that $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$ and $h = \frac{M}{m}$. Then

$$K(h^2, \alpha) \|A^{1-\alpha} B^{\alpha}\| \leq \|A \diamond_{\alpha} B\| \leq \|A^{1-\alpha} B^{\alpha}\| \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in [0, 1], \quad (1)$$

where $K(h, \alpha)$ is a generalized Kantorovich constant.

In this paper, we show a slight improvement of the norm inequality (1) for the chaotically geometric mean and its reverse: Let A and B be strictly positive operators such that $0 < m \leq B \leq M$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$ and $h_B = \frac{M}{m}$. Then

$$S(h^{\alpha})^{-1} \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\| \leq \|A \diamond_{\alpha} B\| \leq \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\| \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the constant $S(h)$ is the Specht ratio. Our main tools are Araki's inequality and its reverse.

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 47A63.

Key words and phrases: Positive operator, Araki's inequality, chaotically geometric mean, Specht ratio, a generalized Kantorovich constant, reverse inequality.

2. Results

First of all, we cite Araki's inequality [1] and its reverse [7]:

THEOREM A. *If A and B are positive operators such that $0 < m \leq A \leq M$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, then*

$$K(h_A, p) \|BAB\|^p \leq \|B^p A^p B^p\| \leq \|BAB\|^p \quad \text{for all } p \in [0, 1],$$

where $h_A = \frac{M}{m}$ is a generalized condition number of A in the sense of Turing [13] and a generalized Kantorovich constant $K(h, p)$ [9, Definition 2.2] is defined by

$$K(h, p) = \frac{h^p - h}{(p-1)(h-1)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \cdot \frac{h^p - 1}{h^p - h} \right)^p$$

for any real number $p \in \mathbb{R}$.

We state some properties of $K(h, p)$ [9, Theorem 2.54]:

LEMMA 1. *Let $h > 0$ be given. Then a generalized Kantorovich constant $K(h, p)$ has the following properties.*

- (i) $K(h, p) = K(h^{-1}, p)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) $K(h, p) = K(h, 1-p)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) $K(h, 0) = K(h, 1) = 1$ and $K(1, p) = 1$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iv) $K(h^r, \frac{p}{r})^{\frac{1}{p}} = K(h^p, \frac{r}{p})^{-\frac{1}{r}}$ for $pr \neq 0$.

Also, Specht [12] estimated the upper bound of the arithmetic mean by the geometric one for positive numbers: For $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [m, M]$ with $0 < m \leq M$

$$\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n} \leq S(h) \sqrt[p]{x_1 \cdots x_n},$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m} (\geq 1)$ and the Specht ratio $S(h)$ [9, page 71] is defined by

$$S(h) = \frac{(h-1)h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}{e \log h} \quad (h \neq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad S(1) = 1. \quad (2)$$

Yamazaki and Yanagida [14] showed the following close relation between the Specht ratio and a generalized Kantorovich constant, also see [4, 8].

LEMMA 2. *Let $h > 0$ be given. Then*

$$K\left(h^r, \frac{r+p}{r}\right) \geq S(h^p) \quad \text{for all } p > 0 \text{ and } r > 0 \quad (3)$$

and

$$K\left(h^p, \frac{1}{p}\right) \rightarrow S(h) \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

The following lemma shows the Golden-Thompson type inequality for the operator norm and its reverse, also see [3, 2].

LEMMA 3. *Let A and B be selfadjoint operators such that $m \leq B \leq M$ for some scalars $m < M$. Then*

$$S(e^{M-m})^{-1} \left\| e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^B e^{\frac{A}{2}} \right\| \leq \|e^{A+B}\| \leq \left\| e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^B e^{\frac{A}{2}} \right\|,$$

where $S(e^{M-m})$ is the Specht ratio defined by (2).

Proof. Since $0 < e^m \leq e^B \leq e^M$ and a generalized condition number of e^B is e^{M-m} , it follows from Theorem A that

$$K(e^{M-m}, p) \left\| e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^B e^{\frac{A}{2}} \right\|^p \leq \left\| e^{\frac{pA}{2}} e^{pB} e^{\frac{pA}{2}} \right\| \leq \left\| e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^B e^{\frac{A}{2}} \right\|^p \quad \text{for all } p \in [0, 1].$$

Taking $\frac{1}{p}$ -th power of both sides, we have

$$K(e^{M-m}, p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^B e^{\frac{A}{2}} \right\| \leq \left\| e^{\frac{pA}{2}} e^{pB} e^{\frac{pA}{2}} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left\| e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^B e^{\frac{A}{2}} \right\|. \quad (5)$$

It follows from (iv) of Lemma 1 and (4) of Lemma 2 that

$$K(e^{M-m}, p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = K\left(e^{pM-pm}, \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow S(e^{M-m})^{-1} \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow 0.$$

By the Lie-Trotter formula, we have $\left\| e^{\frac{pA}{2}} e^{pB} e^{\frac{pA}{2}} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow \|e^{A+B}\|$ as $p \rightarrow 0$ and hence by (5) it follows that

$$S(e^{M-m})^{-1} \left\| e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^B e^{\frac{A}{2}} \right\| \leq \|e^{A+B}\| \leq \left\| e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^B e^{\frac{A}{2}} \right\|,$$

as desired. \square

By Lemma 3, we have the following theorem which is a slight improvement of (1):

THEOREM 4. *Let A and B be strictly positive operators such that $0 < m \leq B \leq M$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, $h_B = \frac{M}{m}$. Then for each real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$S(h_B^\alpha)^{-1} \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\| \leq \|A \diamond_\alpha B\| \leq \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\|,$$

where $S(h)$ is the Specht ratio defined by (2).

Proof. For each $\alpha > 0$, replacing A and B by $(1 - \alpha) \log A$ and $\alpha \log B$ in Lemma 3 respectively, we have the desired inequality since $\alpha \log m \leq \alpha \log B \leq \alpha \log M$ and $e^{\alpha \log M - \alpha \log m} = h_B^\alpha$. In the case of $\alpha < 0$, we have $\alpha \log M \leq \alpha \log B \leq \alpha \log m$ and $e^{\alpha \log m - \alpha \log M} = h_B^{-\alpha}$. By the property of the Specht ratio [9, Lemma 2.47], it follows that $S(h_B^{-\alpha}) = S(h_B^\alpha)$ and hence we have this theorem. \square

The following corollary is a complementary result for Theorem 4:

COROLLARY 5. *Let A and B be strictly positive operators such that $0 < m \leq A \leq M$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, $h_A = \frac{M}{m}$. Then for each real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$S(h_A^{1-\alpha})^{-1} \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\| \leq \|A \diamond_\alpha B\| \leq \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\|.$$

Proof. If we apply $B \diamond_{1-\alpha} A$ to Theorem 4, then it follows that

$$S(h_A^{1-\alpha})^{-1} \left\| B^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} A^{1-\alpha} B^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\| \leq \|B \diamond_{1-\alpha} A\|$$

and hence we have this corollary. \square

REMARK. Let A and B be strictly positive operators such that $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, $h = \frac{M}{m}$. Since $\left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\| \leq \|A^{1-\alpha} B^\alpha\|$, the expression (1) in §1 implies

$$K(h^2, \alpha) \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\| \leq \|A \diamond_\alpha B\| \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in [0, 1]. \quad (6)$$

By combining Theorem 4 and Corollary 5, we have

$$\max\{S(h^\alpha)^{-1}, S(h^{1-\alpha})^{-1}\} \left\| A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} B^\alpha A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \right\| \leq \|A \diamond_\alpha B\| \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7)$$

Then (7) is an improvement of (6). As a matter of fact, we have

$$K(h^2, \alpha) \leq S(h^\alpha)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (i)$$

$$K(h^2, \alpha) \leq S(h^{1-\alpha})^{-1} \quad \text{for all } \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1. \quad (ii)$$

To prove (i), it is sufficient to show $K(h, \alpha)^{-1} \geq S(h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 1 and (3) of Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(h, \alpha)^{-1} &= K(h, 1-\alpha)^{-1} = K\left(h^{1-\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha} \\ &= K\left(h^{1-\alpha}, \frac{\alpha+1-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha} \geq S(h^\alpha)^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $S(h^s)^{\frac{1}{s}}$ is increasing for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ by [5, Lemma 9], it follows that $S(h^\alpha) \geq S(h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})^2$ and hence we have

$$S(h^\alpha)^{1-\alpha} \geq S(h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})^{2(1-\alpha)} \geq S(h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})$$

since $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, it follows that $K(h^2, \alpha) \leq S(h^\alpha)^{-1}$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly, we have (ii). Therefore we have

$$K(h^2, \alpha) \leq \max\{S(h^\alpha)^{-1}, S(h^{1-\alpha})^{-1}\} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. ARAKI, *On an inequality of Lieb and Thirring*, Letters in Math. Phys., **19** (1990), 167–170.
- [2] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, Germany, 1996.
- [3] J.C. BOURIN AND Y. SEO, *Reverse inequality to Golden-Thompson type inequalities: Comparison of e^{A+B} and $e^A e^B$* , preprint.
- [4] J.I. FUJII, M. FUJII, Y. SEO AND M. TOMINAGA, *On generalized Kantorovich inequalities*, Proc. Int. Sym. on Banach and Function Spaces, Kitakyushu, Japan, October 2-4, (2003), 205–213.
- [5] J.I. FUJII, Y. SEO AND M. TOMINAGA, *Kantorovich type operator inequalities via the Specht ratio*, Linear Alg. Appl., **377**(2004), 69–81.
- [6] M. FUJII AND R. NAKAMOTO, *A geometric mean in the Furuta inequality*, Sci. Math. Japon., **55** (2002), 615–621.
- [7] M. FUJII AND Y. SEO, *Reverse inequalities of Araki, Cordes and Löwner-Heinz inequalities*, Nihonkai Math. J., **16** (2005), 145–154.
- [8] T. FURUTA, *Specht ratio $S(1)$ can be expressed by Kantorovich constant $K(p)$: $S(1) = \exp K'(1)$ and its application*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **6** (2003), 521–530.
- [9] T. FURUTA, J. MIČIĆ, J.E. PEČARIĆ AND Y. SEO, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*, Monographs in Inequalities 1, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [10] R. NAKAMOTO AND Y. SEO, *Norm inequalities for the geometric mean and its reverse*, Sci. Math. Japon., **65**, No. 2(2007), 281–286, :e2006, 1209–1214.
- [11] R. NAKAMOTO AND Y. SEO, *A complement of the Ando-Hiai inequality and norm inequalities for the geometric mean*, preprint.
- [12] W. SPECHT, *Zur Theorie der elementaren Mittel*, Math. Z., **74**(1960), 91–98.
- [13] A.M. TURING, *Rounding off-errors in matrix processes*, Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math., **1**(1948), 287–308.
- [14] T. YAMAZAKI AND M. YANAGIDA, *Characterizations of chaotic order associated with Kantorovich inequality*, Sci. Math., **2**(1999), 37–50.

(Received April 6, 2007)

Yuki Seo
 Faculty of Engineering
 Shibaura Institute of Technology
 307 Fukasaku, Minuma-ku
 Saitama-City, Saitama 337-8570
 Japan
 e-mail: yukis@sic.shibaura-it.ac.jp