

FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITIES FOR GALUĆ'S GENERALIZED MODIFIED BESSEL FUNCTIONS

ÁRPÁD BARICZ

(communicated by A. Laforgia)

Abstract. Let

$${}_aI_p(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(x/2)^{2n+p}}{n! \Gamma(p+an+1)}$$

be the Galuć's generalized modified Bessel function depending on parameters $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $p > -1$. Consider the function ${}_a\mathcal{I}_p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by ${}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x) = 2^p \Gamma(p+1) x^{-p} {}_aI_p(x)$. Motivated by the inequality of Lazarević, namely

$$\cosh x < \left(\frac{\sinh x}{x} \right)^3$$

for $x \neq 0$, in order to generalize this inequality we prove that the Turán-type, Lazarević-type inequalities

$$[{}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)]^2 \leq {}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x) {}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+2}(x), \quad [{}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x)]^{p+1} \leq [{}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)]^{p+a+1}$$

hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we prove that the functions

$$p \mapsto {}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x) / {}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x), \quad p \mapsto [{}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x)]^{(p+1)(p+2)\dots(p+a)}$$

are increasing on $(-1, \infty)$.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let us consider the well-known second-order Bessel differential equation [20, p. 38]

$$x^2 y''(x) + xy'(x) + (x^2 - p^2)y(x) = 0. \tag{1}$$

The function J_p , which is called the Bessel function of the first kind of order p , is defined as a particular solution of (1). This function has the form [20, p. 40]

$$J_p(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \Gamma(p+n+1)} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^{2n+p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 33C10, 26D05.

Key words and phrases: Generalized Bessel functions, modified Bessel functions, Turán-type inequality, Lazarević inequality.

Research partially supported by the Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen, Hungary and Grant CEEX 130/2006, Romania.

The differential equation [20, p. 77]

$$x^2 y''(x) + xy'(x) - (x^2 + p^2)y(x) = 0, \quad (3)$$

which differs from Bessel's equation only in the coefficient of y , is of frequent occurrence in problems of mathematical physics. The particular solution of (3) is called the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order p , and is defined by the formula [20, p. 77]

$$I_p(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n! \Gamma(p+n+1)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

Recently, Galu e [13] introduced a generalized Bessel function of the form

$${}_a J_p(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \Gamma(p+an+1)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5)$$

where a is a natural number and for this generalized Bessel function he derived some differential properties and integral representations. Observe that ${}_1 J_p = J_p$. We define Galu e's generalized modified Bessel function analogously with (5) by the following formula:

$${}_a I_p(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n! \Gamma(p+an+1)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (6)$$

Let us consider the function ${}_a \mathcal{I}_p(x) = 2^p \Gamma(p+1) x^{-p} {}_a I_p(x)$ and denote ${}_1 \mathcal{I}_p$ simply with \mathcal{I}_p . In 1951 Thiruvengkatachar and Nanjundiah [19] have proved the Tur an-type inequality

$$[\mathcal{I}_p(x)]^2 \leq \mathcal{I}_{p-1}(x) \mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x) \iff I_p^2(x) - I_{p-1}(x) I_{p+1}(x) \leq \frac{I_p^2(x)}{p+1}, \quad (7)$$

by comparing the coefficients in the Cauchy product

$$I_p(x) I_q(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{p+q+2n}{n} \frac{(x/2)^{p+q+2n}}{\Gamma(p+n+1) \Gamma(q+n+1)}.$$

For more details about the Tur an type inequalities the interested reader is referred to the most recent papers [1], [7], [10], [15] on this topic and to the references therein.

In 1991 Joshi and Bissu [14] examined an alternate derivation of (7) and slightly extended this inequality. Recently in [8] using a different approach we rediscovered the inequality (7), moreover we improved the extension of Joshi and Bissu, proving that the function $p \mapsto \mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)/\mathcal{I}_p(x)$ is increasing, i.e. if $p \geq q > -1$, then we have that [8, Theorem 1.8]

$$\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x) \mathcal{I}_q(x) \geq \mathcal{I}_p(x) \mathcal{I}_{q+1}(x). \quad (8)$$

On the other hand there is another inequality of special interest, namely the Lazarevi c inequality [16, p. 270]

$$\mathcal{I}_{-1/2}(x) = \cosh x \leq \left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)^3 = [\mathcal{I}_{1/2}(x)]^3,$$

or equivalently the inequality

$$[\mathcal{I}_{-1/2}(x)]^{-1/2+1} \leq [\mathcal{I}_{1/2}(x)]^{1/2+1}, \tag{9}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and the exponent 3 is the least possible. In the same paper [8, Theorem 1.8] using (7) we generalized (9) proving that if $p > -1$, then

$$[\mathcal{I}_p(x)]^{p+1} \leq [\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)]^{p+2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{10}$$

Moreover using (8) we proved that [8, Theorem 1.8] for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $p > -1$ the function $p \mapsto [\mathcal{I}_p(x)]^{p+1}$ is increasing, i.e. we have $\partial[\mathcal{I}_p(x)]^{p+1}/\partial p \geq 0$. Our aim in Section 2 is to extend these results to Galué's generalized modified Bessel functions. Theorem 1, 2 are the main results of Section 2. In Section 3 we derive some other properties of the functions ${}_aI_p$ and ${}_a\mathcal{I}_p$.

2. Generalization of Lazarević's inequality

Our first main result reads as follows.

THEOREM 1. *If $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $p > -1$, then the inequalities*

$$[{}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)]^2 \leq {}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x){}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+2}(x), \quad [{}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x)]^{p+1} \leq [{}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)]^{p+a+1} \tag{11}$$

hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In both of inequalities equality holds if $x = 0$ or $a = 0$. Moreover here the exponent p is the best possible in the sense that $\alpha = (p + a + 1)/(p + 1)$ is the smallest value of α for which ${}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x) \leq [{}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)]^\alpha$ holds.

Proof. First observe that when $a = 0$ the function ${}_a\mathcal{I}_p$ becomes $e^{x^2/2}$, thus in both inequalities of (11) we have equality. In what follows suppose that $a \neq 0$. Let us consider the function ${}_a\gamma_p$ defined by the relation ${}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x) = {}_a\gamma_p(x^2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Due to definitions it is enough to show that ${}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x) \leq \sqrt{{}_a\gamma_p(x){}_a\gamma_{p+2}(x)}$ holds for all $x \geq 0$. For convenience let us introduce the following notations:

$$Q_n^p(x) := \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(p)x^i, \quad \text{where } a_i(p) := \frac{(1/4)^i \Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+ai+1)i!}, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}. \tag{12}$$

The power series ${}_a\gamma_p(x)$ is convergent for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so from (12) it is clear that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n^p(x) = {}_a\gamma_p(x)$, thus it is enough to show that

$$Q_n^{p+1}(x) \leq \sqrt{Q_n^p(x)Q_n^{p+2}(x)} \tag{13}$$

holds for all $x \geq 0$ and $p > -1$. Using the well-known Cauchy–Buniakowsky–Schwarz inequality we obtain that

$$Q_n^p(x)Q_n^{p+2}(x) = \left[\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(p)x^i \right] \left[\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(p+2)x^i \right] \geq \left[\sum_{i=0}^n \sqrt{a_i(p)a_i(p+2)}x^i \right]^2.$$

Taking into account this inequality in order to prove (13) we just need to show that the following inequality holds

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \sqrt{a_i(p)a_i(p+2)}x^i \geq Q_n^{p+1}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(p+1)x^i.$$

Now for this we prove that $\sqrt{a_i(p)a_i(p+2)} \geq a_i(p+1)$ holds for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Using (12) and the hypotheses ($p > -1$) this relation is equivalent to the inequality $(p+ai+1)(p+2) \geq (p+ai+2)(p+1)$, which clearly holds, because $ai \geq 0$. Thus the first inequality in (11) holds.

It remains to prove that the first inequality in (11) implies the second inequality in (11). Observe that the function ${}_a\mathcal{I}_p$ is even, thus in fact it is enough to show that the inequality $[{}_a\gamma_p(x)]^{p+1} \leq [{}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)]^{p+a+1}$, or equivalently

$$\frac{[{}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)]^{\frac{p+a+1}{p+1}}}{{}_a\gamma_p(x)} \geq 1. \quad (14)$$

holds for all $x \geq 0$. Taking the logarithm (due to the hypotheses we have ${}_a\gamma_p(x) > 0$) of both sides of (14) we just need to prove that

$$\varphi(x) := \frac{p+a+1}{p+1} \log[{}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)] - \log[{}_a\gamma_p(x)] \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

In order to show (15) we prove that the function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing, and consequently $\varphi(x) \geq \varphi(0) = 0$. It results that

$$\varphi'(x) = \frac{p+a+1}{p+1} \cdot \frac{{}_a\gamma'_{p+1}(x)}{{}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)} - \frac{{}_a\gamma'_p(x)}{{}_a\gamma_p(x)}.$$

Since $(n+1)a_{n+1}(p) = a_1(p)a_n(p+a)$ holds for all $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p > -1$, it is easy to verify that

$${}_a\gamma'_p(x) = a_1(p){}_a\gamma_{p+a}(x), \quad (16)$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p+1 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. Thus applying (16) for p and $p+1$ together with (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(x) &= \frac{p+a+1}{p+1} a_1(p+1) \frac{{}_a\gamma_{p+a+1}(x)}{{}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)} - a_1(p) \frac{{}_a\gamma_{p+a}(x)}{{}_a\gamma_p(x)} \\ &= a_1(p) \left[\frac{{}_a\gamma_{p+a+1}(x)}{{}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)} - \frac{{}_a\gamma_{p+a}(x)}{{}_a\gamma_p(x)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the inequality $[{}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)]^2 \leq {}_a\gamma_p(x){}_a\gamma_{p+2}(x)$, we have

$$\frac{{}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)}{{}_a\gamma_p(x)} \leq \frac{{}_a\gamma_{p+2}(x)}{{}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)} \leq \frac{{}_a\gamma_{p+3}(x)}{{}_a\gamma_{p+2}(x)} \leq \dots \leq \frac{{}_a\gamma_{p+m+1}(x)}{{}_a\gamma_{p+m}(x)}$$

for all $p > -1$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $x \geq 0$. Thus choosing $m = a$ it is easy to verify that

$${}_a\gamma_{p+a+1}(x){}_a\gamma_p(x) \geq {}_a\gamma_{p+a}(x){}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x)$$

holds for all $a = 1, 2, \dots$, $x \geq 0$, $p > -1$. It follows that $\varphi'(x) \geq 0$, hence the asserted result follows. Finally observe that the second inequality in (11) can be written as

$${}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x) \leq [{}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)]^{(p+a+1)/(p+1)}. \tag{17}$$

All that remains is to note that both members of (17) are even functions. Since

$${}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x) = 1 + a_1(p)x^2 + \dots, \quad \text{and} \quad [{}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)]^\alpha = 1 + \alpha \cdot a_1(p+1)x^2 + \dots,$$

we infer that $\alpha = a_1(p)/a_1(p+1) = (p+a+1)/(p+1)$ is the smallest value of α for which ${}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x) \leq [{}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)]^\alpha$ holds. Thus the proof is complete. \square

The next result is a generalization of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *If $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $p > -1$, then the function $p \mapsto {}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x)/{}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x)$ is increasing, i.e. if $p \geq q > -1$, then*

$${}_a\mathcal{I}_{p+1}(x){}_a\mathcal{I}_q(x) \geq {}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x){}_a\mathcal{I}_{q+1}(x). \tag{18}$$

Moreover, the function $p \mapsto [{}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x)]^{(p+1)(p+2)\dots(p+a)}$ is increasing too.

Proof. There is nothing to prove when $a = 0$. So suppose that $a \neq 0$. Observe that to prove (18) it is enough to show that

$${}_a\gamma_{p+1}(x){}_a\gamma_q(x) \geq {}_a\gamma_p(x){}_a\gamma_{q+1}(x), \tag{19}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(p+1)x^n \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(q)x^n \geq \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(p)x^n \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(q+1)x^n.$$

And this is true if

$$a_i(p+1)x^i a_j(q)x^j + a_j(p+1)x^j a_i(q)x^i \geq a_j(p)x^j a_i(q+1)x^i + a_i(p)x^i a_j(q+1)x^j$$

holds for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. This can be verified by adding up the corresponding parts of these inequalities for i and then summing for j . In what follows we want to prove that the inequality

$$a_i(p+1)a_j(q) + a_j(p+1)a_i(q) \geq a_j(p)a_i(q+1) + a_i(p)a_j(q+1) \tag{20}$$

holds for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the notations

$$\beta_1 := \Gamma(p+ai+2)\Gamma(q+aj+2),$$

$$\beta_2 := \Gamma(q+ai+2)\Gamma(p+aj+2),$$

we get that (20) is equivalent to the inequality

$$(p+1)[\beta_2(q+aj+1) + \beta_1(q+ai+1)] \geq (q+1)[\beta_1(p+aj+1) + \beta_2(p+ai+1)].$$

Hence it is enough to show that

$$(p+1)(i\beta_1 + j\beta_2) - (q+1)(i\beta_2 + j\beta_1) \geq 0 \tag{21}$$

holds for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $p \geq q$, to deduce (21) it remains to prove that $(i - j)(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \geq 0$. Finally observe that this above inequality clearly holds because by assumptions we have that if $i \geq j$ ($i \leq j$ respectively) then $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2$ ($\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$ respectively). This is justified by the fact that the function

$$x \mapsto \frac{\Gamma(ax + p + 2)}{\Gamma(ax + q + 2)}$$

is increasing on $[0, \infty)$, and from this it remains to prove that

$$\frac{\Gamma'(ax + p + 2)}{\Gamma(ax + p + 2)} \geq \frac{\Gamma'(ax + q + 2)}{\Gamma(ax + q + 2)} \quad (22)$$

holds for all $x \geq 0$ and $p \geq q > -1$. It is well-known that the function $x \mapsto \Gamma(ax)$ is log-convex, therefore $x \mapsto \Gamma'(ax)/\Gamma(ax)$ is increasing, which implies (22).

In what follows we proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that $p \geq q > -1$, and define the function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the relation

$$\phi(x) = \frac{(p+1)_a}{(q+1)_a} \log[{}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(x)] - \log[{}_a\mathcal{Y}_q(x)]. \quad (23)$$

Application of (16) for p and q , together with (23) implies that

$$\phi'(x) = a_1(q) \left[\frac{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_{p+a}(x)}{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(x)} - \frac{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_{q+a}(x)}{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_q(x)} \right].$$

Now from (19) we obtain that

$$\frac{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_q(x)}{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(x)} \geq \frac{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_{q+1}(x)}{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_{p+1}(x)} \geq \frac{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_{q+2}(x)}{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_{p+2}(x)} \geq \dots \geq \frac{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_{q+m}(x)}{{}_a\mathcal{Y}_{p+m}(x)},$$

where $p \geq q > -1$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x \geq 0$. Thus choosing again $m = a$ it is clear that we have

$${}_a\mathcal{Y}_{p+a}(x) {}_a\mathcal{Y}_q(x) \geq {}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(x) {}_a\mathcal{Y}_{q+a}(x), \quad (24)$$

and consequently $\phi'(x) \geq 0$, for all $x \geq 0$. Thus ϕ is increasing and consequently $\phi(x) \geq \phi(0) = 0$, i.e. $[{}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(x)]^{(p+1)_a} \geq [{}_a\mathcal{Y}_q(x)]^{(q+1)_a}$, for all $x \geq 0$, where $(p+1)_a = (p+1)(p+2)\dots(p+a) = \Gamma(p+a+1)/\Gamma(p+1)$, $(p+1)_0 = 1$ is the well-known Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of Euler's gamma function. This implies that $[{}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x)]^{(p+1)_a} \geq [{}_a\mathcal{I}_q(x)]^{(q+1)_a}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, therefore the proof is complete. \square

There is another notion of generalized Bessel function, which was elaborated by the author. Namely, the generalized Bessel function of the first kind v_p is defined [9] as a particular solution of the differential equation

$$x^2 v''(x) + bxv'(x) + [cx^2 - p^2 + (1-b)p]v(x) = 0, \quad (25)$$

where $b, p, c \in \mathbb{R}$, and v_p has the infinite series representation

$$v_p(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n c^n}{n! \Gamma(p+n+\frac{b+1}{2})} \cdot \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This function permits us to study the Bessel function J_p -defined by (2)- and the modified Bessel function I_p -defined by (4)- together. For $c = 1$ and $b = 1$ equation (25) reduces to Bessel's equation (1) with the Bessel function of the first kind as a particular solution. Now for $c = -1$ and $b = 1$ the equation (25) reduces to the differential equation (3). The generalized and normalized Bessel function of the first kind is defined [9] as follows

$$u_p(x) = 2^p \Gamma(\kappa) \cdot x^{-p/2} v_p(x^{1/2}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-c/4)^n x^n}{(\kappa)_n n!}, \tag{26}$$

where $\kappa := p + (b + 1)/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ and $(a)_n = \Gamma(a + n)/\Gamma(a)$ is the well known Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of Euler's Γ -function. This function is related to an obvious transform of hypergeometric function ${}_0F_1$, i.e. $u_p(x) = {}_0F_1(\kappa, -cx/4)$ and satisfies the following differential equation

$$xu''(x) + \kappa u'(x) + (c/4)u(x) = 0.$$

For properties of the function u_p , such as differential properties, integral representations and interesting functional inequalities we refer to the papers [5], [6], [9]. Finally, let us consider the function λ_p defined by $\lambda_p(x) = u_p(x^2)$. Recall that for $c = -1$ and $b = 1$ this function reduces to the function \mathcal{I}_p , defined by $\mathcal{I}_p(x) = 2^p \Gamma(p + 1)x^{-p} I_p(x)$.

Thus, if we combine the two notions of generalized Bessel function, then we may define the function ${}_a v_p$ depending now from four parameters a, b, c, p in the following form:

$${}_a v_p(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n c^n}{n! \Gamma(p + an + \frac{b+1}{2})} \cdot \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, analogously as in (26) we may define the normalized form of this generalized Bessel function, i.e. for $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $b, c, p, x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\kappa := p + (b + 1)/2 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, let us consider the function

$${}_a \lambda_p(x) := {}_a u_p(x^2) = 2^p \Gamma(\kappa) \cdot x^{-p} {}_a v_p(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-c/4)^n \Gamma(\kappa) x^{2n}}{\Gamma(\kappa + an) n!}. \tag{27}$$

It is worth mentioning that when $c = -1$ and $b = 1$ then ${}_a u_p$ reduces to ${}_a \gamma_p$, while ${}_a \lambda_p$ reduces to ${}_a \mathcal{I}_p$. The next result improves the results from [8].

THEOREM 3. *If $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $c \leq 0$, $\kappa > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$[{}_a \lambda_{p+1}(x)]^2 \leq {}_a \lambda_p(x) \cdot {}_a \lambda_{p+2}(x), \quad [{}_a \lambda_p(x)]^\kappa \leq [{}_a \lambda_{p+1}(x)]^{\kappa+1}.$$

In both inequalities equality holds if $a = 0$ or $c = 0$ or $x = 0$. Here the exponent κ is the best possible in the sense that $\alpha = (\kappa + 1)/\kappa$ is the smallest value of α for which ${}_a \lambda_p(x) \leq [{}_a \lambda_{p+1}(x)]^\alpha$ holds. Moreover, the functions $p \mapsto {}_a \lambda_{p+1}(x)/{}_a \lambda_p(x)$, $p \mapsto [{}_a \lambda_p(x)]^\kappa$ are increasing.

Proof. Taking into account the relation ${}_a \lambda_p(x) = {}_a \mathcal{I}_{\kappa-1}(\sqrt{-cx})$ the results follows from Theorem 1 and 2 just changing in every inequality of the mentioned theorems x with $\sqrt{-cx}$, and p with $\kappa - 1$. \square

3. Properties of the functions ${}_a\mathcal{Y}_p$ and ${}_a\mathcal{I}_p$

Before we state and prove the main results of this section, let us recall a lemma due to Biernacki and Krzyż [12] which is one of the crucial facts in the proof of our results. Note that this lemma is a special case of a more general lemma in [18].

LEMMA 1. [12, 18] *Suppose that the power series $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n x^n$ and $g(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \beta_n x^n$ ($\beta_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$) both converge for $|x| < \infty$. Then the function $x \mapsto f(x)/g(x)$ is (strictly) increasing (decreasing) for $x > 0$ if the sequence $\{\alpha_n/\beta_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is (strictly) increasing (decreasing).*

It is worth mentioning that this lemma for $x \in (0, 1)$ was used, among other things, to prove many interesting inequalities for the zero-balanced Gaussian hypergeometric functions (see [3] and [18]) and also for the generalized Bessel functions (see [4], [5], [6] for more details).

We note that we can see easily that this lemma remains true if we get even and odd functions, i.e.

- (1) $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n x^{2n}$ and $g(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \beta_n x^{2n}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (2) $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n x^{2n+1}$ and $g(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \beta_n x^{2n+1}$ for $x > 0$.

For the reader's convenience we give a different proof of Lemma 1 to see that why we can change the condition $x \in (0, 1)$ with $x > 0$, moreover the functions f and g to an even or odd function.

Proof of Lemma 1. We consider only the case when $\{\alpha_n/\beta_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is strictly increasing and we prove that this implies that $x \mapsto f(x)/g(x)$ is strictly increasing too. The other cases are similar, so we omit the details. If $x > y > 0$, then inequality $f(x)/g(x) > f(y)/g(y)$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n x^n \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0} \beta_n y^n > \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n y^n \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0} \beta_n x^n,$$

and this is true if

$$\alpha_i \beta_j x^i y^j + \alpha_j \beta_i x^j y^i > \alpha_j \beta_i x^j y^j + \alpha_i \beta_j x^i y^i \tag{1}$$

holds for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. This can be verified by adding up the corresponding parts of these inequalities for i and then summing for j . But (1) can be transformed to the inequality $(\alpha_i \beta_j - \alpha_j \beta_i)(x^i y^j - x^j y^i) > 0$, which clearly holds because by assumptions we have that if $i > j$ ($i < j$ respectively) then $\alpha_i/\beta_i > \alpha_j/\beta_j$ ($\alpha_i/\beta_i < \alpha_j/\beta_j$ respectively) and $x^i y^j - x^j y^i = (xy)^j(x^{i-j} - y^{i-j}) > 0$ ($x^i y^j - x^j y^i = (xy)^j(x^{i-j} - y^{i-j}) < 0$ respectively).

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $p > -1$. Then the following assertions are true:*

- (1) *the function $x \mapsto {}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(x)$ is log-concave on $(0, \infty)$;*
- (2) *the function $x \mapsto {}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(e^{-x})$ is log-convex on $(0, \infty)$;*
- (3) *the function $x \mapsto [{}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(1 - e^{-x})]^{-1}$ is log-convex on $(0, \infty)$;*
- (4) *the function $x \mapsto {}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(e^{-x})/{}_a\mathcal{Y}_p(1 - e^{-x})$ is log-convex on $(0, \infty)$;*
- (5) *the function $x \mapsto {}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x)$ is log-convex on \mathbb{R} provided $p \geq -1/2$;*
- (6) *the function $x \mapsto {}_a\mathcal{I}_p(x)/{}_a\mathcal{I}_q(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on \mathbb{R} for $p \leq q$ ($p \geq q$).*

Proof. First let us focus on parts (1)–(5). Observe that in particular ${}_0\gamma_p(x) = e^{x/2}$ and ${}_0\mathcal{I}_p(x) = e^{x^2/2}$, thus parts (1)–(5) clearly holds for $a = 0$. When $a = 1$ then ${}_1\gamma_p = \gamma_p$ and ${}_1\mathcal{I}_p = \mathcal{I}_p$. For the function γ_p parts (1)–(4) among other things were established more generally in [5] and [6]. For the function \mathcal{I}_p part (5) was established by Neuman in [17]. Suppose that for $a = k$ parts (1)–(5) hold. Now changing p with $p + k$, where k is a natural number, it is clear that parts (1)–(5) remain true. Let us record the formula

$$(p + 1)_a \cdot {}_{a+1}\gamma_p(x) = {}_a\gamma_{p+a}(x), \tag{2}$$

where $p > -1$, $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Using (2) it follows that (1)–(5) hold for $a = k + 1$, hence by mathematical induction the asserted results follow.

Now let us prove part (6). In view of (12) let us consider the sequence

$$r_n = \frac{(1/4)^n \Gamma(p + 1)}{\Gamma(p + an + 1)n!} \bigg/ \frac{(1/4)^n \Gamma(q + 1)}{\Gamma(q + an + 1)n!},$$

then it is clear that $(p + an + 1)_a r_{n+1} = (q + an + 1)_a r_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $p, q > -1$. So we get that $r_{n+1} \geq r_n$ if and only if $q \geq p > -1$ and $r_n \geq r_{n+1}$ if and only if $p \geq q > -1$. These facts together with Lemma 1 in turn imply (6). Thus the proof is complete. \square

REMARK 1.

1. First note that proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3, the results of Theorem 4 hold for the functions ${}_a u_p$ and ${}_a \lambda_p$ under corresponding conditions. Parts (1)–(4) improve the results obtained in [5] for the function ${}_1 u_p = u_p$. In fact, there is another argument to prove (1)–(4). Namely from [5] we know that part (2) actually holds for every power series with positive coefficients, part (3) is a consequence of part (1), and finally (4) follows from (2) and (3). Thus it remains to prove (1). In view of Lemma 1 it is enough to prove that the sequence $s_n = (n + 1)_a a_{n+1}(p) / a_n(p)$ is decreasing. Observe that the inequality $s_n \geq s_{n+1}$, $n \geq 0$ is equivalent to $(p + an + a + 1)_a \geq (p + an + 1)_a$, which by the ascending factorial notation clearly holds. Or rewriting the last inequality in terms of Euler's Γ -function, i.e.

$$\Gamma(p + an + 1)\Gamma(p + an + 2a + 1) \geq [\Gamma(p + an + a + 1)]^2, \tag{3}$$

because

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt,$$

(3) is a simple consequence of the following generalization of Schwarz inequality

$$\int_a^b f(t)[g(t)]^i dt \cdot \int_a^b f(t)[g(t)]^j dt \geq \left[\int_a^b f(t)[g(t)]^{\frac{i+j}{2}} dt \right]^2,$$

where f and g are two nonnegative functions of a real variable, i and j are real numbers such that the integrals exist.

2. Many other functional inequalities can be deduced from parts (1)–(5) of Theorem 4 using the definition of log-concavity, log-convexity respectively (please see [5], [6], [11] and [17] for further results).

3. Finally, we note that from part (6) of Theorem 4 can be deduced an extension of the asymptotic formula [8, Theorem 1.8] $[I_p(x)]^2 \sim I_{p-1}(x)I_{p+1}(x)$. Namely if $x > 0$ and $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are fixed and $p \rightarrow \infty$, then

$${}_aI_p(x){}_aI_{p+a-1}(x) \sim {}_aI_{p-1}(x){}_aI_{p+a}(x).$$

In order to prove the asserted result, we show that for $p > 0$ and $x > 0$ we have

$$1 \leq \frac{{}_aI_p(x){}_aI_{p+a-1}(x)}{{}_aI_{p-1}(x){}_aI_{p+a}(x)} \leq 1 + \frac{a}{p}. \quad (4)$$

From part (6) of Theorem 4 it is clear that if $p \geq q > -1$, then $({}_aI_p(x)/{}_aI_q(x))' \leq 0$, i.e. we have for $x > 0$

$${}_aI_{p+a}(x){}_aI_q(x) - {}_aI_p(x){}_aI_{q+a}(x) \leq 0. \quad (5)$$

Taking in (5) $q = p - 1$ we get the left hand side of (4), which is in fact the extension of the well-known Amos inequality [2, p. 243] for the function ${}_1I_p = I_p$. The right hand side of (4) can be deduced easily from the proof of Theorem 2 using the inequality (24) for $q = p - 1$.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Professors Szilárd András and Andrea Laforgia for their helpful comments and to Professor Péter T. Nagy for his continues support and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. ALZER, S. GERHOLD, M. KAUERS AND A. LUPAŞ, *On Turán's inequality for Legendre polynomials*, Expo. Math., **25** (2007), 181–186.
- [2] D. E. AMOS, *Computation of modified Bessel functions and their ratios*, Math. Comp., **28** (1974), 239–251.
- [3] R. BALASUBRAMANIAN, S. PONNUSAMY AND M. VUORINEN, *Functional inequalities for the quotients of hypergeometric functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **218** (1998), 256–268.
- [4] Á. BARICZ, *Landen-type inequality for Bessel functions*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, **5** (2005), 373–379.
- [5] Á. BARICZ, *Functional inequalities involving special functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **319** (2006), 450–459.
- [6] Á. BARICZ, *Functional inequalities involving special functions II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **327** (2007), 1202–1213.
- [7] Á. BARICZ, *Turán type inequalities for generalized complete elliptic integrals*, Math. Z., **256** (2007), 895–911.
- [8] Á. BARICZ, *Functional inequalities involving Bessel and modified Bessel functions of the first kind*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear).
- [9] Á. BARICZ, *Geometric properties of generalized Bessel functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (submitted).
- [10] Á. BARICZ, *Turán type inequalities for some probability density functions*, J. Inequal. Appl., (submitted).
- [11] Á. BARICZ AND E. NEUMAN, *Inequalities involving modified Bessel functions of the first kind II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **332** (2007), 256–271.
- [12] M. BIERNACKI AND J. KRZYŻ, *On the monotony of certain functionals in the theory of analytic functions*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska. Sect. A., **9** (1955), 135–147.
- [13] L. GALUÉ, *A generalized Bessel function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **14** (2003), 395–401.
- [14] C. M. JOSHI AND S. K. BISSU, *Some inequalities of Bessel and modified Bessel functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A), **50** (1991), 333–342.

- [15] A. LAFORGIA AND P. NATALINI, *On some Turán-type inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2006**, Article 29828, 6p. (2006).
- [16] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [17] E. NEUMAN, *Inequalities involving modified Bessel functions of the first kind*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **171** (1992), 532–536.
- [18] S. PONNUSAMY AND M. VUORINEN, *Asymptotic expansions and inequalities for hypergeometric functions*, Mathematika, **44** (1997), 43–64.
- [19] V. K. THIRUVENKATACHAR AND T. S. NANJUNDIAH, *Inequalities concerning Bessel functions and orthogonal polynomials*, Proc. Indian Nat. Acad. Part A, **33** (1951), 373–384.
- [20] G. N. WATSON, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1962.

(Received June 2, 2006)

Árpád Baricz
Faculty of Economics
Babeş-Bolyai University
RO-400591 Cluj-Napoca
Romania
e-mail: bariczocsi@yahoo.com