

## ON THE STABILITY OF HOMOMORPHISMS IN QUASI-BANACH ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED TO THE PEXIDERIZED JENSEN FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

ABBAS NAJATI

(communicated by Th. Rassias)

*Abstract.* In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras associated to the Pexiderized Jensen functional equation. This is applied to investigate homomorphisms between quasi-Banach algebras. The concept of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability originated from the Th. M. Rassias' stability theorem that appeared in the paper: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297-300.

### 1. Introduction

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [34] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: Let  $(G_1, *)$  be a group and let  $(G_2, \diamond, d)$  be a metric group with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if a mapping  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then there is a homomorphism  $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in G_1$ ?

In other words, we are looking for situations when the homomorphisms are stable, i.e., if a mapping is almost a homomorphism, then there exists a true homomorphism near it. In 1941, Hyers [9] considered the case of approximately additive mappings in Banach spaces and satisfying the well-known weak Hyers inequality controlled by a positive constant. In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [25] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded*.

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2000): 39B72, 46B03, 47J20.

*Key words and phrases:* Jensen functional equation, Homomorphism in quasi-Banach algebra, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability,  $p$ -Banach algebra.

THEOREM. (Th. M. Rassias) Let  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  be a mapping from a normed vector space  $E$  into a Banach space  $E'$  subject to the inequality

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (\heartsuit)$$

for all  $x, y \in E$ , where  $\epsilon$  and  $p$  are constants with  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $p < 1$ . Then the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $L : E \rightarrow E'$  is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p \quad (\diamond)$$

for all  $x \in E$ . If  $p < 0$  then inequality  $(\heartsuit)$  holds for  $x, y \neq 0$  and  $(\diamond)$  for  $x \neq 0$ . Also, if the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in X$ , then  $L$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

In 1990, Th. M. Rassias [27] during the 27<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for  $p \geq 1$ . In 1991, Z. Gajda [7] following the same approach as in Th. M. Rassias [25], gave an affirmative solution to this question for  $p > 1$ . It was shown by Z. Gajda [7], as well as by Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [31] that one cannot prove a Th. M. Rassias' type theorem when  $p = 1$ . The counterexamples of Z. Gajda [7], as well as of Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [31] have stimulated several mathematicians to invent new definitions of *approximately additive* or *approximately linear* mappings, cf. P. Găvruta [8], S. Jung [15], who among others studied the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations.

The inequality  $(\heartsuit)$  that was introduced for the first time by Th. M. Rassias [25] provided a lot of influence in the development of a generalization of the Hyers-Ulam stability concept. This new concept is known as *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* of functional equations (cf. the books of P. Czerwik [5], S. Czerwik [6], D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias [11], S.-M. Jung [16]).

J. M. Rassias [23] following the spirit of the innovative approach of Th. M. Rassias [25] for the unbounded Cauchy difference proved a similar stability theorem in which he replaced the factor  $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$  by  $\|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $p + q \neq 1$ .

P. Găvruta [8] provided a further generalization of Th. M. Rassias' Theorem. In 1996, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias [13] applied the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability theory to prove fixed point theorems and study some new applications in Nonlinear Analysis. In [12], D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias studied the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings. During past few years several mathematicians have published on various generalizations and applications of Hyers-Ulam stability and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability to a number of functional equations and mappings, for example : quadratic functional equation, invariant means, multiplicative mappings - superstability, bounded  $n$ th differences, convex functions, generalized orthogonality functional equation, Euler-Lagrange functional equation, Navier-Stokes equations. Several mathematicians have contributed works on these subjects; we mention a few: C. Park [19]-[21], Th. M. Rassias [26]-[30], F. Skof [33].

The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [2, 8, 19, 22, 28]).

We recall some basic facts concerning quasi-Banach spaces and some preliminary results.

DEFINITION 1.1. [4, 32] Let  $X$  be a real linear space. A *quasi-norm* is a real-valued function on  $X$  satisfying the following:

- (i)  $\|x\| \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $x \in X$ .
- (iii) There is a constant  $K \geq 1$  such that  $\|x + y\| \leq K(\|x\| + \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

It follows easily from condition (iii) that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i \right\| \leq K^n \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \|x_i\|, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} x_i \right\| \leq K^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \|x_i\|$$

for all integers  $n \geq 1$  and all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n+1} \in X$ .

The pair  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a *quasi-normed space* if  $\|\cdot\|$  is a quasi-norm on  $X$ . The smallest possible  $K$  is called the *modulus of concavity* of  $\|\cdot\|$ . A *quasi-Banach space* is a complete quasi-normed space.

A quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is called a *p-norm* ( $0 < p \leq 1$ ) if

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . In this case, a quasi-Banach space is called a *p-Banach space*.

By the Aoki-Rolewicz theorem [32] (see also [4]), each quasi-norm is equivalent to some  $p$ -norm. Since it is much easier to work with  $p$ -norms than quasi-norms, henceforth we restrict our attention mainly to  $p$ -norms.

DEFINITION 1.2. [1] Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a quasi-normed space. The quasi-normed space  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a *quasi-normed algebra* if  $A$  is an algebra and there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|xy\| \leq C\|x\|\|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

A *quasi-Banach algebra* is a complete quasi-normed algebra. If the quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $p$ -norm then the quasi-Banach algebra is called a *p-Banach algebra*.

## 2. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras associated to the Pexiderized Jensen functional equation

Throughout this section, assume that  $A$  is a quasi-normed algebra with quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$  and that  $B$  is a  $p$ -Banach algebra with  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$ . Let  $K$  be the modulus of concavity of  $\|\cdot\|_B$ .

The stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the Jensen functional equation, has been investigated in [21]. We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the Pexiderized Jensen functional equation. The stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation, has been investigated in [18].

**THEOREM 2.1.** [21] *Let  $r < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfying*

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^r \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^r \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n x), \quad \|f(x) - T(x)\|_B \leq \frac{K(1+3^r)\theta}{(3^p - 9^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

**REMARK 2.2.** Theorem 2.1 is true when  $r = 0$  (by putting  $\|\cdot\|_A^0 = 1$ ).

**THEOREM 2.3.** [21] *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfying (2.1) and (2.2). If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that*

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^n f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right), \quad \|f(x) - T(x)\|_B \leq \frac{K(1+3^r)\theta}{(9^{pr} - 3^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

The proofs of the following results are similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 of [21] and we refer to [21].

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $\theta, r, s$  be positive real number  $r > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s > 1$ . Assume that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a mapping such that*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^r \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^s \|y\|_A^s \tag{2.4}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \quad \|f(x) - T(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{(4^p - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $\theta, r, s$  be a positive real number such that  $0 \leq r < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 \leq s < 1$ . Assume that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a mapping satisfies (2.3) and (2.4) for all  $x, y \in A$ . If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that*

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x), \quad \|f(x) - T(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{(2^p - 4^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r}$$

for all  $x \in A$  (we put  $\|\cdot\|_A^0 = 1$ ).

The following theorem shows that the mappings  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 are homomorphisms.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $r, s$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r \neq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s \neq 1$ , and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfying (2.1) and (2.4). If the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then  $f$  is a homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Letting  $y = 0$  in (2.1), we get  $2f(x/2) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . By induction we infer that

$$2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = f(x) \tag{2.5}$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  satisfies (2.3) and (2.4). By Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, there exists a homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $T(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $r, s$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  be mappings satisfying*

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - h(y) \right\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\|f(xy) - g(x)h(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^s \|y\|_A^s \tag{2.7}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Suppose that at least one of the mappings  $t \mapsto f(tx)$ ,  $t \mapsto g(tx)$  or  $t \mapsto h(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ .

(i) *If  $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 < s < 1$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(0) - T(x)\|_B &\leq \frac{\theta 2^{2r-1}}{(2^p - 4^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r} \\ \|g(x) - g(0) - T(x)\|_B &\leq \frac{\theta}{(2^p - 4^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r} \\ \|h(x) - h(0) - T(x)\|_B &\leq \frac{\theta}{(2^p - 4^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r} \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

(ii) *If  $r > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s > 1$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(0) - T(x)\|_B &\leq \frac{\theta 2^{2r-1}}{(4^{pr} - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r} \\ \|g(x) - g(0) - T(x)\|_B &\leq \frac{\theta}{(4^{pr} - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r} \\ \|h(x) - h(0) - T(x)\|_B &\leq \frac{\theta}{(4^{pr} - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r} \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $x = 0$  in (2.6) and (2.7), we get that

$$2f\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) = g(0) + h(y), \quad f(0) = g(0)h(y) \quad (2.10)$$

for all  $y \in A$ . Once again letting  $y = 0$  in (2.6) and (2.7), we get that

$$2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = g(x) + h(0), \quad f(0) = g(x)h(0) \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . It follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$g(x) - g(0) = h(x) - h(0), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2f(0) &= g(x) + h(0) - 2f(0) \\ &= g(x) + h(0) - g(0) - h(0) \\ &= g(x) - g(0) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Let  $H : A \rightarrow B$  be a mapping defined by

$$H(x) := g(x) - g(0)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . It follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} H(x+y) - H(x) - H(y) &= 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - 2f(0) - g(x) + g(0) - h(y) + h(0) \\ &= 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - h(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Therefore, we obtain from (2.6) the following inequality

$$\|H(x+y) - H(x) - H(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^r,$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

(i). By Theorem 2.5, there exists a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} H(2^n x), \quad \|H(x) - T(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{(2^p - 4^{pr})^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore, the mappings  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  satisfy in (2.8). To complete the proof of (i), we show that  $T$  is a homomorphism. It is clear that

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} h(2^n x)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore, we have from (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(xy) - T(x)T(y)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|f(4^n xy) - g(2^n x)h(2^n y)\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{4^s}{4}\right)^n \|x\|_A^s \|y\|_A^s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . It proves (i).

(ii). By Theorem 2.4, there exists a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \quad \|H(x) - T(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{(4^{pr} - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^{2r}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore, the mappings  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  satisfy in (2.9). Since

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left[ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f(0) \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left[ g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - g(0) \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left[ h\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - h(0) \right]$$

for all  $x \in A$ , we get from (2.7), (2.10) and (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(xy) - T(x)T(y)\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{xy}{4^n}\right) - f(0) - \left[ g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - g(0) \right] \left[ h\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) - h(0) \right] \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{xy}{4^n}\right) - g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)h\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{4}{4^s}\right)^n \|x\|_A^s \|y\|_A^s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . It proves that  $T$  is a homomorphism.  $\square$

For  $r = s = 0$ , we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number and let  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  be mappings satisfying*

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - h(y) \right\|_B \leq \theta, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\|f(xy) - g(x)h(y)\|_B \leq \theta \tag{2.15}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . If at least one of the mappings  $t \mapsto f(tx)$ ,  $t \mapsto g(tx)$  or  $t \mapsto h(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(0) - T(x)\|_B &\leq \frac{4K^3\theta}{(3^p - 1)^{1/p}}, \\ \|g(x) - g(0) - T(x)\|_B &\leq \frac{8K^3\theta}{(3^p - 1)^{1/p}}, \\ \|h(x) - h(0) - T(x)\|_B &\leq \frac{8K^3\theta}{(3^p - 1)^{1/p}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H : A \rightarrow B$  be a mapping defined by

$$H(x) := 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Then

$$\|H(x+y) - g(x) - h(y)\|_B \leq \theta \tag{2.17}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} 2H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - H(x) - H(y) &= \left[H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - h\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)\right] + \left[H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) - h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \left[g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - H(x)\right] + \left[g\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) + h\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) - H(y)\right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ , then we have

$$\left\|2H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - H(x) - H(y)\right\|_B \leq 4K^2\theta$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.1, There exists a unique additive mapping  $T_1 : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying

$$T_1(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} H(3^n x), \quad \|H(x) - H(0) - T_1(x)\|_B \leq \frac{8K^3\theta}{(3^p - 1)^{1/p}} \quad (2.18)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Similarly, since

$$\begin{aligned} 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - g(y) &= \left[g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - H\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) + h\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right)\right] + \left[g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - H\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + h\left(\frac{-y}{2}\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \left[H\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - g(x) - h\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right)\right] + \left[H\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) - g(y) - h\left(\frac{-y}{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ , then we have

$$\left\|2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - g(y)\right\|_B \leq 4K^2\theta$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Similarly,

$$\left\|2h\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - h(x) - h(y)\right\|_B \leq 4K^2\theta$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.1, There exist unique additive mappings  $T_2, T_3 : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying

$$T_2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} g(3^n x), \quad \|g(x) - g(0) - T_2(x)\|_B \leq \frac{8K^3\theta}{(3^p - 1)^{1/p}}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$T_3(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} h(3^n x), \quad \|h(x) - h(0) - T_3(x)\|_B \leq \frac{8K^3\theta}{(3^p - 1)^{1/p}} \quad (2.20)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . It follows from (2.17) that  $T_1 = T_2 = T_3$ . Let  $T = T_1$ , by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [25], the mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear. Since

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} g(3^n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} h(3^n x) \quad (2.21)$$



for all  $x \in A$ , then it follows from (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(xy) - T(x)T(y)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{9^n} \|f(9^n xy) - g(3^n x)h(3^n y)\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta}{9^n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Hence,  $T(xy) = T(x)T(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Therefore  $T$  is a homomorphism satisfying (2.16).  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $r, s < 0$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfying (2.1) and (2.4) for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . If the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $B$  is continuous at zero for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  in (2.1) by  $y - ny$  and  $y + ny$ , respectively, we get that

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(y + ny) + f(y - ny)]. \tag{2.22}$$

It is clear that (2.22) holds for all  $y \in A$ . Let  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . It follows from (2.1) and (2.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\|_B \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2\left[ f\left(\frac{x+y+n(x+y)}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y-n(x+y)}{2}\right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [f(x+nx) + f(x-nx)] - [f(y+ny) + f(y-ny)] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{K}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{(x+nx) + (y+ny)}{2}\right) - f(x+nx) - f(y+ny) \right\|_B \\ &\quad + \frac{K}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{(x-nx) + (y-ny)}{2}\right) - f(x-nx) - f(y-ny) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{K\theta}{2} \left[ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^{2r} + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-1)^{2r} \right] \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get that

$$2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = f(x) + f(y) \tag{2.23}$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $f(0) = 0$ , (2.23) implies that  $f$  is odd. Let  $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . It follows from (2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(x) &= 2f\left(\frac{3x}{2} + \frac{-x}{2}\right) = f(3x) + f(-x) \\ &= f(x + 2x) + f(x - 2x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[f(2x) + f(4x)] + \frac{1}{2}[f(2x) + f(-4x)] \\ &= f(2x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(0) = 0$ , we get that  $f(2x) = 2f(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore, (2.23) implies that

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . So  $f$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear. Since the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous in zero for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore  $f$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear. Also, it follows from (2.4) and (2.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| [f(xy + nxy) + f(xy - nxy)] - f(x)[f(y + ny) + f(y - ny)] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{K}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f(xy + nxy) - f(x)f(y + ny)\|_B \\ &\quad + \frac{K}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f(xy - nxy) - f(x)f(y - ny)\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{K\theta}{2} \left[ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^s + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-1)^s \right] \|x\|_A^s \|y\|_A^s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $f(0) = 0$ , then  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . So the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Let  $r, s < 0$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  be mappings with  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$  satisfying*

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - g(y) \right\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s \quad (2.24)$$

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)g(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^s \|y\|_A^s \quad (2.25)$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . If the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $B$  is continuous at zero for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism. Moreover  $f = g$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $x$  by  $nx$  in (2.24), we get that

$$g(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 2f\left(\frac{nx+y}{2}\right) - f(nx) \right]$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Similarly, we have

$$f(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 2f\left(\frac{nx+y}{2}\right) - g(nx) \right]$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence

$$f(y) - g(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(nx) - g(nx)] \quad (2.26)$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $nx$  in (2.24), we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(nx) - g(nx)] = 0 \quad (2.27)$$

for all  $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $f(0) = g(0)$ , it follows from (2.26) and (2.27) that  $f = g$ . Therefore the result follows from Theorem 2.9.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Let  $r, s < 0$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  be mappings with  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$  satisfying (2.6) and (2.7) for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $h$  be an odd mapping and let the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $B$  be continuous at zero for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism. Moreover  $f = g = h$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.10 we have

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 2f\left(\frac{x+ny}{2}\right) - h(ny) \right], \quad h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 2f\left(\frac{x+ny}{2}\right) - g(ny) \right]$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence

$$g(x) - h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [g(ny) - h(ny)] \quad (2.28)$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $nx$  and  $-nx$ , respectively, in (2.6), we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [g(nx) - h(nx)] = 2f(0) = 0 \quad (2.29)$$

for all  $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $h(0) = g(0) = 0$ , it follows from (2.28) and (2.29) that  $g = h$ .

Replacing  $y$  by  $y - x$  in (2.6), we get that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) - h(x) - h(y-x) \right\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y-x\|_A^r$$

for all  $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$  and all  $y \in A \setminus \{x\}$ . Therefore, we have

$$2f\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [h(nx) - h(nx-y)], \quad h(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 2f\left(\frac{nx}{2}\right) - h(nx-y) \right]$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . So we get that

$$2f\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) - h(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ h(nx) - 2f\left(\frac{nx}{2}\right) \right] \quad (2.30)$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $y_0 \in A \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $b = 2f\left(\frac{y_0}{2}\right) - h(y_0)$ . It follows from (2.30) that

$$2f\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) - h(y) = b \quad (2.31)$$

for all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $y/n$  in (2.31), we get that

$$2f\left(\frac{y}{2n}\right) - h\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) = b \quad (2.32)$$

for all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $h$  is odd, replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  in (2.32), we get that

$$2f\left(\frac{-y}{2n}\right) + h\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) = b \quad (2.33)$$

for all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . It follows from (2.32) and (2.33), that

$$f\left(\frac{y}{2n}\right) + f\left(\frac{-y}{2n}\right) = b$$

for all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous in zero for each fixed  $x \in A$ , we obtain that  $b = 0$ . Since  $f(0) = h(0) = 0$ , (2.31) implies that

$$2f\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) = h(y) \quad (2.34)$$

for all  $y \in A$ . Therefore, (2.6) implies that

$$\|h(x+y) - h(x) - h(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s \quad (2.35)$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . It follows from (2.35) that

$$h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [h(x+ny) - h(ny)]$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h(x+y) - h(x) - h(y)\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| [h(x+y+ny) - h(ny)] - h(x) - [h(y+ny) - h(ny)] \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h(x+y+ny) - h(x) - h(y+ny)\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^r \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . So  $h(x+y) = h(x) + h(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $h(0) = 0$ , then  $h$  is additive and we conclude that  $h$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear. By the continuity of the mapping  $x \mapsto h(tx)$ , we get that  $h$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear. Therefore, it follows from (2.34)

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{2}h(2y) = h(y)$$

for all  $y \in A$ . So  $f = h = g$ . Hence the result follows from Theorem 2.9.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.12.** *Let  $r, t$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers and  $q, s < 0$  be real numbers such that  $\lambda = r+s \neq 1$ . Assume that  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  are mappings satisfying*

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - h(y) \right\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s \quad (2.36)$$

$$\|f(xy) - g(x)h(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^t \|y\|_A^q \quad (2.37)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $g(0) = 0$  and the mappings  $t \mapsto f(tx)$ ,  $t \mapsto g(tx)$  and  $t \mapsto h(tx)$  are continuous in  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then the mapping  $g : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism and satisfies

$$\|f(x) - g(x)\|_B \leq 2^{\lambda-1} C \|x\|_A^\lambda \quad (2.38)$$

for all  $x \in A$ , where  $C = \min \left\{ \theta, \frac{2K\theta}{|2^{\lambda p} - 2^p|^{1/p}} \right\}$ . Moreover  $g : A \rightarrow B$  is a unique homomorphism satisfies (2.38).

*Proof.* Letting  $x = 0$  in (2.37) and (2.36), we get that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $2f(y/2) = h(y)$  for all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since the mappings  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  and  $t \mapsto h(tx)$  are continuous in  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then  $h(0) = 0$ . So  $2f(y/2) = h(y)$  for all  $y \in A$ . Therefore

$$\|h(x+y) - g(x) - h(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s \tag{2.39}$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . It follows from (2.39) that

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [h(x+ny) - h(ny)]$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g(x+y) - g(x) - g(y)\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| [h(x+y+ny) - h(ny)] - g(x) - [h(y+ny) - h(ny)] \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h(x+y+ny) - g(x) - h(y+ny)\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^s \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . So  $g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y)$  for all  $x \in A$  and all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $g(0) = 0$ , then  $g$  is additive and we conclude that  $g$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear. By the continuity of the mapping  $t \mapsto g(tx)$ , we get that  $g$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g(xy) - g(x)g(y)\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2 \left[ f\left(\frac{xy+nxy}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{nxy}{2}\right) \right] - g(x)[h(y+ny) - h(ny)] \right\|_B \\ &= 2K \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| f\left(\frac{xy+nxy}{2}\right) - g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)h(y+ny) \right\|_B \\ &\quad + 2K \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| f\left(\frac{nxy}{2}\right) - g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)h(ny) \right\|_B \\ &\leq K\theta 2^{1-t} \left[ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^q + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^q \right] \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^q = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $g(0) = 0$ , then  $g(xy) = g(x)g(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . It proves that the mapping  $g : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism. To continue the rest of the proof we have two cases:

**Case I.** Let  $\lambda > 1$ . Putting  $y = x$  in (2.39), we get that

$$\|h(2x) - g(x) - h(x)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^\lambda \tag{2.40}$$

for all  $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . If we put  $x = -y$  in (2.39), we have

$$\|g(y) - h(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|y\|_A^\lambda \tag{2.41}$$

for all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . It is clear that (2.40) and (2.41) hold for all  $x, y \in A$ . It follows from (2.40) and (2.41) that

$$\|h(2x) - 2h(x)\|_B \leq 2K\theta \|x\|_A^\lambda \tag{2.42}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . If we replace  $x$  in (2.42) by  $x/2^{n+1}$  and multiply both sides of (2.42) to  $2^n$ , then we have

$$\left\| 2^{n+1}h\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2^n h\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \leq K\theta\left(\frac{2}{2^\lambda}\right)^{n+1} \|x\|_A^\lambda \quad (2.43)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $B$  is a  $p$ -Banach algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^{n+1}h\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2^m h\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_B^p &\leq \sum_{i=m}^n \left\| 2^{i+1}h\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) - 2^i h\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right) \right\|_B^p \\ &\leq K^p \theta^p \sum_{i=m}^n \left(\frac{2}{2^\lambda}\right)^{(i+1)p} \|x\|_A^{\lambda p} \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

for all non-negative integers  $m$  and  $n$  with  $n \geq m$  and all  $x \in A$ . It follows from (2.44) that the sequence  $\{2^n h(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $B$  is complete, the sequence  $\{2^n h(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$  converges. So one can define a mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  by

$$T(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n h\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $2f(x/2) = h(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ , then

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n h\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . It follows from (2.41)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - g(x)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2^n h\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 2^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2}{2^\lambda}\right)^n \|x\|_A^\lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore  $T = g$ . Moreover, letting  $m = 0$  and passing the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.44), we get

$$\|h(x) - g(x)\|_B \leq \frac{2K\theta}{(2^{\lambda p} - 2^p)^{1/p}} \|x\|_A^\lambda \quad (2.45)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Since the mapping  $g : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism and  $h(2x) = 2f(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ , then (2.38) follows from (2.41) and (2.45). To prove the uniqueness of  $g$ , let  $Q : A \rightarrow B$  be another homomorphism satisfying (2.38). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x) - Q(x)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - Q\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq 2^{\lambda-1} C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2}{2^\lambda}\right)^n \|x\|_A^\lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . So  $g = Q$ .

**Case II.** Let  $\lambda < 1$ . If we replace  $x$  in (2.42) by  $2^\lambda x$  and divide both sides of (2.42) by  $2^{n+1}$ , then we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} h(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^n} h(2^\lambda x) \right\|_B \leq K\theta\left(\frac{2^\lambda}{2}\right)^n \|x\|_A^\lambda \quad (2.46)$$

for all  $x \in A$  ( $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ ). Since  $B$  is a  $p$ -Banach algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}h(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^n}h(2^n x) \right\|_B^p &\leq \sum_{i=m}^n \left\| \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}h(2^{i+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^i}h(2^i x) \right\|_B^p \\ &\leq K^p \theta^p \sum_{i=m}^n \left(\frac{2^\lambda}{2}\right)^{ip} \|x\|_A^{\lambda p} \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

for all non-negative integers  $m$  and  $n$  with  $n \geq m$  and all  $x \in A$  ( $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ ). It follows from (2.47) that the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{2^n}h(2^n x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $B$  is complete, the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{2^n}h(2^n x)\}$  converges. So one can define a mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  by

$$T(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}h(2^n x)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of case I.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.13.** *Let  $r, t$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers and let  $q, s < 0$  be real numbers. Assume that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a mapping satisfying*

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s \tag{2.48}$$

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^t \|y\|_A^q \tag{2.49}$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $f(0) = 0$  and the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous in  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism.

In Theorem 2.12, let  $0 < t < 1$  and  $\lambda < 1$ . If we replace  $x$  in (2.37) by  $nx$  and divide both sides of (2.37) by  $n$ , then we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n}f(nxy) - g(x)h(y) \right\|_B \leq \theta n^{t-1} \|x\|_A^t \|y\|_A^q$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $y \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}f(nxy) = g(x)h(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.12 (case II),  $g(xy) = g(x)h(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Since the mapping  $g : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism, then we have

$$g(x)[g(y) - h(y)] = 0 \tag{2.50}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Similarly, one can obtain (2.50) if  $t > 1$  and  $\lambda > 1$ . Therefore we have the following results:

**COROLLARY 2.14.** *In Theorem 2.12, let  $g \neq 0$ ,  $t \neq 1$  and  $B = \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  are homomorphisms. Moreover  $f = g = h$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.15.** *In Theorem 2.12, let  $A$  and  $B$  be unital with units  $e_A$  and  $e_B$ , respectively. If  $t \neq 1$  and  $g(e_A) = e_B$ , then  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  are homomorphisms. Moreover  $f = g = h$ .*

### 3. Homomorphisms between unital quasi-Banach algebras

Throughout this section, assume that  $A$  is a unital quasi-Banach algebra with quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$  and unit  $e$  and that  $B$  is a unital  $p$ -Banach algebra with  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$  and unit  $e'$ .

We investigate homomorphisms between unital quasi-Banach algebras, associated to the Pexiderized Jensen functional equation. We generalize the results of [21].

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $r, s$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers such that  $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 < s < 1$ , and let  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  be mappings satisfying (2.6) and (2.7) for all  $x, y \in A$ . Suppose that at least one of the mappings  $t \mapsto f(tx)$ ,  $t \mapsto g(tx)$  or  $t \mapsto h(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n e) = e'$ . Then the mappings  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  are homomorphisms. Moreover  $f = g = h$*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7, there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} h(2^n x)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . It follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - g(x)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) - g(x) \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x e) - g(x) e' \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \left\| f(2^n x e) - g(x) h(2^n e) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{2^s}{2} \right)^n \|x\|_A^s \|e\|_A^s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . So  $T = g$ . Similarly, one can obtain that  $T = h$ . Therefore  $g = h$  and  $g(0) = h(0) = 0$ . Since the mapping  $h : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism, it follows from (2.10) that  $f = h$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $\theta, r, s$  be non-negative real numbers such that  $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 < s < 1$ . Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a mapping satisfies (2.1) and (2.4) for all  $x, y \in A$ . If the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n e) = e'$ , then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism.*

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number and let  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  be mappings satisfying (2.14) and (2.15). If at least one of the mappings  $t \mapsto f(tx)$ ,  $t \mapsto g(tx)$  or  $t \mapsto h(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n e) = e'$ , then the mappings  $g, h : A \rightarrow B$  are homomorphisms. Moreover  $g = h$  and*

$$\|f(x) - g(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .



*Proof.* By Theorem 2.8 and its proof, there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying (2.21). Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get that the mappings  $g, h : A \rightarrow B$  are homomorphisms and  $g = h$ . Letting  $y = x$  in (2.14), we get (3.1).  $\square$

REMARK 3.4. In Theorem 3.3, we can not infer that  $f$  is a homomorphism. Let  $A$  be a unital algebra with unit  $e$ , and let  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow A$  be mappings defined by

$$f(x) = x + \frac{\theta}{4\|e\|}e, \quad g(x) = h(x) = x$$

for all  $x \in A$ . It is clear that the conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold (with  $A = B$ ), but the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is not a homomorphism.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let  $\theta$  be a non-negative real number. Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a mapping satisfies*

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\|_B \leq \theta, \\ \|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B \leq \theta$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . If the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n}f(3^n e) = e'$ , then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let  $r, s$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers such that  $r > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s > 1$ , and let  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  be mappings satisfying (2.6) and (2.7) for all  $x, y \in A$ . Suppose that at least one of the mappings  $t \mapsto f(tx)$ ,  $t \mapsto g(tx)$  or  $t \mapsto h(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n [f(\frac{e}{2^n}) - f(0)] = e'$ . Then the mappings  $f, g, h : A \rightarrow B$  are homomorphisms. Moreover  $f = g = h$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7, there exists a unique homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left[ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f(0) \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left[ g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - g(0) \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left[ h\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - h(0) \right]$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $f(0) = g(x)h(0)$  for all  $x \in A$ , it follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - g(x)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2^n \left[ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f(0) \right] - g(x) \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2^n \left[ f\left(\frac{xe}{2^n}\right) - f(0) \right] - g(x)e' \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| \left[ f\left(\frac{xe}{2^n}\right) - f(0) \right] - g(x) \left[ h\left(\frac{e}{2^n}\right) - h(0) \right] \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{xe}{2^n}\right) - g(x)h\left(\frac{e}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2}{2^s}\right)^n \|x\|_A^s \|e\|_A^s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . So  $T = g$ . Similarly, one can obtain that  $T = h$ . Therefore  $g = h$  and  $g(0) = h(0) = 0$ . Since the mapping  $h : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism, it follows from (2.10) that  $f = h$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *Let  $\theta, r, s$  be positive real numbers such that  $r > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s > 1$ . Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a mapping satisfies (2.1) and (2.4) for all  $x, y \in A$ . If the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in A$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n [f(\frac{e}{2^n}) - f(0)] = e'$ , then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism.*

**REMARK 3.8.** In Corollaries 3.2, 3.5 and 3.7, the results hold if  $e$  and  $e'$  are left (right) units for  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. ALMIRA, U. LUTHER, *Inverse closedness of approximation algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314** (2006), 30–44.
- [2] C. BAAK, D. BOO AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Generalized additive mapping in Banach modules and isomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314** (2006), 150–161.
- [3] B. BELAID, E. ELHOUCIEN AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of approximately Pexider mappings*, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, (to appear).
- [4] Y. BENYAMINI, J. LINDENSTRAUSS, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, vol. **1**, Colloq. Publ., vol. **48**, Amer. Math.Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [5] P. CZERWIK, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, Hong Kong, Singapore and London, 2002.
- [6] S. CZERWIK, *Stability of Functional Equations of Ulam-Hyers-Rassias Type*, Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida, 2003.
- [7] Z. GAJDA, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434
- [8] P. GÄVRUTA, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [9] D. H. HYERS, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [10] D. H. HYERS AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Mathematicae **44**(1992),125–153.
- [11] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [12] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 425–430.
- [13] G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Stability of  $\psi$ -additive mappings : Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 219–228.
- [14] B. E. JOHNSON, *Approximately multiplicative functionals*, J. London Math. Soc., **34** (1986), 489–510.
- [15] S. JUNG, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **204** (1996), 221–226.
- [16] S.-M. JUNG, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida, 2001.
- [17] Y. H. LEE AND K. W. JUN, *A note on the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Pexider equation*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **37** (2000), 111–124.
- [18] A. NAJATI AND C. PARK, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras associated to the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **335** (2007), 763–778.
- [19] C. PARK, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **275** (2002), 711–720.
- [20] C. PARK, *A generalized Jensen's mapping and linear mappings between Banach modules*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **36** (2005), 333–362.
- [21] C. PARK, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras*, Bull. Sci. Math. (to appear).

- [22] C. PARK, H. CHU, W. PARK AND H. WEE, *On homomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras and linear derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Czecho. Math. J.* **55** (2005), 1055–1065.
- [23] J. M. RASSIAS, *On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **108** (1984), 445–446.
- [24] J.M. RASSIAS, *Solution of a problem of Ulam*, *J. Approx. Theory* **57** (1989), 268–273.
- [25] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [26] TH. M. RASSIAS, *The problem of S.M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **246** (2000), 352–378.
- [27] TH. M. RASSIAS, *Problem 16; 2*, Report of the 27<sup>th</sup> International Symp. on Functional Equations, *Aequationes Math.* **39** (1990), 292–293; 309.
- [28] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **251** (2000), 264–284.
- [29] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, *Acta Appl. Math.* **62** (2000), 23–130.
- [30] TH. M. RASSIAS, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2003.
- [31] TH. M. RASSIAS AND P. ŠEMRL, *On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [32] S. ROLEWICZ, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN-Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984.
- [33] F. SKOF, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano* **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [34] S. M. ULAM, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1960.

(Received March 30, 2007)

*Abbas Najati*  
*Faculty of Sciences*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Mohaghegh Ardabili*  
*Ardabil*  
*Islamic Republic of Iran*  
*e-mail: a.nejati@yahoo.com*