

ON HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN C^* -TERNARY ALGEBRAS

ABBAS NAJATI AND ASGHAR RANJBARI

(communicated by Th. Rassias)

Abstract. C. Park in his paper [C. Park, *Isomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 327 (2007) 101–115.], has proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras and of derivations on C^* -ternary algebras for the following Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings:

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y}{2} + z\right) = f(x) + 2f(z), \quad (0.1)$$

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - f\left(\frac{x-y}{2} + z\right) = f(y), \quad (0.2)$$

$$2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) = f(x) + f(y) + 2f(z). \quad (0.3)$$

These are applied to investigate homomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras. In this paper we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras and of derivations on C^* -ternary algebras for the linear combinations of the Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings (0.1), (0.2) and (0.3).

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [27] gave a talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of unsolved problems. Among these was the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms.

We are given a group G and a metric group G' with metric $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if $f : G \rightarrow G'$ satisfies $\rho(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G$, then a homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow G'$ exists with $\rho(f(x), h(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G$?

In 1941, D. H. Hyers [7] considered the case of approximately additive mappings $f : E \rightarrow E'$, where E and E' are Banach spaces and f satisfies *Hyers inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 39B52, 17A40, 46B03, 47Jxx.

Key words and phrases: C^* -ternary algebra isomorphism, generalized Cauchy-Jensen functional equation, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, C^* -ternary derivation.

for all $x, y \in E$. It was shown that the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and that $L : E \rightarrow E'$ is the unique additive mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [19] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded*.

THEOREM. (Th. M. Rassias) *Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be a mapping from a normed vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (\heartsuit)$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where ϵ and p are constants with $\epsilon > 0$ and $p < 1$. Then the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $L : E \rightarrow E'$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p \quad (\diamond)$$

for all $x \in E$. If $p < 0$ then inequality (\heartsuit) holds for $x, y \neq 0$ and (\diamond) for $x \neq 0$. Also, if the mapping $t \rightarrow f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then L is \mathbb{R} -linear.

In 1990, Th. M. Rassias [20] during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for $p \geq 1$. In 1991, Z. Gajda [5] following the same approach as in Th. M. Rassias [19], gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p > 1$. It was shown by Z. Gajda [5], as well as by Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [25] that one cannot prove a Th. M. Rassias' type theorem when $p = 1$. The counterexamples of Z. Gajda [5], as well as of Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [25] have stimulated several mathematicians to invent new definitions of *approximately additive* or *approximately linear* mappings, cf. P. Găvruta [6], S. Jung [11], who among others studied the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. The inequality (\heartsuit) that was introduced for the first time by Th. M. Rassias [19] for the stability of the linear mapping between Banach spaces provided a lot of influence in the development of a generalization of the Hyers-Ulam stability concept. This new concept is known as *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* of functional equations (cf. the books of P. Czerwik [4], D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias [8]).

P. Găvruta [6] provided a further generalization of Th. M. Rassias' Theorem. In 1996, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias [10] applied the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability theory to prove fixed point theorems and study some new applications in Nonlinear Analysis. In [9], D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias studied the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings. During past few years several mathematicians

have published on various generalizations and applications of Hyers-Ulam stability and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability to a number of functional equations and mappings, for example : quadratic functional equation, invariant means, multiplicative mappings - superstability, bounded n th differences, convex functions, generalized orthogonality functional equation, Euler-Lagrange functional equation, Navier-Stokes equations. Several mathematicians have contributed works on these subjects; we mention a few: C. Park [12]–[17], Th. M. Rassias [21]–[24], F. Skof [26].

A. Prastaro and Th. M. Rassias [18] introduced for the first time the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability approach for the study of Navier-Stokes equation.

Following the terminology of [1], a non-empty set G with a ternary operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot] : G \times G \times G \rightarrow G$ is called a *ternary groupoid* and is denoted by $(G, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$. The ternary groupoid $(G, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ is called *commutative* if $[x_1, x_2, x_3] = [x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}]$ for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in G$ and all permutations σ of $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

If a binary operation \circ is defined on G such that $[x, y, z] = (x \circ y) \circ z$ for all $x, y, z \in G$, then we say that $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is derived from \circ . We say that $(G, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ is a *ternary semigroup* if the operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is *associative*, i.e., if $[[x, y, z], u, v] = [x, [y, z, u], v] = [x, y, [z, u, v]]$ holds for all $x, y, z, u, v \in G$ (see [3]).

A C^* -ternary algebra is a complex Banach space A , equipped with a ternary product $(x, y, z) \mapsto [x, y, z]$ of A^3 into A , which is \mathbb{C} -linear in the outer variables, conjugate \mathbb{C} -linear in the middle variable, and associative in the sense that $[x, y, [z, w, v]] = [x, [w, z, y], v] = [[x, y, z], w, v]$, and satisfies $\|[x, y, z]\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|z\|$ and $\|[x, x, x]\| = \|x\|^3$ (see [1, 28]). Every left Hilbert C^* -module is a C^* -ternary algebra via the ternary product $[x, y, z] := \langle x, y \rangle z$.

If a C^* -ternary algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ has an identity, i.e., an element $e \in A$ such that $x = [x, e, e] = [e, e, x]$ for all $x \in A$, then it is routine to verify that A , endowed with $x \circ y := [x, e, y]$ and $x^* := [e, x, e]$, is a unital C^* -algebra. Conversely, if (A, \circ) is a unital C^* -algebra, then $[x, y, z] := x \circ y^* \circ z$ makes A into a C^* -ternary algebra.

A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is called a *C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism* if

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. If, in addition, the mapping H is bijective, then the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is called a *C^* -ternary algebra isomorphism*. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is called a *C^* -ternary derivation* if

$$\delta([x, y, z]) = [\delta(x), y, z] + [x, \delta(y), z] + [x, y, \delta(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ (see [1]).

2. Stability of homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and that B a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$.

Let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$, we define

$$C_{\mu}f(x, y, z) := f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2} + \mu z\right) + f\left(\frac{\mu x - \mu y}{2} + \mu z\right) - \mu f(x) - 2\mu f(z),$$

$$D_{\mu}f(x, y, z) := f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2} + \mu z\right) - f\left(\frac{\mu x - \mu y}{2} + \mu z\right) - \mu f(y),$$

$$E_{\mu}f(x, y, z) := 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2} + \mu z\right) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(y) - 2\mu f(z),$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\mu} f(x, y, z) := \alpha C_{\mu}f(x, y, z) + \beta D_{\mu}f(x, y, z) + \gamma E_{\mu}f(x, y, z)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and all $x, y, z \in A$.

We will use the following lemma in this paper:

LEMMA 2.1. [14] *Let X and Y be linear spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an additive mapping such that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then the mapping f is \mathbb{C} -linear.*

LEMMA 2.2. *Let X and Y be linear spaces and let α, β, γ be real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping satisfying*

$$\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\mu} f(x, y, z) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in X$. Then the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Proof. Letting $x = y = z = 0$ in (2.1), we get $f(0) = 0$. Letting $z = 0$ and replacing x and y by $2x$ and $2y$ in (2.1), respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \gamma) \left[f(\mu x + \mu y) - \mu f(2x) \right] + (\beta + \gamma) \left[f(\mu x + \mu y) - \mu f(2y) \right] \\ + (\alpha - \beta) f(\mu x - \mu y) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in X$. Letting $y = x$ in (2.2), we get $f(2\mu x) = \mu f(2x)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in X$. So

$$f(\mu x) = \mu f(x) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in X$. Letting $x = y = z$ in (2.1), and using (2.3), we get $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Now, we show that $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

We have two cases:

Case I. $\alpha = \beta$.

Replacing x and y by y and x in (2.2), we get

$$(\alpha + \gamma) \left[f(\mu x + \mu y) - \mu f(2y) \right] + (\beta + \gamma) \left[f(\mu x + \mu y) - \mu f(2x) \right] = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in X$. Adding (2.2) to (2.4) and using (2.3), we get

$$2f(x + y) = f(2x) + f(2y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Since $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, then we get from the last equation that $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Case II. $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Letting $x = 0$ in (2.2), we infer that the mapping f is odd. Replacing x and y by y and x in (2.2), we get

$$(\alpha + \gamma)[f(\mu x + \mu y) - \mu f(2y)] + (\beta + \gamma)[f(\mu x + \mu y) - \mu f(2x)] + (\alpha - \beta)f(\mu y - \mu x) = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in X$. Adding (2.2) to (2.5) and using (2.3), we get

$$2f(x + y) = f(2x) + f(2y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Hence by Lemma 2.1 the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. \square

We investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras for the functional equation $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z) = 0$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers with $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, and let $\varphi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 2^n x) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \varphi(x, y, z), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \leq \psi(x, y, z) \tag{2.9}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{2|\delta|} \tilde{\varphi}(x) \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\mu = 1$ and $x = y = z$ in (2.8), we get

$$\|\delta f(2x) - 2\delta f(x)\|_B \leq \varphi(x, x, x) \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x \in A$. If we replace x by $2^n x$ in (2.11) and divide both sides of (2.11) by $|\delta|2^{n+1}$, we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{1}{|\delta|2^{n+1}} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all non-negative integers n . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}f(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x) \right\|_B &= \left\| \sum_{k=m}^n \left[\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}f(2^{k+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^k}f(2^k x) \right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^n \left\| \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}f(2^{k+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^k}f(2^k x) \right\|_B \quad (2.12) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2|\delta|} \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 2^k x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$ and all non-negative integers $n \geq m \geq 0$. It follows from (2.6) and (2.12) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ converges. Thus one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12) we get (2.10).

It follows from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^\mu H(x, y, z) \right\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \left\| \Delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^\mu f(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^\mu H(x, y, z) = 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. By Lemma 2.1 the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

It follows from (2.7) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| H([x, y, z]) - [H(x), H(y), H(z)] \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \left\| f([2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z]) - [f(2^n x), f(2^n y), f(2^n z)] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Now, let $Q : A \rightarrow B$ be another C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism satisfying

(2.10). Then we have from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - Q(x)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|f(2^n x) - Q(2^n x)\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2|\delta|} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \tilde{\varphi}(2^n x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\delta|} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 2^k x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. So $H(x) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in A$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism satisfying (2.10). \square

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, $0 < p_1, p_2, p_3 < 1$ and $0 < q_1, q_2, q_3 < 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying*

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \theta (\|x\|_A^{p_1} + \|y\|_A^{p_2} + \|z\|_A^{p_3}), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \leq \epsilon (\|x\|_A^{q_1} + \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|z\|_A^{q_3}) \tag{2.14}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{|\delta|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2 - 2^{p_1}} \|x\|_A^{p_1} + \frac{1}{2 - 2^{p_2}} \|x\|_A^{p_2} + \frac{1}{2 - 2^{p_3}} \|x\|_A^{p_3} \right\}$$

for all $x \in A$.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers with $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, and let $\Phi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\Psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that*

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \Phi(x, y, z), \tag{2.17}$$

$$\left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \leq \Psi(x, y, z) \tag{2.18}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{2|\delta|} \tilde{\Phi}(x) \tag{2.19}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\mu = 1$ and $x = y = z$ in (2.17), we get

$$\|\delta f(2x) - 2\delta f(x)\|_B \leq \Phi(x, x, x) \tag{2.20}$$

for all $x \in A$. If we replace x by $\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}$ in (2.20) and multiply both sides of (2.20) to $\frac{2^n}{|\delta|}$, we get

$$\left\| 2^{n+1}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \leq \frac{2^n}{|\delta|} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all non-negative integers n . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^{n+1}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_B &= \left\| \sum_{k=m}^n \left[2^{k+1}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) - 2^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) \right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^n \left\| 2^{k+1}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) - 2^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2|\delta|} \sum_{k=m}^n 2^{k+1} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

for all $x \in A$ and all non-negative integers $n \geq m \geq 0$. It follows from (2.15) and (2.21) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. Thus one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.21) we get (2.19).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, $p_1, p_2, p_3 > 1$ and $q_1, q_2, q_3 > 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (2.13) and (2.14). Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{|\delta|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{p_1} - 2} \|x\|_A^{p_1} + \frac{1}{2^{p_2} - 2} \|x\|_A^{p_2} + \frac{1}{2^{p_3} - 2} \|x\|_A^{p_3} \right\}$$

for all $x \in A$.

3. Homomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras

In this section we improve the results in Theorems 2.3, 2.4, 3.3 and 3.4 of [15].

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, $p_1 > 0$ and $q_i \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying*

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^{p_1} \|y\|_A^{p_2} \|z\|_A^{p_3}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\| [f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]] \|_B \leq \epsilon \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} \tag{3.2}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Note that we put $\| \cdot \|_A^0 = 1$.

Proof. Since $p_1 > 0$, then by letting $x = y = z = 0$ in (3.1), we get $f(0) = 0$. Letting $x = 0$ and replacing y by $2y$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f(\mu y + \mu z) + (\alpha - \beta)f(\mu z - \mu y) \\ - 2\mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(z) - \mu(\beta + \gamma)f(2y) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y, z \in A$. Letting $y = z$ in (3.3), we get

$$\delta f(2\mu y) - 2\mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(y) - \mu(\beta + \gamma)f(2y) = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y \in A$. Replacing μ by $-\mu$ in (3.4), we get

$$\delta f(-2\mu y) + 2\mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(y) + \mu(\beta + \gamma)f(2y) = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y \in A$. Adding (3.4) to (3.5), we get that $f(2\mu y) + f(-2\mu y) = 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y \in A$. So f is odd.

Now, we show that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ and $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$.

We have two cases:

(i) Let $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$. Letting $y = 0$ in (3.3), we get $f(\mu z) = \mu f(z)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $z \in A$. Therefore it follows from (3.4) that $f(2y) = 2f(y)$ for all $y \in A$. So it follows from (3.3) that

$$\delta f(y + z) + (\alpha - \beta)f(z - y) = 2(\alpha + \gamma)f(z) + 2(\beta + \gamma)f(y) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $y, z \in A$. Replacing y by $-y$ in (3.6) and using the oddness of f , we get

$$\delta f(z - y) + (\alpha - \beta)f(z + y) = 2(\alpha + \gamma)f(z) - 2(\beta + \gamma)f(y) \tag{3.7}$$

for all $y, z \in A$. Adding (3.6) to (3.7), we get

$$f(y + z) + f(z - y) = 2f(z) \tag{3.8}$$

for all $y, z \in A$. Replacing y and z by $\frac{y-z}{2}$ and $\frac{y+z}{2}$ in (3.8), respectively, we get $f(y + z) = f(y) + f(z)$ for all $y, z \in A$.

(ii) Let $\alpha + \gamma = 0$. Since $\delta \neq 0$, then $\beta + \gamma \neq 0$. Letting $z = 0$ in (3.3) and using the oddness of f , we get $2f(\mu y) = \mu f(2y)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y \in A$. Hence by letting $\mu = 1$, we get $f(2y) = 2f(y)$ for all $y \in A$. So $f(\mu y) = \mu f(y)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y \in A$. It follows from (3.3) that

$$f(y + z) - f(z - y) = 2f(y) \tag{3.9}$$

for all $y, z \in A$. Replacing y and z by $\frac{y+z}{2}$ and $\frac{y-z}{2}$ in (3.9), respectively, we get $f(y + z) = f(y) + f(z)$ for all $y, z \in A$.

Hence, by Lemma 2.1 the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Without any loss of generality, we may suppose that $q_1 \neq 1$. Let $q_1 > 1$. It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\left[\frac{x}{2^n}, y, z\right]\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), f(y), f(z)\right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{2^{nq_1}} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), f(y), f(z)] \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq 3$). Since $f(0) = 0$, then (3.10) holds for all $x, y, z \in A$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq 3$. Similarly, for $q_1 < 1$, we get (3.10). So the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$, $p_1 > 0$ and $q_i \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (3.1) and (3.2) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.*

Note that we put $\|\cdot\|_A^0 = 1$.

Proof. Letting $x = 0$ and replacing y by $2y$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta f(\mu y + \mu z) + (\alpha - \beta)f(\mu z - \mu y) \\ & - 2\mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(z) - \mu(\beta + \gamma)f(2y) - \mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y, z \in A$. Replacing μ by $-\mu$ in (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta f(-\mu y - \mu z) + (\alpha - \beta)f(-\mu z + \mu y) \\ & + 2\mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(z) + \mu(\beta + \gamma)f(2y) + \mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y, z \in A$. Adding (3.11) to (3.12) and letting $z = 0$ in the obtained equation, we get $f(\mu y) + f(-\mu y) = 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $y \in A$. So the mapping f is odd and $f(0) = 0$. Therefore we obtain (3.3) from (3.11).

It follows from the proof of case (i) of Theorem 3.1 that the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$, $p_2 > 0$ and $q_i \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (3.1) and (3.2) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.*

Note that we put $\|\cdot\|_A^0 = 1$.

Proof. Letting $y = 0$ and replacing x by $2x$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\alpha + \gamma)f(\mu x + \mu z) - \mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(2x) \\ - 2\mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(z) - \mu(\beta + \gamma)f(0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, z \in A$. Replacing μ by $-\mu$ in (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\alpha + \gamma)f(-\mu x - \mu z) + \mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(2x) \\ + 2\mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(z) + \mu(\beta + \gamma)f(0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, z \in A$. Adding (3.13) to (3.14), we infer that the mapping f is odd and $f(0) = 0$. So it follows from (3.13) that

$$2f(\mu x + \mu z) = \mu f(2x) + 2\mu f(z) \quad (3.15)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, z \in A$. Letting $z = 0$ in (3.15), we get $2f(\mu x) = \mu f(2x)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. So

$$f(2x) = 2f(x), \quad f(\mu x) = \mu f(x) \quad (3.16)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (3.15) and (3.16) that $f(x + z) = f(x) + f(z)$ for all $x, z \in A$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$, $p_3 > 0$ and $q_i \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (3.1) and (3.2) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.*

Note that we put $\|\cdot\|_A^0 = 1$.

Proof. Letting $z = 0$ and replacing x and y by $2x$ and $2y$ in (3.1), respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f(\mu x + \mu y) + (\alpha - \beta)f(\mu x - \mu y) - \mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(2x) \\ - \mu(\beta + \gamma)f(2y) - 2\mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Replacing μ by $-\mu$ in (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f(-\mu x - \mu y) + (\alpha - \beta)f(-\mu x + \mu y) + \mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(2x) \\ + \mu(\beta + \gamma)f(2y) + 2\mu(\alpha + \gamma)f(0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Adding (3.17) to (3.18), we get

$$\delta \left[f(\mu x + \mu y) + f(-\mu x - \mu y) \right] + (\alpha - \beta) \left[f(\mu x - \mu y) + f(-\mu x + \mu y) \right] = 0$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Letting $\mu = 1$ and $y = 0$ in the last equation, we infer that the mapping f is odd and so $f(0) = 0$. Therefore by letting $y = 0$ in (3.17), we get $2f(\mu x) = \mu f(2x)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. Hence

$$f(2x) = 2f(x), \quad f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. So we have the following equation from (3.17),

$$\delta f(x+y) + (\alpha - \beta)f(x-y) = 2(\alpha + \gamma)f(x) + 2(\beta + \gamma)f(y) \quad (3.19)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Now, we show that the mapping f is additive.

Replacing y by $-y$ in (3.19) and using the oddness of f , we get

$$\delta f(x-y) + (\alpha - \beta)f(x+y) = 2(\alpha + \gamma)f(x) - 2(\beta + \gamma)f(y) \quad (3.20)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Adding (3.19) to (3.20), we get

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Replacing x and y by $\frac{x+y}{2}$ and $\frac{x-y}{2}$, respectively, we get $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Therefore by Lemma 2.1 the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, $p_3 > 0$ and $q_i \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (3.1) and (3.2) for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.*

Note that we put $\|\cdot\|_A^0 = 1$.

Proof. Since $p_3 > 0$, then by letting $x = y = z = 0$ in (3.1), we get $f(0) = 0$.

If $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$, then the result follows from Theorem 3.4.

Now, let $\alpha + \gamma = 0$. So $\delta = \beta + \gamma$. Letting $z = 0$ and replacing x and y by $2x$ and $2y$ in (3.1), respectively, we get

$$f(\mu x + \mu y) - f(\mu x - \mu y) = \mu f(2y) \quad (3.21)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Letting $y = x$ in (3.21), we get $f(2\mu x) = \mu f(2x)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. Therefore $f(0) = 0$ and $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. So the mapping f is odd. It follows from (3.21) that

$$f(x+y) - f(x-y) = f(2y)$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Replacing x and y by $\frac{x-y}{2}$ and $\frac{x+y}{2}$, respectively, we get $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Therefore by Lemma 2.1 the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

4. Homomorphisms between unital C^* -ternary algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and that B a unital C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ and unit e' .

We investigate homomorphisms between unital C^* -ternary algebras, associated to the functional equation $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z) = 0$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, $0 < p_1, p_2, p_3 < 1$, $0 < q_1, q_2 < 2$ and $0 < q_3 < 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (2.13) and (2.14). If there exists a real number $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) and an element $x_0 \in A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$ ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}) = e'$), then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{|\delta|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2 - 2p_1} \|x\|_A^{p_1} + \frac{1}{2 - 2p_2} \|x\|_A^{p_2} + \frac{1}{2 - 2p_3} \|x\|_A^{p_3} \right\} \tag{4.1}$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from (4.1) that

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x), \quad \left(H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) \right) \tag{4.2}$$

for all $x \in A$ and all real number $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$). Therefore by the assumption, we get that $H(x_0) = e'$. Let $\lambda > 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$. It follows from (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| [H(x), H(y), H(z)] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ &= \left\| H[x, y, z] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} \left\| f([\lambda^n x, \lambda^n y, z]) - [f(\lambda^n x), f(\lambda^n y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} \left[\lambda^{nq_1} \|x\|_A^{q_1} + \lambda^{nq_2} \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|z\|_A^{q_3} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So $[H(x), H(y), H(z)] = [H(x), H(y), f(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = x_0$ in the last equality, we get $f(z) = H(z)$ for all $z \in A$. Similarly, one can show that $H(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in A$ when $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}) = e'$. Therefore the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. \square

REMARK 4.2. Theorem 4.1 will be valid if we replace the conditions $0 < q_1, q_2 < 2$ and $0 < q_3 < 3$ by $0 < q_2, q_3 < 2$ and $0 < q_1 < 3$, respectively.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, $p_1, p_2, p_3 > 1$ and*

$q_1, q_2, q_3 > 2$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying (2.13) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ & \leq \epsilon \left(\|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} + \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|z\|_A^{q_3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. If there exists a real number $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) and an element $x_0 \in A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}\right) = e'$ ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$), then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Proof. By Theorem 2.5 there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{|\delta|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{p_1} - 2} \|x\|_A^{p_1} + \frac{1}{2^{p_2} - 2} \|x\|_A^{p_2} + \frac{1}{2^{p_3} - 2} \|x\|_A^{p_3} \right\} \quad (4.4)$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from (4.4) that

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right), \quad \left(H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x) \right) \quad (4.5)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all real number $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$). Therefore by the assumption, we get that $H(x_0) = e'$. Let $\lambda > 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}\right) = e'$. It follows from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| [H(x), H(y), H(z)] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ & = \left\| H[x, y, z] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2n} \left\| f\left(\left[\frac{x}{\lambda^n}, \frac{y}{\lambda^n}, z\right]\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right), f\left(\frac{y}{\lambda^n}\right), f(z)\right] \right\|_B \\ & \leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2n} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^{n(q_1+q_2)}} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{nq_2}} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{nq_1}} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|z\|_A^{q_3} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So $[H(x), H(y), H(z)] = [H(x), H(y), f(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = x_0$ in the last equality, we get $f(z) = H(z)$ for all $z \in A$. Similarly, one can show that $H(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in A$ when $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$. Therefore the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. \square

5. Stability of derivations on C^* -ternary algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$.

In this section we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of derivations on C^* -ternary algebras for the functional equation $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z) = 0$.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers with $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, and let $\varphi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 2^n x) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \varphi(x, y, z), \quad (5.3)$$

$$\left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (5.4)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra derivation $D : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\|_A \leq \frac{1}{2|\delta|} \tilde{\varphi}(x) \quad (5.5)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.3, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (5.5) and

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from (5.2) and (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| D[x, y, z] - [D(x), y, z] - [x, D(y), z] - [x, y, D(z)] \right\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \left\| f[2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z] - [f(2^n x), 2^n y, 2^n z] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - [2^n x, f(2^n y), 2^n z] - [2^n x, 2^n y, f(2^n z)] \right\|_A \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So

$$D[x, y, z] = [D(x), y, z] + [x, D(y), z] + [x, y, D(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore the mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation. \square

THEOREM 5.2. Let α, β, γ be real numbers with $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, and let $\varphi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (5.1). Suppose that the function $\psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies in one of the following conditions

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, z) = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \psi(x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \psi(2^n x, y, 2^n z) = 0$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (5.3) and (5.4). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.3, there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. We show that if the mapping ψ satisfies in one of the conditions (i), (ii) or (iii), then $f = D$.

Let ψ satisfy in (i) (we have a similar proof if ψ satisfies in (ii) or (iii)). It follows from (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| D[x, y, z] - [D(x), y, z] - [x, D(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \left\| f[2^n x, 2^n y, z] - [f(2^n x), 2^n y, z] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - [2^n x, f(2^n y), z] - [2^n x, 2^n y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y, z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore

$$D[x, y, z] = [D(x), y, z] + [x, D(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \quad (5.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Replacing z by $2z$ in (5.6), we get

$$2D[x, y, z] = 2[D(x), y, z] + 2[x, D(y), z] + [x, y, f(2z)] \quad (5.7)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. It follows from (5.6) and (5.7) that

$$[x, y, f(2z) - 2f(z)] = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = f(2z) - 2f(z)$ in the last equation, we get

$$\|f(2z) - 2f(z)\|_A^3 = \left\| [f(2z) - 2f(z), f(2z) - 2f(z), f(2z) - 2f(z)] \right\|_A = 0$$

for all $z \in A$. So $f(2z) = 2f(z)$ for all $z \in A$. By using induction, we infer that $f(2^n z) = 2^n f(z)$ for all $z \in A$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $D(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Hence it follows from (5.6) that the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation. \square

THEOREM 5.3. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers with $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, and let $\varphi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (5.1). Suppose that the function $\psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies in one of the following conditions*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(2^n x, y, z) = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(x, 2^n y, z) = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(x, y, 2^n z) = 0$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (5.3) and (5.4). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.3, there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. We show that if the mapping ψ satisfies in one of the conditions (i), (ii) or (iii), then $f = D$.

Let ψ satisfy in (i) (we have a similar proof if ψ satisfies in (ii) or (iii)). It follows from (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| D[x, y, z] - [D(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \left\| f[2^n x, y, z] - [f(2^n x), y, z] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - [2^n x, f(y), z] - [2^n x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(2^n x, y, z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore

$$D([x, y, z]) = [D(x), y, z] + [x, f(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \tag{5.8}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof Theorem 5.2. \square

COROLLARY 5.4. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta \geq 0, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3 > 0$ be real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0, p_1, p_2, p_3 < 1$ and $q_i < 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying*

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta (\|x\|_A^{p_1} + \|y\|_A^{p_2} + \|z\|_A^{p_3}), \tag{5.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ & \leq \epsilon (\|x\|_A^{q_1} + \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|z\|_A^{q_3}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

THEOREM 5.5. *Let α, β, γ be real numbers with $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, and let $\Phi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\Psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that*

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0, \tag{5.11}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0 \tag{5.12}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \Phi(x, y, z), \tag{5.13}$$

$$\left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \leq \Psi(x, y, z) \tag{5.14}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra derivation $D : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\|_A \leq \frac{1}{2|\delta|} \tilde{\Phi}(x) \tag{5.15}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.5, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (5.15) and

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1. \square

THEOREM 5.6. Let α, β, γ be real numbers with $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, and let $\Phi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (5.11). Suppose that the function $\Psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies in one of the following conditions

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, z\right) = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \Psi\left(x, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, y, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (5.13) and (5.14). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.5, there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2. \square

COROLLARY 5.7. Let α, β, γ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, $p_1, p_2, p_3 > 1$ and $q_1, q_2, q_3 > 2$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying (5.9) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ & \leq \epsilon \left(\|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} + \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} + \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|z\|_A^{q_3} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

THEOREM 5.8. Let α, β, γ be real numbers with $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, and let $\Phi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (5.11). Suppose that the function $\Psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies in one of the following conditions

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, y, z\right) = 0$;

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Psi(x, \frac{y}{2^n}, z) = 0;$$

$$(iii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Psi(x, y, \frac{z}{2^n}) = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (5.13) and (5.14). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.5, there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.3. \square

THEOREM 5.9. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\delta := \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \neq 0$, $p_1 + p_3 > 0$ and $q_i \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^\mu f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta \|x\|_A^{p_1} \|y\|_A^{p_2} \|z\|_A^{p_3}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ & \leq \epsilon \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.

Note that we put $\|\cdot\|_A^0 = 1$.

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that $q_1 \neq 1$. Since $p_1 + p_3 > 0$, then we can let $p_1 > 0$ ($p_3 > 0$). Therefore it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 3.5) that the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. Let $q_1 < 1$. It follows from (5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \left\| f[2^n x, y, z] - [f(2^n x), y, z] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - [2^n x, f(y), z] - [2^n x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ & \leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{nq_1}}{2^n} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Therefore

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), y, z] + [x, f(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \quad (5.19)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$). Since $f(0) = 0$, then (5.19) holds for all $x, y, z \in A$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Similarly, we get (5.19) when $q_1 > 1$. So the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation. \square

THEOREM 5.10. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, q_1, q_2, q_3$ be real numbers and let $\epsilon, \theta, p_1, p_2, p_3$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\alpha + \gamma \neq 0$, $p_2 > 0$ and $q_i \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying (5.17) and (5.18). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation.*

Note that we put $\| \cdot \|_A^0 = 1$.

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that $q_1 \neq 1$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.3 that the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. Let $q_1 > 1$. It follows from (5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \right\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\left[\frac{x}{2^n}, y, z\right]\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), y, z\right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left[\frac{x}{2^n}, f(y), z\right] - \left[\frac{x}{2^n}, y, f(z)\right] \right\|_A \\ & \leq \epsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{2^{nq_1}} \|x\|_A^{q_1} \|y\|_A^{q_2} \|z\|_A^{q_3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq 3$). Therefore

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), y, z] + [x, f(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \quad (5.20)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ ($x, y, z \in A \setminus \{0\}$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq 3$). Since $f(0) = 0$, then (5.19) holds for all $x, y, z \in A$ when $q_i < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Similarly, we get (5.20) when $q_1 < 1$. So the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary algebra derivation. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AMYARI AND M. S. MOSLEHIAN, *Approximately ternary semigroup homomorphisms*, Lett. Math. Phys. **77** (2006), 1–9.
- [2] C. BAAK, *Cauchy-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings in Banach spaces*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **22** (2006), no. 6, 1789–1797.
- [3] N. BAZUNOVA, A. BOROWIEC AND R. KERNER, *Universal differential calculus on ternary algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **67** (2004), 195–206.
- [4] P. CZERWIK, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, Hong Kong, Singapore and London, 2002.
- [5] Z. GAJDA, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434.
- [6] P. GÄVRUTA, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [7] D. H. HYERS, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [8] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [9] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 425–430.
- [10] G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Stability of ψ -additive mappings : Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 219–228.
- [11] S. JUNG, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **204** (1996), 221–226.

- [12] C. PARK, *Lie $*$ -homomorphisms between Lie C^* -algebras and Lie $*$ -derivations on Lie C^* -algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **293** (2004), 419–434.
- [13] C. PARK, *Homomorphisms between Lie JC^* -algebras and Cauchy–Rassias stability of Lie JC^* -algebra derivations*, J. Lie Theory **15** (2005), 393–414.
- [14] C. PARK, *Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **36** (2005), 79–97.
- [15] C. PARK, *Isomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **327** (2007) 101–115.
- [16] C. PARK, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a generalized Euler–Lagrange type additive mapping and isomorphisms between C^* -algebras*, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **13** (2006), 619–631.
- [17] C. PARK, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a generalized Apollonius–Jensen type additive mapping and isomorphisms between C^* -algebras*, Math. Nachr. (to appear).
- [18] A. PRASTARO AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Ulam stability in geometry of PDE's*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications. **82** (2003), 259–278.
- [19] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [20] TH. M. RASSIAS, *Problem 16*; 2, Report of the 27th International Symp. on Functional Equations, Aequationes Math. **39** (1990), 292–293; 309.
- [21] TH. M. RASSIAS, *The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **246** (2000), 352–378.
- [22] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 264–284.
- [23] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Appl. Math. **62** (2000), 23–130.
- [24] TH. M. RASSIAS, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2003.
- [25] TH. M. RASSIAS AND P. ŠEMRL, *On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers–Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [26] F. SKOF, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [27] S. M. ULAM, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1960.
- [28] H. ZETTL, *A characterization of ternary rings of operators*, Adv. Math. **48** (1983), 117–143.

(Received July 24, 2007)

Abbas Najati
Faculty of Sciences
Department of Mathematics
University of Mohaghegh Ardabili
Ardabil
Iran
e-mail: a.nejati@yahoo.com

Asgar Ranjbari
Department of Pure Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
University of Tabriz
Tabriz
Iran
e-mail: ranjbari@tabrizu.ac.ir