

CONTRACTIONS OF A NUMERICAL SEMIGROUP

J. C. ROSALES

(communicated by R. Ger)

Abstract. Given a numerical semigroup S , a positive integer a and $m \in S \setminus \{0\}$, we introduce the set $C(S, a, m) = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid aw(x \bmod m) \leq x\}$, where $\{w(0), w(1), \dots, w(m-1)\}$ is the Apéry set of m in S , which is a numerical semigroup and that we call (a, m) -contraction of S . We study the Frobenius number and the singularity degree of $C(S, a, m)$. We also characterize the contractions $C(S, a, m)$ that are symmetric and pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroups. Finally we see that the contractions of \mathbb{N} are solutions of modular Diophantine inequalities.

Introduction

A numerical semigroup S is a subset of \mathbb{N} (the set of nonnegative integers) closed under addition, $0 \in S$ and so that $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ has finitely many elements. The elements of $H(S) = \mathbb{N} \setminus S$ are the *gaps* of S and its cardinality, denoted by $\#H(S)$, is the *degree of singularity* of S , which has been widely studied in the literature (see for instance [2]). Another important invariant of S is the largest integer not belonging to S , known as the *Frobenius number* of S and denoted here by $g(S)$ (see [2, 3, 6]). For $m \in S \setminus \{0\}$, the Apéry set of m in S is the set $\text{Ap}(S, m) = \{s \in S \mid s - m \notin S\}$ (see [1]). It is well known and easy to check (see for instance [9]) that $\text{Ap}(S, m) = \{w(0), w(1), \dots, w(m-1)\}$, where $w(i)$ is the least element in S congruent with i modulo m .

Let S be a numerical semigroup, $m \in S \setminus \{0\}$ and a a positive integer. Write as above $\text{Ap}(S, m) = \{w(0), w(1), \dots, w(m-1)\}$. The (a, m) -contraction of S is the set

$$C(S, a, m) = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid aw(x \bmod m) \leq x\},$$

where $x \bmod m$ denotes the remainder of the division of x by m . In Section 1., we prove that $C(S, a, m)$ is a numerical semigroup contained in S . The main result in this section gives a formula relating the degrees of singularity of S and $C(S, a, m)$. In Section 2., we present a similar result for the Frobenius number of $C(S, a, m)$.

A numerical semigroup is *irreducible* if it cannot be expressed as an intersection of two numerical semigroups properly containing it. A numerical semigroup is irreducible if and only if it is either symmetric or pseudo-symmetric, depending on the parity of

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 20M14, 13H1.

Key words and phrases: Numerical semigroup, symmetric, pseudo-symmetric, modular Diophantine inequality, Frobenius number, singularity degree.

The author is supported by the project MTM2004-01446 and FEDER funds and wants to thank P. A. García-Sánchez, P. Vasco and the referee for their comments and suggestions.

its Frobenius number (see [8]). These two kinds of numerical semigroups have been widely studied in the literature due to their connections with Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry (see [2] and the references given there).

From the results obtained in the preceding sections, in Section 3. we characterize those numerical semigroups whose contractions yield irreducible numerical semigroups.

A *modular Diophantine inequality* is an expression of the form $ax \bmod b \leq x$ where a and b are positive integers. In [10] it is shown that the set $M(a, b)$ of integer solutions of the above inequality is a numerical semigroup. At the beginning of Section 4. we point out that $C(\mathbb{N}, a, m) = M(a, am)$. This allows us to compare the results appearing in [10] and the ones obtained in the present paper for (a, m) -contractions of \mathbb{N} .

1. The degree of singularity

In this section, and unless otherwise stated, S is a numerical semigroup, m is a nonzero element of S and a is a positive integer. We write as usual $\text{Ap}(S, m) = \{w(0) = 0, w(1), \dots, w(m - 1)\}$ and define the (a, m) -contraction of S as $C(S, a, m) = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid aw(x \bmod m) \leq x\}$.

The next result follows from [9, Proposition 10.5]. It states the relationship between the elements in $\text{Ap}(S, m)$ and shows that the membership problem to S is trivial once we know the elements of $\text{Ap}(S, m)$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x \in S$ if and only if $w(x \bmod m) \leq x$. Moreover, if $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$, then $w(i) + w(j) \geq w((i + j) \bmod m)$.*

An integer x belongs to S if and only if $w(x \bmod m) \leq x$, and belongs to $C(S, a, m)$ if and only if $aw(x \bmod m) \leq x$. This is why we have chosen the name contraction for $C(S, a, m)$.

With the above lemma is now easy to prove that $C(S, a, m)$ is a numerical semigroup.

PROPOSITION 1. *$C(S, a, m)$ is a numerical semigroup contained in S and $m \in C(S, a, m)$. Moreover, if $a \geq 2$, then m is the least positive integer belonging to $C(S, a, m)$.*

Proof. If $x, y \in C(S, a, m)$, then $aw(x \bmod m) \leq x$ and $aw(y \bmod m) \leq y$. By Lemma 1, we have that $aw((x + y) \bmod m) \leq a(w(x \bmod m) + w(y \bmod m))$, and consequently $aw((x + y) \bmod m) \leq x + y$. Hence $x + y \in C(S, a, m)$. Besides, observe that if $x \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \geq a(\max\{w(1), \dots, w(m - 1)\})$, then $x \in C(S, a, m)$, which implies that $\mathbb{N} \setminus C(S, a, m)$ has finitely many elements. Clearly, $0, m \in C(S, a, m)$. Thus $C(S, a, m)$ is a numerical semigroup containing m . Note that since $w(x \bmod m) \leq aw(x \bmod m)$, by using Lemma 1, we deduce that $C(S, a, m) \subseteq S$.

Assume that $a \geq 2$ and that $x \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$. Then $x = x \bmod m \leq w(x \bmod m) < aw(x \bmod m)$ and thus $x \notin C(S, a, m)$. □

The least positive integer belonging to a numerical semigroup M is its multiplicity and is denoted by $m(M)$. In view of Proposition 1, if $a \geq 2$, then $m(C(S, a, m)) = m$.

As $m \in C(S, a, m)$, we can take into account the Apéry set of m in $C(S, a, m)$. Along this section we write $\text{Ap}(C(S, a, m), m) = \{\bar{w}(0), \bar{w}(1), \dots, \bar{w}(m - 1)\}$. The following result can be easily proved.

LEMMA 2. *If $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$, then $\bar{w}(i)$ is the least integer congruent with i modulo m greater than or equal to $aw(i)$.*

With this we can explicitly describe the set $\text{Ap}(C(S, a, m), m)$.

PROPOSITION 2. *For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$, $\bar{w}(i) = aw(i) + (1 - a)i \bmod m$.*

Proof. As $0 \leq (1 - a)i \bmod m < m$, in view of Lemma 2, it suffices to show that $aw(i) + (1 - a)i \bmod m$ is congruent with i modulo m . This is easy to prove. \square

The degree of singularity of a numerical semigroup can be computed from the Apéry set of any of its nonzero elements as the next result appearing in [12] points out.

LEMMA 3. *Let M be a numerical semigroup and let $m \in M \setminus \{0\}$. Then*

$$\#H(M) = \frac{1}{m} \left(\sum_{w \in \text{Ap}(M, m)} w \right) - \frac{m - 1}{2}.$$

Hence in view of Proposition 2, we must be able to compute a sum of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (1 - a)i \bmod m$. This is accomplished in the next result that appears in [4] and whose easy prove we include here.

LEMMA 4. *Let α be an integer, β be a positive integer, and $\gamma = \text{gcd}\{\alpha, \beta\}$ (where gcd stands for greatest common divisor). Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} \alpha i \bmod \beta = \frac{\beta(\beta - \gamma)}{2}.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} \alpha i \bmod \beta = \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} i \bmod \frac{\beta}{\gamma} = \gamma^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}-1} i = \gamma^2 \frac{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(\frac{\beta}{\gamma} - 1)}{2} = \frac{\beta(\beta - \gamma)}{2}.$$

\square

Thus, we are now able to relate the degrees of singularity of S and $C(S, a, m)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let S be a numerical semigroup and $m \in S \setminus \{0\}$. For a positive integer a we have*

$$\#H(C(S, a, m)) = a\#H(S) + \frac{a(m - 1) + 1 - \text{gcd}\{a - 1, m\}}{2}.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3,

$$\#H(C(S, a, m)) = \frac{\bar{w}(0) + \bar{w}(1) + \dots + \bar{w}(m - 1)}{m} - \frac{m - 1}{2}.$$

From Proposition 2, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \#H(C(S, a, m)) &= a \frac{w(0) + w(1) + \dots + w(m-1)}{m} - a \frac{m-1}{2} \\ &\quad - (1-a) \frac{m-1}{2} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (1-a)i \pmod m. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 (now for S) and Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\#H(C(S, a, m)) = a\#H(S) - (1-a) \frac{m-1}{2} + \frac{1}{m} \frac{m(m - \gcd\{a-1, m\})}{2}.$$

By simplifying, we get the desired formula. □

We illustrate the results appearing in this section with an example. If $n_1, \dots, n_p \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\langle n_1, \dots, n_p \rangle$ the numerical semigroup generated by $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$, that is, the set $\{\lambda_1 n_1 + \dots + \lambda_p n_p \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{N}\}$. From Lemma 1, one easily deduces that $S = \langle \text{Ap}(S, m) \cup \{m\} \rangle$.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $S = \langle 4, 5 \rangle$. Then $\text{Ap}(S, 4) = \{w(0) = 0, w(1) = 5, w(2) = 10, w(3) = 15\}$. By applying Proposition 2, we obtain that $\text{Ap}(C(S, 2, 4), 4) = \{0, 13, 22, 31\}$. Hence $C(S, 2, 4) = \langle 4, 13, 22, 31 \rangle$. By using Lemma 3, we have that $\#H(S) = 6$ and $\#H(C(S, 2, 4)) = 15$, which coincides with the result obtained from Theorem 1.

2. The Frobenius number

As in the preceding section, S is a numerical semigroup, m is a nonzero element of S and a is a positive integer. We also assume that

$$\text{Ap}(S, m) = \{w(0), w(1), \dots, w(m-1)\}$$

and

$$\text{Ap}(C(S, a, m), m) = \{\bar{w}(0), \bar{w}(1), \dots, \bar{w}(m-1)\}.$$

For $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ there exists $k_i, \bar{k}_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w(i) = k_i m + i$ and $\bar{w}(i) = \bar{k}_i m + i$.

Observe that both S and $C(S, a, m)$ are determined by the k_i 's and the \bar{k}_i 's, respectively. Thus it is interesting to describe the relationship between them, as we did for $w(i)$ and $\bar{w}(i)$.

Let q be a rational number. Denote by $[q]$ (respectively $\lceil q \rceil$) the greatest integer less than (respectively smallest integer greater than) or equal to q .

LEMMA 5. For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, $\bar{k}_i = ak_i + \left\lceil \frac{(a-1)i}{m} \right\rceil$.

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As $km + i \geq a(km + i)$ if and only if $k \geq ak_i + \frac{(a-1)i}{m}$, the result follows from Lemma 2. □

As occurred with the degree of singularity, the Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup is also easily determined once we know the Apéry set of any of its nonzero elements. This is a well known result (and easy to prove) that can be found for instance in [9].

LEMMA 6. Let M be a numerical semigroup and let m be a nonzero element of M . Then

$$g(M) = \max \text{Ap}(M, m) - m.$$

Since we already know the relationship between $w(i)$ and $\overline{w}(i)$, as well as the one existing between k_i and \overline{k}_i , we can try to see how $g(S)$ and $g(C(S, a, m))$ are related.

THEOREM 2. Let S be a numerical semigroup and $m \in S \setminus \{0\}$. For a positive integer a we have

$$g(C(S, a, m)) = \begin{cases} ag(S) + (a - 1)m & \text{if } (a - 1)g(S) \bmod m = 0, \\ ag(S) + am - ((a - 1)g(S) \bmod m) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $g = g(S)$ and $r = g \bmod m$. We see that $\overline{k}_r m + r = \max \text{Ap}(C(S, a, m), m)$. Thus, we must show that for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$, the inequality $\overline{k}_i m + i \leq \overline{k}_r m + r$ holds. In view of Lemma 5, we must prove that $(ak_i + \lceil \frac{(a-1)i}{m} \rceil)m + i \leq (ak_r + \lceil \frac{(a-1)r}{m} \rceil)m + r$. From Lemma 6, we know that $g + m = k_r m + r$ and that $k_i m + i \leq k_r m + r$. Hence $k_i \leq k_r$. We distinguish two cases.

- If $k_i < k_r$, then $(ak_i + \lceil \frac{(a-1)i}{m} \rceil)m + i = ak_i m + \lceil \frac{(a-1)i}{m} \rceil m + i \leq ak_i m + (a - 1)m + i$, since $\lceil \frac{(a-1)i}{m} \rceil \leq \lceil \frac{(a-1)(m-1)}{m} \rceil = \lceil a - 1 - \frac{a-1}{m} \rceil \leq a - 1$. As $ak_i m + (a - 1)m + i \leq a(k_i + 1)m$, we deduce that $(ak_i + \lceil \frac{(a-1)i}{m} \rceil)m + i \leq a(k_i + 1)m \leq ak_r m \leq (ak_r + \lceil \frac{(a-1)r}{m} \rceil)m + r$.
- If $k_i = k_r$, then as $k_i m + i \leq k_r m + r$, we have that $i \leq r$. Hence $(ak_i + \lceil \frac{(a-1)i}{m} \rceil)m + i \leq (ak_r + \lceil \frac{(a-1)r}{m} \rceil)m + r$.

The rest of the proof follows from Lemma 6, by taking into account that if $(a - 1)r \bmod m \neq 0$, then $\lceil \frac{(a-1)r}{m} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{(a-1)r}{m} \rfloor + 1$ and that $(a - 1)r = \lfloor \frac{(a-1)r}{m} \rfloor m + (a - 1)r \bmod m$. □

We illustrate these result with several examples.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $S = \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ be as in Example 1. Then $g(S) = 11$. By taking $a = 2$ and $m = 4$, we obtain that $(a - 1)11 \bmod m = 3$. Theorem 2 is telling us that $g(C(S, 2, 4)) = 27$.

EXAMPLE 3. For $S = \mathbb{N}$, $\#H(S) = 0$ and $g(S) = -1$. Hence for m and a positive integers, we obtain in view of Theorems 1 and 2 that

$$\#H(C(\mathbb{N}, a, m)) = \frac{a(m - 1) + 1 - \gcd\{a - 1, m\}}{2},$$

and

$$g(C(\mathbb{N}, a, m)) = \begin{cases} (a - 1)m - a & \text{if } (1 - a) \bmod m = 0, \\ am - a - (1 - a) \bmod m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

EXAMPLE 4. Let $b \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. If $S = \{0, b, \rightarrow\}$ (the symbol \rightarrow means that all the integers greater than b are also in the set), and a, m are positive integers such that $m \geq b$, then $\#H(S) = g(S) = b - 1$. By Theorems 1 and 2, we have that

$$\#H(C(\{0, b, \rightarrow\}, a, m)) = a(b - 1) + \frac{a(m - 1) + 1 - \gcd\{a - 1, m\}}{2}$$

and

$$g(C(\{0, b, \rightarrow\}, a, m)) = \begin{cases} a(b - 1) + (a - 1)m, & \text{if } (a - 1)(b - 1) \bmod m = 0, \\ a(b - 1) + am - (a - 1)(b - 1) \bmod m, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

EXAMPLE 5. Let s and t be two coprime integers greater than or equal to two. Then $\#H(\langle s, t \rangle) = \frac{(s - 1)(t - 1)}{2}$ and $g(\langle s, t \rangle) = (s - 1)(t - 1) - 1$ (see [14, 13]). Hence, by Theorems 1 and 2, we get

$$\#H(C(\langle s, t \rangle, a, m)) = a \frac{(s - 1)(t - 1)}{2} + \frac{a(m - 1) + 1 - \gcd\{a - 1, m\}}{2}$$

and

$$g(C(\langle s, t \rangle, a, m)) = \begin{cases} a((s - 1)(t - 1) - 1) + (a - 1)m, & \\ \text{if } (a - 1)((s - 1)(t - 1) - 1) \bmod m = 0, & \\ a((s - 1)(t - 1) - 1) + am - (a - 1)((s - 1)(t - 1) - 1) \bmod m, & \\ \text{otherwise.} & \end{cases}$$

These examples can be used to construct numerical semigroups with given multiplicity, Frobenius number and degree of singularity, and thus coordinate rings of curves with these properties (see [2] for the correspondence between these concepts in numerical semigroups and in one-dimensional unramified local domains).

3. Irreducible contractions

It is well known (see for instance [2, 5]) that if S is a numerical semigroup, then $2\#H(S) \geq g(S) + 1$. We say that a numerical semigroup is *symmetric* (respectively *pseudo-symmetric*) if $2\#H(S) = g(S) + 1$ (respectively $2\#H(S) = g(S) + 2$). Hence, symmetric (respectively pseudo-symmetric) numerical semigroups are those irreducible numerical semigroups with odd (respectively even) Frobenius number.

As in the preceding sections, assume that S is a numerical semigroup, $m \in S \setminus \{0\}$ and that a is a positive integer.

3.1. Symmetric contractions

PROPOSITION 3. $C(S, a, m)$ is symmetric if and only if S is symmetric and $\gcd\{a - 1, m\} \in \{m, (a - 1)g(S) \bmod m\}$.

Proof. Let $d = \gcd\{a - 1, m\}$, $g = g(S)$ and $h = \#H(S)$. We know that $C(S, a, m)$ is symmetric if and only if $2\#H(C(S, a, m)) = g(C(S, a, m)) + 1$. We distinguish two possibilities, depending on the cases we apply from Theorems 1 and 2.

- Assume that $(a - 1)g \bmod m = 0$. Then by Theorems 1 and 2, we deduce that $C(S, a, m)$ is symmetric if and only if $2ah + a(m - 1) - d + 1 = ag + (a - 1)m + 1$, or equivalently, $a(2h - g) = a + d - m$. As $2h - g \geq 1$ and $a + d - m \leq a$, this equality holds if and only if $2h - g = 1$ and $d - m = 0$. Thus, $C(S, a, m)$ is symmetric if and only if $d = m$ and S is symmetric.
- Assume now that $(a - 1)g \bmod m \neq 0$. Then again by Theorems 1 and 2, we deduce that $C(S, a, m)$ is symmetric if and only if $2ah + a(m - 1) - d + 1 = ag + am - ((a - 1)g \bmod m) + 1$. This is equivalent to $a(2h - g) = a + d - ((a - 1)g \bmod m)$. Since $d \leq a - 1$ and $(a - 1)g \bmod m \geq 0$, we have that $a + d - ((a - 1)g \bmod m) \leq 2a - 1$. Hence $a(2h - g) = a + d - ((a - 1)g \bmod m)$ if and only if $2h - g = 1$ and $d - ((a - 1)g \bmod m) = 0$. Thus, $C(S, a, m)$ is symmetric if and only if $d = (a - 1)g \bmod m$ and S is symmetric.

The proof now follows easily. □

By using this last proposition, from a given symmetric numerical semigroup, we can construct families with infinitely many elements of contractions of S that are again symmetric. The following two corollaries materialize two of these families.

COROLLARY 1. *If S is a symmetric numerical semigroup and $m \in S \setminus \{0\}$, then for every nonnegative integer k , the semigroup $C(S, km + 1, m)$ is also symmetric. Moreover, $g(C(S, km + 1, m)) = (km + 1)g(S) + km^2$.*

COROLLARY 2. *Let S be a symmetric numerical semigroup with Frobenius number g . Let m and d be positive integers such that $dm \in S$ and $\gcd\{g, m\} = 1$. Let a be a positive integer such that $ag \bmod m = 1$. Then $C(S, ad + 1, md)$ is symmetric and $g(C(S, ad + 1, md)) = (ad + 1)(g + md) - d$.*

Proof. Observe that $\gcd\{g, m\} = 1$. Then the existence of a is guaranteed and $\gcd\{a, m\} = 1$. The result now follows from Proposition 3, by taking into account that $\gcd\{ad, md\} = d$ and that $adg \bmod md = d(ag \bmod m) = d$. The computation of $g(C(S, ad + 1, md))$ is done by using Theorem 2. □

3.2. Pseudo-symmetric contractions

We proceed analogously for the pseudo-symmetric case, though the results obtained are not as the reader would probably expect.

PROPOSITION 4. *$C(S, a, m)$ is pseudo-symmetric if and only if S is symmetric, $\gcd\{a - 1, m\} = 1$ and $(a - 1)g(S) \bmod m = 2$.*

Proof. Let $d = \gcd\{a - 1, m\}$, $g = g(S)$ and $h = \#H(S)$. We know that $C(S, a, m)$ is pseudo-symmetric if and only if $2\#H(C(S, a, m)) = g(C(S, a, m)) + 2$. As above, we distinguish two possibilities, depending on the cases we apply from Theorems 1 and 2.

- We see that if $(a - 1)g \bmod m = 0$, then $C(S, a, m)$ is not pseudo-symmetric. Assume to the contrary that $C(S, a, m)$ is pseudo-symmetric. Then in view of Theorems 1 and 2, we have that $2ah + a(m - 1) - d + 1 = ag + (a - 1)m + 2$.

Hence $a(2h - g) = a + d - m + 1$. As $a + d - m + 1 \leq 2a - 1$, we have that $2h - g = 1$ and $d - m + 1 = 0$. Hence $m = d + 1$ and since $d \mid m$, we have that $d = 1$. This implies that $m = 2$ and that $a - 1$ is odd, because $d = 1$. Observe also that as $2 = m \in S$, then g must be odd. Then $(a - 1)g \bmod 2 \neq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.

- Assume now that $(a - 1)g \bmod m \neq 0$. Then once more by Theorems 1 and 2, we deduce that $C(S, a, m)$ is pseudo-symmetric if and only if $2ah + a(m - 1) - d + 1 = ag + am - ((a - 1)g \bmod m) + 2$. This is equivalent to $a(2h - g) = a + d - ((a - 1)g \bmod m) + 1$. Since $a + d - ((a - 1)g \bmod m) + 1 \leq a + a - 1 - 1 + 1 = 2a - 1$, $C(S, a, m)$ is pseudo-symmetric if and only if $2h - g = 1$ and $d - ((a - 1)g \bmod m) + 1 = 0$, or equivalently, S is symmetric and $(a - 1)g \bmod m = d + 1$. Note that since $d = \gcd\{a - 1, m\}$, we have that $d \mid (a - 1)g \bmod m$, whence $d \mid d + 1$. This forces d to be 1. □

With this proposition we can construct families of pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroups from a single symmetric numerical semigroup. One of these families is presented in the next corollary.

COROLLARY 3. *Let S be a symmetric numerical semigroup with Frobenius number g . Let $m \in S \setminus \{0\}$ be an odd integer such that $m \geq 3$ and $\gcd\{g, m\} = 1$. Let a be a positive integer such that $ag \bmod m = 2$. Then $C(S, a + 1, m)$ is a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup with Frobenius number $(a + 1)(g + m) - 2$.*

Proof. The existence of a follows from the conditions $\gcd\{g, m\} = 1$ and $m \geq 3$. As $ag \bmod m = 2$, $\gcd\{a, m\} \mid 2$. Since m is odd, this implies that $\gcd\{a, m\} = 1$. The proof now follows from Proposition 4 and Theorem 2. □

4. Contractions of \mathbb{N} and modular numerical semigroups

Let a and b be positive integers. Denote by $M(a, b)$ the set $\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \bmod b \leq x\}$. As we pointed out in the Introduction, $M(a, b)$ is a numerical semigroup. A numerical semigroup is *modular* if it is of this form. There is a relationship between modular semigroups and contractions of \mathbb{N} as the next result shows.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let a and m be positive integers. Then $C(\mathbb{N}, a, m) = M(a, am)$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\text{Ap}(\mathbb{N}, m) = \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$. Hence $x \in C(\mathbb{N}, a, m)$ if and only if $a(x \bmod m) \leq x$, or equivalently, $ax \bmod am \leq x$. □

In Section 5 of [10] we studied numerical semigroups of the form $M(a, ma)$. Thus some of the results appearing there can also be achieved from the tools introduced in this paper. Of course, some of the results presented in [10] can be used to obtain information for (a, m) -contractions of \mathbb{N} . The rest of this section is devoted to this exchange of information.

Given a and m positive integers, from [10, Theorem 44] one has the following description of the Apéry set of m in $M(a, am)$

$$\text{Ap}(M(a, am), m) = \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{(a-1)i}{m} \right\rceil m + i \mid i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\} \right\}.$$

But this description is also an immediate consequence of Proposition 5 and Lemma 5.

By using Lemma 6 (as done in [10, Corollary 45]) one obtains a formula for the Frobenius number of $M(a, am)$:

$$g(M(a, am)) = \left\lceil \frac{(a-1)(m-1)}{m} \right\rceil m - 1.$$

For a and b positive integers, [10, Theorem 12] states that

$$\#H(M(a, b)) = \frac{b + 1 - \gcd\{a, b\} - \gcd\{a - 1, b\}}{2}.$$

Thus particularizing for $b = am$, we get that

$$\#H(a, am) = \frac{am + 1 - a - \gcd\{a - 1, m\}}{2}$$

as presented in Example 3.

Propositions 4 and 5 allow us to assert that there are numerical semigroups of the form $M(a, ab)$ that are pseudo-symmetric. Thus, [10, Corollary 60] is false, as stated in [11]. Corollary 60 in [10] was deduced from the second part of [10, Proposition 58], and there is a mistake in that statement. The correct statement should be that “ $M(a, ab)$ is pseudo-symmetric if and only if $\gcd\{a - 1, b\} + (a - 1) \bmod b = b - 1$ ” (and not $b + 1$ as written originally). This led to the erroneous conclusion given in [10, Corollary 60]. We reformulate [10, Proposition 58] with the language used in the preceding sections. We also include an alternative proof inspired in Propositions 3 and 4.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let a and m be positive integers. Then*

- (1) $C(\mathbb{N}, a, m)$ is symmetric if and only if $\gcd\{a - 1, m\} + (a - 1) \bmod m = m$,
- (2) $C(\mathbb{N}, a, m)$ is pseudo-symmetric if and only if $\gcd\{a - 1, m\} + (a - 1) \bmod m = m - 1$.

Proof. Set $d = \gcd\{a - 1, m\}$.

- (1) In view of Proposition 3, it suffices to show that $d + (a - 1) \bmod m = m$ if and only if $d \in \{m, (1 - a) \bmod m\}$. Assume that $d + (a - 1) \bmod m = m$. If $(a - 1) \bmod m = 0$, then $d = m$. If to the contrary $(a - 1) \bmod m \neq 0$, then $d = m - (a - 1) \bmod m = (1 - a) \bmod m$. Conversely, if $d = m$, then $(a - 1) \bmod m = 0$ and thus $d + (a - 1) \bmod m = m$. If $d = (1 - a) \bmod m$, then $(a - 1) \bmod m = m - d$ and $d + (a - 1) \bmod m = m$.
- (2) Proposition 4 asserts that we must prove that $d + (a - 1) \bmod m = m - 1$ if and only if $d = 1$ and $(1 - a) \bmod m = 2$. As $d = \gcd\{a - 1, m\}$, we have that $d \mid (a - 1) \bmod m$. Hence if $d + (a - 1) \bmod m = m - 1$, we deduce that $d \mid m - 1$. But then $d \mid m$ and $d \mid m - 1$, which leads to $d = 1$. This implies that

$(a - 1) \bmod m = m - 2$, or equivalently, $(1 - a) \bmod m = 2$. Conversely, if $(1 - a) \bmod m = 2$, then $(a - 1) \bmod m = m - 2$. If in addition $d = 1$, then we conclude that $d + (a - 1) \bmod m = m - 1$. \square

Every numerical semigroup has a unique minimal system of generators. The cardinality of this set is known as the *embedding dimension* of the numerical semigroup. In Section 5 of [10], the set of minimal generators of a numerical semigroup of the form $M(a, am)$ is described (see Theorem 49 in that paper). By using this together with Proposition 5, we can obtain a description of the minimal generators of (a, m) -contractions of \mathbb{N} . As a consequence of [10, Corollary 53] we obtain the following lower bound for the embedding dimension of an (a, m) -contraction of \mathbb{N} , when $a \geq 3$.

COROLLARY 4. *Let a and m be positive integers with $a \geq 3$. Then the embedding dimension of $C(\mathbb{N}, a, m)$ is greater than or equal to $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{a-1} \right\rfloor + 1$.*

For a numerical semigroup S , the set of pseudo-Frobenius numbers is defined as

$$T(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x + s \in S \text{ for all } s \in S \setminus \{0\}\},$$

where \mathbb{Z} denotes as usual the set of integers. Its cardinality, $t(S)$, is the (*Cohen-Macaulay*) *type* of S (this set and its cardinality have special relevance in the study of the semigroup ring associated to the numerical semigroup; see for instance [2]). The set of pseudo-Frobenius numbers of $M(a, am)$ can be described by using Lemma 54 and Theorem 56 of [10], and thus in view of Proposition 5, we obtain a description of the pseudo-Frobenius numbers of the (a, m) -contractions of \mathbb{N} .

REFERENCES

- [1] R. APÉRY, *Sur les branches superlinéaires des courbes algébriques*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 222 (1946), 1198-1200.
- [2] V. BARUCCI, D. E. DOBBS AND M. FONTANA, *Maximality Properties in Numerical Semigroups and Applications to One-Dimensional Analytically Irreducible Local Domains*, *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **598** (1997).
- [3] A. BRAUER AND J. E. SCHOCKLEY, *On a problem of Frobenius*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **211** (1962), 215-220.
- [4] M. DELGADO, J. C. ROSALES, *Modular Diophantine inequalities and rotations of numerical semigroups*, *J. Australian Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [5] R. FRÖBERG, G. GOTTLIEB AND R. HÄGGKVIST, *On numerical semigroups*, *Semigroup Forum* **35** (1987), 63-83.
- [6] J. L. RAMÍREZ ALFONSÍN, *The diophantine Frobenius problem*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- [7] J. C. ROSALES, *On symmetric numerical semigroups*, *J. Algebra*, **182** (1996) 422-434.
- [8] J. C. ROSALES AND M. B. BRANCO, *Irreducible numerical semigroups*, *Pacific J. Math.* **209** (2003), 131-143.
- [9] J. C. ROSALES AND P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, *Finitely generated commutative monoids*, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 1999.
- [10] J. C. ROSALES, P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, J. M. URBANO-BLANCO, *Modular Diophantine inequalities and numerical semigroups*, *Pacific J. Math.* **218** (2005), 379-398.
- [11] J. C. ROSALES, P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, J. M. URBANO-BLANCO, *Correction to "Modular Diophantine inequalities and numerical semigroups"*, *Pacific J. Math.* **220** (2005), 199.

- [12] E. S. SELMER, *On a linear diophantine problem of Frobenius*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **293/294** (1977), 1-17.
- [13] J. J. SYLVESTER, *Excursus on rational fractions and partitions*, Amer. J. Math. **5** (1882), 119-136.
- [14] J. J. SYLVESTER, *Mathematical questions with their solutions*, Educational Times **41** (1884), 21.

(Received April 1, 2007)

J. C. Rosales
Departamento de Álgebra
Universidad de Granada
E-18071 Granada
Spain
e-mail: jrosales@ugr.es