

# ON A BOUNDED SUBCLASS OF CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS SATISFYING A DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITY

## SUKHWINDER SINGH, SUSHMA GUPTA AND SUKHJIT SINGH

(communicated by A. Čižmešija)

Abstract. In the present paper, using Jack's lemma, the authors investigate the differential inequality

$$\left|(1-\alpha)\frac{I_{p}(n,\lambda)f\left(z\right)}{z^{p}}+\alpha\frac{I_{p}(n+1,\lambda)f\left(z\right)}{I_{p}(n,\lambda)f\left(z\right)}-1\right|<\mu,\;z\in E$$

regarding multivalent functions defined by multiplier transformation in the open unit disk  $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ . As consequences, sufficient conditions for univalence, starlikeness and strongly starlikeness of certain analytic functions are obtained.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathscr{A}_p$  denote the class of functions of the form  $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ....\}$ , which are analytic in the open unit disc  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . We write  $\mathscr{A}_1 = \mathscr{A}$ . A function  $f \in \mathscr{A}_p$  is said to be p-valent starlike of order  $\alpha(0 \le \alpha < p)$  in E if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha, \quad z \in E.$$

We denote by  $S_p^*(\alpha)$ , the class of all such functions. A function  $f \in \mathscr{A}_p$  is said to be p-valent convex of order  $\alpha(0 \le \alpha < p)$  in E if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)>\alpha,\quad z\in E.$$

Let  $K_p(\alpha)$  denote the class of all those functions  $f \in \mathscr{A}_p$  which are multivalently convex of order  $\alpha$  in E. Note that  $S_1^*(\alpha)$  and  $K_1(\alpha)$  are, respectively, the usual classes of univalent starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  and univalent convex functions of order  $\alpha, 0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$ , and will be denoted here by  $S^*(\alpha)$  and  $K(\alpha)$ , respectively. We shall use  $S^*$  and K to denote  $S^*(0)$  and K(0), respectively which are the classes of univalent starlike (w.r.t. the origin) and univalent convex functions.

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: Multivalent function, starlike function, convex function, multiplier transformation.



For  $f \in \mathscr{A}_p$ , we define the multiplier transformation  $I_p(n,\lambda)$  as

$$I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+\lambda}{p+\lambda}\right)^n a_k z^k, \quad (\lambda \geqslant 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$
 (1)

The operator  $I_p(n,\lambda)$  has been recently studied by Aghalary et.al. [1]. Earlier, the operator  $I_1(n,\lambda)$  was investigated by Cho and Srivastava [3] and Cho and Kim [4], whereas the operator  $I_1(n,1)$  was studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [13].  $I_1(n,0)$  is the well-known Sălăgean [8] derivative operator  $D^n$ , defined as:  $D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $f \in \mathscr{A}$ .

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  is said to be in the class  $S_n(p,\lambda,\alpha)$  for all z in E if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{I_{p}(n+1,\lambda)f(z)}{I_{p}(n,\lambda)f(z)}\right] > \frac{\alpha}{p},\tag{2}$$

for some  $\alpha$  ( $0 \le \alpha < p, p \in \mathbb{N}$ ). We note that  $S_0(1,0,\alpha)$  and  $S_1(1,0,\alpha)$  are the usual classes  $S^*(\alpha)$  and  $K(\alpha)$  of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  and convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , respectively.

In 1989, Owa, Shen and Obradović [7] obtained a sufficient condition for a function  $f \in \mathscr{A}$  to belong to the class  $S_n(1,0,\alpha) = S_n(\alpha)$ .

Recently, Li and Owa [6] studied the operator  $I_1(n, 0)$ .

Let  $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}(\beta)$  denote the class of functions  $f \in \mathscr{A}$  which satisfy the condition

$$\Re \left[ (1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] > \beta, \quad z \in E,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are pre-assigned real numbers. Al-Amiri and Reade [2], in 1975, have shown that for  $\alpha\leqslant 0$  and also for  $\alpha=1$ , the functions in  $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}(0)$  are univalent in E. In 2005, Singh, Singh and Gupta [12] proved that for  $0<\alpha<1$ , functions in  $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}(\alpha)$  are also univalent. In 2007, Singh, Gupta and Singh [11] proved that functions in  $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}(\beta)$  satisfy the differential inequality  $\Re\ f'(z)>0,\ z\in E$  and hence are univalent for all real numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying  $\alpha\leqslant\beta<1$  and that the result is sharp in the sense that the constant  $\beta$  cannot be replaced by any real number less than  $\alpha$ . The starlikeness of the class  $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}(\beta)$  is still, an open problem.

In the present paper, the particular case where p=1, n=1 and  $\lambda=0$  of our main results reduces to

$$\left| (1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) - 1 \right| < \mu, \quad z \in E,$$

for  $f \in \mathscr{A}$ . In this particular case, we study the univalence, starlikeness and strongly starlikeness of this bounded form of  $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}(0)$  for  $0 < \alpha < 2$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

To prove our results, we shall make use of the following definition and lemmas.

DEFINITION 2.1. A function  $f\in\mathscr{A}$  is said to be strongly starlike of order  $\alpha$  ,  $0<\alpha\leqslant 1$  , if

$$\left|\arg\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad z \in E$$

or, equivalently

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}, \quad z \in E.$$

LEMMA 2.1. (Jack [5]) Suppose w(z) be a nonconstant analytic function in E with w(0) = 0. If |w(z)| attains its maximum value at a point  $z_0 \in E$  on the circle |z| = r < 1, then  $z_0 w'(z_0) = mw(z_0)$ , where  $m, m \ge 1$  is some real number.

LEMMA 2.2. [9] Suppose  $f \in \mathscr{A}$  be such that  $f'(z) \prec 1 + az$  in E, where  $0 < a \leqslant 1$ , then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu}, \quad z \in E$$

where  $0 < a \leqslant \frac{2\sin(\frac{\pi\mu}{2})}{\sqrt{5+4\cos(\frac{\pi\mu}{2})}}, \quad 0 < \mu < 1$ .

LEMMA 2.3. [10] Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $f'(z) \prec 1 + az$  in E, where  $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \left(\frac{3a}{2-a}\right)z, \quad z \in E$$

We, now, state and prove our main results.

#### 3. Main Results

LEMMA 3.1. If  $u(z) = 1 + u_1z + u_2z^2 + ...$  be an analytic function in E and satisfies the condition

$$(1 - \alpha)u(z) + \alpha \left(1 + \beta \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)}\right) \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E,$$
 (3)

for some  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  with  $\alpha \leq 1, 0 < \alpha \beta < 1$  and  $0 < \mu \leq \frac{\alpha \beta}{2}$ , then

$$u(z) \prec 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta}z, \quad z \in E.$$

*Proof.* Let us write

$$u(z) = 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta}w(z)$$

where w(z) be analytic in E with w(0) = 0.

Now we will show that |w(z)| < 1,  $z \in E$ . If  $|w(z)| \not< 1$ , by lemma 2.1, there exists  $z_0$ ,  $|z_0| < 1$  such that  $|w(z_0)| = 1$  and  $z_0w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$  where  $k \ge 1$ . When we put  $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ , we have

$$\left| (1 - \alpha)u(z_0) + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{\beta z_0 u'(z_0)}{u(z_0)} \right) - 1 \right|$$

$$= \left| (1 - \alpha) \left( 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta} w(z_0) \right) + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{\beta \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta} z_0 w'(z_0)}{1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta} w(z_0)} \right) - 1 \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{2(1 - \alpha)\mu}{\alpha\beta} e^{i\theta} + \frac{2\mu k e^{i\theta}}{1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta} e^{i\theta}} \right|$$

$$\geqslant 2\mu \left| \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta} e^{i\theta}} \right|$$

$$\geqslant \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta + 2\mu} \left| (1 - \alpha) \left( 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta} e^{i\theta} \right) + \alpha\beta \right|$$

$$= \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta + 2\mu} \left| 1 - \alpha + \alpha\beta + \frac{2\mu(1 - \alpha)}{\alpha\beta} e^{i\theta} \right|$$

$$\geqslant \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta + 2\mu} \left| 1 - \alpha + \alpha\beta - \frac{2\mu(1 - \alpha)}{\alpha\beta} \right|$$

which is a contradiction to (3). Therefore, we must have  $|w(z)| < 1, z \in E$ .

Hence

$$u(z) \prec 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta}z, \quad z \in E.$$

LEMMA 3.2. If  $u(z) = 1 + u_1z + u_2z^2 + ...$  be an analytic function in E and satisfies the condition

$$(1 - \alpha)u(z) + \alpha \left(1 + \beta \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)}\right) \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E, \tag{4}$$

for some  $\alpha,\beta\in R$  with  $\alpha\geqslant 1,0<\alpha\beta-2(\alpha-1)<2$  and  $0<\mu\leqslant \frac{\alpha\beta-2(\alpha-1)}{2}$ , then

$$u(z) \prec 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}z, \quad z \in E.$$

Proof. Let us write

$$u(z) = 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}w(z)$$

where w(z) be analytic in E with w(0) = 0.

Now we will show that  $|w(z)|<1, z\in E$ . If  $|w(z)|\nleq 1$ , by lemma 2.1, there exists  $z_0$ ,  $|z_0|<1$  such that  $|w(z_0)|=1$  and  $z_0w'(z_0)=kw(z_0)$  where  $k\geqslant 1$ . When we put  $w(z_0)=e^{i\theta}$ , we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} (1-\alpha)u(z_0) + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\beta z_0 u'(z_0)}{u(z_0)}\right) - 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \left| (1-\alpha)\left(1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}w(z_0)\right) + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\beta \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}z_0 w'(z_0)}{1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}w(z_0)}\right) - 1 \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{2(1-\alpha)\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}e^{i\theta} + \frac{\frac{2\alpha\beta\mu k e^{i\theta}}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}}{1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}e^{i\theta}} \right|$$

$$\geqslant \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)} \left| \frac{(1-\alpha)\left(1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}e^{i\theta}\right) + \alpha\beta}{1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}e^{i\theta}} \right|$$

$$\geqslant \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)} \frac{\left| 1 - \alpha + \alpha\beta - \frac{2\mu(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}e^{i\theta}\right|}{1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}}$$

$$\geqslant \mu$$

which is a contradiction to (4). Therefore, we must have  $|w(z)| < 1, z \in E$ .

Hence

$$u(z) \prec 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha\beta - 2(\alpha - 1)}z, \quad z \in E.$$

Theorem 3.1. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha\frac{I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z)}{I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)} \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $\alpha \leq 1, 0 < \frac{\alpha}{\lambda + p} < 1$  and  $0 < \mu \leq \frac{\alpha}{2(\lambda + p)}$ , then

$$\frac{I_{p}(n,\lambda)f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec 1 + \frac{2(\lambda+p)\mu}{\alpha}z, \quad z \in E.$$

*Proof.* Let us write

$$\frac{I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)}{z^p}=u(z), \quad z\in E.$$

Differentiate logarithmetically, we obtain

$$\frac{zI_{p}^{'}(n,\lambda)f\left(z\right)}{I_{p}(n,\lambda)f\left(z\right)}-p=\frac{zu^{'}(z)}{u(z)},\quad z\in E. \tag{5}$$

Using the fact that

$$zI_{p}^{'}(n,\lambda)f(z)=(p+\lambda)I_{p}(n+1,\lambda)f(z)-\lambda I_{p}(n,\lambda)f(z)$$

Thus (5) reduces to

$$\frac{I_{p}(n+1,\lambda)f(z)}{I_{p}(n,\lambda)f(z)} = 1 + \frac{zu'(z)}{(\lambda+p)u(z)}.$$

By taking  $\beta = \frac{1}{\lambda + p}$  , the proof, now follows by lemma 3.1.  $\square$ 

Theorem 3.2. If  $f \in \mathscr{A}_p$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha\frac{I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z)}{I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)} \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $\alpha \geqslant 1, 0 < \frac{\alpha}{\lambda + p} - 2(\alpha - 1) < 2$  and  $0 < \mu \leqslant \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda + p} - 2(\alpha - 1)}{2}$ , then

$$\frac{I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)}{z^p} \prec 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda+p} - 2(\alpha-1)}z, \quad z \in E.$$

Proof. Let us write

$$\frac{I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)}{z^p}=u(z), \quad z\in E.$$

Differentiate logarithmetically, we obtain

$$\frac{zI_{p}'(n,\lambda)f(z)}{I_{p}(n,\lambda)f(z)} - p = \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)}, \quad z \in E.$$
(6)

Using the fact that

$$zI_{p}^{\prime}(n,\lambda)f(z)=(p+\lambda)I_{p}(n+1,\lambda)f(z)-\lambda I_{p}(n,\lambda)f(z).$$

Thus (6) reduces to

$$\frac{I_{p}(n+1,\lambda)f(z)}{I_{p}(n,\lambda)f(z)} = 1 + \frac{zu'(z)}{(\lambda+p)u(z)}.$$

By taking  $\beta = \frac{1}{\lambda + p}$ , the proof, now follows by lemma 3.2.

#### 4. Corollaries

By taking p = 1 and  $\lambda = 0$  in theorem 3.1. We have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.1. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{D^{n}f(z)}{z} + \alpha\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)} \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $0 < \mu \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , then

$$\frac{D^n f(z)}{z} \prec 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha} z, \quad z \in E.$$

By taking p = 1 and  $\lambda = 0$  in theorem 3.2. We have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.2. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{D^n f(z)}{z} + \alpha \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $1 \le \alpha < 2$  and  $0 < \mu \le \frac{2-\alpha}{2}$ , then

$$\frac{D^n f(z)}{z} \prec 1 + \frac{2\mu}{2 - \alpha} z, \quad z \in E.$$

By taking p=1, n=1 and  $\lambda=0$  in theorem 3.1. We have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.3. If  $f \in \mathscr{A}$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $0 < \mu \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , then

$$f'(z) \prec 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\alpha}z, \quad z \in E$$

and therefore f is univalent in E.

By taking p=1, n=1 and  $\lambda=0$  in theorem 3.2. We have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.4. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $1 \leqslant \alpha < 2$  and  $0 < \mu \leqslant \frac{2-\alpha}{2}$ , then

$$f'(z) \prec 1 + \frac{2\mu}{2-\alpha}z, \quad z \in E$$

and therefore f is univalent in E.

The corollary 4.3, together with lemma 2.2, gives the following result.

COROLLARY 4.5. If  $f \in \mathscr{A}$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $0 < \mu \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\delta}, \quad z \in E$$

where  $0 < \frac{2\mu}{\alpha} \leqslant \frac{2\sin(\frac{\pi\delta}{2})}{\sqrt{5+4\cos(\frac{\pi\delta}{2})}}$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$  and hence f is strongly starlike for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  in E.

The corollary 4.4, together with lemma 2.2, gives the following result.

COROLLARY 4.6. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $1 \leqslant \alpha < 2$  and  $0 < \mu \leqslant \frac{2-\alpha}{2}$ , then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\delta}, \quad z \in E$$

where  $0 < \frac{2\mu}{2-\alpha} \leqslant \frac{2\sin\left(\frac{\pi\delta}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{5+4\cos\left(\frac{\pi\delta}{2}\right)}}$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$  and hence f is strongly starlike for  $1 \leqslant \alpha < 2$  in E.

The corollary 4.3, together with lemma 2.3, gives the following result.

COROLLARY 4.7. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $0 < \mu \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{4}$ , then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{3\mu}{\alpha - \mu}z, \quad z \in E$$

and hence f is starlike for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  in E.

The corollary 4.4, together with lemma 2.3, gives the following result.

COROLLARY 4.8. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$(1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec 1 + \mu z, \quad z \in E$$

for some  $\alpha \in R$  with  $1 \leqslant \alpha < 2$  and  $0 < \mu \leqslant \frac{2-\alpha}{4}$ , then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{3\mu}{2 - \alpha - \mu}z, \quad z \in E$$

and hence f is starlike for  $1 \le \alpha < 2$  in E.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] AGHALARY, R., ALI, ROSIHAN M., JOSHI, S. B. AND RAVICHANDRAN, V., *Inequalities for analytic functions defined by certain linear operators*, International J. of Math. Sci., to appear.
- [2] AL-AMIRI, H. S. AND READE, M. O., On a linear combination of some expressions in the theory of univalent functions, MONATSHEFTO FÜR MATHEMATIK, **80**(1975), 257–264.
- [3] CHO, N. E. AND SRIVASTAVA, H. M., Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, MATH. COMPUT. MODELLING, 37(2003), 39–49.
- [4] CHO, N. E. AND KIM, T. H., Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 40(2003), 399–410.
- [5] JACK, I. S., Functions starlike and convex of order  $\alpha$ , J. London Math. Soc., 3(1971), 469–474.
- [6] JIAN, LI AND OWA, S., Properties of the Sălăgean operator, Georgian Math. J., 5, 4(1998), 361–366.
- [7] OWA, S., SHEN, C. Y. AND OBRADOVIĆ, M., Certain subclasses of analytic functions, Tamkang J. Math., 20(1989), 105–115.
- [8] SĂLĂGEAN, G. S., Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013, 362–372, Springer-Verlag, Heideberg, 1983.
- [9] PONNUSAMY, S. AND SINGH, V., Criteria for strongly starlike functions, Complex Variables: Theory and Appl., 34(1997), 267–291.
- [10] PONNUSAMY, S., Pólya-Schoenberg conjecture for Carathéodory functions, J. Londan Math. Soc., 51, 2(1995), 93–104.
- [11] SINGH, S., GUPTA, S. AND SINGH, S., On a problem of univalence of functions satisfying a differential inequality, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, vol. 10, No. 1(2007), 95–98.
- [12] SINGH, V., SINGH, S. AND GUPTA, S., A problem in the theory of univalent functions, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 16, 2(2005), 179–186.
- [13] URALEGADDI, B. A. AND SOMANATHA, C., Certain classes of univalent functions, in Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (ed.), World Scientific, Singapore, (1992), 371–374.

(Received January 16, 2008)

Sukhwinder Singh Department of Applied Sciences Baba Banda Singh Bahadur Engineering College Fatehgarh Sahib-140 407 (Punjab) India

e-mail: ss\_billing@yahoo.co.in

Sushma Gupta
Department of Mathematics
Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering & Technology
Longowal-148 106 (Punjab)
India
e-mail: sushmagupta1@yahoo.com

Sukhjit Singh
Department of Mathematics
Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering & Technology
Longowal-148 106 (Punjab)
India
e-mail: sukhjit\_d@yahoo.com