

COMPARISON OF OPERATOR MEAN GEODESICS

JUN ICHI FUJII, JADRANKA MIČIĆ, JOSIP PEČARIĆ AND YUKI SEO

(communicated by J.-C. Bourin)

Abstract. The space of positive invertible operators of a unital C^* -algebra has a natural structure of reductive homogenous manifold with a Finsler metric. Then pairs of points A and B can be joined by a natural geodesic $A \sharp_t B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^t A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, where is the geometric mean in the sense of Kubo and Ando. In this paper, we consider estimates of the upper bounds for the difference between the geodesic and extended interpolational paths by terms of the spectra of positive operators. As applications, we investigate some properties of the velocity vectors for interpolational paths. Also, we obtain estimates of the upper bounds for α -operator divergence as a noncommutative version of the α -divergence in the information geometry.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, \mathcal{A}^+ (resp. \mathcal{A}^h) be the set of all positive invertible (resp. selfadjoint) operators of \mathcal{A} . It is known that \mathcal{A}^+ is a real analytic open submanifold of \mathcal{A}^h and its tangent space $(T\mathcal{A}^+)_A$ at any $A \in \mathcal{A}^+$ is naturally identified to \mathcal{A}^h , see for instance Corach, Porta and Recht [4, 5]. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}^+$, the norm $\|X\|_A = \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}XA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|$, $X \in (T\mathcal{A}^+)_A$ defined a Finsler structure on the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{A}^+$. For every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}^+$, there is a natural geodesic joining A and B :

$$\gamma_{A,B}(t) = A \sharp_t B \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1],$$

where $A \sharp_t B$ is the geometric mean in the sense of Kubo-Ando theory [13]:

$$A \sharp_t B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^t A^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.1)$$

As usual, the length of a smooth curve γ in \mathcal{A}^+ is defined by

$$l(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|_{\gamma(t)} dt$$

and the geodesic distance between A and B in \mathcal{A}^+ is

$$d(A, B) = \inf\{l(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ joins } A \text{ and } B\}.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 47A63, 47A64.

Key words and phrases: Operator inequality, Interpolational path, Mond-Pečarić method, Operator mean, geodesics, α -divergence.

Then it follows that

$$d(A, B) = \| \log(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \|,$$

also see [2]. It is a general fact that (\mathcal{A}^+, d) is a complete metric space.

Kamei and Fujii [9, 10] defined the relative operator entropy $S(A|B)$ which is a relative version of the operator entropy defined by Nakamura-Umegaki [14]:

$$S(A|B) = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Then the velocity vector $\dot{\gamma}_{A,B}(0)$ is exactly the relative operator entropy $S(A|B)$:

$$\dot{\gamma}_{A,B}(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{A \sharp_t B - A}{t} = S(A|B).$$

On the other hand, J. I. Fujii [7] showed that if the manifold \mathcal{A}^+ has a metric $L_a(X) = \|X\|$ (resp. $L_h(X) = \|A^{-1}XA^{-1}\|$) on the tangent space $T\mathcal{A}^+$, then the geodesic and the distance from A to B for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}^+$ are given by

the arithmetic mean $A \nabla_t B = (1 - t)A + tB$ and $d_1(A, B) = \|B - A\|$

(resp.

the harmonic mean $A !_t B = ((1 - t)A^{-1} + tB^{-1})^{-1}$ and $d_{-1}(A, B) = \|A^{-1} - B^{-1}\|$.

)

Here for $m_t = \sharp_t, \nabla_t$ and $!_t$, their paths have the following interpolationality [10]:

$$(A m_p B) m_t (A m_q B) = A m_{(1-t)p+ tq} B$$

for $0 \leq p, q, t \leq 1$.

Typical interpolational paths from A to B which include the arithmetic, geometric and harmonic mean are as follows: For each $r \in [-1, 1]$

$$A m_{r,t} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - t + t \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]. \tag{1.3}$$

In particular, $A m_{1,t} B = A \nabla_t B$, $A m_{0,t} B = A \sharp_t B$ and $A m_{-1,t} B = A !_t B$.

In this paper, we investigate estimates of the upper bounds for the difference between the geodesic $A \sharp_t B$ and interpolational paths $A m_{r,t} B$ by terms of the spectra of positive operators: For each $s > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, there exists a suitable constant β such that

$$0 \leq A m_{s,t} B - A \sharp_t B \leq \beta A.$$

As applications, we investigate some properties of the velocity vectors for interpolational paths. Also, we obtain estimates of the upper bounds for α -operator divergence introduced by [6] as a noncommutative version of the α -divergence in the information geometry.

2. Interpolational paths

First of all, we recall an interpolational path for symmetric operator means. Following [10, 11], for a symmetric mean σ , a parametrized operator mean σ_t is called an interpolational path for σ if it satisfies

- (1) $A \sigma_0 B = A, A \sigma_{1/2} B = A \sigma B$ and $A \sigma_1 B = B$
- (2) $(A \sigma_p B) \sigma (A \sigma_q B) = A \sigma_{\frac{p+q}{2}} B$
- (3) the map $t \rightarrow A \sigma_t B$ is norm continuous for each A and B .

Typical interpolational means are so-called power means;

$$A m_r B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1 + (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^r}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } r \in [-1, 1]$$

and their interpolational paths from A to B via $A m_r B$ are given by (1.3).

Here we consider them in the general setting: For positive invertible operators A and B , an extended path $A m_{r,t} B$ is defined as

$$A m_{r,t} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - t + t(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all real numbers } r \in \mathbb{R}$$

and $t \in [0, 1]$. The representing function $f_{r,t}$ for $m_{r,t}$ is defined as

$$f_{r,t}(\xi) = 1 m_{r,t} \xi = (1 - t + t\xi^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad \text{for } \xi > 0.$$

Notice that $A m_{r,t} B$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is no longer a path of operator means for $r \notin [-1, 1]$, but we list some properties of interpolational paths $m_{r,t}$ and the representing function $f_{r,t}$, also see [8].

Since every function $f_{r,t}(\xi)$ is strictly increasing and strictly convex (resp. strictly concave) for $r > 1$ (resp. $r < 1$), it follows that an extended path $A m_{r,t} B$ for each $t \in (0, 1)$ is nondecreasing and norm continuous for $r \in \mathbb{R}$: For $r \leq s$

$$A m_{r,t} B \leq A m_{s,t} B.$$

Moreover, an extended path is also interpolational for all real numbers $r \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, the transposition formula holds:

$$B m_{r,t} A = A m_{r,1-t} A. \tag{2.1}$$

For the sake of convenience, we prepare the following notation: For $k_2 > k_1 > 0$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$

$$a(r, t) = \frac{f_{r,t}(k_2) - f_{r,t}(k_1)}{k_2 - k_1} \quad \text{and} \quad b(r, t) = \frac{k_2 f_{r,t}(k_1) - k_1 f_{r,t}(k_2)}{k_2 - k_1}. \tag{2.2}$$

We investigate estimates of the upper bounds for the difference between extended interpolational paths:

LEMMA 2.1. Let A and B be positive invertible operators such that $k_1A \leq B \leq k_2A$ for some scalars $0 < k_1 < k_2$. Then for $r \leq s$ and $t \in (0, 1)$

$$0 \leq A m_{s,t} B - A m_{r,t} B \leq \beta A \quad \text{for } r \leq 1 \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$0 \leq A m_{s,t} B - A m_{r,t} B \leq \beta' A \quad \text{for } r \geq 1 \quad (2.4)$$

hold for

$$\beta = \beta(r, s, t, k_1, k_2) = \max_{k_1 \leq \xi \leq k_2} \{f_{s,t}(\xi) - a(r, t)\xi - b(r, t)\},$$

and

$$\beta' = \beta'(r, s, t, k_1, k_2) = \max_{k_1 \leq \xi \leq k_2} \{a(s, t)\xi + b(s, t) - f_{r,t}(\xi)\},$$

where a, b are defined by (2.2).

Proof. Suppose that $r \leq 1$. If we put $C = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then we have $k_2I \geq C \geq k_1I > 0$. Since $f_{r,t}(\xi)$ is concave for $r \leq 1$, it follows from the definition of β that

$$\beta \geq f_{s,t}(\xi) - a(r, t)\xi - b(r, t) \geq f_{s,t}(\xi) - f_{r,t}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in [k_1, k_2],$$

and hence

$$\beta I \geq f_{s,t}(C) - f_{r,t}(C).$$

This fact implies

$$\beta A \geq A^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{s,t}(C)A^{\frac{1}{2}} - A^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{r,t}(C)A^{\frac{1}{2}} = A m_{s,t} B - A m_{r,t} B,$$

which gives the desired result (2.3). Conversely, if $r \geq 1$, then $f_{s,t}(\xi)$ is convex for $1 \leq r \leq s$ and the latter part (2.4) of Lemma 2.1 follows from the same way. \square

REMARK 2.2. The constant $\beta = \beta(s, r, t, m, M)$ and $\beta' = \beta'(s, r, t, m, M)$ in Lemma 2.1 can be written explicitly as

$$\beta = \begin{cases} a(r, t) \left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(t^{\frac{1}{s-1}} a(r, t)^{\frac{s}{1-s}} - 1\right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} - b(r, t) & \text{for } k_1 \leq \xi_0 \leq k_2 \\ f_{s,t}(k_1) - f_{r,t}(k_1) & \text{for } \xi_0 \leq k_1 \\ f_{s,t}(k_2) - f_{r,t}(k_2) & \text{for } k_2 \leq \xi_0 \end{cases}$$

where $\xi_0 = \left(\frac{1}{1-t} \left(\frac{a(r,t)}{t}\right)^{\frac{s}{1-s}} - \frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{-\frac{1}{s}}$ and

$$\beta' = \begin{cases} -a(s, t) \left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(t^{\frac{1}{r-1}} a(s, t)^{\frac{r}{1-r}} - 1\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} + b(s, t) & \text{for } k_1 \leq \xi_1 \leq k_2 \\ f_{s,t}(k_1) - f_{r,t}(k_1) & \text{for } \xi_1 \leq k_1 \\ f_{s,t}(k_2) - f_{r,t}(k_2) & \text{for } k_2 \leq \xi_1 \end{cases}$$

where $\xi_1 = \left(\frac{1}{1-t} \left(\frac{a(s,t)}{t}\right)^{\frac{r}{1-r}} - \frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{-\frac{1}{r}}$.

By lemma 2.1, we obtain estimates of the upper bounds for the difference between the geodesic $A \sharp_t B$ and extended interpolational paths:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let A and B be positive invertible operators such that $k_1A \leq B \leq k_2A$ for some scalars $0 < k_1 < k_2$. Then for each $t \in (0, 1)$*

$$0 \leq A m_{s,t} B - A \sharp_t B \leq \beta(0, s, t, k_1, k_2)A \quad \text{for } s \geq 0, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$0 \leq A \sharp_t B - A m_{r,t} B \leq \beta(r, 0, t, k_1, k_2)A \quad \text{for } r \leq 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where β is defined by Remark 2.2.

As special cases of Theorem 2.3, we obtain an estimate of the upper bound for the difference between the geodesic $A \sharp_t B$ and the arithmetic interpolational paths $A \nabla_t B$, and also the same for the geodesic $A \sharp_t B$ and the harmonic interpolational paths $A !_t B$:

THEOREM 2.4. *Let A and B be positive invertible operators such that $k_1A \leq B \leq k_2A$ for some scalars $0 < k_1 < k_2$. Then for each $t \in (0, 1)$*

$$0 \leq A \nabla_t B - A \sharp_t B \leq \max\{1 - t + tk_1 - k_1^t, 1 - t + tk_2 - k_2^t\}A \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$0 \leq A \sharp_t B - A !_t B \leq \beta(-1, 0, t, k_1, k_2)A, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\beta(-1, 0, t, k_1, k_2) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1-t}{((1-t)k_1+t)((1-t)k_2+t)} \left(((1-t)k_1+t)((1-t)k_2+t)^{\frac{1}{1-t}} - k_1k_2 \right) & \text{for } k_1^{1-t} \leq ((1-t)k_1+t)((1-t)k_2+t) \leq k_2^{1-t}, \\ k_2^t - \frac{k_2}{(1-t)k_2+t} & \text{for } k_2^{1-t} \leq ((1-t)k_1+t)((1-t)k_2+t), \\ k_1^t - \frac{k_1}{(1-t)k_1+t} & \text{for } k_1^{1-t} \geq ((1-t)k_1+t)((1-t)k_2+t). \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. If we put $s = 1$ in (2.5) of Theorem 2.3, then $f_{1,t}(\xi) = 1 - t + t\xi$ and $f_{0,t}(\xi) = \xi^t$. Since $a(0, t) = \frac{k_2^t - k_1^t}{k_2 - k_1}$, the condition $f'_{1,t}(M) \leq a(0, t) \leq f'_{1,t}(m)$ is equivalent to $a(0, t) = t$. Therefore we have

$$\beta = \begin{cases} 1 - t + tk_2 - k_2^t & \text{for } \frac{k_2^t - k_1^t}{k_2 - k_1} \leq t, \\ 1 - t + tk_1 - k_1^t & \text{for } \frac{k_2^t - k_1^t}{k_2 - k_1} \geq t. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we have the latter part of this theorem by using (2.6) of Theorem 2.3. □

Next, we show estimates of the lower bounds of the ratio for extended interpolational paths:

LEMMA 2.5. *Let A and B be positive invertible operators such that $k_1A \leq B \leq k_2A$ for some scalars $0 < k_1 < k_2$. Then for $r \leq s$ and $t \in (0, 1)$*

$$A m_{r,t} B \geq \alpha A m_{s,t} B \quad \text{for } r \leq 1 \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$A m_{r,t} B \geq \alpha' A m_{s,t} B \quad \text{for } r \geq 1 \quad (2.10)$$

hold for

$$\alpha = \alpha(r, s, t, k_1, k_2) = \min_{k_1 \leq \xi \leq k_2} \left\{ \frac{a(r, t)\xi + b(r, t)}{f_{s,t}(\xi)} \right\}$$

and

$$\alpha' = \alpha'(r, s, t, k_1, k_2) = \min_{k_1 \leq \xi \leq k_2} \left\{ \frac{f_{r,t}(\xi)}{a(s, t)\xi + b(s, t)} \right\},$$

where a, b are defined by (2.2).

Proof. Suppose that $r \leq 1$. Since $f_{r,t}(\xi)$ is concave for $r \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\frac{f_{r,t}(\xi)}{f_{s,t}(\xi)} \geq \frac{a(r, t)\xi + b(r, t)}{f_{s,t}(\xi)} \geq \alpha$$

and hence $f_{r,t}(\xi) \geq \alpha f_{s,t}(\xi)$ on $[k_1, k_2]$. Therefore we have

$$A m_{r,t} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{r,t} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}) A^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \alpha A^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{s,t} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}) A^{\frac{1}{2}} = \alpha A m_{s,t} B.$$

Similarly, since $f_{s,t}(\xi)$ is convex for $1 \leq r \leq s$, the latter part follows from the same way. \square

REMARK 2.6. The constant $\alpha = \alpha(r, s, t, k_1, k_2)$ and $\alpha' = \alpha'(r, s, t, k_1, k_2)$ in Lemma 2.5 can be written explicitly as follows: In the case of $s \geq 1$,

$$\alpha = \min \left\{ \frac{f_{r,t}(k_1)}{f_{s,t}(k_1)}, \frac{f_{r,t}(k_2)}{f_{s,t}(k_2)} \right\}.$$

In the case of $s \leq 1$,

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{a(r, t)\xi_0 + b(r, t)}{(1-t+t\xi_0^s)^{\frac{1}{s}}} & \text{for } k_1 \leq \xi_0 \leq k_2, \\ \frac{f_{r,t}(k_2)}{f_{s,t}(k_2)} & \text{for } k_2 \leq \xi_0, \\ \frac{f_{r,t}(k_1)}{f_{s,t}(k_1)} & \text{for } k_1 \geq \xi_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\xi_0 = \left(\frac{1-t}{t} \frac{a(r, t)}{b(r, t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}$ and

$$\alpha' = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-t+t\xi_1^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}}{a(s, t)\xi_1 + b(s, t)} & \text{for } k_1 \leq \xi_1 \leq k_2, \\ \frac{f_{r,t}(k_2)}{f_{s,t}(k_2)} & \text{for } k_2 \leq \xi_1, \\ \frac{f_{r,t}(k_1)}{f_{s,t}(k_1)} & \text{for } k_1 \geq \xi_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\xi_1 = \left(\frac{1-t}{t} \frac{a(s, t)}{b(s, t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}$.

Proof. Suppose that $r \leq 1$. If we put $C = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then we have $0 < k_1I \leq C \leq k_2I$. Since $f_r(\xi)$ is concave for $r \leq 1$, it follows that

$$f_s(\xi) - f_r(\xi) \leq f_s(\xi) - a(r)\xi - b(r) \leq \gamma$$

and hence we have the desired result (3.2) and (3.3). The remainder part (3.4) follow in the same way. \square

REMARK 3.2. The constant $\gamma = \gamma(r, s, k_1, k_2)$ and $\gamma' = \gamma'(r, s, k_1, k_2)$ in Lemma 3.1 can be written explicitly as

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{1-s}{s}a(r)^{\frac{s}{s-1}} - b(r) - \frac{1}{s} & \text{for } k_1 \leq a(r)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} \leq k_2, \\ \frac{k_2^s-1}{k_2^{s-1}} - \frac{k_2^r-1}{k_2^r} & \text{for } k_2 \leq a(r)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}, \\ \frac{k_1^s-1}{s} - \frac{k_1^r-1}{r} & \text{for } k_1 \geq a(r)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma' = \begin{cases} \frac{r-1}{r}a(s)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} + b(s) + \frac{1}{r} & \text{for } k_1 \leq a(s)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \leq k_2, \\ \frac{k_2^r-1}{k_2^{r-1}} - \frac{k_2^s-1}{k_2^s} & \text{for } k_2 \leq a(s)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}, \\ \frac{k_1^r-1}{r} - \frac{k_1^s-1}{s} & \text{for } k_1 \geq a(s)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we obtain estimates of the upper bound for the difference between the velocity vectors $S(A|B)$ and $S_r(A|B)$ of the extended interpolational paths $A m_{r,t} B$ at $t = 0$:

THEOREM 3.3. *Let A and B be positive invertible operators such that $k_1A \leq B \leq k_2A$ for some scalars $0 < k_1 < k_2$. Then*

$$S_s(A|B) - S(A|B) \leq \gamma A \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1 \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$S_s(A|B) - S(A|B) \leq \max\left\{\frac{k_1^s-1}{s} - \log k_1, \frac{k_2^s-1}{s} - \log k_2\right\}A \quad \text{for } 1 \leq s, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{1-s}{s} \left(\frac{\log k_2 - \log k_1}{k_2 - k_1} \right)^{\frac{s}{s-1}} - \frac{k_2 \log k_1 - k_1 \log k_2}{k_2 - k_1} - \frac{1}{s} & \text{for } k_1 \leq \left(\frac{\log k_2 - \log k_1}{k_2 - k_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} \leq k_2, \\ \frac{k_2^s-1}{s} - \log k_2 & \text{for } k_2 \leq \left(\frac{\log k_2 - \log k_1}{k_2 - k_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}, \\ \frac{k_1^s-1}{s} - \log k_1 & \text{for } k_1 \geq \left(\frac{\log k_2 - \log k_1}{k_2 - k_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}. \end{cases}$$

4. α -operator divergence

The concept of α -divergence plays an important role in the information geometry. Following [1], α -divergence is defined as follows: For positive valued measurable functions p and q , and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$D_\alpha(p||q) \equiv \frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \int \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} p + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} q - p^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} q^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \right\} dx, \quad (\alpha \neq \pm 1), \quad (4.1)$$

$$D_{-1}(p||q) = D_1(q||p) \equiv \int \left\{ q - p + p \log \frac{p}{q} \right\} dx.$$

If we put $t = \frac{1+\alpha}{2}$ in (4.1), then

$$D_\alpha(p||q) \equiv \frac{1}{t(1-t)} \int \left\{ (1-t)p + tq - p^{1-t} q^t \right\} dx, \quad (t \neq 0, 1).$$

From the viewpoint of this, J. I. Fujii [6] defined the following operator version of α -divergence in the differential geometry: For positive invertible operators A and B ,

$$D_\alpha(A, B) \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} (A \nabla_\alpha B - A \sharp_\alpha B) \quad (0 < \alpha < 1).$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(A, B) &\equiv \lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} D_\alpha(A, B) = \lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \left(\frac{A-B}{\alpha} - \frac{B \sharp_{1-\alpha} A - B}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \right) \\ &= A - B - S(B|A) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_0(A, B) &\equiv \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} D_\alpha(A, B) = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{B-A}{1-\alpha} - \frac{A \sharp_\alpha B - A}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \right) \\ &= B - A - S(A|B). \end{aligned}$$

By definition, α -operator divergence is considered as the difference between the arithmetic and the geometric interpolational paths. In particular, for the case of $\alpha = 1/2$, it follows that α -operator divergence coincides with by four times the difference of the geometric mean and the arithmetic mean. For the case of density operators, it coincides with a relative entropy introduced by Beravkin and Staszewski [3] in C^* -algebra setting.

Also we have the following different interpretation of α -operator divergence:

THEOREM 4.1. *The α -operator divergence is the difference between two velocity vectors $S_1(A|B)$ and $S_\alpha(A|B)$: For each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$*

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha(A, B) &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (S_1(A|B) - S_\alpha(A|B)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} (S_1(B|A) - S_{1-\alpha}(B|A)). \end{aligned}$$

We investigate estimates of the upper bounds for α -operator divergence:

THEOREM 4.2. *Let A and B be positive invertible operators such that $k_1A \leq B \leq k_2A$ for some scalars $0 < k_1 < k_2$. Then α -operator divergence is positive and for every operator mean ρ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$*

$$(\beta A) \rho (\overline{\beta} B) \geq D_\alpha(A, B) \geq 0$$

holds for

$$\beta = \max\left\{\frac{1 - \alpha + \alpha k_1 - k_1^\alpha}{\alpha(1 - \alpha)}, \frac{1 - \alpha + \alpha k_2 - k_2^\alpha}{\alpha(1 - \alpha)}\right\},$$

$$\overline{\beta} = \max\left\{\frac{\alpha + (1 - \alpha)k_2^{-1} - k_2^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha(1 - \alpha)}, \frac{\alpha + (1 - \alpha)k_1^{-1} - k_1^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha(1 - \alpha)}\right\}.$$

Proof. Since $A \nabla_\alpha B \geq A \sharp_\alpha B$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$), it follows that α -operator divergence is positive, that is, $D_\alpha(A, B) \geq 0$. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\beta A \geq D_\alpha(A, B) \geq 0$. Since $A \nabla_\alpha B - A \sharp_\alpha B = B \nabla_{1-\alpha} A - B \sharp_{1-\alpha} A$ by (2.1), we applied B, A and $1 - \alpha$ in Theorem 2.4 to obtain the constant $\overline{\beta} = \beta(0, 1, 1 - \alpha, k_2^{-1}, k_1^{-1})$ such that $\overline{\beta} B \geq D_\alpha(A, B) \geq 0$ because $k_2^{-1}B \leq A \leq k_1^{-1}B$. Therefore we have for every operator mean ρ

$$(\beta A) \rho (\overline{\beta} B) \geq D_\alpha(A, B) \rho D_\alpha(A, B) = D_\alpha(A, B) \geq 0.$$

□

If we put $\alpha \rightarrow 0, 1$ in Theorem 4.2, then we have the following corollary:

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let A and B be positive invertible operators such that $k_1A \leq B \leq k_2A$ for some scalars $0 < k_1 < k_2$. Then for every operator mean ρ*

$$(\beta A) \rho (\overline{\beta} B) \geq D_0(A, B) = S_1(A|B) - S_0(A|B),$$

holds for $\beta = \max\{k_1 - 1 - \log k_1, k_2 - 1 - \log k_2\}$ and $\overline{\beta} = \max\{1 - k_2^{-1} - k_2^{-1} \log k_2, 1 - k_1^{-1} - k_1^{-1} \log k_1\}$ and

$$(\beta A) \rho (\overline{\beta} B) \geq D_1(A, B) = S_1(B|A) - S_0(B|A)$$

holds for $\beta = \max\{1 - k_2^{-1} - k_2^{-1} \log k_2, 1 - k_1^{-1} + k_1^{-1} \log k_1\}$ and $\overline{\beta} = \max\{k_1 - 1 + \log k_1, k_2 - 1 - \log k_2\}$.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their cordial thanks to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. AMARI, *Differential Geometrical Methods in Statistics*, Springer Lecture Notes in Statistics, **28** (1985).
- [2] E. ANDRUCHOW, G. CORACH, D. STOJANOFF, *Geometrical significance of the Lowner-Heinz inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **128** (1999), 1031–1037.
- [3] V. P. BELAVKIN, P. STASZEWSKI, *C^* -algebraic generalization of relative entropy and entropy*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect., **A.37** (1982), 51–58.
- [4] G. CORACH, H. PORTA, L. RECHT, *Geodesics and operator means in the space of positive operators*, Int. J. Math., **4** (1993), 193–202.
- [5] G. CORACH, H. PORTA, L. RECHT, *Convexity of the geodesic distance on spaces of positive operators*, Illinois J. Math., **38** (1994), 87–94.
- [6] J. I. FUJII, *On the relative operator entropy (in Japanese)*, RIMS Kokyuroku **903** (1995), 49–56.
- [7] J. I. FUJII, *The Corach-Porta-Recht theorem and operator means*, preprint.
- [8] J. I. FUJII, M. FUJII, Y. SEO, *Bounds for interpolational path of positive operators*, Recent Topics on Operator Inequalities, RIMS Kokyuroku, **1359** (2004), 46–57.
- [9] J. I. FUJII, E. KAMEI, *Relative operator entropy in noncommutative information theory*, Math. Japon., **34** (1989), 341–348.
- [10] J. I. FUJII, E. KAMEI, *Uhlmann's interpolational method for operator means*, Math. Japon., **34** (1989), 541–547.
- [11] T. FURUTA, J. MIČIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, Y. SEO, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*, Monographs in Inequalities 1, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [12] E. KAMEI, *Paths of operators parametrized by operator means*, Math. Japon., **39** (1994), 395–400.
- [13] F. KUBO, T. ANDO, *Means of positive linear operators*, Math. Ann., **246** (1980), 205–224.
- [14] M. NAKAMURA, H. UMEGAKI, *A note on the entropy for operator algebras*, Proc. Japan. Acad., **37** (1961), 149–151.

(Received June 21, 2007)

Jun Ichi Fujii
Department of Arts and Sciences (Information Science)
Osaka Kyoiku University
Kashiwara, Osaka 582-8582
Japan
e-mail: fujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

Jadranka Mičić
Technical College Zagreb
University of Zagreb
Konavoska 2
10000 Zagreb
Croatia
e-mail: jmicic@tvz.hr

Josip Pečarić
Faculty of Textile Technology
University of Zagreb
Pierottijeva 6
10000 Zagreb
Croatia
e-mail: pecaric@mahazu.hazu.hr

Yuki Seo
Faculty of Engineering
Shibaura Institute of Technology
307 Fukasaku, Minuma-ku, Saitama-City
Saitama 337-8570
Japan
e-mail: yukis@sic.shibaura-it.ac.jp